ENGLISH DRAMATIC LITERATURE WARD



A HISTORY

OF

ENGLISH DRAMATIC LITERATURE

TO THE DEATH OF QUEEN ANNE

вч

ADOLPHUS WILLIAM WARD, LITT.D, HON LL'D

LATE PRINCIPAL OF THE OWENS COLLEGE, MANCHESTER HONORARY FELLOW OF PETERHOUSE, CAMBRIDGE

NEW AND REVISED EDITION

VOL I

London

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED

NEW YORK THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

1899

First Edition, 1875 Second Edition, 1899

PREFACE

The theoretical Introduction with which the First Edition of this book opened has been omitted in the Second, certainly not from any disregard of a most important branch of dramatic studies, but in order to make room for a more ample treatment of various passages in the body of the work. This has been revised throughout, and in parts rewritten. It has, however, seemed well to leave the plan of the whole unaltered, and to abstain from re-casting either general or particular conclusions, except when they have been modified by maturer consideration.

My sincere thanks are due to the numerous friends who have given me voluntary help towards this new Edition by information, criticism, and encouragement—three forms of literary liberality and goodwill which, as my experience during the last quarter of the century has proved to me, are very commonly associated with one another. The shortcomings, avoidable or unavoidable, in such a book as this, rarely remain a secret to its author,—even in his younger days, but (if I may venture to mention one name in the place of many) the stimulus to effort conveyed by such criticisms as those which the late

Professor F. T. Palgrave found time to bestow, both publicly and privately, upon the First Edition of this *History*, remains invaluable to a student, however imperfectly he may have succeeded in turning the criticisms themselves to account

I have endeavoured to make use of such of the publications on English dramatic literature as have appeared since the issue of the First Edition of this work, and among these I have freely availed myself of the treasures of that great store-house of English literary as well as historical lore, the *Dictionary of National Biography*. I desire to repeat here the expression of my fegret that my Fourth Chapter should have passed through the press before vol li of the *Dictionary* had appeared, containing its present editor Mr Sidney Lee's masterly monograph on Shakspere

A W WARD

Manchester, July, 1898

CONTENTS OF VOL. I

CHAPTER I

THE ORIGIN OF THE ENGLISH DRAMA.

Scope a	nd l	mıts c	of	this	work	

PAGE 1-3 3-28

Subsidiary elements in the sources of the English drama

Early Christian dramas based directly upon classical models, 3—Querolus, 6—Comedies of Hrotsvitha, ib—The monastic literary drama of the tenth and eleventh centuries, 8—Possible migration of the monastic drama to England, 9—No English dramatic literature before the Norman Conquest, 11—The relics of the Roman stage, 13—Mimes and strollers, 15—Mimes, 17—Joculatores, ib—The jongleurs in France before the Norman Conquest of England, 18, and in England after the Conquest, 20, their influence upon the beginnings of the drama in France, 21—The ministrels in England, 23—The beginnings of the English drama unconnected with the higher class of ministrels, 24, and connected only in ways not easily ascertainable with the lower, 26

The main source of the modern drama

20-48

The Liturgy of the Church the original Mystery, 29—Readiness of the times for liturgical symbolism, 30—The dramatic elements of the Liturgy, 32, their development, 33, and combination, 34—The liturgical Mystery, 12—Examples of the liturgical Mystery, 36—The plays of Hilarius, 37—Transition from the liturgical to the popular Mystery, 40—Mysteries, Miracles, and Morals distinguished, 41—Nature of the combinations between these species in England, 42—The drama begins to emancipate itself from the Church, 43—Attempted reaction, 44—Origin of Corpus Christiplays, 16—General progress of the early religious drama—in France, 45. Italy, Spain, and Germany, 46

The religious drama in England

48-99

The Miracle of St Katharina (IIIOc), 49—London Miracles (II70-II82), 46—Professional players (I258), 50—The clergy and the Miracle-plays, 51—Performances by members of gilds and other lay actors, 54, their geographical distribution, 55—Names given to the religious plays, 57—Collective character of the chief English series, 58—Method of their performance, 59—The spectators of the Miracle-plays, 62—Their literary features, 63—Collective

Mysteries, 64 — York Plays, 65 — Towneley Plays, 71 — Chester Rlays, 76 — Coventry Plays, 84 — Other Miracle-plays, 89 The Harrowing of Hell, 90, Adam and Eve, 91, Noah's Ark, 16 Abraham and Isaac, 16 — The Digby Mysteries, 92 Partic's Candlemas Day, 65c, 16, The Conversion of St Paul, 93, Mary Magdalene, 94, Christ's Burial and Resurrection, 96 — Paternoster, Creed, and Sacrament plays, 97

Moralities 99-143

Their origin, 100, and early development, 105 - French Moralities, 107 - English Moralities, 108 - The Devil and the Vice, 100 -Other concrete elements in the moralities, 111 - Moralities of the reign of Henry VI The Castle of Persevcrance, 113, Wisdom who is Christ, 114, Mankind, 116 - Early Tudor Moralities Nature, 117, The World and the Child, 118, Hycke-Scorner, ib, Every-man, 119, R Wever's Lusty Juventus, 124, Interlude of Youth, 126 - Renascence Moralities Rastell's (?) Nature of the Four Elements, ib , Redford's Wyt and Science, 127 - John Skelton, 128, his Magnyfycence, 16, other dramatic works by Skelton, 130 - Lyndsay's Satire of the Three Estates, 131 - Later Tudor Moralities The Triall of Treasure, 133, Ulpian Fulwel's Lile will to Like, &c, 134, The Marriage of Witte and Science ib, The Marriage of Wit and Wisdom, 135, The Contention between Liberally and Produgality, 1b - Moralities bearing on the religious controversy, 136 New Custom, 137. N Woodes' The Conflict of Conscience, 138 - Political Moralities Albyon Knight, 139 - Moralities resembling comedy and tragedy, 141

Pageants and other Entertainments

143-156

Pageants, 143 — Festival plays, 1b Hor Tuesday Play, 144 — Pageants proper, 145 earliest English pageants, 146, City pageants, 1b — Court entertainments, 148 — Masques, 150 — Restrictions upon dramatic performances, 152 — Queen Elisabeth's patronage of plays and entertainments, 154

Summary

156-157

CHAPTER II.

THE BEGINNINGS OF THE ENGLISH REGULAR DRAMA

The birth of English Tragedy

158-225

The tragic and the comic, 158—Mixed species, 161—Elements of tragic and comic effect in the Miracles and Moralities, 162—Limits of their operations, 163—The transitions to the regular drama suggested by these defects, 164—The direct impulse an extraneous one, 165—The Renascence movement in England, 166—The early Italian drama and its themes, 168—Isolated English plays of an early date on secular themes, 169—Robert Cicil, 170—The beginnings of the study of our national history, 171—Origin of the Chronicle-history, 173—Bishop Bale, 16

Promises, 175, The Temptacyon of our Lorde, 176, Johan Baptyste, ib, Kynge Johan, 177, its significance in the history of our dramatic literature, 187 - Classical studies under Mary and Elisabeth, 188, translations, 189 — The tragedies of Seneca, 1b. their influence on Renascence literature, 193, Seneca's Tenne Tragedies translated into English, 194, their direct influence upon early English tragedy, 197 - Gorboduc (Ferrex and Porrex) the earliest English tragedy, 198 - Aprilis and Virginia, 204 - Pieston's Cambises, 205 - Other tragedies on classical subjects, 207 Gascoigne's Jocasta, 200 - Tragi-comedies, 16 Damon and Pithias. 211 - Plays on Italian and other Romance subjects, 212 Tancred and Gismunda, 213, G Whetstone's Promos and Cassandra, 215, other early tragedies of Italian origin, 217 - Plays on subjects from national history T Hughes' Misfortunes of Arthur, 218 -Chronicle-histories The Famous Victories of Henry V, 222, The Troublesome Raigne of King John, 223, The True Chronicle History of King Leir, 224

The buth of English Comedy

25~264

Comedy, 225 - Its preliminary growths in France and Italy, 226 -The commedia dell' arte, 229 -The Italian pastoral drama, 231 -Beginnings of comedy in Spain, ib, and in Germany, 232 -Beginnings of English comedy, 234 - Dialogues, ib Dialogue of Death, 236, Dialogue of Gentylnes and Nobilitye, ib - Early interludes, 237 - Transition from the Moralities, 238 - John Heywood, A Mery Play between the Pardoner, &c, 242, A Mery Play between Johan the Husbande, Tyb the Wife, and Syr Jhon the Priest, 244, The Four P's, ib, The Play of the Wether, 246, The Play of Love, 247, The Dialogue of Wit and Folly, ib - Other early Tudor interludes, 248 Ingelend's Disobedient Child, 250 - Plautus and Terence as models of Renascence comedy, 251 in England, 253 The first extant English comedy Udall's Ralph Roister Doister, 254 - Misogonus, 259 - Still's Gammer Gurton's Needle, 260 -Gascoigne's Supposes, 262 - Other early comedies on Italian, classical, and native subjects, 263

Summary and conclusion

264-269

The beginnings of English tragedy, 264, and comedy, 265—The general historical aspect of the period opening the great age of our dramatic literature, 16—Our literature becomes thoroughly national, 266—The dignity of the drama begins to be recognised, 16—Literary genius devotes itself to the drama, 268

CHAPTER III

SHAKSPERE'S PREDECESSORS

John Lyly (1554-1606)

270-303

His life, 271.—Euphues and Euphuism, 274 Euphuism and kindred forms of style, 275; distinctive characteristics of Euphuism, 276, their special sources, 279, imitators of Euphues, 281—Prose

domesticated in English comedy by Lyly, 282 — The Luphuism of Lyly's plays, 283 — His verse, 286 — His plays The IVoman in the Moone, 286, Endimon, 289, Campaspe, 293, Sapho and Phao, 295, Gallathea, 296, Mydas, 297, Mother Bombie, 300, Love's Metamorphosis, 301 — Plays ascribed to Lyly, 302

THOMAS KYD (1557 c-1595 c)

303~310

His profession, 303—His plays The Spansh Tragedy, 304, The First Part of Jeronimo, 308, Solyman and Perseda, 309—Plays attributed to Kyd The Rare Triumphs of Love and Fortiue, 311, an early Hamlet, 312—Kyd's claims to recognition as an original dramatist, 313

CHRISTOPHER MARLOWE (1564-1593)

313-363

His life, 313—Tributes from his contemporaries, 317—Marlowe's non-dramatic works, 320—Tamburlaine the Great, 321—the blank verse and the diction of Tamburlaine, 325—The Tragual History of Doctor Faustus, 329—its theme, 330, and sources, 332, Mailowe's treatment of his subject, 333—The Jew of Malta, 337, compared with The Merchant of Venice, 343—Edward II, 347, its resemblance to Richard II, 353—The Massacre at Paris, 354, Dido, Queen of Carthage 356—Plays attributed to Mailowe, 358—His services to our dramatic literature, 360—the establishment by him of blank verse as the English dramatic metre, 361, the infusion of passion into dramatic composition, 362

GEORGE PEELE (1558 c-1597 c)

363-379

His life, 363—His plays The Arragnment of Pans, 366, The Battle of Alcazar, 370, The Old Wives' Tale, 372—its influence on Milton's Comus, 373—Plays attributed to Peele Sir Clyomon and Sir Clamydes, 375, David and Bethsabe, 16—Peele's pageants, 377—His position among our dramatists, 378

Robert Greene (1560 c - 1592)

375-400

His life, 379—His non-dramatic writings and their influence upon the progress of the English drama, 385—His plays Alphonsus, King of Arragon, 393, Orlando Furioso, 395, Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay, 396, James IV, &c, 400—Greene and Lodge's Looking Glasse for London and England, 402—Plays attributed to Greene in whole or in part George-a-Greene, the Pinner of Wakefield, 404, The First Part of the Tragicall Raugne of Selimus, 405—Greene as a victim of plagiarism, 407—His merits as a dramatist, 16

Thomas Lodge (1558 c-1625)

409-418

His life and literary labours, 409 — His plays The Wounds of Civil War, 416 — Plays written in collaboration with Greene, 417

THOMAS NASHE (1567-1601)

418-426

His life and non-dramatic writings, 418 — His controversial activity, 419, and non-controversial compositions, 421 — Impressions left by him upon his age, 422 — His dramatic works Summer's Last Will and Testament, 423, The Isle of Dogs, 425 — Nashe's genius not essentially dramatic, 426

PAGE HENRY CHETTLE (1564-1607 or ante) 426-430 His life and labours, 426 - Hoffman, 427, Patient Grissil, 428 ANTHON'S MUNDAY (1553-1633) 431-435 His life and labours, 431 -His plays Downfall of Robert Earl of Huntington, 432 - Chettle and Munday's Death of Robert Earl of Huntington, 433 - Munday and others' First Part of Sir John Oldcastle, 434 ROBERT WILSON (the younger?, # 1598) 435 ROBERT ARMIN (1570 c-1610 c) 435-436 MICHAEL DRAYTON (1563-1631) 436-438 His literary labours, 436 - His plays, 437 The term 'Shakspere's Predecessors' defined 438-439 Historical aspects of the period of Shakspere's Predecessors 439-449 • The great European struggle decided, 439 — Queen Elisabeth the incarnation of the national cause, 440 -General movement in literature, 442 - Classical and Italian influences still operating, 1b — The drama the main agent in nationalising Elisabethan literature, 444 - The greatness of the Elisabetilan drama not due to patronage. 1b - The favour of Queen Elisabeth, 445 - The requirements of the public and of the times, th - Peculiar conditions of the lives of the dramatists, 447 - The professions of playwright and actor in close contact, 448 - Results of the conditions of production upon the plays themselves, 449 Summary of the history of the stage in the earlier Elisabethan period 450-478 Influence of the patronage of the Queen, 450, and of the nobility, 451 - Academical and scholastic uses, 452 - Popular demand for dramatic entertainments, 455 - Dramatic performances in inn-yards, sb — The City and the stage, 456 — Earliest permanent theatres in London (from 1576 or 1577), 458 -Literary attacks upon the theatre, 16 Northbrooke, 459, Gosson, 16, Denham, 460, Stubbes, 1b, Whetstone, 46r, Rankine, 1b - The opposition to the stage not wholly due to Puritan feeling, ib - The stage on its defence, 462 — The Mar-Prelate Controversy, 16, Martin Mar-Prelate on the stage, 465 — Prohibitory and restrictive measures (1589), 466 -Danger of a degradation of the stage to controversial uses, 467 -Consolidation of the companies of actors, 468 - Mutual relations among the playwrights, 469 - Intercourse between the German and the English theatre, 471 - The externals of the stage, 475 -The theatrical public, 477 The measure of original genius in Shakspere's Predecessors, and the 478-486 progress effected by them Lyly, 478 — English dramatic literature before these writers, 479 -The preference of Shakspere's predecessors for heroic tragedy, 480, sameness and limitations of their tragic themes, ib, their

extravagance in treatment, 481, defective characterisation, 482, imperfect morality, 16—Summary of the advance achieved in tragedy, 483—Comedy, 484: dangers of a redundancy of witty

ENGLISH

DRAMATIC LITERATURE

CHAPTER I

THE ORIGIN OF THE ENGLISH DRAMA.

THE purpose of these volumes is to sketch the history of Scope and English Dramatic Literature from its beginnings to the limits of this work close of the reign of our last Stuart sovereign. It has at no time entered into my design to rewrite what for different sections of this period has been already written by more competent hands—the Annals of the English Stage 1.

But with reference both to the times before the Stuart Restoration, and to so much of those ensuing upon that transaction as falls within my limits; I shall seek to bear in mind the organic connexion between our dramatic literature and its proper vehicle of presentment—the national theatre Such contributions to our drama as seem unworthy of

¹ The late Mr. J Payne Collier lived to publish, in 1875, a second edition of his History of Dramatic Poetry and Annals of the English Stage (3 vols), first put forth in 1831 The proved fictitiousness of some of the statements contained in this book cannot deprive it of its general value for students of our drama, and I am bound once more to acknowledge my own numerous obligations, more especially in the earlier passages of the present work, to a writer whose name, for better and for worse, must remain inseparably connected with the records of this branch of English literature Mr F G Fleay's Chronicle History of the English Stage, 1559-1642 (1890), on the other hand, as of companion books by the same author, time may be trusted to digest some of the conclusions, without in any way impairing the credit due to single-minded candour and indefatigable research. Among other chronicles of the English theatre, Genest's latter day dramatic Fasti (Soure Account of the English Stage from 1660-1830, 10 vols, 1832) stand unrivalled as the consistent execution of a comprehensive scheme

a place in our literary history will accordingly be noticed only where they obviously illustrate particular tendencies, styles or fashions in the art to which it was their pretension to belong The period of the English drama which preceded its coalescence with the general progress of our literature will be treated as summarily as possible, while (not without regret) the attempt will be foregone to present even an outline of those later periods in which, taken as a whole, the efforts of our dramatic poets continued estranged from their legitimate means of exposition. Thus the question whether an estrangement which has been anything but uninterrupted is likely to prove permanent, cannot here be so much as discussed Within the limits indicated, however, there lies a field wide and varied, as it seems to me, beyond parallel This field I shall attempt to survey, so far as possible, in the order of chronological sequence, though with a certain allowance of freedom in the arrangement demanded by the mass of material Instead of seeking to lay down critical laws, I shall hope to make the foundations on which any laws of the kind must rest more plain and palpable to the students of the particular dramatic literature of which it is my purpose to treat Ben Jonson, rare among artists if only because he is almost as well worth listening to when he discusses the theory of his art as when he illustrates it in practice, observes with truth that 'before the grammarians or philosophers found out their laws, there were many excellent poets that fulfilled them 1' Code and actions stand in an inseparable relation to one another The continuous summary attempted in these pages will, it is hoped, help to show how the practice of our English dramatic writers evolved itself out of the relations between their individualities and the rational canons or conditions of the particular literary form within which their creations moved and had their being Neither, however, will my sketch pretend to ignore the successive relations of the dramatic to other contemporary branches or species of our national literature, and I should be false to the experience of a lifetime, were I to shrink from marking where it seems

ΙŢ

to call for notice the influence exercised upon our dramatic literature by the general progress of our national life and history, of which in its turn that literature has formed so memorable a part

The main source of the modern drama, of which the Main and English is a branch laden with fruit, lies outside the domain It springs, as indeed does that of the drama the sources of literature at large in so far as we are acquainted with its beginnings, from popular religious worship, and to trace this process of derivation in the instance of the English drama and of the Christian worship of our forefathers, must be the main task of the present chapter But the mistake of pushing a truth -or a theory founded on truth-too far may be avoided at the outset by remembering that other elements prepared the way for our English drama, or had a share in its early These were in part purely literary, in part at all events connected with literary pursuits or with the profession of literary accomplishments

subsidiary elements in of the Enghsh drama

Nothing that has had a real life in literature wholly dies Early Although it was not until a relatively advanced period of Christian dramas the history of the modein, including the English, drama based that the dramatic writings of classical antiquity came to exercise a direct influence upon it, a few stepping-stones classical lead across from the lingering reminiscences of the one to the unconscious beginnings of the other The early religious dramas based immediately upon classical examples are essentially literary efforts-things of the school, not of life There seems no necessity for reckoning almong these the pre-Christian 'Εξαγωγή (Exodus) of the Jewish poet Ezechiel (probably between 200 and 100 BC), for this dramatic version of the scriptural narrative of Moses leading the Chosen People out of Egypt, although written in Greek, is apparently not a direct imitation of any classical model 1 Coming to Christian times, we are met, from the fourth or fifth century onwards, by instances of dramatic compositions

directly upon models

¹ The fragments preserved by Eusebius and St Clement of Alexandria have been edited by Gaisford and Dübner, and the accepted critical view of the piece is that of J M Philippson's essay on Esechiel and Philo (Berlin, 1830). See Du Meril, Origines Latines du Theâtre Moderne (1849), 1. 2 note.

by Christian writers following classical examples. An Apollinaris, who has been rightly or otherwise identified with the heretical bishop of Laodicea (370 c), wrote tragedies and comedies modelled on Euripides and Menandei—in all probability for scholastic use ¹ They must have been of much the same cast as a celebrated extant work, the $X\rho\iota\sigma\tau\delta s$ $\pi\delta\sigma\chi\omega\nu$, and, indeed, Apollinaris was variously credited with the authorship of an earlier tragedy on the Passion of Christ, and with that of the work which has been actually preserved under that title But this latter has been more persistently attributed to St Gregory the Nazianzene, who died about 390 No more venerable and no more attractive figure is to be found among the Fathers of the Church than

'Blest Gregory, whose patriarchal height Shed o'er the eastern sphere celestial light?',

but the supposition seems untenable that he was the author of this well-known piece. It has also been assigned to another Gregory, called of Antioch, while John Tzetzes, who was active as a writer at Constantinople in the first quarter of the twelfth century, has been thought to have composed the epilogue, and further to have been author of the entire play. Its language and metrification are no doubt held to point unmistakeably to the period of the twelfth century as the time of its composition. But conjecture seems now to have settled preferentially upon Theodore Piodromos, a prolific Byzantine litterateur of the earlier part of the century, known in religion as Hilazion, as the author of the Xριστὸs πάσχων, which first became known to the Western world through its editio princeps, printed in Rome in 15423. The introductory lines, which profess to

¹ Welcker, Die griechischen Tragodien, &c. (Bonn, 1841), 111 1330, Dii Métil, u, s, 9 and note

¹² See Bishop Ken's Dedication of his *Hymns* It is noticeable that Ken, who leved to trace analogies between his own experiences and writings and those of the Father, makes no reference to the tragedy

^{*} The edition of J. G Brambs (Leipzig, 1885) contains, together with other useful matter, a long list of the passages and phiases borrowed by the author of the tragedy from Lycophron and Aeschylus, and above all from Euripides,

be written by 'Gregory the Divine,' state the object of the work to be to narrate, 'after the manner of Euripides,' the Passion which redeemed the world. The action of the play itself revolves round the figure, constant through the changes of surrounding scene, of the Virgin Mother of God A Chorus and Messengers take part in the Greek manner in the dialogue of this tragedy, bût, apart from the fact that it lacks the lyrical element, the expositions of the Divine $(\Theta\epsilon o\lambda \delta\gamma os)$ in the latter part of the piece show its aims to have been essentially didactic. In short, it is a thetorical exercise in Euripidean diction, animated by religious enthusiasm, but intended for the closet and not for the stage 1

These are the only Greek plays preserved to us in whole or in part, or remembered by name, as connecting the ancient classical with the modern religious drama. To what extent Greek classical tragedy continued to be performed in the public theatre even after the Christianisation of the Empire, is a question which may be left aside here ². By the side of the masterpieces of the Greek tragic drama Latin comedy, which was itself derived from the only species of Greek comedy admitting of transplantation from Greek soil ³, was thought capable of adaptation by early Christian writers. To the fourth century of our era (as the best authorities

¹ The Χριστὸς πάσχων must have suggested to Hugo Grotius something more than the title of his Christus Patiens (1617), but this tragedy, in which the Redeemer Himself is the starting-point as well as the central figure, is executed on independent lines. As to George Sandys' English version of the Christus Patiens, published in 1640, and as to Milton's idea of a drama on the same subject, see mfra, vol 11

² A Clytaemnestra is mentioned as dating from about the sixth century of our era, to which likewise belongs a curious early instance of a play with a political purpose—a 'tragedy' addressed to the Emperor Anastasius by the grammarian Timotheus of Gaza on the subject of a tax on industries called χρυσάργυρον Welcker, u s, 1331, Du Méril, u s, 10 note

³ The Ludus*septem Sapientium, attributed, apparently on unsatisfactory grounds, to the celebrated descriptive poet of the fourth century, D Magnus Ausonius, is passed by, as being, according to Teuffel, Geschichte der romischen Literatur (1870), p 872, 'a sort of a puppet-play, in which, after a Prologus and Ludius (actor), the Seven Wise Men in succession come on the stage and repeat their proverbs (Solon being the most long-winded), and in conclusion demand a Plaudite' As to the Delirus (the Idiot) of Accus Paulus nothing seems to be known.

erolus

seem to agree) belongs the *Querolus*, which, although in its Piologue distinctly announced as an adaptation of the *Aulularia* of Plautus, was pertinaciously fathered upon Plautus himself from the days of John of Salisbury to those of Salmasius This comedy, of course, conveys the familiar lesson of 'the biter bit' through an ingenious plots but, whether or not the influence of Christian sentiment be traceable in the merciful conception of the close of the action, there seems every indication that the work was composed for the closet only¹

omedies of Inotswitha

But of the Christian scholastic drama leaning (though in this instance ostensibly far more than in substance) upon classical Latin models, the most notable early examples are furnished by the 'comedies' of Hrotsvitha, the Benedictine nun of Gandersheim in Eastphalian Saxony The ancient religious foundation to which she belonged had been renewed in the middle of the ninth century by the ancestor of the great Saxon house to which the German kingdom owed its solid establishment and the Roman Empire its pretended restoration She lived herself in the latter part of the tenth century, and had a share of her own in the spiritual revival associated with this most memorable epoch of German history She sang the praises of Otto the Great, and commemorated the origines of the foundation over which several princesses of his house presided, although there is no proof of her own connexion with Ludolf's line The avowed object of her dramatic compositions, which as a matter of course were written in Latin, was to impart a fresh vitality to the traditions of the Christian Church by presenting them in the framework, with occasional reminiscences of the phraseology, of a classical author whose fame was still fresh. The endeavour to serve the ends of religion by the means of art was characteristic of the Order to which the pious Hrotsvitha belonged2, nor is it surprising that she should have had recourse to the particular writer whom

² The church-music of the Church of Rome is said by Southey (Life of Wesley, ii. 117) to be due to the Benedictines

¹ See the analysis in Klein, Geschichte des Dramas (Leipzig, 1865-1876), iii. 638-643; cf. Teuffel, 118-9

she professed to imitate It was the good fortune of Terence to lead a charmed life in the darkest ages of learning, through the course of which his works survived under the safe guardianship of monastic libraries 1 H1otsvitha, however, borrowed from Terence merely the general form of his plays, without adopting even his metre, while she both distinctly and of avowed purpose reversed the tendency of his plots Such an incident, e.g., as the conversion of Thais in her Pathnutius, would have been purely unintelligible to the The six plays of Hrotsvitha are dramatised Roman writer legends of Christian martyrdoms and miraculous conversions, nor can she be supposed to have pursued any design beyond that of conveying strong religious impressions by means of examples shining as brightly as the illuminations in her Breviary Where, as in her drama of Fides, Spes et Charitas, her characters bear abstract names, it is simply that the sentiments uttered by them specially illustrate their designations Deficient neither in literary ability noi in occasional pathetic power-and even, as in Dulcitius, condescending to an approach to farce-she displays an intuitive knowledge of dramatic effect which is under the circumstances singularly femarkable Whether she even consciously or unconsciously thought of the possibility of her plays being acted, it is idle to conjecture 2, as a matter of fact they were doubtless read aloud or recited by the nuns of her convent, very likely on occasions appropriate to their particular themes, but most assuredly without any anticipatory design of educational Terentian or quasi-Terentian performances 3.

¹ This fact was noted by Joseph Hunter in his treatise on English Monastic Libraries (1831) Hrotsvitha herself says —

Sunt etiam

Qui, licet alia gentilium spernant,

Terentu tamen fragmenta frequentius lectitant'

It was remarked of the famous Archbishop Bruno, the brother of Otto the Great, that when as a youth he read the comedies of Terence, he never smiled at the laughable passages, his attention being wholly absorbed by the beauty of the form Cf Giesebrecht, Geschichte der deutschen Kaiserzeit, 1 322

² As du Méril points out, p 19, Hrotsvitha accumulates the most difficult problems of stage-business as well as the most revolting situations to such a degree as to render any such supposition highly improbable

a Hrotsvitha's comedies, after being edited with most of her other works

ne monasliterary ama of e*tenth id eleventh nturies

Such convents as Gandersheim were anything but isolated from contact with the outer world, and the example of Hrotsvitha could haidly fail to become known and to be followed Apait from unauthenticated rumour as to the existence of Old-Frisian monastic comedies at an even earlier date (ninth century), there is every reason for concluding that the comedies of Hrotsvitha by no means remained a solitary phenomenon Insufficient attention has perhaps been paid, in broader surveys of the history of European civilization, to the simultaneous revival of classical study and religious life in the middle of the tenth century The centre of this movement was the school at the Emperor's Court, an institution of Charles the Great restored by Archbishop Bruno of Cologne, Duke of Lothaiingia, under the protection of his brother Otto the Great, and hence it spread through the monastic schools of the Empire on either side of the Rhine 1 It was the age when German kings once more dreamt of a world-empire consecrated by the Church, and the tendencies encouraged by both powers rapidly communicated themselves to neighbouring lands. Thus the Benedictine monk Notker Labeo (who died in 1022), the most celebrated teacher of the school belonging to the monastery of St Gallen, enumerates among the works 'expounded' or edited by him, apparently in a mixture of the original and the vernacular tongues, the Andria of Terence 2. He can hardly have failed to impait a Christian

by the celebrated humanist Conrad Celtes in 1501, and by H L Schurzsleisch in 1707, have been translated into French by A Magnin in 1845 (with Introduction and Notes), and into German by Bendixen in 1858 Afi ample analysis of her comedies will be found in Klein, in 648-754 Her works were published in a complete edition by K A Barack (Nürnberg, 1858) As to J Aschbach's attempt to prove her works forgenes, refuted by R. Koepke, cf Wattenbach, Deutschland's Geschichtsquellen im Mittelalter, fifth edition 1885, 1 314 note As to her connexion with the general activity in the ecclesiastical world of Saxony to which she belonged, see O. V Heinemann, Geschichte von Braunschweig und Hanntuer, 1 152 seqq Hallam directed the attention of English readers to her in the first chapter of his Literature of Europe At the beginning of A. Cohn's Shakespeare in Germany (1865) the inevitable Shakesperaan parallels are suggested to certain passages in her comedies A Terentius Christianus, utpote Comeediis Sacris transformatus, was published at Cologne 1592

See Giesebrecht, Geschichte der deutschen Kaiserzeit, 1. 329

² See Meyer von Knonau's notice of this Notker (to be distinguished

colouring to his 'exposition', although there may have been other ecclesiastics who, anticipating the spirit of the Renascence rather than following that of their own age, made no attempt to utilize their adaptations or imitations of classical examples for a religious end 1.

With the Norman Conquest the literary tendencies and Possible impulses to which I have adverted very possibly found their of the way across the sea, and as the English monasteries soon monastic began to be filled with French, it would be no violent England assumption to suppose that Latin religious dramas treating of the legends of saints and martyrs, after the fashion of Hrotsvitha's comedies, should likewise have found their way there. The recitation of these plays, from which to their performance the step, whenever it was first taken, was easy enough, would in the first instance find its natural place, as it had at Gandersheim or at St Gillen, in the educational life of the children committed to the care of the religious foundations Thus the legends of the patronsaints of boys and girls, St Nicholas and St Catharine, might a priori be expected to have met with the predilection which in the case of the former they are known to have commanded² A possible genesis, to say the least,

migration

from the earlier Balbulus Notker, the author of the 'Sequences,' who taught at the same school in Allgemeine deutsche Biographie, vol xxiv

¹ Thus, in the twelfth century, Vitalis Blesensis (of Blois) reproduced in elegiac verse the substance of the Querolus, already mentioned, and of the Amphitruo of Plautus Teuffel, u s, 118-9 The same writer was probably the author of the Comoedia Bubionis, a purely literary effort in Latin distichs, but dramatic in form This, together with his comic narrative poem of the Geta, is printed in Wright's Early Mysteries and other Latin Poems of the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries,

² Geoffrey's contemporary and compatriot Hilarius, to whose liturgical mysteries reference will be made below, wrote a Ludus super Iconia S Nicolai, which ten Brinck, ii 247, describes as exhibiting altogether the character of a scholastic drama Though in certain respects resembling the more elaborate productions of its author, it is in fact little more than a dramatic anecdate, and certainly less inspiring than any of those expounded by Hrotsvitha. Not less than four of the religious plays, in the Orleans MS. occupy themselves with the miracles of St Nicholas, but although the MS, belongs to the thirteenth century, the plays which are of monastic origin and display a smattering of scholastic learning, were probably written in the twelfth See A. W Pollard, English Miracle Plays, Moralines and Interludes (1890), Introd. xvii All of these plays, together with Hilarius' version of the story treated by one of them, are printed ap. du Méril, 254 seqq

accordingly suggests itself for the Ludus de S Katharina, to be again mentioned below, which the Norman Geoffiey, afterwards Abbot of St Albans, caused to be represented at Dunstable some time before the year 1110, and which is the earliest play of any kind known by name to have been acted in England This play is indeed usually held to have been written in French, but I must confess myself still unconvinced by the arguments that have been advanced in favour of this supposition course conceivable that vernacular refrains were mixed with a Latin text 1 As to the general character of this play of St Catharine, it is true that Matthew Paris, writing about the middle of the thirteenth century, classes it with the miracle-plays 'commonly so called' of his own day, but he is unlikely to have intended any precise definition. That 'choral copes' were borrowed for the purposes of the performance, is hardly decisive of its character, more to the purpose, if a seventeenth-century statement could be considered authoritative, would be the dictum of Bulaeus, the historian of the University of Paris, that the production was in accordance with University custom. The circumstance that Geoffrey was at the time only expectant of clerical office, adds to the uncertainty of the nature of the play which he put forth or brought out In any case, we do not possess this crucial Ludus de S Katharina, and are therefore unable to determine whether it was a belated specimen of the literary monastic drama, or whether is was already cast in the broader mould of the popular miracle-plays, of which several Latin examples are extant from the same century2

¹ As in some of the plays of Hilarius, and in an early German religious play of about the same period on the subject of St Mary Magdalene Wülcker has suggested (in a review of the first edition of this book) yet another possibility; viz that 'the play' was merely a pamtomime, intended as an accompaniment to the reading aloud of the legend

² See Collier, 11. 56, note There appears to have been an old French Misters de Sainte Catherine, of quite uncertain date. As to the legend of St. Catharine and its popularity in the Middle Ages see Jusserand, Histoire Littéraire du Peuple Anglais, des Origines a la Renaissance (Paris, 1894), 477 and note. Among the aftergrowths of what I have called the literary monastic drama to be found in Anglo-Norman literature may perhaps

17

While it would be useless to speculate further on the probable character of an extinct effort, and wholly futile to dogmatise on a merely alternative solution of the problem which the mention of it suggests, one inference may safely be drawn from the preceding data. The religious drama may have been to some extent cultivated in our English monasteries during the period succeeding upon the Norman Conquest as a growth directly traceable to the influence of Greek and Roman literature That influence, as excited in the present connexion, cannot at the most be regarded as other than altogether subsidiary, but even so the fact is not to be overlooked, that it was precisely the class to whose fostering care the actual beginnings of our popular drama will hereafter be shown to have been due,—viz the ecclesiastics—which had not altogether lost sight of the examples of dramatic compositions handed down to them from the literatures of ancient Greece and Rome.

It would be misleading to suggest that in our English No English literature before the Norman Conquest there existed any dramatic impulses or tendencies which might have met half- before the way such isolated influences of the study of classical models Conquest as have been described above. The dialogue often forms the first step towards the drama 1, but no application of this proposition is possible with regard to the dialogueliterature which has come down to us from the so-called Anglo-Saxon times, whether the works comprised in it are translated or (more or less) original When King Alfred interpreted for his people the lofty wisdom of the Consolation of Philosophy of Boethius, his object was purely didactic, in the highest sense of the term This famous book is an argumentative colloquy, interspersed, after a fashion which peculiarly commended it to our English ancestors, with quasi-lyrical passages, the personages carrying on the

dramatic literature

be included the two allegorical dramas of 'Guillaume' Herman and 'Etienne' Langton, referred to below in another connexion For other Latin plays of the same description see Wright, u. s

1 See below, on the growth of comedy, more especially in Italy and in England As to more primitive times, M Jusserand, us, p 13, has well brought out the dramatic element in early Irish poetry, while showing, p 77, the absence of it from the Anglo-Saxon dialogues

dialogue are, with the exception of the author himself, abstractions-Wisdom, the Reason and the Mind Dialogues of Gregory the Great, which at the wise king's behest Bishop Weifiith of Worcester abridged in a veinacular version, the recital of the legends of Italian saints finally tapered off into an elaboration of the doctrine of Purgatory. Nor is there any dramatic element in either of the two fragments of a poem on Christ and Satan which used to be regarded as forming an integral part of Cædmon's Paraphrase. The earlier of these, indeed, I only mention, because a special treatment of its theme (the descent of Christ into hell), taken from the apocryphal Gospel of Nicodemus, played so important a part in the mysterydrama 1. The much briefer fragment attached to it adds a species of anecdote to the dialectical episode of the temptation of Christ by Satan Again, the very curious series of dialogues between Salomon and Saturnus, of which the origin seems traceable to ancient Scandinavian usage, proceed no further than a contention—an alternation of question and answer, or assertion and counter-assertion, between the representative of biblical wisdom and the mouthpiece of old-world love or mother-wit 2 So, too, in the Anglo-Saxon version of the theme which in Middle-English literature appears under the well-known designation of The Debate of the Body and the Soul there is really no debate at all, but rather a mixture of simple narrative and apostrophe 3. Even the Anglo-Saxon Passion of St. George,

¹ This fragment is not in dialogue Even a much later poem on the same subject, belonging to the reign of Edward II and probably written some time after the theme had been dramatically treated as a mystery, is described, as 'not a dramatic piece, but a mere poem in dialogue' (Wright, Introduction to Chester Plays, Shakesp Soc Publ, 1843, p xiv See also Reliquiae Antiquae, 1 253; and cf. ten Brinck, u s, 1 111, 11 251;

² Saturn here takes the place of Marculf, the usual Teutonic champion in these wit-combats, who also appears as! Malcon or Marcol in Old French popular literature, and is cited by Rabelais See Jusseiand, us, 77 note

s See The Departed Soul's Address to the Body in J M Kemble's Postry of the Coden Vertellensis, with an English Translation (printed for the Ælfric Society, 1843). In Part I (The Condemned Soul) the Soul addresses the Body, which can return to it no answer, consolation, or comfort; in Part II (The Blessed Soul) the vessel of clay, which long ago, bore the now emancipated spirit, remains likewise mute

lively as is the combination of relation and dialogue presented in it, can at the most be regarded as having fostered traditions afterwards utilised for a popular miracleplay, without really containing any dramatic elements of These instances must suffice in illustration of the futility of straying into any attempt at searching for dramatic beginnings where they are not to be found.

Retracing our steps once more, we may think it worth The relics while to enquire whether any other influences survived from of the Roman the ancient world which, though not in themselves constitu- stage ting the origin of the modern drama, or of the English branch of it, were yet of a nature sensibly to affect them in the beginnings of their growth . Now, it is well known that in the history of the Roman stage we have to distinguish between two lines of developement—the one native, the other largely foreign and artificial The latter, which to all intents and purposes is alone represented in the Latin dramatic literature handed down to us, was, like the body of that literature at large, borrowed from the Greeks. It is doubtful whether at any time the reproductions or imitations of Greek tragedy among the Romans secured the favour of more than a small cultivated minority, it is, for instance, still an open question whether the tragedies of Seneca were represented at all, if they were, it can only have been fashion which gave them a passing vogue On the other hand, the praetextae, which treated themes of national historical interest, seem in all other respects to have followed the Greek model, and not to be really distinguishable as a separate literary species As a matter of fact, already in the latter days of the Republic the multitude (including, according to Horace, even the knights in the stalls) could only be reconciled to tragedy by the introduction of that species of accessories which in our own times have established themselves as an integral part of any important theatrical 'production.' At Rome there was no tragic drama capable of sustaining itself enduringly with or

I It was edited for the Percy Society (vol xxviii) by the late Archdeacon Hardwick.

without such adjuncts 1 In the early days of the Empire tragedy was easily dissolved into the two elements of choial music and pantomimic action, and on its fiagile ruins the bantomime, a species of ballet of action to the elaboration of which 'every art and science' contributed their refinements 2, established itself as a class of entertainment favoured by both the masses and their masters Greek comedy, 1 e the New Comedy of Menander and his school, with which we are acquainted in the versions of Plautus and Terence, survived more honourably both in Rome and in the provinces, it is praised by faint blame in a work of St. Augustine at the beginning of the fifth century, and it thus, as has been already seen, furnished some sort of literary link between the ancient and the mediaeval world. But both tragedy and comedy are to be regarded as essentially the diversions of cultivated Romans The popular dramatic appetite of the Italian capital had long fed with greater relish upon diamatic entertainments of native, or at least neighbouring origin Probably those farces which combined pantomime, dance, and music with humorous dialogue, and were termed Saturae or mixtures, were of Etruscan origin. With them were united the Fabulas Atellanas, which came from Campania, and, originally improvisations, were introduced into literature in the early part of the first century B. C. These were distinguished by their four established characterfigures, which have survived to this day in the popular Italian comedy 8 Another species, apparently more peculiar to the town, was the Mimus, which, like the Atellana, took its figures from common life, but had no established These popular farces were at all times the characters favourite dramatic entertainment of the Romans, whom they delighted by their vigour, vulgarity, and obscenity, while constant opportunity was found in them for that

¹ Its extinction was, however, more gradual than is perhaps sometimes supposed. Cf. Welcker, Die griechischen Tragodien, in 1466 seog

See Gibbon's Decline and Fall, ch xxxi.

³ The Italian farsa is the origin of the commedia dell' arts of the sixteenth century, as to the influence of which on our English comedy I shall have something to say below. The quays of Naples remain to the present time a favourite summer-evening haunt of Arlecchino.

licence of speech which, in spite of law and government, tempered the despotism of nearly all the Caesars

In the days of the close of the Republic, and of the early Mimes and Empire, the vastness of the Roman theatres, as well as the strollers diversity of nationality which was beginning to characterise the Roman population, made it necessary to devise entertainments suitable for large masses of spectators, and at the same time adapted to the craving for mere enjoyments of the eye The circus had at all times, and the amphitheatre had since its establishment, outvied the theatre in popularity as they exhibited a constantly increasing variety of spectacles, processions, and contests by land and water, their attractions more and more superseded those of the theatre proper, which in its turn came to supplement its waning attractions by every species of illegitimate intermezzo. The ribald jests of Atellanes and mimes, and the lascivious charms of the pantomimes, were not enough to feed an endless appetite for amusement, and it had to be gratified, in addition, by 'crowds of rope-dancers, conjurors, boxers, clowns, and posture-makers, men who walked on their heads, or let themselves be whirled aloft by machinery, or suspended upon wires, or who danced on stilts, or exhibited feats of skill with cups and balls1' Nor was the degradation of tastes inevitably produced by such entertainments confined to the public theatre, Roman supper-tables were enlivened by similar exhibitions, as a relief to the recitations by which the guests had to allow themselves to be fatigued, or to the conversation which they must not unfrequently have found it difficult to maintain at a high level of interest. when politics were dangerous, and when philosophy and wit had alike taken flight from the couches round the overladen board.

In short, the decay of the Roman theatre, and the degraded character of the body of the dramatic or quasidramatic amusements which survived this decay, are

¹ Quoted from Merivale's History of the Romans under the Empire, v 67; where see a curious passage from Bulenger, De Theatro Further details, together with a general review of the Roman entertainments of the days of the Empire, and of the decay of the Roman drama, will be found in Friedlander's Sittengeschichte Roms (1864), 11. 125-396

abundantly attested for the whole period of the Empire. The history of Roman pantomime connects itself both glaringly and grotesquely with that of the Imperial Court from Nero to Theodora, while from among the subjects of the Caesars luxury, lust, and licence attracted to the pantomimic stage generations of votaries, and were stigmatised as its shame by the Fathers of the Christian Chuich 1 though pantomime gradually ceased to flourish as a diversion of State, its traditions as well as those of the humbler mimes were carried on by a class of performers which is of its nature indestructible The strolling mimes conveyed the last, and probably some of the worst, reminiscences of the Roman acting diama, across the period of those Great Migrations which changed the face of the Western world In the fifth century we meet with a condemnation of histriones, mimi, and joculatores by an ecclesiastical council before this, not only actors of all kinds, but also persons addicted to 'theatromania,' had been excluded by the Church from her benefits The judicial system of the Frankish empire analogously refused the exercise of public rights to histriones and nugatores among other classes of persons whom it branded as viles and infames 2 Yet the ciaving for theatrical entertainments of a popular description continued to evoke a supply in the face of Church canons and national laws, and in defiance even of that occasional apathy in high places which professiofial art may be excused for regarding as 'the most unkindest cut of all 8 '

Here and there, remnants of ancient heathen religious rites may have survived among both Celtic and Teutonic nations, which partook of the nature of what were afterwards known as pageants or masques, and which accordingly

For an anthology of such anathemas see du Méril, u s, 7-8, and notes The keynote of invective was struck by Tertullian, whose treatise D_c Spectaculis (second century) set the example, followed by many subsequent assistants of the stage, of ignoring all distinctions of either time or kind.

² See R. Sohm, Die Fränkische Reichs- und Gerichtsverfassung, (1871), 354 note.

It is related of Lewis the Pious, that he never raised his voice in laughter, not even when at festivals there appeared for the enjoyment of the people, 'thympic, scurzae at mim?' Klein, in 635 Cf ib iv, 104, 11, 665.

contained possibilities of dramatic development. But these phenomena either belong to the boundless field of comparative mythology, or are too isolated to bear any solid superstructure The activity of the strolling mimes, on Mimes the other hand, which more especially concerns us here, must inevitably have been so multitudinously varied in character as to defy either classification or record the pride of the true popular entertainer to be all things to all men, to intensify and enhance every element of excitement or diversion which the efforts of voice, face, or limbs can furnish by means of any adventitious aid which ingenuity can suggest or to which experience can impart an additional The joculatores, the successors of the mimes, whose Joculatores name they occasionally bore and whose custom of shaving the head they perpetuated, were therefore in nature and purpose Protean The designation may be understood as including reciters, singers, musicians, dancers, posture-makers, buffoons, and actors of every description, and doubtless several or all of these characters were frequently united in a single person According to the nature of their accomplishments, or to the frequency of their appearance, these entertainers would be welcome among high and low, at the court and in the castle, in the market-place and on the village-green

But as these perennial purveyors of amusement came to associate themselves with particular countries, and in the course of time, prompted by occasion or genius, sought to gratify higher as well as lower recreative demands, then efforts gradually fell into more distinctive forms, and the appellations bestowed upon them began to assume more specific meanings 1. In Rome itself histriones and thymelici appear to have survived into a period—the twelfth century -in which no mention yet occurs of any beginnings of the

VOL. I.

¹ Du Méril, u s., pp 26 seqq, has some interesting observations on the literary elements traceable in some of the performances of these popular entertainers The general nature of the process whereby the art of acting was transmitted to the early Middle Ages from the Roman Empire is well indicated in the Mémoire sur les jeux scéniques des Romains in vol. h of Œuvres Completes de Duclos (Paris, 1806), which also furnishes a graphic account of the decay of the Roman stage.

The jongleurs in France before the Norman Conquest of England,

Christian religious drama in the Eternal City 1 to which for our purpose it will now suffice to confine our attention, the literary tastes of the higher classes had by the eleventh century taken two principal directions—in the North that of epical, in the South that of lyrical song The age was an age of wais Its social system everywhere asserted the personal tie, in default of what was in time to become the bond of the nation of the state Furthermore, the ideas of chivalry had established an artificial code, consciously devised for imposing self-restraint during the pursuit of the two passions which animated the lives of men-love and fighting Under these influences flourished the poetry of the troubadours and the trouveres The home of the former was Provence, where the chief business of the *longleurs* (another form of the term *joculatores*) was to accompany with music and song the expressions of sentiment habitual to the masters who had taken them into their employ Normandy, on the other hand, and in the North of France generally, the trouvères found themselves called upon to sing their chansons de geste, commemorative primarily of deeds of war Successful skill in this direction required a special and in time an elabofate training; and the names of trouvères, gestours 2, and jongleurs became interchangeable as more or less professional designations. And both here and afterwards in England the custom arose of great personages employing such craftsmen or artists of their own, who, being chosen from or enrolled among the members of their own households, were called by the general name implying this relation, though not necessarily indicating a status of unfreedom 3 The name of menestrels (ministerrales) was however, it would seem, only occasionally applied to this class of skilled performers in France. At times they evidently enjoyed considerable regard and a

¹ See F. Gregorovius, Das römische Passionsspiel, &c, in Kleine Schriften zur Geschichte und Cultur, ni (1892) 177.

^{&#}x27;Of all manner of ministrales
And jestours, that tellen tales
Both of weeping and of game' House of Fame, in. 571-3.
Cf. The Rims of Sir Thopas

³ See Waitz, Deutsche Verfassungsgeschichte, n. 152.

recognized position, indeed, it is quite possible that the intimate relation between the Norman dukes and barons and their *jongleurs* may be traceable to an ancient Scandinavian origin, for the duty of the *skald* had been to sing the war-like deeds of his chief.

It is easy to understand how of these jongleurs considerable numbers came to seek and to enjoy a licensed liberty. which may be supposed to have not unfrequently grown into a liberty without the license, of wandering from castle to castle, and of occasionally displaying their skill to less exclusive audiences outside the gates or at the foot of the Here they must at times have, in more senses than one, fallen into the ways of those humbler kinds of entertainers who had survived as remnants of an earlier age, and who are nowhere likely to have been more numerous and more tenacious of their habits than in countries which had been so long and so thoroughly romanised. The itinerants in their tuin had, no doubt, occasionally gained admission to the castles, where more ribaldorum they had furnished facile opportunities of amusement The two classes of entertainers had characteristics in common, and although the distance was wide between the favoured dependant who sat at his lord's board and accompanied him into the field, to share with him the danger and the honour of his warlike exploits, and the stroller who amused high and low in their hours of relaxation, yet it was a distinction bridged over by many intermediates. The best illustration of the sort of confusion which prevailed is to be found in the intermixture of names The renowned Taillefer, who which ceitamly ensued furnished a treble prelude to the fight at Senlac-of songs, of a juggling trick, and of self-sacrificing intrepidity,-is by one of the chroniclers who recount his heroic death

¹ I have not thought it worth while to enquire into the possibilities as to some notion of this relation having been imported from the same source into England before the Norman Conquest. In Beowulf the gleeman who narrates the great actions of the past in a solemn and religious strain is the associate of the warriors whom he addresses, afterwards we find the scop ranking at the court of his king or at other courts, where he appears on his wanderings as an honoured guest. The songs of the Anglo-Saxon gleeman are epical, stabile sections of the existing body of national legend sung by him to an epical instrument (the glee-beam).

mentioned under designations which in the mouths of churchmen were traditional terms of opprobrium 1

and in England after the Conquest

The Norman Conquest brought into England a wide and heterogeneous variety of novel visitois, and they all came to stay The Norman chivalry were accompanied by their poets-the jongleurs or trouvères-by whom not only new forms but a new spirit of composition was introduced into this land, and through whom and whose imitatois among English-born singers the character of our epical and lyrical literature was largely changed, although its native features were neither wholly destroyed nor in some instances even The process was a very gradual one, it occupied obscured over three centuries, and even then remained only partial But the conquering expedition likewise in 1ts effects 2 included a motley crew of adventurers from all parts of what is now France, and from adjacent territories⁸, and stragglers of this description no doubt continued to follow in the wake of the immigrations which ensued after the victory The mental diversions of Messires Boutevilain and Trussebot cannot have ordinarily lain in the direction of the 'chansons de Karlemaine è de Rollant,' which Tailleser had sung 'before the dukes' Thus, if the simple strains of the gleeman that had formerly been heard in the house where the English lord sat with his thegas gathered round him were now succeeded by the songs of the minstiel in the castle of the Norman baron-neither need we doubt but that vagrant entertainers of a less select class likewise found their way into the hall on the hill, after affably pausing at its foot to furnish a taste of their quality to less discuminating audiences. And not unfrequently in England, as in France.

In Thierry's picturesque phrase, 'tous les enfans perdus de l'Europe

occidentale."

^{1 &#}x27;Histrio, cor audax mmum quem nobihtabat', and again,

^{&#}x27;Incisor-ferri mimus cognomine dictus.'
(Guy of Amiens) See Freeman's Norman Conquest, in 478, note

This is not the place in which to enquire whether some of the conclusions on this head advanced in the brilliant volume by M. Jusserand already cited require modification. I rather direct attention to the passages in which he speaks of the continued treatment of their accustomed subjects by the French jongleurs in England, and of the imitation of them by English ministrels, even when treating native themes. See pp. 146, 244 éagq.

it may have from the eleventh century onwards been frequently a matter of difficulty, or of indifference, to pronounce to which of the two classes any particular minstrel belonged

As a matter of course, during the reigns of our Norman and Angevin kings at all events, the connexion between the two countries and their baronages was too close for the minstielsy, high or low, of the one to diverge altogether in its developement from that of the other Neither, however, was there anything like parallelism between the two growths, and the difference between them reflects itself very notably in the history of the beginnings of the French and of the English drama respectively. In France the literary activity Then influof the jongleurs induced them, as early at least as the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, to follow the example of the monks nings of in composing plays on sacred themes, such as had already in the eleventh been produced by clerical authors kind, for instance, was the activity of Rutebeuf, who from the life of a wandering jongleur or miscellaneous entertainer rose to secure to himself a place among the poets and moralists of his country The numerous works of this versatile genius include a typical example of the satirical 'debate' of the period-a species verging under such treatment as his upon the vivacity of a diamatic scene, although not admitting of being called a drama in miniature 1. But they also comprise Le Miracle de Théophile, a dramatic attempt on a religious subject familiar to Hrotsvitha and other early mediaeval writers, and ending with an orthodox 'Te Deum laudamus 2.' But while the literary ambition of the French jongleurs early addressed itself to the dramatic treatment of such a theme as this, the popular performances of their strolling brethren had likewise never ceased to be carried on with a vigorous persistence and, attaching themselves to the comic usages of popular festivals, in their turn gave rise to early attempts of an unmistakeably dramatic nature. From the popular jeux 3 which heightened the fun of the fêtes de

ence upon the beginthe drama

¹ The famous Desputison du Crossif et du Descrossié, in which the rather cynical common-sense of the Non-Crusader is intended to come off best.

² Histoire Litteraire de la Francé, xx. 775-7. I shall have occasion for returning to this 'play' below. 3 These jeux must be distinguished from the jeux-parties or partures of the

l'ane and similar jollifications, were derived the first farces of the Basoche and the sotties of the enfans sans souci, whence French comedy in its turn derived some of its constituent Thus from an early date religious and profane elements 1 plays, as it were, kept pace with one another in the history of the French diama, and two further facts explain themselves? which it is beyond my purpose to seek further to elucidate in this place. First, the early and active cultivation of the religious drama in France was by no means wholly owing to clerical hands, and, again, the French stage as early as the thirteenth century almost entirely emancipated itself from dependence on the Church The absence of a common national consciousness capable of exciting a commanding interest in secular actions and heroes may help to explain the monopoly long enjoyed by the sacred drama of themes such as could engage the nobler sympathies of the people at large But the contemporary dramatic performances which pursued a less elevated aim were from an early date equally successful after their kind, and thus the history of the

North (of which Rutebeul's Crusader and Non-Crusader may serve as an example), called tensons in Provence, which are merely satirical poems in dialogue form Histoire Litteraire, &c., xx. 657

1 See Ebert, Entwicklungsgeschichte der franzos Tragodie, 20, Klein, iv 24, Hagenbach, Kurchengeschichte, 111 414 The lay Brotherhood of the Passion performed mysteries The moralities of the clercs de la Basoche (1 e Basilica) were their serious, the farces their humorous plays From the latter are to be distinguished the sotties, which were entirely saturical, and in form largely allegorical (See for abundant examples of the last three species vols 1-in of Viollet le Duc's Ancien Théâtre Français) The species were often interchanged between the several associations (Arnd, Geschichte der französ Nationalliteratur, 1 221) The burlesquing of religious rites, which was so popular in France, and which seems traceable to a Byzantine origin, was also carried on occasionally in England See Jusserand, us. 466 seqq, where is quoted the letter of Bishop Robert Grosteste, prohibiting the celebration of the 'Feast of Fools' on the Feast of the Circumcision in his cathedral—a prohibition afterwards extended to his whole diocese Of this mock-feast traces are said to be discoverable as late as the reign of Henry IV. about which time it is supposed to have been abolished The well-known ceremony of the election of a Boy-Bishop, whose reign lasted from St. Nicholas' to Innocents' Day (December 6 to 28), was practised in schools as well as in parishes, and in the former survived to the Reformation period. See Hone, Ancient Mysteries Described 'The Mass of the Drunkards' (Wright's Reliquiae Antiquae, it 208) was probably a mere literary squib. The ribaldry of mock-litanies will never cease to find a grateful public, so long as there remains a religious sentiment to deride.

French drama became, and long continued to be, a record of a competition or struggle between associations of players severally representing its serious and its comic side 1

On the English side of the Channel, different conditions The led to different results It has been already said that the ministrels: England Norman Conquest brought into this country the minstrels. as the jongleurs from Normandy and Northein France were here more usually called, and that this designation included, together with the authors and singers of romantic veise, the miscellaneous entertainers with whom even at home they were largely intermixed and in consequence freely confounded In the eyes and to the ears of the English population the two classes gradually came to be regarded as a single class or profession 2 To what extent and by what processes some sort of relation established itself between the Norman singers and the remaining representatives of native English song, is wholly unknown, very probably before long, and more especially after English University life had begun, the wandering clerks, with their sufficient Latin and ready ear, proved the most effective intermediaries of literary as well as of social communica-Musicians, dancers, and fortune-makers stood less in tion 3.

¹ Cf P Albert, La Litterature Française des Origines au XVII^{me} Siecle, p 69, where an effective contrast is drawn with the intimate relations between the national epos and the national tragedy of ancient Greece One or two French mysteries on subjects taken from secular literature are, however, mentioned by Ebert, u s, p 33 From the closing period of the Middle Ages dates a Mistere du siege d'Orleans, on which a monograph has

been published by F Guescard ² In Piers Plowman (Passus), Activa Vita, to prove himself not a true minstrel says

'Ich can not tabre ne trompe ne telle faire geste's

ne fithelen at festes ne harpen, Japen ne Jogelen ne gentilliche pipe,

Nother sailen [dance] ne sautrien ne singe with the giterne' This is a very similar list of accomplishments to that cited by Jusserand, 160 note, from the tale Des deux bordeors rivaux

> 'Je sais contes, je sais fabliaux, Je sais conter beaux dits nouveaux Je sais [bien] jouer des couteaux Et de la corde et de la fronde, Et de tous les beaux jeux du monde Je sais bien chanter a devis, Du roi Pepin de Saint-Denis . De Charlemagne et de Roland,' &c, &c

⁸ Ten Brinck, 1 242, 379-80

need of go-betweens to secure the applause of any kind of public, and in time they must have without effort absorbed fragments of the native population into their elastic fraternity

Whatever influence was exercised upon the beginnings of the English diama by the minstrels, must have been more or less in proportion to their rate of progress in becoming part of the life-literary and social-of the English people It seems to follow that for some time after the Conquestand it was within this period that the beginnings of our drama fell-this influence could not be exerted at the same rate by the two classes of minstiels which at the time of their first introduction into the country it is still possible to distinguish It might indeed be supposed, that when in the middle of the twelfth century John of Salisbury, discoursing on the idle pursuits of courtiers, condemned totam istam joculatorum scenam, and declared that the Holy Sacrament should be refused to histriones and mimi, he meant to include both the higher and the lower description of minstiels in the same anathema as actors on some soit of stage But it is extremely doubtful whether this very learned clerk intended any reference whatever to dramatic performances or performers of his own day and country 1.

he beginings of the inglish rama unmnected nth the igher lass of unstrels, It would accordingly be futile to search in the remains of Anglo-Norman literature, whether composed in Fiench or in Latin, for any links connecting it with the beginnings of the English drama properly so called. As a matter of course, those productions cannot be here taken into account which themselves formed part of the early efforts of the liturgical drama in France, and may thus have indirectly affected the growth of the same species in England. Among these the remarkable compositions, belonging to the earlier half of the twelfth century, of Hilarius, a monk of English descent, who though resident in France kept up, as some of his lighter poems show, a correspondence with Englishmen, will be

¹ See Wright, Introduction to Chester Plays, p. vi, and cf Henry Morley, English Writers, 1. 599 'The world of his own day did not concern John of Salisbury, when he sat pen in hand When he talks of writers and plays, it soon appears that his mind is upon Plautus and Terence.' Indeed, he asserts that tragic and comic actors came to an end with tragic and comic poets. (Cf. the passage cited by Du Meril, p. 24, note)

described in their proper place a little further on And I may similarly postpone a notice of the two religious plays written respectively by the Anglo-Norman trouvère Guillaume Herman, and by the Paris doctor Étienne (Stephen) Langton, afterwards renowned as Archbishop of Canterbury They date from the latter part of the same century, but while on the one hand, like one of the plays of Hilarius already mentioned, they share some of the features of the literary religious drama which I have already discussed, on the other their general conception and treatment recall the moralities of which the genesis will be traced below. In the general current of Anglo-Norman literature we can at the most discern a not unfrequent dramatic upple upon the wave; in accordance with the undying tendency of French song, it abounds in those satirical tendencies which in Anglo-Saxon literature had only here and there manifested themselves 1 Gradually the dialogues, disputations, or estrefs which found so much favour in the Norman castles came to be from

1 Ten Brinck, ii 307 -In Wright's Anglo Latin Lyncal Poets of the Twelfth Century (1872) I perceive no reference to dramatic representations, and (with the exception perhaps of the allegorical figures in the Liber Alam de Planctu Naturae) nothing that calls up any reminiscences of the early There is no dramatic element in any of the writings of the witty In the slight dialogue between Norman barons (printed in Walter Map Reliquiae Antiquae, 1 134, from a MS dating from about 1300) there is nothing which can fairly be called dramatic. I am not acquainted with all the literature mentioned by Klein, iv 105, and Jusserand, 459 seqq, but so far as my knowledge extends, the same remark holds true of it Thus, although in its versions from the thirteenth century onwards the Debate between the Body and Soul passes from the form of address into that of dialogue, it is not on that account any more of a drama (In the French Debat du Corps et de l'Âme (Ancien Theâtre Français, ili 325-336) an 'Acteur' narrates the action springing from the dialogue) Nor can I conceive of its having been, in accordance with Klein's conjecture as to these dialogues, acted by Norman jongleurs in the castles before lords or ladies So also with a Disputatio inter Mariam et Crucem imitated by an English writer of this period (Ten Brinck, 1 390-1), nor can such estrifs as The Owl and the Nightingale or The Thrush and the Nightingale, or the rather later humorous Debate of the Carpenter's Tools, have been composed with any dramatic intention A solitary link between these disputations and the early religious drama is to be found in the Harrowing of Hell, which will be noticed below as our earliest extant religious drama, but which its author announces as a 'strif' (disputation), and which was not intended for representation See Jusserand, 459, note But the date of this piece, which is preserved in a MS of the reign of Edward III, is not supposed to be earlier than the reign of Henry III. time to time imitated by English wits for the delectation of native ears, but this was after the English drama had already taken root as a popular growth not directly affected by these compositions. During the century and a half following upon the Norman Conquest our English literature seemed to sleep the sleep of death, what survived of it (witness the English Chronicle) clung in form as in language to an obsolete world, and the Norman ministrels of the higher class, or the Englishmen who under the stress of circumstances or from interested motives adopted the tongue of the conquerors, were not the poets of the people

and connected only
in ways not
easily ascertainable
with the
lower

On the other hand, it is difficult to persuade oneself but that some elements of diamatic action survived in the multitudinous efforts of that lower or more popular species of minstrels whose first representatives in this country have been described above as a kind of camp-followers of the Norman Conquest, and that these seeds, though scattered by the roadside, failed to spring up here and there into some kind of ear. Proof must in this case be out of the question, but it is hard to suppress the notion that in England too something like a thread of continuity attaches the undistinguishable remnants of the ancient to the vague beginnings of the modern stage. It was the activity of the stage which, as we shall see below, towards the close of the fifteenth century, in all but the remote regions of their activity, cut the last sods of ground from under the feet of the 'last minstrels' of this class, yet this very stage owed to their predecessors a debt not to be altogether repudiated, although never likely to be accurately apprised. For, while they may not have been direct contributors to the beginnings of our drama, they helped to urge these beginnings onwards in the direction in which they were to ensure vitality to themselves, viz. in that of popularity. This could hardly have been otherwise; for in the nomad life of the Middle Age, as it has been so graphically depicted by a distinguished French writer, in whose pages Old England seems to have come to life again 1, these minstrel-strollers

^{1&#}x27; Jusserand, La Vie nomade et les routes en Angleierre (1884) See also his Histoire Littéraire du Peuple Anglais, pp 455 segg

had a signally important share. Doubtless, even of the Norman minstrels of the higher class who crossed the Channel in the eleventh and twelfth centuries some, instead of having been domesticated in particular castles of the baionage, may have been welcome guests both there and in the monasteries as keeping alive by their narrative songs the traditions of the nationality d'outre mer; and in time, though only very gradually, some of then gestes began to be translated into the English vernacular 1 But where their songs were unintelligible other joculatores—whom the monks in their Latin called also lusores, mimi, citharistae, but whom barons and people knew indifferently as jongleurs or jugglers, jestours or jesters—sought to gratify either the ear by music without words, or the eye by pantomime and other exhibitions No very great or subtle display of art was needed to make them popular For they were the story-tellers and newsbearers upon whom depended in no small measure what brightness and variety enlivened the homes, high or low, of the land. Gradually, as the literary remains of the latter half of the thirteenth century and of the ensuing period instruct us, the English-born or Englishspeaking minstiels became the interpreters of the popular sentiments which in course of time were to assume the importance of public opinion But long before this had been brought about they had fulfilled the function primarily incumbent upon them-to make life from one point of view at least liveable. While the working-day seemed dull in their absence, no festival could be complete without them, mirth and minstrelsy became interchangeable terms, and the rewards showered upon these servants of the public absorbed the kindly and even the charitable feelings of no slight a proportion of the population 2

When, as will be seen in the course of the following pages,

¹ Probably not much before the close of the thirteenth century Robert de Brunne (1260–1340) complains of the strange and quaint English of such translations. Warton, *History of English Poetry*, sec. in

² The decay of minstrelsy, both accredited and vagrant, is a subject which cannot be pursued here. As late a writer as Alexander Barklay bears witness to the popularity both of minstrels and singers, and of jugglers and pipers. (See his *Eclogues*, il. and iv.)

the religious diama suggested to these minstiels subjects of wide popularity for their entertainments, it was not a priori likely that they would be slow in seeking to make use of some of the opportunities before them. But they had to reckon with jealous and powerful monopolists, and it is long before we meet with any English dramatic attempts of a popular character traceable to any other than a clerical, or quasi-clerical, origin. By this time of course the histriones had become to all intents and purposes Englishmen earlier days their efforts had to be carried on in the teeth of peculiai difficulties, but it seems clear that such efforts were made In the thirteenth century we shall find the representation of religious plays by histriones reprobated as improper, so that they had evidently thrust themselves in as the imitators, although at the same time as the rivals, of the clergy and their attendants or pupils 1. Even so, and before as well as after the monopoly of the clergy had been broken by the more respectable and systematic local competition of the trade-guilds, the strolling minstrels must have helped to enliven and strengthen a growth from any contribution to which they were anxiously warned off; and the share which they took in the early efforts of our diama is not to be altogether overlooked, because it was by interested exclusiveness pronounced illegitimate and intrusive

It is thus that I would venture in general terms to answer the question as to the relation of the minstrels to the origin of the English drama. The higher class remained as a whole unconnected with it, the lower may be held to have facilitated its popular beginnings, but is not in any essential sense to be reckoned among its originators

We have thus briefly traced to their historical source two contributory streams; the current which was to absorb them descended from a more august height than either.

Warton, sec vi, shows how the monks invited the minstrels (no doubt of the higher class) to their festivals, and through their guests became acquainted with romantic stories. In return, minstrels of another sort may be supposed to have carried away with them tempting reminiscences of religious plays of which they had witnessed the performance

The meaning attached by the Greeks to the word liturgy, and illustrated by historical associations which would have made it memorable even had it never come to form drama pait of Christian life was that of a service performed by Liturgy of an individual or by an association of individuals on behalf the Church of the community to which they belonged This expression Mystery was appropriated by the Christian Church, and applied by her to the public performance of a religious rite of paramount significance The celebration of the Eucharist constitutes the portion of the religious worship of the early Christians to which none but duly instructed or initiated believers were admitted, while both the unbelieving and mere catechumens were excluded from it. Of this part of the worship the highest conceptions of the Christian faith—culminating in the mysterium tremendum of the Real Presence—formed the very essence, so that, apparently in the Eastern Church in the first instance, there was attached to it the designation of the 'divine' or the 'mystical' liturgy 1 But in course of time the term 'mystery' was. in the Western Church applied to the religious service of any of the great festivals of the Calendar, and even to the services of the Church in general 2 As visibly representing the work of Redemption and renewing it as a mystery, 1 e in its inner and moral significance, the office of the Eucharist must however at all times have been considered of unequalled importance. In the West it received and generally retained the name of missa or mass, the use of which may conceivably have owed something to similarity of sound with the Greek designation. From the time of Gregory the Great, at all events (500-604)although the particular Roman office may possibly be of even earlier origin-the Mass formed the central act of public worship in the Western Church. 'In the wide dimensions, writes an eminent Protestant ecclesiastical historian, 'which in course of time the Mass assumed, there

The main source of the modern The the original

¹ See Palmer, Origines Liturgicae, 1 3, 31

² See du Méril, u.s., 57 and notes The expression 'Resurrectionss mysterium' was used at the Synod of Worms in 1316 In a German glossary of the fifteenth century 'misterum' is translated 'divine revelation 3

lies a grand, we are almost inclined to say an artistic, A dramatic progression is perceptible in all the symbolic processes, from the appearance of the celebrant priest at the altar (Introitus) and the confession of sins, to the Kyrie Eleison, and from this to the grand doxology (Gloria in Excelsis), after which the priest tuins with the Dominus vobiscum to the congregation, calling upon it to pray (Oremus) Next, we listen to the reading of the Epistle and the Gospel. Between the two actions or acts intervenes the Graduale (a chant), during which the deacon ascends the ambon (lectorium). With the Hallelina concludes the first act (Missa catechumenorum), and then ensues the Mass in a mere special sense (Missa fidelium), which begins with the recitation of the Creed (Credo) Then again a Dominus vobiscum and a prayer, followed by the Offertorium (Offertory) and, accompanied by further ceremonies, the Consecration. The change of substance—the mystery of mysteries-takes place amidst the adoration of the congregation and the prayer for the quick and the dead, then, after the touching chant of the Agnus Dei, ensues the Communion itself, which is succeeded by prayer and thanksgiving, the salutation of peace, and the benediction 1,3

Readiness of the times for liturgical symbolism Now, without any need of refining too much—a danger which may frankly be allowed to beset any discussion of this subject—it is obvious that in this Liturgy of the Mass we have a dramatic action, in part pantomimically presented, in part furnished forth by both epical and lyrical elements. As a matter of course, there is not the faintest likelihood that it entered into the head of any priest, or into the heads of any congregation, of the earlier Middle Ages to regard the service of the Mass in any such light; and it would accordingly be going too far to attribute to the dramatic features of the service per se the attempts actually made to bring this feature into stronger relief. The objectors to the pomp and circumstance surrounding

¹ Hagenbach, Kirchengeschichte, 11, 65-6. It is worth 1 emembering that in the execution of the Ordo Romanus the several Churches preserved certain national pecuharities. See Ebert, p. 18.

ecclesiastical worship, although by no means altogether absent, were still comparatively few, and their censures seemed futile against the manifest twofold purpose of the Church to make her services on the one hand symbolically complete, and on the other generally attractive The historian just cited has pointed out with much force, how the fact that the services of the Roman branch of the Church were conducted in the same Latin tongue illustrates her plan of placing their chief effect in the symbols rather than in the words employed 1 The scepticism which questioned any part of the dogma symbolised was rare and isolated, and still more exceptional-however noteworthy in itself-was the philosophy which turned away from what seemed to it an excess of form and sound and colouring Thus whatsoever enriched, expanded or diversified the services was assured a widespread and unstinting welcome, and no fear existed of the intiusion of that sense of ridicule which, since it was reawakened by the severer taste of the Renascence, has in later times cavilled at some ornamentations of religious worship as redundant and at others as incongruous

Nor shall we forget what the Church services and Church festivals-what the Churches themselves, with their peace and security, their brightness and their grandeur, illustrated and enhanced by all the arts in combination with one another -were to the period of which we are speaking Not only were they, as in a measure they remain to this day, associated with the cardinal events of private and of public life, but to large masses of the population the sacred edifice was the centre of their social as well as of their religious life no age do these hints at a description, which has furnished an almost mexhaustible theme to so many eloquent pens, apply more strikingly than to that extending from the minth to the eleventh centuries, when the Papacy was gradually establishing its claims, at first under the protection, and then in face of the illwill, of the Empire. But already at much earlier dates the service of the Mass

¹ Hagenbach, Kuchengeschichte, 11 397

had, in accordance with its most characteristic features, begin its progressive development

The dramatic elements of the liturgy This service has a beginning, which is at the same time an explanation or exposition of its cause, a central action (the Immolation and Consecration), and a close or completion. The remark seems therefore strictly correct, that from the mystery of the liturgy to the liturgical mystery-drama no step is needed but that of a diamatic intention. So long as the reality of the central action (and such the immolation actually possesses for the believing worshipper or spectator) causes everything else to be regarded as merely an adjunct to it, so long the mystery will preponderate over the drama. No sooner will the adjuncts begin in any degree to emancipate themselves from their original character as such, than the play will prevail over the mystery

The pantominical element in the Mass lies in the first instance in the action of the officiating priest. It seems sufficient to suggest, without attempting to define too closely, the typical significance of the several things acted or done by the priest in the liturgical process—the cruciform gestures of his arms, the breaking of the bread, the dipping of the bread in the cup, the delivery of it to the people ²

The *epical* element is to be found in the portions of Scripture read to the congregation. Of these there are two kinds—the Apostle or Prophet (*Epistle*), and the *Gospel* Originally it seems to have been customary to read aloud portions of the Law, the Prophets, the Psalms, the Epistles, and the Gospels', but in the Western Chuich the Lessons from the Old Testament were often omitted, the Psalms being in compensation placed between the Epistle and the Gospel. Even at the present day, the Roman liturgy occasionally prefixes Lessons from the Old Testament to the Epistle and Gospel, following these Lessons up with a Psalm³

Finally, the lyrical element presents itself in those portions

[·] Klein, w 2

² Cf, as a curiosity where it is cited, a passage in Honorius Augustodunensis (Honorius of Autun, who died sometime after 1130), de Anhquo Ritu Missarum, which explains in detail the dramatic action of the Mass, quoted in Pryvine's Histrio-Mastix, 1632, p. 113.

⁸ Palmer, u.s., it. 48.

1]

of the service which are prescribed by the Antiphonary, just as the portions of Scripture to be read aloud are prescribed by the Lectionary The Antiphonies were originally chants or psalms sung in alternate verses by different choirs or parts of the choir, they afterwards came to include introductory verses often Scripture texts, prefacing the Offertory and other salient passages of the service (Introits1) The congregation being expected to return certain Responses, the element of dialogue was, as it were, unconsciously introduced into the liturgy The practice was further fostered by its being laigely introduced into the supplementary service of prayer termed the Litany These litanies, which either preceded or followed the ordinary service, were very generally accompanied by Processions 2 In various ways the litanies were the most flexible and varied forms of prayer, and into them was introduced, in the Western Church from about the seventh or eighth century, the invocation of saints, lyric addresses to whom accordingly constituted from a comparatively early period a part of religious worship 3.

Thus there were three main directions in which it was their possible for the liturgy to develope itself dramatically, developewhile at the same time meeting popular tastes and sympathies The language of the service being in Latin,

¹ Palmer, u s, u. 308, cf Mone, Schauspiele des Mittelalters (1846), 1 6 and note

² In the reigns of Edward VI and Elizabeth, all processions were prohibited except the perambulation on Rogation days Palmer, u s 11 97 (Supplement) As to the technical use of the term processus for religious

plays see below

VOL I.

³ Palmer, u s, a 279 Concerning the Italian laude of the thirteenth century, and the transition from these to the dramatic mystery, see E Gebhardt. L'Italie Mystique (Histoire de la Renaissance Religieuse au Moyen Age), Paris, 1890, pp 267-275. A peculiar development of these laude was that of the hymns and short quasi-dramatic pieces recited in the lay confraternities of the Flagellants in the later stages of their activity in Italy (where they were then known as the Laudest or Disciplinate) and possibly elsewhere, under the titles of Praises and Complaints of Mary, together with other short pieces in commemoration of the Passion This clue, well deserving of being followed out, was suggetted to me by Captain Ivan J A Herford of Salisbury, who also drew my attention to the ordinance of the Council of Constantinople, A.D. 692, which, in order that the humanity of our Lord should not be obscured by the excess of Eastern symbolism, enjoined that when certain episodes of this life were treated in church, He should be represented in human form.

there was an additional reason why it should seek to secure new attractions for the eye as well as for the ear. At a very early period, certainly already in the fifth century, it became usual to animate public worship on special occasions by living pictures of scenes from the Gospel, such as the Adoration of the Magi, the Mariiage of Cana, the Death of the Saviour 1 Still earlier, great attention seems to have been paid to the antiphonary songs, and when the tableaux were introduced, such songs doubtless accompanied their presentation. That into these tableaux a certain degree of action should have gradually introduced itself, was of its nature mevitable The living pictures, however, together with the songs appertaining to them, were in the first instance interpolations introduced into the service for the purpose of prolonging and sustaining an interest in it The mystery proper was still the liturgy itself

and combination The liturgical mystery

It remains uncertain when the important step was first taken of connecting the epical poitions of the liturgy with the spectacular, and in some measure pantomimical, portions, as well as with the lyrical adjuncts already admitted into it The process seems to have been completed by the eleventh century, when in a treatise on the Offices of the Church, by John of Bayeux, bishop of Avranches, we find these performances within the sacred edifice viewed as a component part of the service at large 2 But it must necessarily have been gradual. A very famous French ecclesiastic of the tenth century refers to the custom of performing on Christmas Day after the Te Deum the Office of the Shepherds, while others of a similar description, such as that of the Infants (the Innocents of Bethlehem), the Star, the Sepulchre, were celebrated each in its season 8 The earliest of these offices may without hesitation be concluded to have been connected with the events of which the commemoration leads up to and culminates in the festival of Easter cherished was the usage of reproducing the events of the first Easter morning in association with the service appropriated to it that in many English churches structures of

¹ Klein, iv. 11; Ebert, p. 18
² Klein, iv. 3.
³ See the quotation from Gerbert, ap. Wilken, u. s., 5, note 4.

stone were built in lieu of the wooden erections that had originally served to represent the Sepulchre Hither, after the office had stereotyped itself, the cleigy went in procession to an altar erected in the so-called Chapel of the Sepulchre, where the Sacrament had been kept since Holy Three of the clerics, robed in white, represented the Three Maries of the scene, and replied to the enquiries addressed to them by two of the choristers in the character of the angels, while the whole of the cleigy joined in the concluding acclamation The Apostles St Peter and St John were at a subsequent date likewise introduced into Similarly, on Palm Sunday and on Holy the action 1 Thursday, the services of the day readily furnished dramatic moments—such as the procession to the gates, and the Last Supper. It is conceivable that the first suggestion of the kind may have arisen out of the early usage of chanting the words uttered by the Suffering Christ in the narrative of the Passion itself in a different tone from that in which the remainder of the text was read 2 Perhaps, on the other hand, the measure of independence belonging to the interpolations in the services may seem greatest in the case of those which illustrated, not portions of the actual narrative of the New Testament, but certain of the parables of our Lord reproduced in it, such as the striking Christmas office of the Foolish Virgins, to which reference will again be made ımmediately 3

1]

¹ See Furnivall's note to Digby Mysteries (New Shakspere Society's Publications, 1882, pp 227-8), and cf Parker's Glossary of Architecture, cited by Pollard, us, Introduction xiv-xv. The office is reproduced in Mary Magdalene in the Digby Mysteries, and in the Mysterium Resurrections, printed by Wright from a thirteenth century MS in the Orleans Library and reprinted by Pollard in his Appendix According to du Meril, us 43-4, missals were used in the diocese of Paris as late as the fifteenth century, continuing the same kind of Easter office

² See ib, 47 note

³ M Sepet, from whose Drame Chreten au Moyen Age (1878, pp 24 seqq) I reproduce in a briefer form the following abstract of this famous composition, applies to it, apparently following the teaching of Leon Gautier, the designation tropes, but I do not understand him to confine this name to representations of parables—We may, if we will, imagine to ourselves the performance of this hiturgical mystery as taking place in the Abbey of St Martial at Limoges. The Foolish Virgins are ranged on the one side of the entry to the choir, the Wise on the other. The

Thus these offices, in a more or less developed form, sprang directly from the portions of Scripture recited in church on particular days, and in fact constituted a visible repetition of these recitals ¹ The church formed their given scene, the clergy and their acolytes were the actors, and the function of the congregation consisted of lyrical responses to particular passages evoking them The text was from first to last as brief as possible, comprising only so many words as sufficed to connect the successive stages of the action, and being largely made up of questions and answers.

Examples
of the
himgual
my stery

The earliest liturgical mysteries (to describe them by a convenient technical name) of this description which have been preserved date from the twelfth, or perhaps in part from the eleventh century 2. Although of French or Anglo-Norman origin, they are as a matter of course composed in the Latin tongue, French being only admitted in the case of certain refiains. An exception is the Sponsus (the Heavenly Bridegroom) of Play of the Wise and Foolish Virgins, to which reference has just been made, it is written partly in Latin, partly in a Poitevin or half Provençal dialect, and, although an earlier date has been assigned to it, probably

precentor and clergy chant an invocation by way of prologue, from the lectionary the Angel Gabriel bids the Virgins await the Heavenly Bridegroom; whereupon there begins the simple action of the piece The Foolish Virgins have fallen asleep and their oil is wasted, when they awake they in vain entreat the Wise Virgins to share with them their store They are met by a refusal and bidden buy oil from the merchants sitting behind their stalls at the other end of the nave Along its entire length the Foolish Virgins pass to buy them oil, but the merchants have mone to sell them, so that with loud lamentations they have to make their way back to their original station at the entrance to the choir. Here they kneel down in terror, for since their departure the Wise Virgins have entered in, and from beyond the screen a Voice makes answer to their cry of despair-or a Mighty Presence advances to warn them against entering in their turn-'Verily, I say unto you, I know you not'; and they are consigned to everlasting torments. Black figures, gruesome to behold, bear them away, and down in the nave the congregation, half believing in the reality of what it has seen and heard in the dim light and amidst the sound of many voices, returns to its accustomed exercises of prayer and praise

A They are printed in Wright's Early Mysteries

¹ For examples see du Méril, p 89 sagg Cf the pictorial relic of a Suabian pantominical Easter office of the twelfth century, and an Alemannic office of the thirteenth (at Zürich), ap Mone, u. s., 8-9.

belongs to the middle of the twelfth century ¹ The subjects of these *liturgical mysteries*, as it seems convenient to designate them, are as a rule taken from the New Testament ² From the same period survive divers dramatic versions of legends concerning the popular Saint Nicholas, which savour rather of the early monastic literary drama ³, and thus bear witness to the fluidity of a growth of which it is easier to detach the successive stages from one another in accordance with *a priori* theory than to arrange the sequence in proved chronological order

To this group of compositions, which still maintain an The ple organic connexion with the religious services in the Church of Hila and are intioduced into it, if at Matins before the Te Deum, if at Vespers before the Magnificat, belong the productions of Hilarius. They seem to call for a brief notice, since he is usually supposed to be the earliest known English author of plays, although, being written in Latin, with occasional French refrains, they cannot claim a place in our national dramatic literature.

There is no real proof that Hilarius was an Englishman, but the conjecture which has been adopted from Mabillon by subsequent writers is a probable one He celebrates at great length the virtues of an English lady named Eva, who became a recluse and ended her saintly life in Anjou, and four of his epistles in verse are addressed to persons of English origin. If he was a native of England, he must have been born there some time in the earlier part of Henry I's reign, ze about the beginning of the twelfth century. For while still very young, he became a student

1 Ten Brinck, 11 246 note

² A good example is the Easter mystery published at Tours by Luzarche, and described by Moland, Origines Litter de la France, p 132 seqq Its performance took place in various parts of the church, and the congregation joined in the concluding Te Deum

³ Cf ante, p 9, note 2

^{*} Cl ante, p 9, note 2

* Hilarn Versus et Ludi have been edited from a MS known to André
Duchesne (1616) and Mabillon (1713), but unknown to the authors of the
Historie Littéraire de la France (1763), by J J Champollion-Figeac (Paris, 1838),
with a brief critical Introduction. For a more easily accessible account of
Hilarius and his plays see Henry Morley, English Writers, vol 1 pt 2 (From
the Conquest to Chaucer), 1866, pp 542 seqq Cf Sepet, u. s., 33 seqq

⁵ Champolhon-Figeac, vii.

under Abelard at Paraclete, the monastery which had grown out of the hermitage near the Seine, south of Paris, whither the great teacher had retreated after his condemnation at Soissons a few years earlier Hilairus had chanced upon a patron saint congenial in name to a disposition little in sympathy with the dilei or duller aspects During his course of study he underof scholasticism went, with the rest of his fellow-students, a process of rustication, commemorated by him in a humorous Latin poem which mentions his own stoutness of body (gravitas), and of which each stanza ends with a French refiain to the effect that 'the Master has something against us1' He seems to have cherished a warm personal attachment towards his eloquent teacher, for when the latter removed from Paraclete to a Breton abbacy, Hilary likewise took his departure, and recommenced his studies at the school of Angers Here (to judge from the specimens preserved to us) we may conclude that he continued to versify as the humour suited him Neither his metre nor his morality was exacting, he was in point of fact an ecclesiastic distinguished from his fellows by nothing but an irrepressible literary turn This, however, would be quite sufficient to account for his eyes having been open to the possibilities to be found in the liturgical, or semi-liturgical, mystery.

The most interesting of the plays of Hilarius is, notwith-standing its brevity, the Suscitatio Lazari. To perform it, says a rubric, there are necessary 'Lazarus, his two sisters, four Jews, our Lord and His twelve Apostles, or six of them at all events' Very manifestly, the action proceeds under the simplest external conditions, and the dialogue is restricted to the narrowest, or absolutely necessary, dimensions. The first scene or 'movement' discovers Lazarus sick in bed amidst the lamentations of his sisters, who despatch the four Jews sitting by his side to seek the counsel of 'the Supreme Physician, the King of Kings.' They betake themselves to the Saviour, Who promises that the sickness of His brother shall not be a cause of death to him. But on their return the messengers find Lazarus dead, and Mary

and Martha lamenting him Each sister chants a series

to his deliverer, the man who has been raised from the dead

Thou shalt blot out the guilt of Thy people, what Thou orderest is straightway accomplished, Thy kingdom shall have no end' Thus, the play being over, the transition is natural and easy to the *Te Deum* or the *Magnificat*, intoned, as is directed by Lazarus, 1 e by the priest who has assumed the part, according as the play may have been

'Thou art our Master, our King, our God!

introduced in the service at matins or at evensong Hilarius' second scriptural play, the History of Daniel,

1 Mary's runs thus '
'Hor at dolor,

exclaims

1]

Hor est mis frere morz,

Por que get plot [this is why I weep].

Martha's (with more penetrating feminine pathos)

Lase, chanve!
Des que mis frere est morz,

Des que mis frere est mors
Porque sue vive?

2 'Si venisses primitus,— Quo

Si venisses primitus,—

Dol en ai,—

Non esset hic gemitus,—

Bais frere, perdu vos ai

Quod in vivum poteras,—

Dol en ai,—

Hoc defuncto conferas t

Bais frere, perdu vos ai

&cc , &cc.

exhibits a similar willingness to lean upon the narrative of Holy Writ, but as was perhaps inevitable in the present instance, a far less close dependence upon it Doubtless, however, this play, in which no French refiains relieve the Latin text, depended considerably upon arrangements partaking of the character of spectacular or scenic effects in such passages as Belshazzar's feast and the lions' den Of these however we know nothing In the composition of Daniel Hilarius seems to have been assisted by two other writers, 'Jordanus' and 'Simon' There is a very notable amount of life in this composition, which in its general character bears a certain resemblance to the libretto of a modern oratorio. To the third play of Hilarius, a diamatised anecdote conceining a miracle wrought by an image of St. Nicholas with the Saint's own co-operation, it seems unnecessary to return¹, except by way of noting that this play contains French refiains, which are partly cadences identical with those to be found in The Raising of Lazarus The piece is a trifle in both theme and tone 2.

Transition from the liturgical to the popular mystery

Thus, in the gradual development of the Mystery-drama from its beginnings certain tendencies make themselves manifest from an early date, which as they continue their course may almost be said to make up the entire history of the subject. Of these, the first is the substitution of the vernacular for the Latin tongue 3. This substitution, at first restricted to the choral responses of the congregation, was, as has been seen, extended to the lyrical passages in general, and thence found its way into

He flogs the image, and the Saint quickly brings up the robbers with the goods. Barbarus exclaims.

'Nısı vısus fallıtur

Jo en ai Tesaurus hic cernitur

and becomes a Christian. De si grant merveile en qu'-

¹ Cf ante, p 9, note 2

² Barbarus, who has committed his possessions to the care of the image of St. Nicholas, finds that they have been stolen

^{&#}x27;Hic res plus quam centum Misi et argentum; Sed non est inventum.

³ As to the encouragement given to this tendency by the practice of the Church see du Méril. 24. 5., 73-4

the speeches of certain of the characters (as we may call them) of the Mystery-drama The French mystery of La Resurrection (dating from the twelfth century), which is described as still entirely recitative in character, i e performed by persons standing still, is regarded as the earliest extant religious diama in the vulgai tongue1

The second step is to be sought in the detachment of the mystery- or miracle-diama from the office of which it had at first formed a dependent, and then a more or less independent, part, and of which it now came to form meiely an interesting adjunct

The third advance was not like the other two logically unavoidable, not indeed was it at all invariably entered upon IIt consisted in the joining together of a whole series of mysteries on different incidents from the Scriptuie (more especially the Gospel) history into a single work or production This joining together, although it seems to have been attempted already at an early date, was at first only roughly effected 2 Its final result is the so-called Collective Mystery,—the form in which the principal English contributions to the mystery-drama were composed 3.

Before noticing this species, however, one or two further general remarks may be in place. A distinction legitimate in itself, although as will be seen by no means observed with precision or uniformity, is usually drawn between Mysteries, Miracle-plays, and Moral-plays or Moralities Properly Musterie speaking, Mysteries deal with Gospel events only, their mirades object being primarily to set forth, by illustrating the distin-

and mor guished,

¹ See Klein, iv 14 Ebert, u s 19, points out how since the eleventh century the vernacular had by means of the so-called Epistolae farculae been introduced into the liturgy itself. These were songs generally referring to the martyrdom of St Stephen Cf Ancien Theâtre Français, vol 1 Introd p vn.

² See the description of the earliest German mysteries, ap Wright, p viii, and Wilken, pp 5 segg, who thinks the eleventh century the earliest date that can be assumed for them, but a later date more probable are partly in Latin and partly in German

³ In England the Collective Mystery may be concluded to have been the result of an expansion of the Easter and of the Christmas mysteries, and of the combination between the two groups after the celebration of the festival of Corpus Christi had become generally prevalent. Ten Brinck, 11 257, and of mfra.

prophetic history of the Old Testament, and more particularly the fulfilling history of the New, the central mystery of the Redemption of the world, as accomplished by the Nativity, the Passion, and the Resurrection Miracleplays, on the other hand, are more especially concerned with incidents derived from the legends of the Saints of the Church Lastly, Morals teach and illustrate the same religious truths, not by direct representation of scriptural or legendary events and personages, but by allegorical means, abstract figures of virtues or qualities being personified in the characters appearing in this species of plays

Nature of the comburations between these species in England

Of these three species, there are frequent combinations, and in England, at all events, no accurate distinction was drawn between mysteries and miracle-plays, indeed, the former name was not in use in this country 1 When the religious drama reached England, the two species had already to some extent combined, and, in fact, the earliest French religious plays which we possess are partly of one kind, paitly of the other. But the origin of the miracleplay was to a great degree literary, as has been previously shown, and in England the first miracle-plays proper of which we know accordingly precede the first mysteries proper of which traces are preserved to us. On the other hand the miracle-plays were the earliest to fall into desuetude, their significance having been to a large extent of a local nature² The moralities, in their turn, occur in early specimens, such as the literary dramas of Herman and Langton, already mentioned, but it was not till a comparatively late date (probably the earlier half of the fifteenth century) that, under the influence of the epical allegories which were then popular in English as well as French literature, they were popularly cultivated Their origin was therefore proper to themselves, and will be briefly discussed as such below; but at the time when they began to flourish in England, the form of the mysteries

¹ See Colher, n 53, note 2 In France, the term mysters was applied to all religious plays indiscriminately from the fifteenth century Ebert, u s.

² Cf. du Mèril, 65. These plays seem in some measure to have replaced the legends of saints, of which towards the end of the eighth century Pope Adrian I. had prohibited the reading aloud in churches.

and miracles was already so far advanced and fixed, that it was inevitably borrowed by the moralities Elements of the moralities, in the shape of abstract figures, will however frequently be found to occur in the mysteries and miracleplays

The main elements contributory to the progress of the popular drama which had arisen out of the liturgy have thus been established, and there is no need to puisue in detail their co-operative processes In the natural order The dra of things, consequently upon the growing length of the begins to emancip plays, the elaboration of their paraphernalia, and the in- itself fro creasing number of their spectators, they began to be represented outside the church as well as inside 1, and to be composed in the vulgar tongue in preference to the Latin Plays treating of the legends of saints were less dependent on their connexion with the service of the Church than mysteries proper, and as lay associations, gilds and schools in particular, possessed each its saintly patron, they soon began to act plays in his honour in their own halls or the vicinity of them In these performances the services of professional mimes could haidly fail to be occasionally employed. Lastly, when the clergy allowed the introduction into the religious dramas acted or superintended by them of scenes and characters of a more or less trivial description, when to certain personages were attached conventional peculiarities of voice or speech2, when devils and their chief advanced to prominence, and had to be made hideous or contemptible in order to inspire instantaneous antipathy,—the comic element could not fail to assert itself. Here the traditions of popular entertainments would, in France at all events, be at hand with their influence, and contribute to give a profane character to what could no longer be regarded as essentially a part of religious worship.

Such-without going into further particulars-were some

'in Pilates vois he gan to crie, And swore by armes and by blood and bones'

¹ This was ordered by Pope Innocent III in 1210. Hagenbach, ii 414 These became proverbial See e g. in The Milleres Prologue in the Canterbury Tales how the unmannerly Miller,-

of the causes contributing to the inevitable result, that the clergy began to lose their control over the performances which their order had originated, and to become seriously divided as to their expediency. A memorable attempt

was however made in the middle of the thirteenth century to sanctify more emphatically to a religious use a popular taste that was fast outgrowing the purposes for which it

Attenspted reaction

Origin of Corpus Christi plays

1264

had been at first encouraged This attempt connects itself with the endeavour to bring home to popular consciousness the central doctrine of the Church of Rome I refer of course to the institution by Pope Urban IV, in the year 1264, of the festival (hitherto only local in its celebiation) of Corpus Christi, when he granted a pardon of a ceitain number of days to all attending various parts of the divine service held on the occasion 1. The office in question was composed by the Angelic Doctor, St Thomas Aquinas, of whose teaching it has been said that he 'sought to make the supernatural significance of the doctrine of the Chuich accessible to the natural intelligence, without at the same time in any way analysing that doctrine into something natural or comprehensible 2' But Pope Urban having died in the same year, his bull remained unexecuted, and the disturbed times into which the Church had fallen prevented the carrying out of his design for nearly half a century 8 At last, in 1311, by which time the Papacy was securely if not gloriously housed at Avignon, the bull of institution was confirmed under Pope Clement V by a decree of the Council of Vienne, so memorable in political as well as in ecclesiastical history 4 The special features of the festival of Corpus Christi were the distinct proclamation

1311,

of the Creed of the Church, and the exhibition at four altars, after procession through the streets, of the Host,—the symbol of the mystery of the Incarnation With this latter feature the plays which it became usual to exhibit on

Collier, i. 19, note

² Hagenbach, 11, 425

² It was the troublous time of the *Interregnum* in the Empire (1254-1271) and of the commencement of the struggles between the Papacy and France, which ended with the transfer of the Holy See to Avignon (1309).

^{*} It aboushed the Order of the Templars

this festival seem to have been closely connected, hence the term processus is frequently applied to the plays them-But on the development of the drama the fact that the mystery to which the festival was sacred was not in itself immediately adapted for representation or calculated to concentrate the thoughts of the spectator upon any particular events in the sacred narrative, would appear to have had the effect of extending the range and suggesting a wider choice of diamatic subjects Thus especially towards the close of the Middle Ages, Old Testament subjects were treated with great frequency at Corpus Christi1

This festival seems to have exercised a very marked General influence upon the progress of the drama, though Pope progress of the drama, though Pope the early Urban IV appears in the 'pardon' accompanying its religious institution to have made no reference to religious plays (The 'pardon' mentioned in the Proclamation for Whitsun Plays at Chester (of which immediately), and then attributed to 'Clement y'en bishop of Rome,' is supposed to have been granted by Pope Clement VI (1342-52)) I cannot, however, here further pursue the progress of the beginnings of the modern drama in the case of any country besides our It must suffice to note here that, for reasons already indicated, the drama in France already in the in France, thirteenth century largely 3 emancipated itself from the Church The French theatrical associations, whose t ndencies were not only 11val but conflicting, continued in activity down to the period of the Renascence,-when under literary influences a new eia began to open, endeavouring, as is usual with new eras in Fiance, to make

drama,

¹ Cf Pollard, Introd. xxv, where it is also pointed out that this result was further favoured by the fact that Corpus Christi is celebrated on the Thursday (sometimes on the Sunday) after Trinity Sunday, i.e. as a rule not far away from the longest days of the year

A most useful bibliographical survey of the productions of the religious drama among the several European nations will be found in Mr F. M Stoddard's References for Students of Miracle plays and Mysteries, University of California Library Bulletin, no 8, Berkely, 1888.

³ Largely, not entirely The emancipation had not altogether accomplished itself even in the fifteenth century, when ecclesiastics still appear as chief actors in the Passion plays, and performances are still arranged under episcopal sanction Du Méril, u s., 61 seqq. As to the extraordinary fertility of the religious drama in France see Pollard, Introd. xli, note

Italy, Spain,

and Germany tabula rasa of what had gone before,-and in isolated instances to an even later date The early religious dramas of both Italy and Spain are considerably later in date, so far as we are acquainted with them, than either the French of our own No Italian mystery has been preserved from an earlier date than 1243, no Spanish from either the thuteenth or the fourteenth century, though it is clear that such existed in a variety of forms 1 On the other hand, in Germany there seems no doubt that both the plays which it was usual to perform at Christmas and those which were generally exhibited at Easter belong in their origin to about the twelfth century In the Middle Ages Easter was by far the more popular as a season for dramatic performances,a circumstance to be attributed not only to obvious considerations of temperature, but also to the fact that Easter is by far the more ancient festival in the Christian Church, and that in dramatic significance the subject of the Passion fai surpasses that of the Nativity². Corpus Christi plays

¹ The origines as well as the development of both the Italian and the Spanish drama have been traced with extreme fulness by Klein in the fourth and succeeding volumes of his work, to which I have already repeatedly referred The labour which its unfortunate author bestowed on it was so enormous, that he may well be paidoned occasional eccentricities both of expression and combination. That his general view of the origin of the drama is just, I venture at the same time to believe, and I have not scrupled to adopt some of his theories -For a brief account of the origin of the Spanish drama, as springing from religious sources and wholly unconnected with the ancient Roman theatre, see also Ticknor's History of Spanish Literature, chap xiii -It is, by the bye, well known that in Spain mysteries are by no means things of the past, I remember comparing with the Oberammergau Play the Sacrado Passio y Mort de Nostre Senyor Jesu-Crist, which professed to be prepared for representation in the principal theatres of the kingdom, being published (at Barcelona) by a dignified ecclesiastic. The Spanish play seemed to me much inferior to the more recent versions of the German

^{&#}x27;See Mone, Schauspiele des Muttelalters, vol 1 (1846), for a series of religious plays dating from the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, with a list of others reaching to the end of the sixteenth, In which the Easter plays hold the most prominent position—'The Seven Joys of the Blessed Virgin' seem to have been arranged for the stage by Flemish writers, at least this is known to have been the case with two of them, de Eerste Bliscap van' Maria, which dates from 1444 and was performed at the court of Charles the Bold, and de Sevenste, which was discovered in our own day in a MS, purchased by the Royal Library at Brussels A decree of the city of Brussels orders the annual production of one of these Joys.—

are likewise noted, while the Ascension, Assumption, and Whitsuntide plays are to be regarded as extensions of the Easter plays It is curious by the way, that the advent of the Reformation (which by no means extinguished the favour shown to the religious diama as such 1) reversed the relative popularity of the Easter and Christmas plays, partly perhaps in consequence of the importance attached in the former to the laments of the Blessed Virgin revival of Catholic feeling in the seventeenth century, and the continued cultus of the Blessed Virgin in this and the eighteenth, the Easter plays recovered their preferential position, being now tinged with a sentimental character, which found its vent in allegories and in external effects, while the incident of the Resurrection itself was treated with relative slightness The first edition of the Oberammergau Play, the peculiar origin of which is well known, though due to Benedictine monks, seems to have borne unmistakable traces of the influence of the Jesuit school of theology, paramount in Bavarra and in Catholic Germany at large in the latter half of the Thirty Years' War By the side of the mysteries proper the Germans in the fourteenth century became familiai with plays celebiating the legends of saints—such as St Catharine and St Dorothy -miracles in the stricter sense of the term, and in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries it became usual to select from legendary lore subjects of historical importance, whether general or local, so that the transition to the historical diama became easy While the moral element finds a place in the history of the early German drama, it only exceptionally connects itself with the lyrical and epical poetry of the minstrels, and its growth is in this respect analogous in its earlier stages to our own progress of the German drama from the ecclesiastical

I have preserved an account in a newspaper of our own times of a representation in a small people's theatre at Madrid of a Passion-play called Los siete dolores de Maria

¹ Cf the curious quarrel in April 1523 between the clergy and the citizens of Strassburg, on the occasion of a competition between the religious plays of the latter and the Indulgences' processions organised by the former, ap Baum, Capito und Butser (Elberfeld, 1860), p 194

basis, where like ours it had its beginnings, was less foi tunate. The attempts made in those parts of the nation which were seized by the spirit of the Reformation to pour new wine into the old bottles, and to create a national drama, though interesting and as will be seen connecting themselves with the English drama in its greatest period, remained practically abortive as a literary movement ¹

The 1ehgrous drama in England,

The peculiar political and social condition of our own country, in the period succeeding upon the Norman Conquest, could not but considerably affect the development in England of the religious drama, which had come to our English ancestors as a Norman gift Before the Conquest, as I have already shown, they had neither possessed a drama, nor displayed any disposition towards it, and it would have been little in accordance with the national character had the tendency to expand and diversify the diamatic elements in religious worship met with a speedy and general welcome here 2 At the time, therefore, when the drama came among us, there is every reason to conclude that mysteries and miracle-plays alike at first remained in the hands of the clergy by whom they had been introduced, while miracle-plays were also occasionally composed by But the Conquest ecclesiastical hands as literary works had also brought across the Channel a professional class of performers, who must naturally have been prompt to seize upon an attractive form of entertainment, and bring home to secular audiences the facilities at their command for enjoying it Ecclesiastics, then, or persons connected with the Church. introduced the drama into England, they composed the first diamas produced in this country, and performed them in person, or caused them to be performed by their pupils, but the histriones soon followed in their footsteps, and in the end certain sections of the unprofessional laity followed in the footsteps of the histriones

The first play of which we have nominal mention as acted

² Ten Brack, ii, 246.

¹ Of the early German religious plays an account will be found in Dr C. Wilken's Geschichte der gestlichen Spiele in Deutschland (Göttingen, 1872).

in England has already been stated to be the Ludus de The miracle St Katharına, which the Norman Geoffiey, who afterwards of St Katharına became Abbot of St Albans, caused to be performed at 1110 cuc Dunstable about the year 1110 Of this 'play' we know nothing except that the writer who mentions it (Matthew Paris in his Lives of the Abbots of St Albans) says that it was a play of the kind 'quem miracula vulgariter appellamus' Matthew Paris wrote about 1240, and since there is no reason to suppose that in the interval any progress had taken place in the miracle-plays, this dramatic treatment of a favourite theme of ecclesiastical poetry cannot have differed widely from that adopted in the other Latin of French religious plays that have come down to us from the same century There remains, as observed above 1, no evidence to enable us to determine the character of this piece more precisely, while the twofold fact that no French plays acted in England are preserved from this period, and that no Latin miracle-play can be proved to have been performed here 2, makes any conclusion hazardous as to the language in which the Play of St Katharina was written. What seems clear is that whether or not this particular example was among the earliest of its kind known to this country, such plays were not unfrequently performed in English monasteries in the course of the century following upon the Conquest.

William Fitz-Stephen, who wrote about half a century London before Matthew Paris, states in reference to the period miracles 1170-1182 c, that London, instead of theatiical spectacles and scenic plays (such, for example, as those of Rome), has plays of a more sacred character, - repraesentationes miraculorum quae sancti confessores operati sunt, seu repraesentationes passionum quibus claruit constantia martyrum 3.' Here, again, it is impossible to pronounce in what language the plays referred to were composed 4 As in France, so in England, the legends of the saints appear

* Ten Brinck (n. 248) thinks it may be assumed to have been Anglo-Norman.

¹ Ante, p 10.

² Pollard, Introd., xxi

First published by Stow from the Vita S. Thomas Archief et Mart, (Becket), and quoted by Collier, 1 II

to have met with dramatic treatment before the more arduous and more important experiment was made of applying it to scriptural subjects, among which Old Testament seem to have been essayed earlier than New Testament themes. Unfortunately not a single complete miracle-play, in the more restricted sense of the word, that was performed in England, has been preserved to us, and those of which the names remain are mentioned at comparatively late dates. Everything points to the performers of these miracle-plays, or of their prototypes, in the twelfth century, and in the earlier part of the thirteenth, having been exclusively ecclesiastics.

Professional players, 1258 In the year 1258, however, we suddenly come across a statement that histrionum ludi must not be seen, heard, or allowed to be performed before abbot or monks. It may remain open to doubt whether the interpretation to be given in the passage in question to the terms histriones and ludi is to be restricted to dramatic performers and performances. But even were this inadmissible, such performances may fairly be supposed to have been included among the exhibitions which the itinerant performers produced where they were likely to find most favour. Actors of this kind cannot as yet have been very common, indeed, a century and a half later Lydgate in a famous enumeration of social types passes by the professional player, while he finds room for the ministicl and the juggler (tragitour). That these actors, when they

¹ The Pageants of St Fabyan, St Sebastan and St Botolf mentioned by Pollard, Introd xx, are noticed in company with the Pageant of the Trimity in an early Chartulary of the Biethren of the Holy Trinity of St Botolph without Aldersgate, whose fraternity was founded in 1373 See Hone's Ancient Mysteries described (1823), 77 seqq The play's of St George of Cappadocia at Windsor and of St George at Bassingbourne in Cambridgeshire are mentioned under the dates 1416 and 1511 respectively (Colher, 1.29, Warton (ed 1871), n. 233) The Ludt Beatae Christinae at Bethersden, Kent, appear in the Churchwardens' Accounts of the year 1522 (Miss Toulmin Smith, York Mystery Plays, Introd lxv) In Dublin, at Christmas 1528, the shoemakers presented Crispin and Crispianus as part of an elaborate entertainment composed of biblical and classical elements (Sharp, p. 142) Cf ten Brinck, n. 303

² This is the opinion of Collier, by whom the passage in the Annales Burtonenses is cited (from Gale), 1 14 The passage cited by Warton, in 161, from Matthew Paris, ad ann 1236, shows that the term histro was also used in a wider and more varied sense; and I cannot find that Warton considers its use to require restriction in the particular case in question.

In the Daunce of Macabre, a version of the famous and long-lived

performed their plays, made use of the native English tongue, is again merely a matter of conjecture, but 1258, as will be remembered, was the very year in which this tongue ieceived a public acknowledgment of unparalleled significance¹

The two centuries ensuing upon the Conquest, together with a further span of time of which the limits cannot be defined with piecision, may therefore be regarded as the age in which the drama in England was still mainly under the control and management of the clergy The muacleplays performed by them, whether written in Latin or in French, were unmistakeably of French origin, and differed in no important point from their exemplais d'outre mer The plays already mentioned, composed by Guillaume Herman and Etienne (Stephen) Langton in the middle and latter part of the twelfth century, were the earliest examples produced on English ground, though in the French language, of the theological morality, but although they present few features indicating the prospect of a new dramatic or literary species, and although in point of fact the English moralities of later date start in a large measure from a fresh basis, it seems preferable to treat in its entirety the growth to which they belong

The direct connexion between the clergy and the miracle- The ilergy plays continued, if not quite to the last, at all events till the miracleperiod when those plays were on the eve of being super- plays seded by the beginnings of the regular drama2 when the clergy did not perform in plays, they wrote them. mediaeval device of the Dance of Death and of its lesson as to what awaits us all, from Pope and Emperor to handicraftsman and hind -On the other hand, according to Collier, 1 30, in a later work of Lydgate's, The Interpretacyon of the names of Goddys and Goddesses, it is said of Sensuality that he ought to change his character, and that

'well shall he be taught, As a player sholde'

As to Lydgate's own productions containing dramatic elements see below ¹ The English Proclamation made in the name of Henry III.

² According to Bale, cited ap Warton, 11 214, Robert Baston, a Carmelite friar of Scarborough, who accompanied Edward II on his Scottish expedition and wrote a Latin poem on the siege of Stirling Castle, wrote Tragoediae et Comoediae vulgares; but nothing in English remains from the hand of this versatile but unlucky author. He was taken prisoner by the Scots and compelled to write a Latin panegyric (to match his Suge of Stirling) on Robert Bruce,

or at least paid their performers Bishop Bale, the author of our first Chronicle history was likewise the author of our last miracle-play, or at least of the last preserved to us (1538), and the lusores, minstrells, and jocatores enjoyed the 'adutorium' of the Priory of Thetford in several hundreds of instances between the years 1461 and 15471. Yet very different opinions were held at different times among the clergy, both as to the propriety of the performances of these plays in themselves, and as to the permissibility or participation in them by ecclesiastics. The objecting voices became louder and angrier, as those waves passed over the face of society which by their recuirence remind us that Puritanism is of no single age, and again as the dramatic performances themselves began to lose their specially religious character when lay hands came to engage in the same pui-Early in the thirteenth century the high-minded suit Pope Gregory IX prohibited in indignant terms the exhibition of dramatic spectacles in consecrated places, 'lest the honour of the Church should be defiled by these shameful practices' In 1227, the first year of his papacy, the Council of Treves had decreed the same prohibition. He passed away, however, in 1241, and before long the attitude of the Papacy towards the practice of religious plays was to undergo the memorable change already noticed, marked by the institution of the festival of Coipus Christi About halfway between these two dates falls the publication of the celebrated Manuel des Pechiez, of which the original was erroneously attributed to Bishop Grosseteste of Lincoln, the unsparing assailant of the shortcomings of the Church. Both the French original of this work, by William of Wadington, and the English version composed by Robert Mannyng of Brunne in 1303, under the title Handlyng Synne, enter at length into the question of miracle-plays. and lay it down that the clergy, though foibidden to 'make or, se' miracles, may 'play the Resurreccyun' in church, and the Nativity 2 I am inclined to conclude this to mean

² Collier, 1. 15 seqq., cf. Pollard, Introd xxiv-xxv.

¹ Collier, u. 69-70 As to Bishop Bale, and as to the possible monastic authorship of some of the collective mysteries, see below

that an illustration of the service—a-liturgical mystery in short—was held tolerable, while a miracle-play emancipated in scene, and more or less in treatment, from the control of authority was condemned as worldly foolishness. Not did the actual establishment of Corpus Christi, although marking a redintegratio amoris between Church and stage, by any means put a complete stop to even more sweeping censures

From the fourteenth century we have a sermon, by no means devoid of power, against 'miraclis playinge' in general, and in *Piers Plowman's Crede*, which was written shortly before the close of the same century, a friar minor prously exults—

'We haunten no tavernes, ne hobelen abouten, At marketes and Miracles we meddley us never'

Yet, as has been pointed out, less than a generation afterwards we find a friar minor at York interfering to bring about the annual representation of Corpus Christi plays, and called 'a professor of holy pageantry 2.' Wiclif, when reprobating the gross amusements by which the 'great solemnity' of Christmas is supposed to be honoured, speaks with scorn of him 'that can best play a pageant of the devil 3,' but he does not secur to the subject when discussing the various 'heresies and errors of frais' To the fifteenth century (in which, however, the Benedictine Lydgate composed a series of pageants 'from the Creation'), belongs a satirical poem against the 'free mynours' and their miracle-plays, in which the author expresses a pious hope that the friars will in due season burn in reality, as they now occasionally burn in character, in a 'cait made al of fyre' on the stage* Early in the reign of Henry VIII Dean Colet, when delivering an oratio ad clerum at St Paul's, quoted an old ordinance against a clergyman's being 'a public player,' and complained that in despite of it the clergy gave themselves up 'ludis et jocis". Not long afterwards Caidinal Wolsey included among ordinances framed by him for the Canons

¹ Reliquiae Antiquae, 11 42 segq

² Appendix to Drake's History of York, ap. Collier, i 20

See The Ave Maria, in The English Works of Wyclif, &c, ed by F. D. Matthew for the Early English Text Society (1880), p 206.

^{*} Religuiae Antiquae, 1. 322.
5 Collier, 1. 64.

Regular of St Austin a provision against their being players Finally, in 1542, Bishop Bonner forbade all performances of plays in his diocese, but the practice was not altogether extinguished, and from a tract of 1572 it appears that even at so late a date 'interludes' were occasionally played inside churches ¹ Indeed, an Queen Mary's reign, when an attempt was made to revive the religious, while suppressing the secular, drama, we hear of the performance 'on St Olave's day at night' in the church dedicated to that saint in Silver Street, London, of a stage-play treating of his miraculous life²

Performances by members of gilds and other lay actors

As has been already stated, an impulse of a quite unpiecedented kind was given to the performance of religious plays by the Church herself, through the confirmation of the Papal Bull instituting the festival of Corpus Christi Indeed the actual institution of that festival might be concluded to have been immediately followed by the performance of such plays by the members of the gilds in at least one important English city, were it possible to credit the tradition dating the origin of the Chester plays as falling within the years 1268-1276 Whether or not (as we have no right to assume) Chester set the example, and in whatever order of time and place that example was followed, or in part anticipated, the custom in question certainly flourished in a considerable number of English cities and towns during a period extending from the latter years of the thirteenth, through the course of the fourteenth and fifteenth, down to near the end of the sixteenth centuries As will be seen immediately, the actors in these representations were usually the members of the gilds or companies of tradesmen or handicraftsmen, but these worthies were not possessed of anything in the nature of an exclusive privilege. Thus in London, when after a lapse of nearly two centuries from the date mentioned above³, we come in the year 1378 upon a mention of plays, the choristers of St Paul's are found intent upon shutting the door on inexpert performers; and in 1391, as on subsequent occasions, the parish-clerks of the city are in possession of the field 1 At Winchester, it was the almsboys who as late as 1487 performed some version of the theme of The Harrowing of Hell, and the circumstance that at several localities (not only at smaller places like Bassingbouine in Cambridgeshire, Bethersden in Kent, and Heybridge in Essex, but also at Reading and Tewkesbury) the churchwaidens' accounts are charged with the expenses of the performances, points to the probability that they were carried on by mixed companies of laymen, organised under more or less clerical direction 2

External evidence of an inevitably uncertain kind, sup- Their geoported by suggestive analogies in other branches of early graphical English literature of relatively ample productivity inspired by the study of Scripture, points to the Anglian regions of the kingdom as the regions which most readily favoured these beginnings of our national drama⁸ Adopting this clue, we may give prerogative mention in this connexion to Wymondham near Norwich 4, and to Norwich itself. We may thence trace the movement through Eastern Mercia by Sleaford and Lincoln into Northumbia, where at Leeds, at Woodkiik neai Wakefield, at Beverley, at York itself from about the middle of the fourteenth century, and at Newcastleon-Tyne, the religious drama was assiduously cultivated by Leaving aside its devious migration to the citizens Edinburgh and as far north as Aberdeen, we find it prevalent in a series of towns in our English North-West, in Kendal, Lancaster, Preston, and nearing the Welsh

¹ Stow records that in this year the parish-clerks of London enacted a play at Skinner's Well, near Smithfield, in the presence of king, queen, and nobility, which lasted for three days Another play, which began with the Creation and lasted eight days, was performed at the same place in 1409 Collier, 1 27-8 Everybody remembers in Chaucer's Miller's Tale the parishclerk, the 'joly Absolon'

^{&#}x27;Sometime to shew his lightnesse and maistrie

He plaieth Herode on a skaffold hie'

² See the data ap Miss L Toulmin Smith, York Plays, Introduction, Ixiv-lxviii

³ See ten Branck, 11. 262-3 For the data on which the list in the text is based see Miss L. Toulmin Smith, u s, and Stoddard, 51-66, where will also be found a list of editions of English Mysteries

It was at the annual festival at Wymondham that in 1549 Ket's rebellion first broke out See Froude's History of England, chap. xxvi

border, firmly rooted at Chester Thence it spread across the sea into the English Pale at Dublin, and along the Welsh boundary to Shiewsbury, Worcester and Tewkesbury, reappearing beyond that boundary under altogether distinct conditions in Cornwall 1 In the heart of the Midlands, Coventry, where the first notice of plays exhibited by the companies is not earlier than 1416, was a well-known home of the religious drama, which was likewise familiar to Leicester in both the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, while to the East in Cambridgeshire it was cherished both at Cambridge itself and at Bassingbourne In Saxon England proper a taste for dramatic performances seems to have exerted itself more fitfully Their occurrence is mentioned, as has been seen, in London, in Essex, Heybridge and Manningtree 2 are noted for per-

¹ In Cornwall miracle-plays were at an early date performed in the native Three of these have been edited and translated by Mr Edwin Norris, under the title of The Ancient Cornish Drama (2 vols, Oxford, 1859) He states that the earliest MS of these dramas is apparently of the fifteenth century, but that then language shows their origin to belong to a period earlier than the fourteenth. The three plays ostensibly constitute a connected trilogy of which the several plays are to be performed on suc cessive days, but they are really four in number, viz (1) the Orgo Mundi. which in three divisions carries on the Old Testament story through some of its principal incidents from the Creation to the building of the Temple by Solomon, who consecrates a bishop to take care of it, there is added the episode of the martyrdom of Maximilla on refusing to abjure her belief in Christ (2) The History of Christ from the Temptation to the Chicifixion. here there is no break in the action (3) The Resurrection and the Ascension, but the action of this play is interrupted by that of (4) the Death of Pilate, which is quite detached from the rest. The whole ends with an antiphony of angels on the reception of the Son into Heaven by the Father, and an epilogue by 'the Emperor' There is not much in these Cymric plays to distinguish them from the many plays on Scriptural themes in Latin, French, and English, and, indeed, occasional French words occur —It may be added that we possess no notice of the actual performance of plays in Cornwall earlier than that occurring in Richard Carew's Survey, first printed in He mentions the Guary miracles, for the representation of which amphitheatres are, he says, raised in some open field. Two of these, of larger dimensions than those referred to by Carew, and popularly called Rounds, were described by Borlase in the middle of the eighteenth century; and one of these situate close to the principal inn in St Just Church-town, not far from the Land's End, I remember visiting some sixteen years since

I am aware that the plays acted at Manningtree were morals, but as in his reference to them in his Seven Deadly Sinnes of London (1606, Arber's edition, p. 45) Dekker expressly states that they were acted by tradesmen.

1]

formances, as also are Reading in Berkshire, Winchester in Hampshire and Canterbury and Bethersden in Kent 1.

Before calling attention to the most interesting features in such of the above-mentioned plays as have been preserved to us, I may premise one or two remarks as to the nomenclatures by which it has been sought to distinguish between them.

Their usual contemporary designation was plays, miracle- Names plays or miracles; the term mysteries not being employed given to the in England 2. Yet their character is essentially the same as plays. that of the mysteries in France; nor is there any obvious distinction as to method of treatment to be drawn between the popular mysteries and the popular miracles in England. even if we choose to accord to them respectively the designations actually appropriate to their several subjects 3. I perceive no proof of the theory that in England, as in France, the popular dramatic treatment of legendary preceded that of biblical subjects; nor am I struck by the suggestion of the a priori probability of such an order of sequence 4. As the well-known example of the play of Mary Magdalene 5 shows, the species were

they have been included in the above list. On the other hand, I have omitted performances at royal palaces. I have also omitted the production of the Shipwright's Play (which probably related to Noah's Flood) before Henry VII at Bristol, because it was in dumbshow.-Collier, ii. 67-8. According to a review of C. Penley, The Bath Stage, in the Athenaeum, November 19, 1892, miracle-plays were acted at Bath as early as the reign of Edward III in the church of St. Michael without the walls.

¹ The Resurrection at Witney in Oxfordshire seems to have been a puppet-play presented by priests. It contained the phrase ' Jack Snacker of Witney,' as applied to the watchman who, seeing our Lord rise from the grave, made a continual noise 'like to the sound that is made by the meeting of two sticks.' See Lambarde, ap. Warton, ii. 221.

² Chaucer's Wife of Bath, we may be sure, intended no nice distinction when mentioning 'playes of miracles' among the other social diversions

or excitements which were open to her.

3 In the Household Book of Henry VII they are on one occasion entered as 'marvels,' evidently a synonym of miracles. Collier, ii. 53 note.-Although the term mysteries was not in popular use in England, it may be well to guard against any possible confusion (since these plays were performed by members of particular gilds or trades) by observing that the word has no connexion with its homonym mystery or mistery (Lat. ministerium, Fr. métier), signifying an art or trade.

4 See ten Brinck, ii. 248-9.

Digby Mysteries. Cf. Pollard, Introduction, XX.

freely intermingled within the fiamework of a single composition. These plays also contain elements of the moralities, and in one instance at least we meet among them with a morality proper, in accordance with the definition given above. But since the moralities, although their form was moulded by the example of the miracles, have an origin of their own, it will be preferable to treat of them separately

The individual plays were usually called pageants,—a word spelt in every conceivable way, but indisputably derived from the Latin pango and Greek $\pi\eta\gamma\nu\nu\mu$ (whence pagina, pegma= $\pi\eta\gamma\mu$ a) It was no doubt originally applied to these plays in reference to the vehicles on which they were exhibited, but was afterwards used of stage-plays in general, even when regarded as books or literary compositions rather than as pieces actually put upon the stage 1

Collective character of the chief English series

In their origin many of the individual plays are doubtless founded on French models, others are taken directly from the text of Scripture, from the Apocryphal Gospels, and to some extent from the legends of the saints. But one of the most remarkable characteristics of the English religious plays, although by no means common to the whole body of them, is their combination into collective series, exhibiting the entire course of Bible history, from the Creation to the Day of Judgment. These collective series as such are essentially original national creations, not translations or even indirect copies of Fiench or any other foreign works 2 These were the series performed by the gilds, crafts, or trade-companies of most of the towns mentioned above at Corpus Christi, though some of them were likewise, or even exclusively, performed at other great Church festivals, such as Whitsuntide and Candlemas

Method of their performance The method of performing these plays has been frequently described, nor is it part of my purpose to attempt another detailed exposition of it. The following brief statement, based chiefly, but not altogether, upon late accounts of

¹ Cf. as to the Pageant of the Holy Trunty (a bound and illuminated MS, temp. Hen. VI), Collier, 1. 35, and see 16 56

As to the relation between the French Mystere du Vieil Testament and the Chester plays see below, but this can in no case be held to contradict the general statement in the text

the Chester series 1, must therefore suffice in the present It seems to have been usual in some towns for public proclamation to be made beforehand of the performance of these plays, and a document of this kind has been preserved dating from the early part of the fifteenth century, in which the Mayor of Yorkompresses upon the ciafts the duty of bringing forth 'ther pagantez in order and course by good players, well arayed and openly spekyng, vpon payn of lesyng of Cs to be paide to the chambre without any pardon' In the same proclamation he bids 'euery player that shall play be redy in his pagiaunt at convenyant tyme, that is to say, at the mydhowre betwix muth and vth of the cloke in the mornynge', whereupon all the pageants are to follow on one another without delay, under a penalty of 6s 8d (an angel)2. Elsewhere, a special messenger made the round of the city some time before the actual date of the performances, at Chester, where the Whitsun performances were thus proclaimed as early as St George's Day (April 23), this proceeding was called 'the readinge of the banes' (bans) It seems to have been distinct from a species of general prologue, spoken by a herald of one kind or another immediately before the performances themselves Each series was divided into a number of 'pageants,' plays, or actions, according to the number of the companies between whom the performance as a whole had been distributed At Woodkirk there were thirty-two, at York forty-eight, at Chester twenty-four, at Coventry forty-three. Thus the performance of the series occupied from three days (at Chester) to double that number, unless (as at Coventry) it was broken off in the middle and played in two parts in two successive years. The distribution of the individual plays among the companies seems in the first instance to have depended upon the 'properties' and 'business' required for the several plays. Who but the goldsmiths could furnish the Three

See Miss Toulmin Smith, York Plays, Introd XXXIV.

¹ By Archdeacon Rogers, who died in 1595, and who saw the Whitsun plays performed at Chester in the preceding year See Wright, Introd. to Chester Plays (Shakespeare Society's Publications, RIX-XX), and Sharp, Dissertation on Coventry Mysteries, 17-18

Kings with the golden crowns marking their royal dignity, who but the shipwrights could build up Noah's ark 1? Thus then 'euery company brought forthe their pagiente, which was the carriage or place which the played in' (1 e on) 'And they first beganne at the Abbaye gates, and when the firste pagiente was played at the Abbaye gates, then it was wheeled from thence to the pentice' (penthouse) 'at the highe crosse before the Mayor, and before that was donne, the seconde came, and the firste wente into the Watergate streete, and from thence vnto the Bridge-streete. and soe all, one after an other, till all the pagientes were played appoynted for the firste daye, and so likewise for the seconde and the thirde daye2. Thus in the course of each day, as moveable scaffold after moveable scaffold passed from station to station, the clowd gathered in the different parts of the town had an opportunity of witnessing the whole sequence of the series presented, and of critically comparing the efforts of the tanners with those of the plasterers, those of the hosiers with those of the spicers, and As for the actual arrangement of these moveable stages, 'these pagientes or carrage was a highe place made like a howse with ij rowmes, beinge open on the tope in the lower rowme they apparelled and dressed them selues, and in the higher rowme they played and they stoode vpon 6 wheeles' To this description it may be worth adding, first, that the moveable stage at times was insufficient to meet the demands of the action, and at times the street itself had to serve as a sort of supplementary scene Balaam, for instance, and the Three Magi, and Saul on his journey to Damascus, had to appear mounted 3; and as for Herod, he 'ragis in the pagond and in the streete also 4.' Again, when the action was of a more complicated nature, two or more scaffolds seem to have been ranged side by side of one another, the actors moving from scaffold to

2 See a similar programme at York, York Plays, Introd xxxii-iii.

¹ Ten Brinck, n 257-9

Thus we have the following stage-direction in the Conversion of Saul (Digby Mysteries, Abbotsford Club ed, p 37. Here Sale rydyth forth with his seruant about the place owt of the pagond.

The Shearmen and Taylors Pageant at Coventry, ap Sharp, p. 107.

scaffold as might be necessary This device, together with the simple expedient of writing the name of each locality over whatever rude pretence of scenery may have been painted or set up at the back of the stage, made it possible to execute dramatic movements of some complexity without their becoming unintelligible 1, and to carry on the double action necessitated by the plan of some of the plays2 Much, as a matter of course, was left to the imagination. and there is no proof that the English mystery-stage was, like that in France, regularly divided into three platforms with a dark cavern at the side of the lowest, appropriated respectively to the Heavenly Father and his angels, to saints and glorified men, to mere men, and to souls in hell Hell-mouth, however, was an English as well as a French institution, and much care seems to have been bestowed upon representing it with sufficient elaboration 3 Demons with hideous heads issued from it 4, or flames burst foith in token of the fire within 5; but the introduction of 'yerthequakes' seems to belong to the degeneracy of the religious drama The costumes, as to which we have an abundance of details in the accounts of the gilds, no doubt varied according to the liberality as well as according to the tastes of the several trades, and we may be sure there was no lack of glitter or coloui In part the dress or attributes were conventional Divine and saintly personages were distinguished by gilt hair and beards6. Herod, as he swoie by Mahownde,' was also dressed as a Saracen; Judas had a red hair and beard, the demons were hideous heads and long tails7, the

² E g of the York play of The Dream of Pulate's Wife and Jesus before Pulate Cf Introduction to York Plays, hv

⁸ See the startling illustrations ap Sharp, pp 61 segg

⁸ See the Coventry banes mentioned above, where gilt is described as a sufficient 'disfigurement,' i.e disguise, for the purpose

[†] Hodge, in Gammer Gurton's Needle, gives a very distinct description of

¹ E g in the Coventry *Trual of Christ 'Ludus Coventriae*, p 303) 'Here their take Jhesu and lede hym in gret hast to Herowde, and then Herowdys scafald xal unclose, shewing Herowde in a stat [on a throne], alle the Jewys knelyng, except Annas and Cayaphas'

⁴ In the Transfiguration in the York Plays Ehas is brought from paradise and Moses from hell

^{5 &#}x27;Itm,' says an entry relating to the *Drapers' Pageant* at Coventry, 'payd for kepying of fyer at hell mothe injd' Sharp, p 73

souls were clad in white or black coats according to their kind, and the angels shone in gold skins and wings Customary tricks of manner added their aid, the devil never entered upon the stage without bustle, fuss, and violent language, while alliteration more impartially emphasised the fury of Helod, the enthusiasm of the Magi, and the solemnity of the Saviour on His liberating descent into hell

The
-pectotors
of the
nuracleplays

Many further details of this description have been collected by M1 Sharp and other authorities, together with much interesting matter as to the system by which provision was made for the considerable expenditure involved in the production of these plays But it may perhaps be advisable, where no enquity of a specialistic kind is in question, to refrain from dwelling too much upon these external points, and thereby indulging the sense of the grotesque at the risk of overlooking more important features common to all these compositions No doubt the surroundings amidst which they were produced cannot, and should not, be dissociated For these surroundings go some way to account fiom them for what has struck other generations as incongruous or odd. -The visible symbols of their religious creed, the personalities or the supposed personalities of its most sacred figures, marked with every detail of attribute as long conventionally established,—all this was as familiai to the eyes of the mediaeval population as the diction and cadence of the Bible text afterwards became to their puritanised successors When at the corner of every street men were accustomed to see a sculpture in stone or wood representing the Passion, or the Mouining Mother of the Saviour, or the Saint of the Keys, or the Saint of the Wheel,—when in every chuich coloured fiescoes brought before them the familiar figures and scenes,-when in every procession banners waved with dazzling reproductions of the same types,—men interlarded their common speech with reminiscences of the sights to

the devil, as he appeared in these plays Cf. Sharp, p 58 One of the stage-directions in Skelton's Nigromansir (1515 c) is, 'Enter Balsebub with a berde,' appendages of this kind being attached, conveniently for stage-use, to a vizard.

which their eyes were habituated, and appealed without a thought of irreverence to Mary and Paul, and to the bones and the wounds, and the instruments of the Passion, of the Saviour Himself - Thus the attitude of the spectators towards the muacle-plays, of the action, moved entirely round these figures and conceptions, was in a word the naif, which is the direct opposite of that which many modern witnesses have (in their case quite as naturally) assumed towards them 1

have been the case, their training as clerks raised them above hear results a scalar and the same states of the same states and the same states are the same states as the same states are the same states as the same states are their public) could not for a moment mistake the audiences for whom they wrote This by no means implies an utter absence from this body of literary remains of the graces and chaims of composition, as a whole their literary talent may be said to surpass their diamaturgic skill, although even of this evidence is by no means wanting . But these graces and charms—except perhaps in some of the lyrical passages, where we cannot be wrong in perceiving something like an attempt at elaboration 2-may fairly be described as the result of accident Frequently, no doubt, the simple and direct handling of such themes, and the use of language always clear and vigorous, and thus often recalling or resembling that of our own Authorised Version, creates efforts which in their way nothing could suipass, at times

(especially, I think, in the earlier collections) we seem to recognise the unmistakeable ease of priests and monks dealing with religious subjects which have become part of their daily life as well as of their highest thoughts, and yet at other times, as is the case even with the dullest writers into whose hands such materials fall, the cry of nature reaches

The writers of these plays (whether or not, as may usually Their

^{1 &#}x27;It is very difficult for me,' wrote the late Mr Charles Lowder from Oberammergau, 'to write just after coming from the Passion Play, for it is like coming out of a Retreat, with one's feelings worked up to the very highest pitch, and so very difficult to return to one's ordinary state'

I refer to the text only, not to what has been preserved or discovered of the music The songs belonging to the Shearmen's and Taylors' Pageant (The Shepherds and the Three Kings) are printed with their music ap Sharp, 113 seqq One has the burden 'lully lullay' As to the reminiscences of old church music preserved in connexion with the York plays see the notes of Mr W H Cummings and Miss L, Toulmin Smith, York Plays, pp. 523-7

from heart to heart. On the other hand the familiarity of treatment, springing from the navieté of sentiment already referred to, expresses itself most strikingly in the considerable comic element which these plays contain would not have occurred either to authors or audience that the former were dishonouring the sacred narrative by patching it with rude lappets of their own invention, or that a bit of buffoonery introduced into a religious play implied irreverence towards its holy theme, any more than a grotesque head disfigured the column in a church of which it diversified the ornamentation *Of course the historic sense—the sense of what is correct—was as completely wanting in these plays as a sense of what was fitting, but the anachronisms of the Middle Ages do not puzzle us as much as their impropileties, more especially as the jester in these plays as elsewhere thrusts himself forward with loud laugh or protruded tongue. often at the most critical points in the action So far as there is herein anything incomprehensible, it may be worth remembering that Greek, and more especially Roman, paganism seems to have shared this way of feeling with mediaeval Christendom, for it was often on the greatest festivals of the greatest among the deities of classical heathendom that vulgar licence was allowed to run riot . To sum up, the chief interest of these plays, as has been well said, was in England, as it was un Germany, tragic 1. This was in accordance with the temperament of our nation, and with the general character of its literature, while untouched by other national influence But although the gaiety of France, which is the gaiety of Chaucer, had not yet permeated the population of England as a whole, the grossness of many passages in these plays is manifestly of indigenous origin, and points to the slow progress of aesthetic culture rather than to an absence of moral sentiment

Collective Mysieries. It seems most convenient to treat of the extant cycles of English Collective Mysteries, as they have been appropriately termed, before speaking of a few isolated plays, some of which may in date possibly be anterior to any of the series preserved to us. In the form in which these cycles—four in

By Henry Morley, English Writers, &c, 1 355

number-have actually come into our hands two of them appear to belong to the fourteenth, and the other two to the fifteenth and the sixteenth century, respectively I proceed to say a few words concerning each, in their probable chronological order

The York Plays 1 are not actually mentioned before the York Plays year 1378, but the references to them in this and subsequent years imply that they had been in progress for some considerable time before, and there cannot be much doubt but that they were written about 1340-50, if not even rather They exhibit a closer parallel than any of the other cycles to a very notable poem, which in epic form had not more than a generation earlier set an example which was of unmistakeable influence upon the Collective Mysteries, and the phase of the English religious diama to which they belong The Cursor Mundi (Cursur o Werld) survives in many MSS., for it was a very popular work in various parts of the country-'The best book of all,'

according to a rubiic in one of the MSS—but its origin was in Noithumbria, and its conception of treating the sacred history of the world in its entire course was congenial to the soil from which it sprang. Although undertaken with the definite purpose of rendering honour to the Virgin Mary, with a glorification of whose miraculous conception it ends, its plan is as comprehensive as that of the Collective Mysteries which followed in its wake, and like them it is built up not from the Scriptural narrative alone, but also from the Apocryphal Gospels and a number of legends of later growth. Its treatment of its subjects distinctly points in the direction of the drama, being full of teise and lively dialogue 2.

¹ York Plays, &c, edited with Introduction and Glossary by Lucy Toulmin Smith, 1885-a contribution of the highest value to the study of the English religious drama

² See e g. the legend of Seth and Adam (which is reprinted in Morris' well-known Specimens of Early English, and which seems to have been reproduced in one of the Beverley plays (non-extant), which were doubtless connected with the York cycle As to the relations between the Cursor Munds and the Mysteries see ten Branck, i 360

To the Cursor Mundi the York Plays, as observed, are more closely parallel than any other of the extant collections, and the York cycle is comparatively free from the tendency to jocularity and vulgarity which becomes already very perceptible in the Towneley Plays, beyond all reasonable doubt the next oldest of our cycles. In any case it is certain that either the Towneley Plays were indebted to the York for the substance of five of each series, or vice versa, and since, though both series are written in the Northumbrian dialect, the Towneley collection appears in part at least to have been put together from other sources, whereas the York plays as a whole exhibit a nearer approach to unity of manner, there can be little hesitation as to crediting them with the higher antiquity

York, says Miss Toulmin Smith, 'was from the fourteenth to the sixteenth century a play-loving city,'-and indeed it has enjoyed the same reputation in times nearer to our own To the Paternoster and Creed plays, which were performed at the cost of gilds established in the city for the pui pose, some refeience will be made below, moreover, York, like other towns, had at Midsummer a play of St George, with a procession appertaining to it. But its chief dramatic glory is to be found in the Corpus Christi plays, performed by the crafts under conditions carefully supervised by Mayor and Corporation, and after about half a century of popularity famous enough to be honoured (in 1394) by the presence of King Richard II. The distribution of the plays among the several crafts must have varied according to the fluctuations of trade, hence the statements on this head of the extant MS. of the plays, which seems to belong to the period from 1430 to 1440, do not altogether tally with a list of plays performed and crafts performing drawn up by a town-clerk of York in the year I415.

The author of the plays, the bulk of which may, as already observed, be fairly concluded to have been the work of a single hand, was in all probability a monk of Northern training, if not of Northern buth. He may be supposed to have been familiar with the religious poetry of his own part

of England, and likewise, as the variety and grace of his metrification seems to show, with French verse or native verse of a Southern origin He had, however, a genuine Northern love of alliteration, which he uses copiously, and even in combination with a tole-ably complicated stanza-His sources were in the first instance the Old and the New Testament, but the former in a very much smaller proportion than the latter Of the very first of the Old Testament plays, The Creation, and the Fall of Lucifer, the portion indicated in the latter half of the title is taken from legend, not from Scriptuie 4, while in the New Testament plays, which are linked to their predecessors by a series of prophecies recited by a Prologue as introductory to the play of the Annunciation, use is made of the Apocryphal Gospels of James and of Nicodemus, and of legendary sources which still await complete identification 8 What the author adds of his own consists in the main of homely figures names and illustrations, together with a tendency, creditable to his dramatic instinct, to draw out to some length scenes and episodes such as naturally lend themselves to effective treatment Yet he rarely becomes tedious, and is as a rule free from inclination towards the rough fun which becomes so prominent in some of the later cycles 4

The story of the *Creation* with which the series of the *York Plays* opens, is divided into two plays—of which the first brings the audience face to face with the majesty of the Creator, and exhibits the angels singing their 'Holy, Holy, Holy!' before His throne But among them appear the

Whether the legend belongs in its origin to the fourth or to the fifth century must here be left an open question

¹ See the ornate stanzas sung by eight burgesses in welcome of the Lord on His Entry into Jerusalem on an Ass, pp 216-8

³ Such is the case, as Miss Toulmin Smith points out, with the incident, alike poetically beautiful and dramatically effective, of the brilliant light shining round Jesus which amazes the soldiers seeking Him in the garden of Gethsemane (*The Agony and the Betrayal*, p 251), which by the way has its counterpart in the light perceived by Joseph in the stable at Bethlehem at the moment of the Saviour's birth (p 114), and again with the blossoming of Joseph's rod in the Temple, whereby as by a sign he was led to take Mary to wife (*Joseph's Trouble about Mary*, p. 103). It would not be difficult to supply analogies to the notion of the light from secular poetry and legend.

'angeli deficientes,' whose spokesman, Lucifei, aftei exulting in his piide 1, falls down into hell, whence he speedily sends forth his complaints, beginning with the familiar 'Owte owte! harrowe!' The story of Adam and Eve, and of their Fall, occupies the next four plays, of which the last, with Adam's fluent and not unmusical lamentation over his doom², may be specially noticed Neither the Sacrificium Cayme and Abell not Noah and his Wife has the force of the raciness which respectively characterise later versions of these themes, but in the former there unluckily occurs a gap at the height of the action 3 Noah's wife already presents herself as the popular type of the burden which, when on the eve of action, a man is apt to find in a wife with a will of her own 4, but what is farcical in the situation—her deteimination not to be saved, because she had no due notice, and her refusal to let Noah 'go qwitte' by an appeal to God's declaration of His will-is not overdone, and the 'incident' itself is not unduly protracted The latter part of the play (which, by the way, was performed by the Mariners and Fishers)—the life in the ark, as the waters wane, and the skies clear, and after the visit of the dove the patriarch sees

'here certaynely
The hillis of hermonye 5,'—

strikes me as picturesquely conceived, it ends with a cheerful summons to work such as a pilgiim father might have

'O' what I am fetys and fayre and figured full fytt,' &c

'Eve Be stille, Adam, and nemen it na mare,
It may not mende'

'Cayme Come vp! sir knaue!

Brewb O! maister Cayme, I haue brokenemy to!

Cayme Come vp, syr, for by my thryst,

Ye shall drynke or ye goo'

³ Brewbarret, Cain's servant, who brings corn for the altar, is a later addition, but his arrival seems out of place as the text stands, unless Cain's behaviour to him is intended to illustrate the devil-me-care mood which may follow upon crime

⁴ The episode was an mexhaustible source of fun to the Middle Ages Chaucer alludes in *The Milleres Tale* to

^{&#}x27;The sorwe of Noe with his felawship, Or that he might get his wif to ship'

⁵ Armenia.

addressed to his family on the shoies of the New World In the Sacrifice of Abraham and Isaac the tragic effects seem subjected to a certain restiaint like the comic in the instances referred to, and it may need something of an effort to picture to ourselves an Isaac of thirty years and a good bit more. But the notion lends force to the central idea of the play, when the strong man is found urging his aged father to bind him for the sacrifice.

Of the plays concerned with the New Testament narrative and early Christian narrative several, as already observed, are in substance common to the York and to the Towneley Although in these instances the York Plays may have been the originals, yet of the cycle in general in its relation to its successors, we may fauly assume that in its progress from edition to edition-or from performance to performance-it frequently borrowed enlargements and improvements in its turn But the author remains true to his own peculiarities of treatment or interest. Toseph is a character for whom he exhibits a special tenderness, and whom he treats, although from a wholly human point of view, with a degree of respect not always vouchsafed to this saint in the religious drama The Shepherds' worship of the Babe, and their primitive gifts,-

'A baren broche by a belle of tynne At youre bosome to be,'

two cob-nuts on a ribbon, and a horn spoon that will harbour forty pease—furnish an innocent little idyll. In some of the

'Sones, with youre wiffes ye salle be stedde, And multyplye your seede salle ye Youre barnes sall ilkon othir wedde, And worshippe god in gud degre, Beestes and foules sall forthe be bredde, And so a world be gynne to bee Nowe travaylle sall ye taste

To wynne you bred and wyne, For alle this worlde is waste;
These beestes muste be unbraste, And wende we hense in haste

In goddis blyssing and myne'
'For ye are alde and all vnwelde, And I am wighte and wilde of thoghte'

later plays the author shows a more vigorous vein of dramatic inventiveness In the Woman taken in Adultery, which forms a kind of pioemium to the Raising of Lazarus, the clamorousness of the lawyers contrasts effectively with the calm of the Saviour, and the effect of His triumphant ride into Jerusalem is effectively enhanced by the introduction of the Blind Man and the Lame Man, following as suppliants in the track of His progress In the treatment of the episode of Pilate's wife and her dream the author gives more iein than usual to his fancy, his notion of 'Dame Percula' seems to have been that of a fashionable beauty, without whom the grandeur of Pilate, the son of 'Sesar' and of Pila the daughter of Atus, would have lacked completeness After drinking together, both Pilate and Percula go to sleep, and (this is a curious touch) the Devil whispers into her ear the dream which moves her to try to arrest the doom of Jesus whereby the world is to be redeemed The incidents of the Passion are represented at considerable length, and in the actual process of the crucifixion or nailing to the cross there is a calculated realism of which it is easy enough to picture to oneself the effectiveness In the latter part of the series are included three plays, the Death of Mary, the Appearance of our Lady to Thomas, and the Assumption and Coronation of the Virgin, alike taken from the apocryphal legend known under the name of Transitus Marias, but the last play of all, The Judgment Day, rounds off the cycle, as in the Towneley Plays, by bringing back the whole of the action, as it were, into the hollow of the hand of God 1

Of the *Beverley* Corpus Christi plays, the notices of which cover a period of nearly two centuries from the year 1407 onwards, no texts remain to us, there can, however, be no doubt as to their intimate connexion with the York cycle Of the *Newcastle-on-Tyne* Corpus Christi plays, first men-

¹ The Coronation of our Lady is a fragment added to the MS in another hand, conjectured by Miss Toulmin Smith to be of the end of the fifteenth century. The Son's apostrophe of the Father as

^{&#}x27;fulgent Phoebus and fader eternall' apprises us that we are here in the Renascence age, Cf. ten Brinck, ii. 300

tioned in 1426, on the other hand, a single one has been preserved of which some account will be given below We pass at once to the second collective series which has Townelly been preserved to us-the Towneley Plays, or Mysteries, as it has been usual to designate them 1 Although the MS in which they are pieserved is not held to have an earlier date than the beginning of the fifteenth century, they were in all likelihood of earlier origin. But the considerations advanced above 2 render it very unlikely that they were put together before the middle of the fourteenth century, nor can a passing allusion to costume 3, which has been thought to warrant dating them from an even earlier age than the fourteenth century, be looked upon in the light of serious evidence The supposition of Douce, that these plays were composed so late as the reign of Henry VI or Edward IV, seems to have been formed on general grounds I have already referred to the probability that their sources were composite, and that they were largely indebted to the York Plays in especial The curious circumstance, that in the Magnus Herodes King Herod ends by saying that he 'can no more Franche' (he has previously used a French phrase 'Yer ditizance doutance,' 1 e j'ai dit sans doutance), might be supposed to point to a French origin of this particular play, it is more likely, however, that Herod, like Octavian in one of the Chester Plays (vide infra), talks French in order to indicate his loval station, in which case the origin of this particular play can hardly be dated later than the fourteenth century 4

The Towneley Plays take their name from the circumstance that the MS. in which they have been preserved formed part of the library of Towneley Hall in Lancashire. According to what appears to have been a tradition in the

¹ The Towneley Mysteries, printed for the Surtees Society, 1836 The editors are not named, but are understood to have been Dr James Raine and Mr James Gordon A good Glossary, attributed to the latter, accompanies the plays, which are preceded by a brief Introduction, but unfortunately unaccompanied by notes.

² Ante, p. 66.

³ The 'hornyd headdress' of the lady referred to in the Juditum.

^{*} See also below as to the French of the Nuncius in the Coventry Shearmen and Taylors' Pageant,

Towneley family, the volume had formerly belonged to the 'Abbey of Wildkirk near Wakefield' Although no such Abbey, nor so far as 1s known any place of the name ever existed near Wakefield, there is in that neighbourhood a place called Widkirk or Woodkirk 1, where the Austin Friais had a cell, in dependence on the great house of St Oswald at Nostel Fairs were kept up at Widkirk from an early date to the time of the Reformation, and as the local allusions in the plays are plentiful, they may be presumed to have been performed at the fairs in question 'Meiry' Wakefield, four miles from Widkirk, must have been a town very conservative of old customs2, and that these plays were acted by the Wakefield gilds is clear from the words 'Wakefelde Barkers,' 'Glover Pageant,' 'Fysher Pageant,' inserted at the commencement of three among their number The last two of the plays, which out of the chronological order of the series form part of the MS in which it is preserved 3, seem later in origin than the rest, and in the Johannes Baptista a passage in honour of the Seven Sacraments is crossed through and marked, doubtless by a hand belonging to the times of the Reformation, as 'correctyd and not played'

In general, there is no reason to doubt that the composition or compilation of the *Towneley Plays* is due to the finars of Widkiik or Nostel. The ecclesiastical learning shown is, however, by no means ostentatiously introduced, the plays have an essentially popular character, and were unmistakeably written for the delectation of the multitude. Hence they are written in the dialect of the district where they were acted, and contain so endless a number of dialect words and forms—many of them undoubtedly of Scandinavian origin—so that, like the *York Plays*, they are by no means easy reading. This is matter for regret, for it seems to me that, while less self-restrained than the *York*.

² Cf, as to one of these, Greene's George-a-Greene, the Punner of Wakefield (infra).

¹ Widkirk' is the older and more correct spelling See Prof Skeat's letter to the Athenacum, December 2, 1893

Lasarus and Suspenito Judae. The former is largely, and the latter altogether, in monologue

scries, they are far superior to the *Coventry*, and even more enjoyable than the *Chester*, plays Their dramatic vivacity, and in many parts their original humour, are to my mind very striking

They are thirty-two in number, beginning with the Creatio and ending (apart from the two later additions) with the Juditium, 1 e Doomsday Of the play of the Shepherds, which by reason of its homely characters and action and local allusions could not fail to be a favourite, there are two independent versions But the object of the writers of these plays was manifestly to amuse and interest as well as to edify, and the literary composition, though of course rude, is at times anything but contemptible How effectively clear and concise e g is the narrative of St Joseph in the Annunciacio, how conversationally easy, yet dignified, is the beginning of the dialogue between the Blessed Virgin and St Elisabeth in the Salutacio Elisabeth, and how adequate in diction are the opening reflexions on the uncertainty of human life in the Prima Pastorum 'Lord, what thay ar weylle that hens at past,' &c ! At the same time, a striking feature in these plays is undoubtedly the familiar and frequently comic treatment of sacred story with which they abound Thus in the Mactacio Abel much farcical entertainment is furnished by Cain's boy or garcio, whom we have already met with in the York Plays, and whom here his master, in order to shut his mouth, after addressing him by the name of Pike-harnes (1 e one who cannot keep his hands from picking and stealing the implements of labour 1), in vain proposes to manumit from seifdom. Cain's dispute with Abel, his defiance of God, and his mock proclamation of peace after his deed of blood, are, I regret to say, likewise in a vein calculated to move the laughter of the spectators

In the *Processus Noe cum Filius*², which follows, Noah begins with a kind of summary of the previous history of the world, and is then bidden by *Deus* to build the ark. He sets to work with great lamentations over the stiffness

¹ I am told, however, that in Scotland 'pike-harnes' signifies a kind of crow that picks out the brains of sheep

As to the significance of the term processus vide ank, p. 44

of his 'bak' and the starkness of his 'bonys', and when the ark is built he has the greatest possible difficulty in inducing his wife to enter—In their quarrel, both Noah and his wife appeal to the sympathy of husbands or wives in the audience, and finally she is only brought to reason by being 'bet blo' The Abraham represents with effective vivacity, and some genuine feeling, the sacrifice of Isaac, who here clings desperately to life—The two plays which follow under the respective titles of Isaac and Facob have been thought to admit of being separated from the rest of the cycle as an independent Northumbrian play Facob and Esau of earlier origin 1. It is not till the Processus Prophetarum that action is exchanged for iecitation, Moses recites the commandments (ending with—

'My name is callyd Moyses, And have now alle good day'),

he is followed by David, and Sibilla propheta The figure of the Sibyl is familiar to the mysteries², but here, after two Latin hexameters (not from Vergil), she merely recites a general Messianic prophecy. The Pharao, again, is full of action, the Egyptian king swearing by 'Mahowne,' like Caesar Augustus in the next pageant, where he is found instituting the universal payment of a poll-tax in order to discover the Child, Whose approaching birth and royal destiny have been announced to him. With the Annunciacio commences the series of New Testament plays. Of these, the two Shepherds' Plays are in the main comic pieces, especially the former of the pair, where the supper and drinking-bout of the shepherds are represented at great length. In the latter, a 'play within the play'—a 'merry tale' of the sheep-stealer Mak—is

¹ See ten Brinck, u. 253-4, and Appendix, p 626

³ The Christian Apologists took over from their pagan contemporaries the habit of appealing to the so called 'oracles of the Sibyl', and the Missa pro Fidelibus Defunctis cited her testimony with that of David, whence the well-known line in the Dies Irae

^{&#}x27;Teste David cum Sibyllâ'

See Edinburgh Review, July, 1877 A representation of her may be seen at this day on the walls of the Salle du Consisions in the Papal Palace at Avignon, alongside of the other 'Prophets

introduced Historians will find in these passages interesting illustrations of the contemporary manners and customs, the food, and the language of the labouring classes, which lie beyond my subject, and will condone the odd anachronism of the invocation addressed by one of the shepherds, as he falls asleep before the appearance of the Angel, to

'Jesus o' Nazorus, Crucyefixus, Marcus, Andreas'

The low humour-and it is very low-of these two plays doubtless constituted their special attraction for their audience 1, the charming naiveté of the shepherds' worship of the Divine Babe, to whom they offer simple gifts—a ball, a bird, a 'bob of cherrys'-and whom they address in touchingly tender terms of endearment, may have been suggested by the corresponding York play The remaining Towneley plays, in particular those concerned with the incidents of the Passion, are of course serious in tone, but a strong desire is manifest throughout to diversify the action by the introduction of minor characters-see e g. the Tortores in the Coliphizatio (1 & Buffeting), in the Crucifixio, and in the curious Processus Talentorum, which treats of Pilate's decision as to the garments of the Saviour This play is opened by Pilate with a macaronic speech, half in Latin rimes, and closes with a moral reflexion on the part of one of the Tortores on the vanity of 'dysyng,' and with their dismissal by Pilate with 'Mahowne's' blessing The next play is the Extractio Animarum ab Inferno, or the saving of the souls of the just (Adam and Eve, Isaias, John the Baptist, &c.) from limbo,—the familiai topic of so much mediaeval poetry 2 'Belzabub' and Rybald' appear in this play as the counsellors of 'Sir Sathanas'; on the whole, however, the Devil appears unfrequently in the Towneley

^{&#}x27; The following 'advice to people about to marry' occurs in the Secunda Pastorum:

^{&#}x27;Bot youg men of wowyng, for God that you boght, Be welle war of wedyng, and thynk in youre thought "Had I wyst" is a thing it servys of noght'

² See below as to The Harrowing of Hell.

Plays The Resurrectio, the Peregrim (the journey to Emmaus), the Thomas Indiae (the unbelief of Thomas), the Ascencio Domini, and the Juditium¹, close the series proper of this Collective Mystery

Chester Plays Of the Chester Plays², preserved to us in four MSS varying in date between the years 1597 and 1607, it seems unsafe to carry the origin further back than the earlier part of the fifteenth or the end of the fourteenth century, though tradition has assigned to them a much earlier date, attributing their composition to the period of the mayoralty of John Anneway (1268–1276), and to the authorship of one done Randle' (Randell Higgenett), a monk of Chester Abbey To what extent some of them were indebted to French originals remains doubtful, not only, however, have several remaikable coincidences been pointed out by both Collier and Wright between the Chester Plays and French Mysteries, in particular the Mystère du Vieil Testament de both a more systematic enquiry seems to make

² The Chester Plays, edited by Thomas Wright (2 vols Shaksp Soc 1843 and 1847) The first thirteen of the plays were re-edited from the 1607 text, which both he and Mr Pollard consider the best, by the late Dr H Deimling for the Early English Text Society, (Extra Series, LXII, 1892)

¹ In the Juditum the most loquacious of the devils, Tutivillus, says that he is now 'master Lollar' Collier, ii 146, points out that this establishes 'that the writer was an enemy of Wackliffe's heresy, and probably an ecclesiastic,' but the date of the composition of this play is not determinable by the passage

³ See Dr H Ungemach's exhaustive research, Die Quellen der funf ersten Chester Plays (Erlangen und Leipzig, 1890) - The curious circumstance that the Emperor Octavian (in the play of The Salutation and Nativity) makes a French speech, is regarded by Mr Wright as 'only a picture of the age when French was the language of courtiers in the English Court' (Pilate, too, introduces himself with a few lines of French both in The Passion and in The Resurrection) Now, French had ceased to be the language of the English Court by the reign of Richard II, to whom Gower dedicated the first edition of his English poem, and to whose queen Chaucer contingently offered his Legende of Good Women Under the Lancasters (Chaucer certainly wrote for John of Gaunt, although the Assemble of Foules may not have referred to his wedding) French had beyond a doubt vanished from the English Court, and Shakspere was quite justified in assuming the victorious Henry V to have been the reverse of well-seen in it The transition period, marked by the works of Gower, was the reign of Edward III, in which therefore this particular play might hence be concluded to have been, at the latest, composed -In the dramatic literature of India, Sansont is the language of gods and holy personages, Pracrit of

17

it probable that the author or authors of the *Chester Plays* on Old Testament subjects were likewise acquainted with earlier, non-collective French mysteries. In the main the plays follow the narrative of Scripture, but there are passages and episodes taken from legend, and at least one from an apocryphal Gospel. In this series also many resemblances have been found to the *Cursor Munda*¹

These plays were acted at Whitsuntide, and, consisting of twenty-five, occupied three days in the performance. It was preceded by banes (i.e. bans or proclamations), forming a species of prologue. In the banes pieserved to us from the year 1600, an apology is made for the rudeness of the plays, as dating from 'the tyme of ignorance, wherein we did straye', and the subjects of the several plays, with the names of the gilds or companies of tradesmen and handicraftsmen to whom they were severally allotted, are enumerated. Among these the Drapers as a 'wealthy Companye' are bidden, 'according to their wealth,' to 'set out wealthilye' the Creation of the World, while 'the good symple' 'water-leaders and drawers of Deey' are charged with the performance of the story of 'Noy'

The Chester Plays are unequal in merit, but in very few instances is there to be traced in them any attempt to supplement by pathos or humour in the language the force of the situations represented. They are altogether less popular in character than those of the two cycles previously described, and in several of the plays an 'Expositor' or 'Doctor' deliberately 'moralises' the action. The Fall of Lucifer, which commences the series, although very simple and straightforward in its exposition—no mistake is allowed to remain as to the fact that pride and pride alone is the cause of Lucifer's fall—is by no means ineffective, and connects itself in a natural way with its successor. The Creation and Fall, and Death of Abel consists of two plays in one, first, the Creation is very dryly narrated by the Creator, where-

women and genu, but this distinction is more analogous to that familiar to the modern drama, where elevated persons so often use blank verse, while their inferiors talk in prose.

¹ Cf ante, p 65.

upon Lucifer appears and assumes the form of the serpent or 'edder' in order to tempt Eve He chooses a method of temptation to which he thinks she must succumb, for, as he states with singular prescience—

'— wemen the be full licoris, That will she not forsake'

After the fall, the action is rapidly carried on over thirty years, and the sacrifice of the brothers Cain and Abel and the murder of Abel are represented Cain, after being reproved by Deus, wanders forth, taking leave of his 'mame and dadd' The lament of Eve pathetically closes the play In Noah's Flood there is more originality of execution God orders Noah to build the ark, and 'Sem,' 'Cam,' and 'Jaffette,' with their wives, set to work in tradesmanlike fashion with axe, 'hacchatt,' and 'hamer,' till the ark is built, and caulked and 'pyched' to boot Then ensues, as in the corresponding Towneley play, the difficulty of inducing Noah's wife to enter the ark Though adjured in the name of 'Sante John,' and subsequently admonished in less civil fashion, she long bides outside, even after the ark has been filled with birds and beasts (they are, according to the stagedirection, to be 'painted on the borde,' and are enumerated at length in the text 1), among her 'gossippes,' who recklessly drink a 'pottill full of Malmsine good and stronge,' and sing a song before they take their departure At last, however, her sons induce her to enter, and the saving of Noah and his household is accomplished

The Histeries of Lot and Abraham is a far more didactic piece, and the 'Expositor' (who seems to have attended on horseback) explains the application of the events to the New Testament Abraham's sacrifice of Isaac is, with the aid it cannot be doubted of both a native and a French piedecessor, carefully elaborated, and, to my mind, the language here rises

³ Such enumerations of animals seem to have pleased the Middle Ages The 'Bestiaries' were favourite vehicles of moral teaching (See below) Readers of Chaucer will remember his list of birds in the Assemble of Foules. Spenser imitated this enumerative tendency of Chaucer, see his list of trees in Bk 1 of the Faëry Queene. Chaucer's observation of birds calls to mind Dante (see Church's Essay on Danie).

to pathos ¹ Balaam and his Ass, in which a 'Doctor' helps the action on by nariative, must have been a favourite play, the speaker of the banes evidently looked forward to it with particular relish King Balacke, who appears equitando, calls on 'mightie Marse' against Israel, and then orders a soldier to summon Balaam Permitted to make the journey, Balaam sets forth—but, 'what the devill' my asse will not goe', he beats her ('et nota quod hic oportet aliquis transformari in speciem asine'), and 'she speaketh' After Balaam has blessed Israel and converted the king, the 'Doctor' concludes with more nairative, supplying a transition to the next play, which opens the series of New Testament subjects

In the Salutation and Nativity it is only necessary to note the introduction of the characters of the Emperor Octavian and the Sibyl, and of her prophecy of the birth of · Christ This play contains a large admixture of legends, including the two midwives called in by Joseph 'for usage here of this cittie,' but only to behold a Birth without pain 4, that of Salome's incredulity and punishment and that of the falling down of idols at Rome in the hour of the Nativity, which latter legend is narrated by an expositor The Play of the Shepherds, which succeeds, is in its earlier and longer portion purely comic and exceedingly coarse. The drinking-bout and quarrels of the shepheids are seasoned with homely English allusions, and even the appearance of the star and the song of the Angels fail to subdue the animal spirits of Tiowle But the latter portion, the visit of the shepherds to Bethlehem, and the offerings made by themselves and their boys to the Divine Babe, is managed with much simple effectiveness, and Trowle in the end has recourse to an ancker (anchorite), while one of the shepherds becomes a pilgrim for the rest of his days

¹ See the instructive parallel ap Ungemach, us, pp 135 seqq The relation between the Chester and the East-Anglian (Browne MS) play (as to which see below) of Abraham and Isaac is not certain, but the probability is in favour of the supposition that an earlier Chester play on the subject was revised with the aid of the East-Anglian treatment of it Both were clearly indebted to the Mystere du V. T

² This notion is from the Protevangehum Jacobi.

The Three Kings connects itself with the play of Balaam, to whose prophecy reference is made at the outset When the star appears, and the Magi are summoned by the angel, they follow him on 'diombodaries' A very drastic scene ensues between the Kings and Herod, who in a speech of extreme vigour warns them, and expresses his perturbation at the birth of a royal babe A 'Doctor' expounds prophecy to him, but Herod declaies it false 'by Mahownde full of mighte,' and sends the Kings on their way, with ominous oaths as to his future proceedings The Offering and Return of the Three Kings and the Slaughter of the Innocents form a necessary sequel Tho latter play is infinitely the coarsest of the series, but a sense of effective diamatic constituction is shown at its end, where the scene in which Herod is cairied away by a demon, after bewailing the torments of his last hours, is followed by the tranquil retuin from Egypt In The Purification and The Temptation Scripture is more closely adhered to, in the latter, however (with which The Woman taken in Adultery is rather ingeniously combined into a single piece), a 'Doctor' expounds the significance of the events represented from 'Gregorye' and from 'Austyne' The solemn prologue to the Lazarus is spoken by the Saviour Himself, after which the healing of the blind man is represented at great length, and followed by the raising of Lazarus, treated with much moderation of tone and appropriateness of manner.

Christ's Entry into Ferusalem is full of life, containing incidents elsewhere distributed among two or three plays. The sitting at meat in the house of Simon the Leper (messille' he is here called), the offering of Mary Magdalene, and the discontent of Judas Iscariot, then the expectancy of the citizens and the entry of the Saviour into Jerusalem, with the expulsion of the merchants from the Temple, and the preparation of the arrest in the Sashedrim, are all crowded into a single pageant. It will be noticed that the discontent of Judas at the permitted waste of the precious ointment is put forward as a dramatically sufficient motive for his treason. In Christ Betrayed, the action progresses through the Last Supper and the night at Gethsemane to

the arrest of the Saviour, the washing of the disciples' feet is introduced, and the dialogue accompanying it is at once simple and touching 1 The Passion and the Crucifixion follow In the former, much vivacity is added by a judicious change of metre, from that used by the 'bushoppes' to that employed by the common Jews who torture and mock the Saviour The Harrowing of Hell is another elaborate treatment of the well-known legend, introducing the curious fancy that Enoch and Elias inhabited Paradise alone during the interval between their 'vanishing' from earth and the descent of Christ into hell, and that on the coming of Antichrist, as is fully shown in the subsequent play of that name, they suffered death as martyrs, and rose again 'in daies three and an halfe' After the souls of the Just have been saved by the Harrowing, a personage appears as remaining behind in the hands of the devils-a woman who describes heiself and her sins at length. She was 'some tyme'

'a taverneie
A gentill gossipe and a tapstere,
Of wyne and ale a trustie brewer,'

and in the exercise of her profession was guilty of 'marring good maulte' She impresses the warning of her irrevocable doom upon

'All tipling tapsters that are cuninge,
Mysspendinge moche maulte, brewinge so theyne,
Selling small cuppes moneye to wyn,
Againste all truth to deale
Therfore this place ordeyned is
For such ylle doeres so moche amisse,
Here shall the have thei joye and blesse,
Exaulted by the necke,
With my mayster, mightye Mahownde,
For castinge moulte besyddes the combe,
Moche watter takinge for to componde,
And littill of the secke,

G

VOL I.

¹ Nothing at Oberammergau (1871) better illustrated the powerful effect of a faithful and simple following of the Gospel narrative than the incident of the feet-washing. But the grace and dignity displayed in this scene by the representative of Christ were beyond praise, and on the level of really high art.

With all mashers minglers of wyne in the nighte, Brewinge so blendinge againste daye lighte, Suche newe made clarrytte is cause full righte Of sicknes and desease This I betake you, more and lesse, To my sweete mayster, Sir Sathanas, To dwell with hym in his place, When it shall you please,

—so that to this solemn play a homely lesson is attached, which doubtless came home to the bosoms of many virtuous tradesmen

In the *Resurrection*, Plate (oddly using the affirmation 'as I am a trewe Jewe') sets the watch over the sepulchie, and there is an unusually clever touch of sarcasm in the remark of *Secundus Miles* that

'Our prince hath sworne that we shall dye Without anye propheseye'

Indeed this play is very effectively written, and the speech of the risen Saviour is not without a genuine poetic afflatus¹. But I must pass over this play and its next successors, the Pulgrims of Emaus and the Ascension², in order to point out the special attention which appears to have been devoted, as was indeed natural in the case of a Whitsuntide performance, to that entitled the Emission of the Holy Ghost. Its elaborate and at the same time didactic character (the speech of Deus should be especially noted) constitutes it in a manner the central play of this collective mystery. The effect of the miraculous acquisition of the gift of tongues by the Apostles is ingeniously indicated by the appearance of two alienigenae, who marvel at their 'jongling' the languages of 'Mesopotamye, Capodorye, and Jurye,' 'the yle of Ponthus

Awake out of thy slepe,
Eirthlye man that I have bought
Of me thou have no kepe, &c

³ In the Ascension may be observed a striking instance of the translation of Latin versicles into a free vernacular paraphrase ('Quis est iste venit de Edom,' &c) Such passages serve from time to time to remind the reader even of those later Mysteries of the hturgical origin of the Mystery-drama. See also the Credo and its paraphrase in the Emission of the Holy Ghost

and Asye, Friceland and Pamphani, Egipte righte into Billi1, and others The next play, Esekiel is purely didactic, containing a recital by Ezekiel of several of the prophecies of the Old Testament, and a 'morolizing' upon them by an Expositor The play of Antichrist is exceedingly remark-No play besides this exists on the subject, except the very remarkable Latin drama of the twelfth century on the End of the Roman Empire and the Advent of Antichrist, exhibited during the reign of the Emperor Frederick Barbaiossa (1152-1190), and pervaded very stirkingly by the spirit of Teutonic self-consciousness² The two plays are based on the same legend, but the German possesses a distinctly political significance, and its conclusion is abrupt and in some measure mysterious The English cannot be said to attempt any application whatever of the legend of Antichrist, whose triumph and slaying of Enoch and Elias are followed by his own overthrow by the sword of the Archangel Michael He then reveals his true character, appealing for help to

'Sathanas and Lucifier,
Bellsabube, bolde Balacher,
Ragnell, Ragnell, thou arte my deare,
Nowe fare I wounder evill'—

but he is carried off to hell, Enoch and Elias rise again, and are conducted to heaven by the Archangel The last play of the series is of course *Doomsday*, the action of which is arranged with tolerable symmetry, a *Papa*, *Imperator*, *Rex* and *Regina salvati* being contrasted in speech with their counterparts, and a *Justiciarius* and *Mercator* into the bargain, *damnati*. In spite of the free treatment of the Popes, this

¹ One of the later MSS reads 'Pamphily' and 'Lybby,' doubtless rightly. 'Friceland' seems a confusion between Frisia and Phrygia.

It was printed by Wright in the second volume of his Chester Plays, but was re-edited from the Tegernsee MS, and furnished with a most interesting commentary by Prof G von Zezschwitz (Vom Römischen Kaisertum deutscher Nation, Leipzig, 1877), who subsequently published a German translation (Das Drama vom Ende des Römischen Kaisertums und von der Erschemung des Antichrists, 1878) Another German translation had been previously published in the same year by J Wedde—According to Zezschwitz, the probable occasion of the play was the diet of Maniz, at which, the Crusade being under debate, the Emperor declined to preside

play breathes a distinctly ecclesiastical spirit, one of the lawyer's sins was 'payering holye churches possession', one of the merchant's 'never hying to holye churche', and no trace occurs of the ideas of the Reformation Significantly enough, this play, and together with it the entire collective mystery, terminates with the appearance of the four Evangelists, who bear witness to the words of Christ which have received their fulfilment, and thus appropriately conclude a series of representations in the main based upon the Sacred Narrative itself. A living Bible has thus in a sense been unrolled before the people, or, if the expression be preferred, a sermon has been preached of which the whole Scripture Narrative is the text'.

Coventry Plays Finally, the principal part of the MS containing the Coventry Plays was written in 1468, but the title which it now bears was only added by an authority of much later date, though there is no reason to suppose any error in it. This title terms the collection Ludus Coveniriae's Ludus Corpus Christi², and that Corpus Christi plays were performed at Coventry in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries is beyond all doubt. There's is a well-known allusion to them in one of Heywood's Interludes³, and the authentic information regarding this exhibition is stated to cover the years from 1416 to 1591⁴. Of the plays as they have reached us, one (the Assumption of the Virgin) is said to be written in a more recent hand than the rest, from which it certainly differs to some extent in manner.

As to the performance of these plays, it is known that they began on Sunday, at six in the morning, and that

¹ It will not be forgotten that about the close of the thirteenth century—a period to which the origin of these mysteries is at least traditionally carried back—sermons had ceased to be generally preached in English churches See Palmer, Origines Liturgicae, vol 11 p 65

^{*} Ludus Coventriae A Collection of Mysteries, formerly represented at Coventry on the Feast of Corpus Christi. Edited by J O Halliwell, FRS (Shaksp Soc Publ 1841)

³ The Four P's -

^{&#}x27;For as good happe wolde have it of chaunce, Thys devyll and I were of olde acqueyntaunce; For oft, in the play of Corpus Christi, He hath played the devyll at Coventry.'

⁴ See the notices ap. Sharp, pp 8-12

they were acted at other towns besides Coventry¹ We gather from a passage in the twenty-ninth of these plays (they are altogether forty-two in number), that they were not always all acted in one year² In the copy preserved they are preceded by a prologue, spoken by vexillatores (banner-bearers), and composed in a rather elaborate stanza. It is addressed to 'bothe more and less, gentyllys and yemanry of godly lyff lad', and on several occasions in the plays the audience is addressed as 'sovereynes'. This last seems, however, a term of address frequently employed in the English mediaeval drama

Though it has been remarked 3 that 'during the whole of the period from 1416 to 1591 there is not the slightest indication that the clergy in any way co-operated,' I cannot but think that in their composition the Coventry Plays show signs, not perhaps of an ecclesiastical origin, but of the distinct influence of ecclesiastical minds 4. Inasmuch as the Grey Friars of Coventry are known to have performed a cycle of Corpus Christi plays, it has been usually supposed that the MS preserved to us is that of the series now in question, but it is rather of internal evidence that I am speaking. In the first place these plays show a remarkable

¹ Collier, 11 82

'Be the leve and softrauns of allemyhthy God,
We intendyn to procede the mater that we lefte the last yere

The last yere we shewyd here how oure Lorde for love of man Cam to the cety of Jherusalem mekely his deth to take,

Now wold we procede, how he was browth than Beforn Annas and Cayphas,' &c

At Oberammergau, it was formerly usual to alternate between the Old Testament and New Testament portions of the play now condensed into a collective whole E. Devrient, Das Passions Schauspiel in O, p 8

² By Collier, ii 74

4 It does not follow that they were performed by monks domesticated at Coventry, so that ten Brinck, it 295-6, who inclines to conclude from the Prologue and from the language of the plays, which points to the North-East Midlands rather than to the neighbourhood of Coventry, as well as from the mixed character of the series in general, that these plays were performed by strolling actors, may conceivably be so far in the right. I notice that Mr Pollard, Introduction, p xxxviii, without undertaking to dogmause, expresses his own behief 'that further investigation will lead to the decisive connexion of this cycle, not with Coventry, but with the Eastern Counties.'

familiarity with ecclesiastical literature The promise of the piologue—

'Of holy writ this game shall bene And of no fablys be no way'—

is in so far kept that the plays are uniformly based either on the canonical books of Scripture, or on apocryphal Gospels. But the Latin quotations from Vulgate or Liturgy are very numerous, hymns and psalms are frequently referred to or paraphrased², and the Commandments are likewise paraphrased at great length (in Moses and the Two Tables). Even the shepherds refer in a very learned way to the Prophets, while in the play devoted to the latter we appear to have before us an intentional display of biblical learning The Disputation in the Temple, again, would hardly have been written by a layman, and the Institution of the Eucharist is very elaborately treated The emphasis with which the character and history of the Virgin are dwelt on. is very striking, all the incidents of her life, as presented by canonical or apocryphal Scripture, and as forming the occasions of Chuich festivals, are treated at length, her Buth, her Presentation and Betrothal, the Salutation and Conception, the Trial of Joseph and Mary, her visit with the two other Maries to the sepulchre, finally her Assumption 3. This may be regarded as a characteristic of the age in which the plays were written, but it may also be noted how constant a reference there is in them to the episcopal office, and how we are introduced in the Trial to an ecclesiastical court There seems no nonv in the advice to those summoned

'loke ye rynge wele in your purs, ffor ellys your cawse may spede the wurs,'—

¹ According to Halliwell, five on the Apocryphal Gospel of the Birth of Mary, three on the Protevangelion of St James, one on the Gospel of Nicodemus The story of Lamech the blind archer is a legendary amplification of Gen iv 23 Cf Smith's Dict of the Bible, in 57 °

Mary's devotion to her 'sawtere' is very pleasingly expressed — 'O holy Psalmys! holy book!

Swetter to say than any ony!'

Observe in the Visit to Elisabeth the passage —

'Thus the Chirch addyd Maria and Jhesus her

Who syth our ladyes sawtere dayly for a yer thus,

He hath pardon ten thousand and eyte fundred yer.'

a passage which, so fai as I can see, has no bearing, such as has been attributed to it, upon the question of payment for the performances of the plays ¹

But the chief reason for suspecting clerical hands to have been concerned in the composition of these plays, is the difference which as literary efforts, if the term be permissible, they exhibit when compared with the Chester Plays in particular. The Coventry Plays, especially those taken from the Old Testament, are far more regular in form, and considerably in advance as to versification and diction is usually a species of expository prologue to each play, spoken by its principal character (Deus, Adam, Noah, Abraham, Jesus, Lazarus, Daemon), and the action itself seems to be managed with a view rather to close adherence to authority than to the production of immediate drastic effect. The action, at least in the Old Testament plays, is decidedly less lively than in the Chester series (compare e.g the treatment of the subject of Abraham and Isaac), and if there is in general much less humour than in the Chester or Towneley Plays, there is also upon the whole less coarseness (Some half-comic touches were apparently inevitable in connexion with St Joseph as a husband advanced in years, the Trial of Foseph and Mary begins with a comic introduction, the people being called upon by English Christian and surnames, and Lucifer's description of fine dress is in a vein of popular satire on le luxe effréné practised by both sexes in that age) Yet what indecency there is-although it is but little-strikes me as not altogether of the naif kind. The shepherds, as afready stated, address themselves to very different topics from those which they discuss in the earlier part of the corresponding Towneley and Chester Plays; and Herod, though his discourse is boastful and extravagant enough,-though, as does Satan in Pilate's Wife's Dream, he alliterates freely,—and though he swears a good deal by 'Mahownde2,' cannot be

¹ See Halliwell's note, p 413

² The soldiers at the sepulchre use the same oath It is well known that in consequence of the Crusades the name of Mahomet had become typical of all false religious worships.

said to rave, or to approach the border-line of the comic, except perhaps when, in ordering a banquet after the Massacre, he shows an ultra-royal dislegard of expense—

'Thow that a lytel pint cost a ml pownde'

Into a detailed examination of the Coventry Plays I must refrain from entering, but I may point out, as calling for commendation, the verse at the close of the Adoration of the Shepherds, the forcible speech of Mors in the Slaughter of the Innocents, the exceptional dramatic vigour in parts of the Trial of Christ, and the simple effectiveness of the scene in which the Savidur after the Resurrection appears to Mary Magdalene¹ And in one speech of the Blessed Virgin (in the Betraying of Christ) there is a gleam of tragic passion beyond what is usual in these early productions—

'A' Jhesu' Jhesu' Jhesu' Jhesu'
Why xuld ye sofere this tribulacyon and advercyte?
How may thei fynd in here hertys yow to pursewe,
That nevyr trespacyd in no maner degré?
For nevyr thyng but that was good thowth ye,
Wherfore than xuld ye sofer this giet peyn?
I suppoce veryly it is for the tresspace of me,
And I wyst that myn hert xuld cleve on tweyn'

On the other hand, these plays, as a matter of course, abound in evidence of the rudely material conceptions of the age in

¹ The authors here could not go wrong, if they followed the Sacred Text There was perhaps nothing in the Oberammergau Play more wonderfully effective than the utterance by the Christ of the solitary word MARIA In the Coventry Play, however, He subsequently briefly addresses her In the corresponding Towneley Play the supreme effectiveness of the single word is missed it is seized in the Digby MS play of Mary Magdalene I hardly venture to refer to the mysterious meaning which is suggested by the rapturous self devotion of Mary Magdalene, though surely the suggestion is not incompatible with a reverential reading of the text of Holy Scripture itself But the gentle reticence of the Gospel, which is followed by the mysteries, is more eloquent than the expansive rhetoric of such a poet as the author (said to be Gervase Markham) of Marie Magdalen's Lamentations for the Losse of her Master (see Grosart's Miscellanies of the Fuller Worthies' Library, vol. ii), beautiful as the latter is in at least one passage. These poems are written in the spirit of Crashaw, from whom they are not very far distant in their date (1601). The confusion of the Phanseus and Accusator (in the Woman taken in Adultery) by the words, and by the writing in the sand, of the Saviour is also dramatically very effective

which they were produced Such is above all to be found in the repulsive reproduction in action of an extraordinary legend in the Salutation, and in the Resurrection pared with such instances of a tendency to reduce every mystery of the faith to a realised actuality, all meie anachronisms or oddities of ignorance1 are insignificant

It should in conclusion be noticed, that though the characters represented in the Coventry Plays are in the main actual personages, they already contain an element of abstract figures Contemplacio appears in several plays to intioduce the action as a kind of Piologus (so in the eighth, and again in the eleventh, where she announces the advent of the Redemption after 'ffowre thowsand sex undryd foure yere' of unexplated sin) or to accompany it as a kind of But other allegorical personages are also occasionally intioduced, the Virtues of Justicia, Misericordia, Veritas, and Pax, who (in the e'eventh play) hold conference with the Three Persons of the Trinity, and in the eighteenth Mors, who, after casting down Herod's pilde, and delivering his dead body, and those of the two soldiers who form his executive, into the hands of Diabolus, moralises for the benefit of the audience on the suddenness and omnipotence of his agency In the Assumption we meet with the figure of Sapientia, but this play may be of a later date than the (The concluding play, Doomsday, in which there was room for other abstract figures, though none appear, is meiely a fragment) Thus we notice in these plays, though they essentially are to be classed among the mysteries, an element of the moralities, to be treated of below On the other hand. there is here no evidence of any intention to treat the Devil as a comic character, though under various names-Lucifer. Belial, Satan, or Daemon-he largely participates in the several actions, into which inferior angels of darkness are likewise occasionally introduced.

Besides these collective series, we possess isolated plays Other of the same type, which I do not propose to examine at miraclelength The oldest of these, and in all probability the

¹ See for instance the strange geography of the prospect opened by Sathanas in the Temptation.

The Harrowing of Hell earliest dramatic work of any kind in the English tongue preserved to us, is the *Harrowing of Hell*, a version of a theme with which we have repeatedly met in the collective mysteries. For a dramatic work this primitive piece deserves to be called, although (to use ten Brinck's expressive phrase) it has not yet entirely cast off the epico-liturgical egg-shell, and although it seems to have been intended for recitation rather than for performance. The introductory exposition announces to the listeners

'A strif will I tellen ou'-

this being the technical name for one of those debates or wrangles, in which English as well as French literature in the thirteenth century took pleasure² And the action itself begins with the approach of our Lord to the gates of hell and His contention with Satan, instead of any scene being prefixed between those who are awaiting their deliverance from hell, as in the versions of the legend which were derived directly from the Apocryphal Gospel of Nicodemus³ This contention, in which Satan claims the fealty of Adam as having taken his apple, while our Lord retorts that the apple itself was His, is broken off by His bursting open the gates, whose warden flies in terior, and receiving in succession the salutations of Adam and Eve, Abraham, David, St John the Baptist and Moses, whom He is about to set free After He has pronounced their liberation, 'Auctor' concludes with a prayer, commencing

'God, for his moder loue

Let ous neuer thider come!'

But though the action is simple, it is complete, and the

¹ It is printed in his Appendix by Mr Pollard, who calls it 'a poem in dialogue' The earlier Figlish editions by Collier and Halliwell-Phillipps are privately printed, but there is a German edition by Pr E Mail (Breslau, 1871),—Collier, ii 136, gives some extracts

S Cf. ante, p 25

³ In the York Harrowing of Hell, e g (York Plays, 372 seqq), and in the corresponding Towneley Play, Jesus introduces the action and sends a light before Him as a sign that He is at hand, but a striking scene follows in which the patriarchs and prophets in Limbo rejoice at the light, and the devils in their turn give voice to their alarm

severe dignity of the diction (which is held to show an East-Midland origin) cannot be said to fall short of the striking solemnity of the theme.

Among other isolated plays not already incidentally noticed may be mentioned The Story of the Creation of Adam and Eve, with the Expelling of Adam and Eve out of Paradycethe Groceis' Play (and thus presumably part of a cycle) at Norwich 1 The Shipwrights' Play of Noah's Atk is Noah's the only play remaining from the Corpus Christi cycle Ark performed at Newcastle-on-Tyne² It is composed in no very elevated vein, though the action is introduced by Deus, who sends forth his Angel to bid Noah build the ark

'What art thou for heaven's King That wakens Noah off his sleeping? Away I would thou went'

But the Angel insists, and after receiving the necessary instructions, Noah sets to work. Diabolus then intervenes to induce 'his friend,' Noah's wife, to stop the building by persuading her husband to drink a potion prepared for the purpose, and Noah nearly 'loses his wits' in consequence. But he recovers, 'cowls' (cows?) his wife, builds the ship, and leaves Diabolus to utter impotent curses in the name of 'Dolphin plince of dead'

and Isaac

A play on a still more favourite theme of a different Abraham character (of which not less than six versions are altogether extant from different series) is the East-Midland Abraham and Isaac, discovered by the late Dr G H Kingsley in a MS. book seemingly compiled for the owners of the manor of Brome (in Suffolk), near Diss 8. It treats the

¹ Privately printed by its editor, Mr Robert Fitch (Norwich, 1856). Stoddard, p 63

^{*} Reprinted from Brand's History of Newcastle on-Tyne (1789), by Shirp, us, 221-5 Three other plays of the series are mentioned, viz The Deliverance of the Children of Israel out of the Thruldome, Bondage and Servitude of King Pharo, The Buriall of Christ, and The Buriall of our Lady Saint Mary the Virgin An order for the performance of the last-named play is dated as late as 1581

^{*} Edited by Miss L Toulmin Smith in Anglia (vol. vii. pp 16-337), Halle, 1884. Mr Pollard, pp 173-6, prints an extract containing the denouement -As already stated (ante, p 78, note 1), ten Brinck's view that use was made

subject with much tenderness of feeling, the Isaac here is a young boy, whose laments direct themselves largely to his apprehensions of his mother's grief, while his joy on discovering the ram, apostrophised by him

'A! scheppe, scheppe, blyssyd mot thou be!'

is mingled with the same motive. The versification is mostly in stanza-form Another, and not dissimilar, treatment of the same subject, the Weavers' Play on the Sacrifice of Abraham, has been discovered at Dublin 1 A Ludus Filiorum Israel was acted at Cambridge by the gild of Coipus Christi at that festival in 1355 2 Of plays on New Testament subjects we have the series known as the Digby Mysteries, from the quarto volume among the Digby MSS in the Bodleian Library which contains them ³ The date of part of the MS is 1512, but it is written in three if not more different hands, some of which seem rather earlier than that which inserts the above date, nor has any mutual connexion been established between the several plays included among its miscellaneous The first of these plays is usually spoken of as contents Parfre's Candlemas Day, the copyist having signed to it his name 'John Parfre', but its full title adds 'and the Kyllynge of the Children of Israel' This subject, together with the flight into Egypt, makes up the earlier part of the play. upon which follow the Purification and other Scriptural incidents in the Temple The play explicitly states that the performance of it corresponds to 'last year's' of The Shepherds, and the Three Kings, while no mention is made

Digby Mysteries

Parfrès Candlemas Day, &c

of this play fof the extant edition of the corresponding Chester play has much in its favour, and has been elaborated by Dr H Ungemach

¹ Privately printed by Collier, 1836 See an account of it by Miss L Toulmin Smith in Anglia, us, 321-2

2 Warton, 11 219

The first of these plays was printed in vol 1 of Hawkins' Origin of the English Drama (Oxford, 1873), the series of four was first edited by Mr T Sharp for the Abbotsford Club (1835), and has been more recently re edited for the New Shakspere Society (1882) by Dr Furnivall, who has included in it Christ's Burial and Resurrection, as in his opinion belonging to it, though found in another Bodician MS—The 'morality' printed in Sharp's quarto without a title, but designated by Collier, Mind, Will and Understanding, and by Furnivall, A Morality of Wisdom, Who is Christ, forms part of the Digby MS, but will more appropriately be noticed a little further on.

of any gild or trade as concerned in its production Thus the conclusion seems warranted, that it formed one of a cycle of plays acted in annual succession in small towns or villages-probably in the Midlands, to which region the language is thought to point—that could not afford themselves a more extensive dramatic entertainment formance began and ended with singing and dancing by 'minstrels' and 'virgins1' The earlier part of this play has nothing to differentiate it very specially from the Coventry Plays, and we once more meet here with Herod's pompous and inflated speeches, and with his alliteration A larger admixture is however observable of the purely faicical element, represented by 'Watkyn,' who is anxious to join in the expedition against the Innocents of Bethlehem, but is afraid of their mothers' distaffs This character already displays features of the typical poltroon of comedy, while the timorous adventurer's anxiety to be dubbed a knight points to a Tudor period of civilisation contrast between the tumult of the earlier and the peaceful triumph of the second part of the play, however, is of The second of these plays (which stands its kind effective first in the MS. volume), the Conversion of St Paul, seems The Conto have been designed for performance in a larger town, version of as is shown by its being acted at three stations and by the more ambitious nature of some of its stage requirements 2. The Poeta who introduces the action, and whom a later hand in the MS. names 'Myles Blomefylde,' though possibly this worthy was only the author of 'additions,' to the first part of the play, appeals to the Acts of the Apostles as his authority But the first part of the play is not taken from

* Furnivall, Introd . p 12.

¹ They are bidden show 'summe sport and plesure these people to solas' The 'virgins' were doubtless maidens of the locality. In the play, Anna bids them worship the Divine Child, and the stage-direction adds 'her virgynes, as many as a man wyll, shall holde tapers in ther handes &c' The stage arrangements too must have been very simple, in St I the knights receive from Herod their instruction as to the massacre which they are to execute in Sc. 3; the intervening scene is occupied with the Flight from Bethlehem, and the stage-direction at the end of Sc. 1 instructs the knights to ' walke a-bought the place tyll Mary and Joseph be conveid in-to Egipt'

a Scriptural source¹, for Saulus is here introduced as a knight-adventure: arrayed in character 2, with other knights in his service and of underlings, one of whom carries on an unsavoury comic altercation with the 'stabularius' (ostler) The miraculous Conversion occupies the second part, the third, which represents St Paul's escape from the toils of Caiaphas and Annas, is enlivened by an ingenious later interpolation The Infernals hold a council, in which Belial and his messenger Mercury appear, in order to avert the dangers to their cause apprehended from the Conveision of Saul After the devils have vanished in fire and tempest, 'Saulus' appears 'in a disciplis wede' (diess) and delivers a sermon on the Seven Deadly Sins The action closes with St Paul in prison, from which however Poeta in the Epilogue announces the saint's approaching deliverance The play, which ends with an apology for its lack of 'lytturall scyens' (literary aptitude), and which ceitainly has no special merit to distinguish it, is thought to be likewise of Midland origin

Mary Magdalene

The remaining miracle in this collection, Mary Magdalene, is by fai the most remarkable, as it is also by far the most elaborate, of the three Its dialect is East Midland and it largely employs alliteration, but it is of a different dramatic type from that represented by the two other Digby plays, or rather, it combines with matter derived from the Scriptural nariative, which fills the body of the first part of the play, a larger number of scenes though a smaller amount of text taken from legend, while the whole is pervaded by an element of originality, so fai as airangement if not actual invention is concerned, and there is a free introduction of allegorical figures after the manner of the moralities, to be Thus this piece is in substance as well as described below in name a miracle-play 1ather than a mystery, but the astounding complexity and romantic imaginativeness of the action remove it into a literary as well as a diamatic sphere foreign to that of the plays previously described.

2 'Goodly besene in the best wyse lyke an aunterous knyth'

¹ There seems no connexion between this play and the Jeux du Martire S. Estienne et de la Conversion de S Pol, printed ap. Fournier, Le Theâtre Français avant la Renaissance, pp. 2 seqq

Unfortunately, I cannot accompany the heroine on her journey through life and through more then two thousand lines of text It begins in the home of her infancy—the castle of Maudleyn where her father Cyrus 'glystering in gold' rejoiced in a son Lazarus, to whom he bequeathed his lordship of Jerusalem, and two daughters, Mary, who respectively inherited the castle from which she derived her second name, and Martha, whose share was Bethany, and after an Iliad of sins and woes and of redeeming martyrdom it ends with her reception into bliss. I print in a note the full title or bill in which the latest editor of this extraordinary composition has summarised the main points of the action, but to convey a notion of its variety, his list of the successive scenes and the previous editor's analysis of the action at large would need to be added 1 In Part I no less a personage than 'Imperator,' who identifies himself as the 'incomparable tyberyus sesar,' opens the play, and this opening prepares us for the strange commingling as the action proceeds of the familiar Bible episodes with a fantastic allegory of the herome's downfall. Her castle is besieged by the Seven Sins, and Lechery penetrating into it seduces her out of its protection into the paths of sin In Part II, which is introduced by a colloquy between the King and Queen of Marcylle, shipwrecked on an island in the sea, where the Queen gives birth to a child, we are launched into the midst of romance, through which, not without recurrences to Scriptural episodes, the action steers more or less rapidly to its end There is a certain charm, however, about the central figure, and a certain harmony diffuses itself through the various stages of her pilgrimage 2

² Das Ewigweibliche in Maria's Gestalt ist dem Dichter meht entgangen' Ten Brinck, ii 322—As to Lewis Wager's Life and Repentance of Mary Magdalen (1574), see Collier, ii 167-170. This is an Elisabethan morality

¹ See Furnivall, Introd, p 53 'Part I, in 20 scenes (In Rome, Bethany, Hell, Jerusalem, and beyond Jordan)—Mary's Father Cyrus, and his death—Her seduction by Lechery, and a Gallant—Her repentance, and wiping Jesus's feet with her hair.—Ha brother Lazarus's death, and again-rising—Part II, in 31 scenes (In Marcylle, Hell, Jerusalem, the Wilderness and Heaven)—Christ's appearance to Mary at His sepulchre—Her conversion of the King and Queen of Marcylle—Her feeding by angels from heaven in the wilderness—Her death'—For an analysis of the action, see the Introduction to Sharp's Abbotsford Club edition, pp. vi-xxxii

Christ's Burial and Resurrection

Of a far earlier type, so far as the limits of the action are concerned, is the Burial and Resurrection of Christ, to which reference has already been made as exhibiting in certain passages the religious drama in its organic connexion with the liturgy of the Church 1 But the text of this bipartite mystery, as it has come down to us, and which is authoritatively pronounced 2 to be a West-Midland modernisation of a Northumbrian original, appears to date from the middle of the fifteenth century, or somewhere between the years 1430 and 14603. This supposition is borne out by the general evidence of style, of versification, and more especially of skill in the handling of the rimes (largely in the case of words with double-endings) Manifestly the edition which we possess was designed for readers in the first instance. Although it repeats the original direction that the first part of the play (the Burial) is 'to be played on Good Friday afternoon,' and the second (the Resurrection) 'upon Easter-day after the Resurrection' (1 e I suppose after the reading of the Gospel of the day), the 'Prologue' is ordered 'not to be said' when the play is actually performed And indeed, while the Piologue itself appeals to feelings which lie deeper than those of the ordinary spectator of any kind of play 4, the entire treatment of the theme is meditative or lyrical rather than dramatic In the laments of Mary Magdalene and of the Virgin Mary, long, elaborate, and occasionally touched with a surprising delicacy of pathos 5, will be found the most distinctive features of this interesting composition.

of the anti-papal kind, which 'ends with a short dialogue between Mary, Justification and Love, the two last triumphing in the salvation of such a sinner' It has no connexion with the Digby MS play

1 Cf ante, p 35, note I - See p 91, note 3

Rede this treyte [treatise, poem], it may hymm moue, And may hym teche lightly with awe,

² By the late Dr Richard Morris See Furnivall, 170

⁸ Ten Brinck, ii 299

^{* &#}x27;A soule that list to singe of loue

Of the sorow of Mary sumwhat to knawe'

^{5 (}Of Calvary).

Thy greyn color is turnyd to rede

By a blessit lamm's blode which now is dede.' (# 29-30.)

The above list has no pretension to being exhaustive, but no further English muacle-plays of the kinds treated above are known to me as extant which may not (as in the case of the sacred plays of Bishop Bale, to be noticed below) be fairly included among the beginnings of our regular drama A particular species of miracle-plays be- Paterlonging to the same period seemed however worth reserving noster, for separate notice These are plays of which the action Sacrament turned on the sacrosanct attributes and miraculous powers Plays belonging to certain portions of the actual services of the The earliest of these which we find mentioned is 'a play setting forth the goodness of the Lord's Prayer,' performed in the city of York by a gild of men and women that had been founded for the purpose 1. Inasmuch as Wiclif, who died in 1384, refers to 'the paternoster in englissch tunge, as men seyen in the pley of York2, and inasmuch as there is evidence to show that the gild was in a flourishing condition fifteen years later, we may conclude that its origin is to be dated at no great distance of time from that of the York Corpus Christi plays In the last year of Oueen Mary's reign (1558), though the gild had been previously dissolved, the play was performed on Corpus Christi in lieu of the regular cycle, and it was repeated in 1572; but it was soon afterwards suppressed by that vigilant shepherd, Archbishop Grindal We are told that in this play, which accordingly may have partaken of the nature of a morality, 'all manner of vices and sins' (the vice of gluttony is specially mentioned) 'were held up to scorn, and the virtues were held up to praise'; and we cannot but suppose that the lessons thus conveyed were connected with the seven supplications, in token of which

(Of the Redeemer's Body on the Cross).

How many bludy letters beyn writen in this buke; Small margente her is'

⁽The Mother of Jesus gazing on the face of Jesus in death).

^{&#}x27;Till Egipte in myne Armes softly I did you lede, But your smylinge countenaunce I askit non other mede.'

¹ See Miss L Toulmin Smith, Introduction to York Plays, xxvin-xxx

De Officio Pastorali, cap. 15, in the English Works of Wyclif, edited by F. D Matthew for the Early English Text Society, 1880, p 429, and see Mr. Matthew's note, pp. 530-1.

the gild maintained in the Minster 'a candle-beaier of seven lights,' together with 'a table showing the whole meaning and use' of the Prayer confessed of them

We are less fully informed as to the nature of *The Creed Play*, which in 1446 was bequeathed to the Corpus Christi gild at York by a member of the gild, a chantry priest named William Revetor, together with the books and banners belonging to the play 1. It seems to have been no novelty at this date, but it was regularly performed about Lammastide, once in every tenth year, between 1483 and 1535. It was finally suppressed about a generation later. It was a composition of considerable length, and not the mere syllabus of a processional pageant, although a series of pageants, perhaps corresponding to the several articles of the Apostles' Creed, may have formed an integral part of it

Distinct in character from the above, and approaching more nearly to the miracle-plays derived from the legends of favourite saints2, is the curious piece with a purpose preserved in a MS at Trinity College, Dublin, and known under the more generic than specific title of The Play of the Sacrament³ The handwriting of the MS belongs to the latter half of the fifteenth century; nor is there any evidence of language to point decisively to a much earlier The Prologue states that 'this little processe' is designed for performance at Croxton. and among the various places of that name the East Midland dialect of the play is thought to indicate one of the Croxtons in Cambridgeshire or Norfolk Vexillatores introduce the action in alternating stanzas, stating that the facts represented occurred at Heraclea in Aragon, and furnishing an argument of what is to follow. The story is that of the wondrous triumph of the Holy Wafer over the wicked

¹ See Miss Toulmin Smith, us, p xxx. Cf ten Princk, ii 303

² Cf ante, pp 9, 37 Others were Christina (honoured by Beatae Christinae Ludi at Bethersden in Kent), Crispin and Crispian (whom the Dublin shoemakers celebrated in part of a play acted in 1528), &c

^{*} The Play of the Sacrament A Middle-English Drama, edited from a MS in the Library of Trinity College, Dublin, with a Preface and Glossary, by Whitley Stokes, Philological Society's Transactions, 1880-1, Appendix, pp. 101-152. Cf. Collier, ii 267-8; ten Brinck, ii 303

designs of the Jew Jonathas and his vile crew-infidels who ficely appeal to 'Almighty Machomet,' and who shrink from no extreme of impiety By way of a crowning insult they cast the Host into an oven, which thereupon bursts asunder, bleeding from its crannies, and revealing an image of the wounded Saviour, Who speaks in His own personality to the awe-struck offenders In the end they are all christened 'with great solemnity,' and (as if to illustrate the comparative mildness of the treatment experienced by their race in this country) are allowed to seek atonement for their crimes by a pilgrimage 'by contre and cost' Apart from its gentle ending, this soit of legend was familiai enough to the thirteenth and fourteenth century (when the story of Hugh of Lincoln was its best-known type in England), and doubtless in the fifteenth also A comic element is supplied by the doings of 'Colle the leech's man,' who before the arrival of his master 'Bieadryche of Braban' proclaims him as a doctor who

> 'Seeth as well at none as at nyght, And sumtyme by candel-leyt Can gyff a Judgyment aryght,'-

or, in other words, is never to be caught napping On the physician's appearance Colle proceeds to trumpet his merits with all the energy of the professed cheap-jack 'Nine men,' it is stated, 'can play this at ease'

Before referring to those essentially spectacular entertainments which from a very early period, but in an increasing measure as time progressed, absorbed into themselves a large proportion of the interest attaching to the miracle-plays, I proceed to discuss another dramatic growth which, although exposed to the same chances as these indisputably displayed a superior literary vitality and flexibility

In tracing the origin and course of unconscious growths, Moralities it is well to abstain from any endeavour to draw hard and fast, and therefore more or less arbitrary, lines of demar-The origin of the moralities, or moral-plays, has been much disputed; and in their English development they have been diversely described as springing from the miracle-plays, and again as wholly unconnected with these,

As it seems to me, the *moralities* cannot be simply described as the direct offspring of the religious drama, but they were nowhere wholly independent of it, and in England they both adopted its external form, and were anything but rigorously distinguished from it in the popular mind

A morality may be defined as a play enforcing a moral truth or lesson by means of the speech and action of characters which are personified abstractions—figures representing virtues and vices, qualities of the human mind, or abstract conceptions in general ¹

Their origin ,

Now, in the first instance, it was impossible that the Christian religious drama, whether appearing as an essentially literary growth, or primarily designed as a species of popular entertainment, should refiain from at least occasionally introducing the essential elements of the species which I have just defined And this, because the very basis of Christian religious teaching—the Bible—so largely employs this very method of enforcing the truths and lessons which it is its object to convey Both the Old and the New Testament, besides containing entire books which the Church has at all times accepted as allegorical in design—such as the Song of Solomon and the Revelation are, as primarily addressing themselves to Eastern readers or hearers, full of figurative passages introducing personified The prophetical character of a great part abstractions of the Old Testament depends on an interpretation proceeding on the same assumption

In any attempt to paraphrase or reproduce, whether dramatically or otherwise, portions of the Bible, or of Church traditions connecting themselves with its nariative, it was therefore inevitable that the use of personified abstractions should be introduced. Wisdom (in the Book of Proverbs), the Bride and her companions (in the Song of Solomon), had already been clothed with personality in the Sacred Text itself. But more than this. It has at all times been impossible for the ordinary human mind to regard

¹ The ordinary scheme of a morality is accordingly very like that of the game 'wherin vices fyghte with vertues' described in Book II of More's *Utopia*, Cf. the description of the *Paternoster* play at York, ante, p. 97

unpersonified conceptions emotionally Neither Athenians nor Romans nor Englishmen have at any time, either in oratory or in poetry, found it easy to think or speak of Athens or Rome or England without clothing them with the attributes of personal beings, or at least unconsciously treating them as such. Thus, too, the early Christians, so soon as the figure of the Founder of their community had ceased to be a personal reminiscence among them, began to regard that community itself as a personal being, under the name of the Church. On this analogy it was possible to people the world of ideas with an endless number of personal forms.

On these germs of the distinctive characteristic of the moralities—which in their dramatic method, as will be seen, at first differed in no essential respect from the religious plays-already noticed, there seems no necessity of enlarging further Perhaps, however, it may be worth while in connexion with this part of the subject, to suggest the probability that the custom of using as the proper names especially of women the designations of abstract qualities. and of virtues in particular (Sophia, &c), became much more common after the introduction of Christianity¹. We have seen 2 how some of the characters in the plays of Hrotsvitha are accordingly called by names corresponding to the qualities which the behaviour of these characters illustrates; and the device was one which might easily be borrowed by the popular from the monastic religious drama. A peculiar product of the same allegorical taste connecting itself with religious associations, was the attempt, of which germs are to be traced in the earliest patristic literature, to invest natural objects and phenomena with a symbolical meaning; hence those bestiaries, herbaries and lapidaries, of which a notable example is preserved in the English

¹ I should have been inclined to go further, but for some notes with which my friend Dr Wilkins has furnished me. The earliest *Pietas* is the cognomen of L. Antonius cos B.C. 41. The earliest *Felicalas* seems to be the martyr of 202 A.D., but there are two instances of the same name in inscriptions undated, but with no traces of Christianity in them. The earliest dated *Irens* and a *Victoria* (the mother of Victorius) are not Christian.

² Cf ants, p. 7.

thirteenth-century version of the Latin *Physiologus* It treats its subject with no small measure of poetic fancy and feeling, while its machinery possesses a popular element of picturesqueness ¹

In England, the soil was peculiarly favourable for the cultivation of moral allegory in the diamatic as in other literary forms It would lead me too fai to speculate in this place on the causes of the ancient and enduring national predilection for this species of imaginative composition But it seems probable that, inasmuch as our literature had more distinctly than that of almost any other modern nation a specifically Christian origin, so it was the Bible itself which implanted in the English mind its ineradicable love for allegory, and for religious or moral allegory in especial Already the Paraphrase ascribed to Caedmon and Cynewulf's Christ have allegorical elements, although it may not always be easy to distinguish between these and reminiscences of native mythology Then, while in accordance with the general tendencies of the age, fostered by the teaching of its wholly clerical learning, the allegorical interpretation of Scripture and of traditions associated with Scriptural themes, spread more and more among the people, another influence lent its co-operation. This was the growth, contemporaneous with the building-up of the system of chivalry on the social basis of feudalism, of the allegorical treatment of the conception of Love At probably no very different periods in the fourteenth century the Vision concerning Piers Plowman and The Pearl signally illustrated these co-operating tendencies. The former is a work of genuinely native origin, but while its design, which is one of striking directness, still moves within the lines of the religious teaching of the Church, depth of individual feeling and a homely boldness in applications suggested by an observant study of contemporary life invest it with a force hitherto unknown to allegorical composition. The Pearl is an attractive but rather long drawn-out endeavour to treat a theme of a kind familiar to French love-allegory in native

¹ See Réliquiae Antiquae, vol 1, and cf the very instructive Introduction to Matzner's Altenglische Sprachproben, 1 1 (Berlin, 1867).

forms of language and to some extent of verse Not more than a generation afterwards Chaucer and Gower opened the first period in which our poetic literature appealed to the height of contemporary literary culture, and while the Confessio Amantis of the latter is wholly allegorical in its framework, Chaucer began his poetical career by a version of the Roman de la Rose Although, as it stands, this poem, in accordance with Chaucer's own demure confession, marks a revolt against the moral pretensions of the orthodox loveallegory, yet it had adhered, and gave a new vogue, to the allegorical literary form Down to the middle of the sixteenth century, in the words of an eminent French critic1, it exercised over French poetry the supreme authority of an Ihad or a Divine Comedy As is well known the machinery of the Dream of Scipio suggested a whole series of Chaucerian poems, but even in these he vindicated to himself a certain freedom of treatment, until, partly under Italian influence, partly inspired by his own genius, he passed from the reproduction or invention of allegorical figures and situations to the creation of types of human nature and life. His successors, however, both in England and afterwards in Scotland, were unable to emancipate themselves with similar completeness, the conventional machinery recurs even where lyrical pathos or satirical humour give individuality to the general treatment or realistic effect to particular figures When, after the half-century's silence which poetic literature had kept in England amidst the clash of arms, we once more take up the tale of allegorical compositions, we find indeed the old spirit gone, but the old form toughly surviving. Stephen Hawes' Pastime of Pleasure may be described as the last work of the older schools of allegory in the pre-Elizabethan age of literature, though of course influenced by The infinitely more interesting Ship of later models. Fooles, adapted by Alexander Barclay from the German of Sebastian Brant, is already occupied with human types rather than with personified abstractions But Skelton's Bowge of Courte, although modern both in the learning of its matter and in the looseness of its tone, still employs the old

¹ Ste-Beuve, Tableau de la Poisse Française au 16mo Siccle, p 2

abstractions, and in form even this 'lytell' product of the later Renascence spirit still mainly follows the traditions of the species to which it claims to belong

These considerations, which it belongs to a History of English Poetry rather than to a History of English Dramatic Literature systematically to develope 1, may suffice to indicate the fallacy of the supposition that the moralities, of which I am about to discuss the chief examples, were either nothing but an outgrowth of the mysteries and miracles already described, or a mere literary expansion of the allegorical figures exhibited in those 'pageants' (in the narrower sense of the 'term') which constituted the chief popular attraction of the religious and other 'processions' of the Middle Ages. In their general method of treatment, indeed, the moralities followed closely in the footsteps of the religious drama, which they could hardly have avoided doing, masmuch as their stage and its appliances, and their audience and its tastes, were viitually the same as those of the mystery-plays But although these had occasionally anticipated some of the favourite personifications of the moralities, and although the latter as a matter of course fell back upon some of the dominating figures of the mysteries. a vast variety of new opportunities was opened by a faceto-face treatment of moral and consequently of social problems, which had hitherto been only suggested or implied by a reproduction of Scriptural and legendary narrative Furthermore, the moralities connected themselves directly with the prevalent tendencies of the literature of the age which produced them, while the mysteries had been

¹ As these sheets were passing through the press, I had the satisfaction of ascertaining, by a necessarily hasty perusal of vol ¹ of Mr W J Courthope's History of English Poetry, and more especially of its admirable chapter (ix) on The Progress of Allegory, that the suggestion conveyed in my text has become an accomplished fact. Mr Courthope's volume contains so much both in this chapter and in that which follows on The Rise of the Drama in England, that I would gladly, had circumstances permitted, have revised the whole of my own first chapter with the aid of his masterly treatment of a subject which I have approached only on a single side. As it is, I have only here and there felt myself able to make use of a guidance which would have been a godsend to me at any time within my last twenty years of broken literary studies.

out of touch, unless incidentally, with the learning of the schools, and with the ways and habits of those privileged classes which have at most times delighted in following to the death a prevailing fashion in the literary as in other forms of art

Reference has already been made to two productions, of and early which one had for its author the Anglo-Norman poet develope-Guillaume Herman (1127-1170), and the other has been (on perhaps not altogether conclusive evidence 1) attributed to Étienne Langton, who after graduating as doctor of theology at Paiis became, as everybody knows, Cardinal (1206) and Archbishop of Canterbury These compositions, while in so far to be regarded as belonging to the Christian religious drama, that in each the promised or actual intervention of the Saviour solves the complication of the action, in general conception and method of treatment resemble the moralities of later date Herman's composition, written in Langue d'Oil, or Northern French, at the request of the Prior of Kenilworth, is a dramatic version of the Bible text (Psalm lxxxv 10) 'Mercy and Truth are met together. Righteousness and Peace have kissed each other.' These four virtues appear personified as four sisters, who meet together after the Fall of Man before the throne of God to conduct one of those disputations which were so much in accordance with the literary taste of the age2. Truth and Righteousness speak against the guilty Adam, while Mercy and Peace plead in his favour Concord is restored among the four sisters by the promise of a Saviour, who shall atone to Divine Justice on behalf of man. The composition attributed to Stephen Langton treats the same theme with a relative intensity which, could either of these works be credited with a dramatic purpose, might be termed superior force of action. After a contention has been carried on between the four sisters, and Mercy and Peace are about to withdraw unsatisfied, the Divine Father summons the Son,

¹ It was found, together with the sermon on a text taken from the song on 'la bele Alix' and a canticle on the Passion, in a MS in the Duke of Norfolk's library, now in that of the Royal Society. Cf Dictionary of National Biography, xxxii 127-8, 4 Ante, p 25

and with Him, in order to meet the demands of the case as it presents itself to His own judgment, conceits the saving remedy of the Incarnation of the Word, whereupon a reconciliation takes place between the sisters ¹

It will be remembered that in one of the Coventry Plays, the four virtues Veritas, Justitia, Misericordia, Pax are introduced into the action, while in another Mors-the awful abstraction of the power against which all men are impotent-lays hands upon the murderous Heiod and his myrmidons, and delivers them over to the Devil² Without however attempting an enquiry, which could haidly be made conclusive, into the dates of these particular plays, or of others in which abstract figures may be found among the subsidiary dramatis personæ, we may assert that there is no proof that the moralities became a form of popular stageentertainment in England before the second quarter of the fifteenth century, which was covered by the reign of Henry VI The continuous spiead, through a wider area, of the literary tastes represented by the successors of Chaucer, and the enduring receptivity of the English public for the distinctive element of this new kind of plays, combined to secure to them gradually a share of favour by the side of the miracles As a matter of course, the new species, which addressed itself to no new public and was occupied with no new problems of life or thought, accommodated itself to the manner and method of the old. Between the performance of a morality and that of a miracle no external difference is noticeable, the pageants used for the one were used for the other, vexiliatores proclaimed the intended performance, and the performers in some cases went from place to place, whether they were representing the misdeeds of Herod and Pilate, or the struggle of the Soul with the Seven Deadly Sins 8. But although in this sense there was no break in the progress of our drama from its beginnings, the sense of there being something not altogether indigenous in the new dramatic growth which was establishing itself by the side of the old, was never entirely lost, or at all events seems only

¹ Klein, iv. 107–9. ³ Ante, p 89. ⁸ Collier, ii. 193, 200–1.

gradually to have succumbed to an appreciation of its usefulness in those conflicts that absorbed the interests of the people at large For it may be broadly stated that the moralities never became domesticated in this country, or at least never acquired any influence here comparable to that of the miracle-plays, until they had been made to connect themselves with the political and religious questions which were so mextucably intermingled in the Reformation age 1. This was in the changeful leign of Henry VIII, and during the pressure in the direction now of advance now of reaction which followed under his successors, but the fitful and uncertain character of these movements in their earlier phases, and the unwillingness of Henry, Somerset, Mary, and Elisabeth to leave the direction of these movements to the people itself, caused the English moralities as vehicles for the expression of public opinion to lead a troubled and chequered course Finally, before they had as a species reached the full vigour of maturity, they found the process already in operation which was to supersede them by more advanced diamatic growths

If this be borne in mind, we shall not expect to find the French history of the English moralities either as interesting or as moralities entertaining as that of the French In France, as has been already observed, a popular drama of secular origin, and concerning itself mainly with secular topics, had throughout maintained itself by the side of the religious plays, although the two species were frequently intermixed. To the French taste for allegorical and satirical poetry the drama had no doubt in its turn contributed; and in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries the religious diama of the Confrèrie de la Passion found it no easy task to contend against the moralities of the Basoche, the sotties of the Enfans sans souci, and the sotties or farces after a time represented by the older as well as the younger of these brotherhoods In these congenial productions public opinion long continued to find an outlet for itself as to both political and social topics; and the gay and outspoken genius of mediaeval

France contilived to temper distress and despotism alike by the sallies of an untiammelled wit. The pressure of the English invasion and the radical despotism of Lewis XI are alike reflected by the contemporary French popular stage, here Lewis XI's system of 'new men' found its critics, and Lewis XII's struggle against the Papacy its supporters. But these French plays, even when called *moralities*, have rather the character of interludes with typical personages (such as the immoital *Mattre Pathelin*) than of allegorical moralities, though personified abstractions are frequently, and even Scriptuial personages occasionally, introduced into them. They bear a certain resemblance to the Athenian comedy of the second period, the period represented by the *Plutus* of Aristophanes¹

English moralities

In the English moralities it is not easy to draw a distinction between particular groups, the signs of advance which they successively exhibit would best be gathered from an attempt, such as it would be here inconvenient to make, to survey the whole of them in their actual or probable chronological order Moreover, only part of the series is as yet accessible without difficulty, and as to several of these plays I am still obliged to fall back upon the analyses furnished by Collier² A misapprehension may be avoided by noticing at the outset that the name of Interludes is from a very early date applied to these plays, as indeed it seems to have been applied to plays performed by professional actors from the time of Edward IV onwards. Its origin is doubtless to be found in the fact that such plays were occasionally performed in the intervals of banquets and entertainments 3, which of course would

As Ebert (Entwicklungsgesch, p 25) says, the French moralities were developed, not invented, in this period For examples see the collections of Viollet le Duc and Fournier, already cited. Cf also an excellent sketch of the famous Pierre Gringore, the Mere Sotte of his famous company, in L. Moland's Origines, &-c, p 345 seqq The sprightliness of diction in these French plays makes them delightful reading Molière's indebtedness to them is well known

⁹ Vol it pp 200-323

³ It is curious in the above connexion to find that in France they were occasionally acted in the intervals of the mysteries Hence they were sometimes called *Pauses* Cf. Fournier, *Introd*, p iv

have been out of the question in the case of religious plays proper As will be seen below, the name Interludes is, as a technical term, of literary history, usually restricted to a special dramatic form

In the English moralities, and in the plays immediately The Devil derived from this species, it is impossible to ignore the two and the closely associated figures of the Devil and the Vice The treatment of the Devil-a long-lived impersonation of a conception to which dogma and legend have been joint contributories—has signally varied at different times and in the hands of different writers, but it has rarely altogether excluded those humorous elements which the complexity of the principle of negation involves They assert themselves already in an early period of English literature 1; and they pervade the part played by the Devil in the religious drama as it has been surveyed in the preceding sketch, and taken over, beard and all, from the miracles into the moralities and their derivatives 2 In the English motalities proper, as in their French originals or analogues, the Devil is consistently charged on his own account with the conduct of the opposition to the moral purpose or lesson which the action of these compositions is designed to enforce. In some of the later English plays, on the other hand, which grew out of the moralities and which more or less partook of their nature, the Devil is accompanied by a personage whose relation to him is primarily that of a foil, but whose functions are so peculiar that in the end he is frequently left to stand on his own legs, and to appear without the master-spirit of whom he was at first the faithful attendant 3. Ingenious etymologies have been suggested for the name of the Vice, as this character, which must be concluded to have

¹ Cf ten Brinck, 1 337, as to the legend of St Dunstan ² In Skelton's lost Nigromansir one of the stage directions is stated to have run, 'Enter Balsebub with a berde'-no doubt the vizard with an immense beard familiar to the old religious drama. Cf. Warton ap. Collier, 1 57, note

³ Collier, in 289. His original secondary position is illustrated by the amusing passage in Ben Jonson's Staple of News, Act 1 Sc 2, which attests the enduring popularity of his chief: 'My husband, Timothy Tuttle, God rest his poor soul! was wont to say, there was no play without a fool and a devil in't, he was for the devil still, God bless him! The devil for his money, would be say, I would fain see the devil.'

been of native English origin, was usually called, but the most natural explanation is probably the correct one Vice has numerous aliases—such as Shift, Ambidexter, Sin, Fraud, Iniquity, which are but variations of his ordinary name At times, however, he wears the more specific designation of some particular vice or failing, while elsewhere again, in accordance with the growing tendency to supersede abstractions by types, he appears under some typical designation of an onomatopœic kind¹ Of these various appellations that of Iniquity acquired a special vogue on the stage, where we find the species of Vice differentiated under that name for a long time established as a favourite 2 As to the origin of the Vice, no reasonable Inasmuch as he was ordinarily diessed in doubt remains a fool's habit s, and occasionally assumes the part of a jester pure and simple 4, it is obvious that the invention of this popular character was first suggested by the familiar custom of keeping an attendant fool Hence, while the Vice is in some sort an attendant or serving-man of the Devil's, his

¹ See Douce, *Illustrations of Shakespeare*, vol 1 p 469 Cf Pug's enumeration of the Vice's names in *The Devil is an Ass*, Act ii Sc 1

'Fraud, Or Covetousness, or Lady Vanity, Or Old Inquity'

Other names are Hypocrsy, Inclination, Ambition, Desire, Haphazard, Nichol Newfangle In Lewis Wager's Repentance of Marya Magdalene he appears as Infidelity See Collier, 11 189-90 In George Wapull's Tide tarrieth no man, a personage called Courage is introduced after the manner of the Vice, but without his ordinary characteristics. Ib p 296

² Iniquity appears in King Darius (printed 1565), and is summoned to give an account of himself and his functions in the passage already cited from The Devil is an Ass

³ See the Clown's song in Twelfth Night, Act iv Sc 2

'I'll be with you again,
In a trice,
Like the old vice,
Your need to sustain;
Who, with dagger of lath,
In his rage and his wrath
Cries, ah, ah! to the devil'

⁶ In John Heywood's *Play of the Wether* (1553) the Vice appears as a jester called *Mary Report*, in *Jack Juggler* (before 1560) *Jack* himself is called the Vice, and in *Godly Queene Hester* (1561) the latter is personified as a jester called Handy-Dandy. Cf Pollard, lin, note

function is to twit, teaze and torment the fiend for the The latter very commonly edification of the audience takes his revenge for having been ridden and beaten by the Vice by carrying him off on his back to hell at the end of the play 1 Gradually the character was lost in, or reverted to, that of the domestic Fool, who as is well known, survived as a standing figure of no small significance in the Elisabethan diama 2.

The Devil and the Vice, the latter in particular, are of much importance to the moialities as a popular dramatic species, both because these characters went some way to counterbalance the dead weight of the abstractions constituting the main agents of these plays, and because the aid of these elements largely contributed to the gradual Other growth of comedy It would, however be an error to suppose that (leaving the Devil out of the question) the in the Vice constituted the solitary concrete element in the moralities, where no doubt he formed the most salient one The personified abstractions will be found from time to time fitted with names appropriate to concrete individuals, and thus brought, so to speak, within view of the point at which they will be transmuted into human characters pure and simple At first, it is only occasionally that an abstraction like scorn is translated into a concrete Hycke-Scorner, but the tendency towards this kind of change proves stronger as we proceed, and is assisted by the alliterative nomenclature in which English populai humour has at all times delighted, and of which there are instances already in the mysteries 3 Such personal names as Cuthbert Cutpurse and Tom Tosspot, when taking the place of abstract designations of the sins of Robbery and Inebriety, unmistakeably imply a step forwards into the atmosphere of real life Again, as at least one writer4 has pointed out before me, even where the characters of

elements moralities

Collier, in 192-3. Cf the character of Miles, and his doom, in Greene's Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay.

Douce, # s , 11 304-5

³ Conscious of this tendency, Pilate in the Processus Talentorum in the Towneley Plays, says that he is 'nomine vulgari Pownce Pilate'

⁴ M. Jusserand

these plays still remain abstractions, frequent allusions to the actual world around the audience give a colouring of reality to the action Folly glories in his adventures in Holborn, at Westminster, and in disreputable Southwark, Youth (probably Cambridge-bred) demands from Humility whether she was not born at Trumpington—as if this were just beyond the limit set to pride; Mind, in a state of corruption, expresses his intention of putting in an appearance between two and three of the clock in the afternoon under the Parvis at St. Paul's—the lawyers' hour and place

Passing by a small number of religious plays which display a mixture of miracle and morality, which it would hardly be worth while to subject to a minute analysis—more especially as these plays belong to so comparatively late a period as the beginning of the reign of Elisabeth 1—

¹ These plays which are described by Collier, 11 167-182, include Lewis Wager's Life and Repentance of Mary Magdalene (printed 1567), the 'Interlude' of King Darius (printed 1565), of which the main interest lies in a disputation on the question, 'What is strongest' propounded by Darius in a portion of Esdras (Bk 111), 'not applied by the Church to establish any doctrine,' and Godly Queene Hester (printed 1561), in which Hester after her elevation to the throne is provided with a chapel royal, whose members are brought in to sing before her like the jeunes filles who sang before Madame de Maintenon, and in which Haman 'plays the first pagente'

on the gallows erected by himself Arthur Golding's translation of Beza's Tragedie of Abraham's Sacrifice (printed in 1577, about a quarter of a century after the appearance of the original) furnishes one more version of the favourite Old Testament theme, the single more or less novel feature being the part played by Satan, who, attired as a monk (a favourite combination of the Reformation age), soliloquises on the mischief done by him to the world in that character and comments aside on the progress of the action The Comedie or Enterlude, treating upon the Historie of Jacob and Esau (which has been printed in vol ii of Hazlitt's edition of Dodsley, 1874), is even more absolutely free from any admixture of elements proper to the morality Beyond all doubt this play is, as Collier has already pointed out, one of the freshest and most effective productions of the dramatic period to which it belongs, although not printed till 1568, it may have been written as early as 1557, when a piece of the same name was entered in the Stationers' Registers The characters in this play are real characters, and although the author takes most delight in the comic aspect of the story, he has contrived with a certain skill to supply some sort of dramatic justification of the success of Rebecca's ingenuity. The moral of the story is turned to account for the doctrine of predestination and election, so that no doubt can exist as to the religious creed of the author, who winds up with a brief sermon and a prayer for Church, Queen, nobility and 'the Queen's subjects universal."

I proceed to a brief survey, in the natural order of the groups into which they fall, of the chief moralities proper preserved to us For the York play on The Lord's Prayer, of which mention has been previously made as to all intents and purposes a morality, was acted in or before the year 1300, and thus preceded by at least a generation, and possibly by a considerably longer period, the extant plays of the reign of Henry VI1. Of these three plays two still remain Moralities in MS, and can therefore here only be described at second- of the reign Their theme is the struggle between the principles VIof Good and Evil in and for the soul of man-an inexhaustible subject to be sure, and the same in essence as that which occupied the mysteries, only that in these it was robed in the historical folds of sacied tradition. It must at the same time be remembered that the age in which these moralities were produced was one of which the circumstances were altogether unfavourable to any freedom of literary movement, and when a rigidly oithodox Chuich, favoured by a pious prince with no will of his own, controlled the spiritual forces at work in the minds of men. The earliest of the plays in this group is the Castell of The Castle Perseverance 3, which allegorises the theme of the conflict of Persevu between the Powers of Good and Evil for the Soul of Man in the form of a warfare carried on against Humanum Genus and his defenders, the Seven Cardinal Virtues, by the Seven Deadly Sins and their commanders, Mundus, Belyal and The struggle is preceded by a contest for the

of Henry

¹ Cf ante, p 97 ² See Collier, ii 200-216 Cf ten Brinck, ii 311 sega 3 This is one of the three plays usually called the Macro Moralities from the circumstance that the MSS once belonged to Mr Cox Macro -Of the Castell of Perseverance a considerable extract is printed by Mr Pollard, who proposes to edit the play for the Early English Text Society See his Introduction,

[&]quot;I The Seven Deadly Sins, with whom we have already met in one of the mysteries, reappear in Medwell's morality Nature (infra', but there is no need for following these abstractions through their long and varied career in English imaginative literature from Langland onwards The date of Dunbar's famous Dance of the Sevin Deadly Synnis seems to be about the second decade of the sixteenth century The procession of the Sins in the Faire Queene (Bk. I Canto 4) is noteworthy, as suggesting the popular effectiveness of a 'moral' pageant of this description

^{&#}x27;Huge routs of people did about them band, Showing for joy."

naked and helpless Humanum Genus between Bonus and Malus Angelus-figures familiar to several of our later plays as well as to the early religious diama. In this contention the Good Angel is temporarily defeated, and he has to summon to his side Confessio or Schrifte, with whose aid and that of Penitencia, Humanum Genus is lodged in the Castle of Perseverance To this castle his enemies. after mustering their forces, lay siege², the defending Virtues beating back their assault with roses, the emblem of the Passion of our Loid As old age overtakes him, he is at last lured away from the castle by Avaritia, the failing proper to declining years But the money received by him. hid away in the ground, avails him naught against Death, and his spirit is arraigned by Pater sedens in judicio, where the appeal of Misericordia to Christ's Passion prevails at last Thus here too, as in so many of the mysteries, the Day of Judgment concludes the action 'This earliest extant English morality, which is of great length, already furnishes an adequate example of the species to which it belongs; and there seems no reason for concluding that it was derived from a French original3.

Wisdom who is Christ The morality, of which the chief characters are *Mind*, *Will* and *Understanding*, and to which in his description of it Collier accordingly gave this title, has been renamed by Dr Furnivall, who has recently edited part of it⁴, *A Morality*

¹ See especially Marlowe's *Doctor Faustus* —In his very interesting analysis of the passion-play seen by him at Thiersee in the Bavarian Tyrol, F Gregorovius (*Kleine Schriften*, iii (1890) 190) mentions the appearance of a 'good spirit' who in vain attempts to dissuade Judas from spicide

² The machinery of the siege of a castle is common in English allegory, both dramatic and non-dramatic. See above, p 95, as to the curious use made of it in the mixed play Mary Magdalene (Digby Mysteries). The favour enjoyed by the story of the Trojan War during a great part of the Middle Ages may have contributed to the popularity of this device, but the experience of real sieges had much to do with it, just as the same kind of experience no doubt led Bunyan, who had been a soldier in the great Civil War, to imagine the siege of the city of Mansoul in his Holy War

* The besieged Castle of Perseverance is described as 'strenger thanne any in France,' and Voluptas uses the phrase 'Je vous pry'—But the resemblance to the French Moralité de Mundus, Caro, Demonia, &c., printed ap Fournier, u.s pp 200 seqq., of which the date is 1506, appears to be at the most superficial

* From the Digby MS, for the New Shakspere Society, 1882, pp 137-168

of Wisdom who is Christ The nature of the conflict is here the same as in The Castell of Perseverance, but the treatment is of a kind which comes more directly home to the modern reader than the artificial allegory of the earlier piece 1 The first personage who enters upon the scene is Wisdom, tobed in 'a ryche purpyll cloth of gold,' and wearing 'a ryche Imperiall crowne, set with ryche stonys and perlys' To this Divine Embodiment of Wisdom, Who soon reveals Himself as the Second Person of the Trinity, enters Anima-the human soul-'as a mayde in a whight cloth of gold, gyntely purfyled with menyver' and 'a mantyll of blak' She kneels to Wisdom, confessing how from her youth up she has loved Him, in return He reveals to her that He is gracious to all pure souls and withholds His love from none that are steadfast in their devotion to Him Then ensues a dialogue, in which allegorical phraseology is combined with direct homiletic exposition. Wisdom's explanation to the Soul of the compound nature of her being is illustrated by the actual introduction on the stage of the Five Wits or Senses, the servants of the Soul, maidens arrayed 'in white kertelys and mantelys, with chevelers and chapellyttes,' and of the three Powers or 'Myghtes' belonging to her-Mind, Will and Understanding, from whom Wisdom explains that Faith, Hope and Charity severally proceed in order to contend against the World, the Flesh and the Devil He leaves her, thus fortified, to fight the good fight to a glorious issue, and her lyrical outburst of gratitude brings the introductory, and in this instance most attractive, portion of the play to a close. With the next scene enters Lucyfer announcing himself in accustomed fashion with 'Out herowe I rore2,' wearing his 'devil's

¹ To my mind it recalls some of the mystic imaginings of Jacob Böhme See for instance his Wav from Darkness to True Illumination

^{2 &#}x27;Ho, ho, ho' and *Cate haro out out' are the exclamations by which the Devil is wont to announce himself in the miracles. See Sharp's Dissertation, p 85 sqq. In Mary Magdalene the seducer announces himself at his entry as 'Hof, hof, hof' a frysh new galaunt' Even in Ben Jonson's The Devil is an Ass Satan appears on the scene with the usual 'Hoh, hoh, hoh,'—an evident reminiscence from the old mysteries and moralities, as Whalley observes, although Gifford dictatorially pronounces the reference 'out of place.'

array' over the habit of 'a prowde galaunt,' and reciting in short and lively lines his own hateful past and his hostile intentions against the soul In the following scene he cairies on a long disputation with Mind and, arguing in a pleasant and as one might say gentlemanlike fashion, beguiles both her associates and herself into accepting with a light heart his gay philosophy of life The passages which hereupon exhibit the corruption of the three powers have considerable interest as illustrations of contemporary manners Mind in the service of a great lord makes money by working the practice of maintenance, which was widely seen to be immoral long before it was made illegal. Understanding flourishes by turning informer, by simony and by perjury in the law-courts, while Will surrenders himself to recklessness and loose companionship. So they call in their retainers, and the minstrels play a hornpipe to their dance

In the remainder of this play, not contained in the Digby MS, there ensues a quarrel between the three perverts and their crews, and the defiled Anima, now the parent of 'six small boys in the lyknes of devyllys,' is, together with her dependants, brought face to face with her degradation by the admonition of Wisdom. They are restored to their pristine purity, and a brief epilogue brings to an end both the play and its lesson. The former never loses sight of the latter, but pre-eminently didactic as this morality is, I will not deny that to me it seems to possess a certain charm of its own

Mankind

A third piece 1, called by Collier Mankind, introduces Meicy as the protecting power of the central personage, who is assailed by three adversaries, felicitously distinguished as Naught, New-Gyse (Guise) and Nowadays. By the advice of Myscheff they summon to their aid a fiend called Tytivillus, a name known to us already from the Towneley Mysteries 2 Having taken away from the sleeping Mankind his spade, the symbol of work, this impersonation of the lust of the flesh corrupts the soul of

¹ Like the preceding, one of the so-called 'Macro moralities,'

² Cf quie, p. 76, note 1. Cf as to Tutivillus, Dyce's note to Skelton's Poetical Works, it. 284-5.

the sleeper by an evil dream, from which he wakes as a thorough scoundrel Not until the pangs of remorse have overtaken him, and until he longs for death, does Mercy take pity on him and save him from the toils of his tempters, who rapidly descend to the place whence they came. In this morality the comic element, and with it that of coarseness, are already very notable

The above form the earliest group of our extant English Early moralities, of the next the majority belong to the early Tudor moralities Tudor period, while in all the influences of Renascence and Reformation already made themselves felt, though in the earlier instances only like the breath of the coming wind as it lightly stirs the quiet of the waters The date Nation of the 'goodly interlude of Nature,' by Henry Medwell, chaplain to the famous Caidinal Morton (the enemy and as some have thought the biographer of Richard III), seems fixed by the fact that the first of its two parts was in all probability performed before the Cardinal during his tenure of the Archbishopric of Canterbury, 1486-15002. It has nothing in subject or treatment to differentiate it from the earlier moralities Nature, by God's appointment, allows Reason and Sensuality to contend for the guidance of Man through life, and the Seven Deadly Sins have their part in the struggle One direct stroke of satire, however, seems to call for notice in a play of ecclesiastical authorship, as reflecting upon the clergy at large³. The same author wrote another interlude which was played by the king's players and which 'was of the fyndyng of Truth, who was caryed away by ygnoraunce and ypociesy' This, it appears, was so long that it was not liked, and the fool's part, of which one might wish to have heard more, was considered the best 4.

1 Cf ten Branck, 11 314

'dwelled wyth a prest, as I herd say, For he loveth well Men of the church, and they him also, And lawyers eke,'

Other sallies occur against monks and nuns, but these are delivered by 4 Colher, 1 69. wicked characters.

² See the account of this play ap Collier, ii 217-224

^{2 &#}x27;Covetise' is said to have

The World and the Child

To the reign of Henry VII, and possibly to an early part of it, may also be ascribed The World and the Child (printed in 15221) In this play, of which the action is simple, but effective, man is represented as passing through the several stages of his life, first he appears as Infans, and then receives from Mundus the name of Wanton? He describes the 'quaynte games' of childhood, as reckoned from the age of seven to that of fourteen years, and then becomes for seven years more Love-Lust and Lykynge, the representative of adolescence. Mundus once more re-christens him as Manhode, and commends to him the service of seven kings, 1 e. the seven deadly sins 8 Hereupon Conscyence appears, 'a techer of the spyrytualete' ('spyrytualete! what the deuyll may that be?' is Manhode's 11 reverent enquiry), and in a long dialogue converts Manhode But he is led astray by Folye, whose 'chefe dwellynge' is in London and who was 'broughte forthe in holborne.' Conscyence calls to his aid Perseueraunce, who meets man now in Age, and bearing the name (which he owes to Folve) of Shame Perseueraunce preaches 'contivcyon,' and teaches Age, whom he has re-named Repentaunce, the creed of Christianity, with the acceptance of which by the hero the morality closes

Hycke-Scorner The concrete element, already perceptible in the above moralities, together with the evidence of that knowledge of the ways of the world and its wickedness which has always been of service to the moralist, present themselves with increased strength in a very curious play, printed probably a few years after *The World and the Child* This is the morality called *Hycke-Scorner* ⁴—a name personifying

² See the passage cited ap Pollard, Introd li.

* Printed in Hawkins' Origin of the English Drama, vol 1, and in vol 1 of Hazlitt's Dodsley.

¹ Reprinted for the Roxburghe Club in 1817, in vol. xii of Dodsley's Old Plays, and in vol 1 of Hazlitt's Dodsley

³ Colher, in 225, has directed attention to the alliterative description of himself by *Mundus*, which is quite in the style of the Herod of the miracle-plays. The historical allusion to 'kynge robert of cysell' (Robert of Naples, who died in 1343) belongs indeed to the fourteenth century, but romance had kept his memory alive. (A play called *Robert Civil* was acted at Chester in 1529, Colher, 1, 111)

a species of folly very forcibly reprobated in Barclay's Ship of Fools, the popular saturcal allegory of the age, but branded long before by the Psalmist. 'The fool has said in his heart, there is no God' The type, in other words, is that of the man who in the emptiness of his heart, puffed up by a pretence of experience and knowledge of the world, exults in scoffing at religion 1 The date of this play is fixed as belonging to the reign of Henry VII by an incidental allusion to the Regent, a ship of wai fitted out under that sovereign The action introduces us to Pity as the chief representative of a virtuous resistance against the iniquity of the age, upheld in its tuin by Free-will and Imagination The last-named calls in to his support the personage who gives his name to the play although he acts only a secondary part in it,-a travelled libertine who, after enumerating his voyages all over the world and 'in the londe of Rumbelowe, thre myl out of hell,' favours the audience with a variety of personal reminiscences which need not here be republished. With his aid the enemics of Pity contrive to put him into the stocks, where (the situation reminds us of Kent's in King Lear) he delivers a long diatribe, with a species of lyric refrain, on the sins of the age In the end Free-will and Imagination are without any great effort successively converted by Perseverance and Contemplation, Free-will taking part in the rescue of his belated comrade; and Perseverance draws a concluding lesson from what has gone before. This morality might seem to show that the ordinary resources of the species would have quickly run dry but for the admission of an element of interest which, although subordinate, notably adds to the freshness of the general effect Yet the play to be next noticed, which by general consent stands at the head of this class of compositions in our literature, adheres in the main to the old lines.

It has indeed been supposed that the morality of Every- Everyman2, of which the first impression is traceable to about man (pr

Printed in Hawkins' Origin of the English Drama, vol. 1, and in vol i.

1529 r)

^{1 &#}x27;Hycke' or 'Hick' seems to be a sort of cant masculine prefix (= lnc) Cf. the word 'Hykman,' used = man or husband, in a vulgarly colloquial passage in The Nature of the Four Elements.

the year 1529, was written at a considerably earlier date: but Collier has not substantiated his conjecture that this date should be placed as far back as the reign of Edward IV A Dutch poet, Peter van Diest (Petius Diesthemius), soon after the appearance of Every-man composed a version of the play in Dutch, which was performed before a civitatum brabanticarum conventus, probably to be interpreted as a representative meeting of the rederyk-chambers of these towns This Dutch version again was reproduced in Latin, with what measure of fidelity we do not know, under the title of Homulus 1 by Christian Ischyrius,' who dates his preface Mæstricht, 1536 This Latin version again became the basis of a German, and the latter was in its turn translated into Dutch Without pursuing the history of the theme further, I merely note that the publisher of the Latin Homulus sought to add to its attraction by prefixing to it a series of scenes, taken in part from the contemporaiy Latin comedy of Hekastus by Macropedius, which was independently derived from the same sources as Every-man, and which was itself followed by a long series of reproductions and imitations in Germany 2

The immediate sources of Every-man are not ascertainable, very probably the author may have taken the story of his morality from the Legenda Aurea of Jacobus Voragine (d. 1298), to which it was appended as a later addition in a brief form derived from the Speculum Historiale, a compilation of the thirteenth century by Vincentius of Beauvais. But there can be no doubt that the

of Hazlitt's Dodsley The edition by Goedeke (published under the title Every-man, Homulus and Hekastus, Hannover, 1865) justly calls itself 'a contribution to international literature', for its Introduction traces with masterly completeness the origin and development of the theme, while the notes furnish a full survey of its later treatments. A large part of this moiality is printed by Mr Pollard in his English Miracle Plays, &c., pp 77-96

Nunc Homulus, per me nam resipiscet homo

² The Hekastus of George Lankveld (Macropedius) appeared in 1538 Its author, a Dutch scholar and member of the Fraterinty of the Common Life, was led by the example of Reuchlin to compose a long series of Latin comedies. He died at Utrecht—The most famous of the unitators of Macropedius was Hans Sachs in his Comedie von dem rachen sterbenden Menschen, der Hetastus genannt (1549).

story itself is a parable narrated in the religious romance of Barlaam and Jehoshaphat, which has been ascribed to the John of Damascus who died in 780, but is now held to be more probably the work of his younger namesake, afterwards Patriarch of Antioch, who died in 1000 It is impossible to mistake the singular force and profundity of this parable of the man and his three friends When he was called before the king to answer for a heavy debt, two of these friends. although he had dearly loved them and held them in the highest honour, deserted him in his hour of trial, while the third, for whom he had done little or nothing, went with him to the judgment-seat and pleaded on his behalf before the king The first friend, we learn, is the superfluity of wealth and the love of gain, and the second is wife and child and the rest of man's kith and kin, but the name of the third is the sum of his own best works and deeds, to wit faith, hope, charity, pity, human-kindness, and the rest of all the virtues. This parable, which was probably not invented by John of Damascus but (although there is no direct evidence in the case) derived by him, like the framework and the leading features of his romance, from a Buddhist source, became known to the Middle Ages in various forms through various collections of legends, but into these there is no reason for entering here

In our English morality, after a brief piologue spoken by a Messenger, the action opens with a scene in heaven, where God looking down upon the sinful earth perceives how Every-man 'lyveth after his owne pleasure,' as if ignoring the utter uncertainty of the tenure of human life. He therefore calls upon Death, His 'mighty messengere,' to proceed to Every-man, and summon him to undertake a pilgrimage which he in no wise may escape, and bid him bring with him without delay a sure reckoning Death delivers his message to Every-man, who at once appears upon the scene 1, and who tries in vain by pleas and bribes to turn the summoner away 2. Then, having received a hint

¹ We may suppose it, in so popular a play, to have changed from scaffold to scaffold, or even from storey to storey.

⁹ The passage furnishes a good example of the impressive simplicity of

that he should 'prove his finends if he can,' to see whether any of them is so hardy as to accompany him on the journey which he must take, *Every-man* left alone in his terror, bethinks him of appealing to his old friend, 'Felawship,' his comrade in many a day of sport and play, to go with him *Fellowship*, accosted as he passes over the stage, is full of assurances, for which he will not be thanked.

'Shewe me your grefe, and say no more'

But a mention of the service required soon brings a change over his professions

> 'For no man that is lyvinge to daye I will not go that lothe journaye, Not for the fader that begate me—'

though he is quite at *Every-man's* service for a dinner or a murder, or anything of that sort. When he has departed, and *Every-man* has made a similarly futile appeal to two associates called *Kynrede* and *Cosin*, he calls to mind one other friend whom he has loved all his life and who will surely prove true to him in his distress. '*Goodes*,' as this abstraction is called—'Property' would be the modern equivalent—was doubtless represented on the stage by some grotesque allegorical figure

'Who calleth me? Every-man? what hast thou to haste? I lye in corners trussed and pyled so hye,
And in chestes I am locked so faste,
Also sacked in bagges, thou mayst se with thyn eye,
I can not styre, in packes lowe I lye
What wolde ye have, lightly me saye'

But although, with the self-confidence of capital, Goods avers that there is no difficulty in the world which he

the style of this morality (the allusion to the Dance of Death will not be overlooked)

Every-man. O Dethe, thou comest whan I had the leest in mynde,
In thy power it lyeth me to save
Yet of my good wyll I gyve the, if thou wil be kynde.
Ye a thousande pounde shalte thou have,
And dyffere this mater tyl another daye

Deihs. Every-man, it may not be by no waye.
I set not by gold, sylver, nor rychesse,
Nor by pope, emperour, kynge, duke, ne prynces, &c.

cannot set straight, Every-man's difficulty is unfortunately not one this world can settle. He has therefore in despair to fall back upon the very last of the friends of whom he can think, his Good-Dedes Good-Deeds answers that she is, so weak that she can barely rise from the ground, where she lies cold and bound in Every-man's sins. Yet not only will she respond to his entreaty, but she will bring with her Knowledge, her sister, to help him in making 'that dredeful tekenynge' Knowledge, by whom we may suppose to be meant the discreet and learned advice which religion has at her service, declares, her willingness to stand by Every man at the judgment-seat, and meanwhile by her advice he addresses himself to Confession, who bestows on him a piecious jewel,

'Called penaunce, voyder of adversyte'

His passionate prayer for mercy to God and to Mary for her intercession has the effect of restoring Good-Deeds to health and strength, so that she can accompany him before the judgment-seat The allegory hereupon becomes more directly didactic, showing how Every-man disposes of half his possessions in charity by his last will and receives extreme unction, while his Five Wits or senses discourse on the dignity of the priesthood and on the Seven Sacraments of which it is the guardian On the return of the shriven Every-man the action recovers its human interest As he begins his last journey, a mortal weakness comes over him 1; one after one his companions—Beauty, Strength, Discretion, the Five Wits-take their leave, Good-Deeds and Knowledge alone holding out by him in accordance with their promise And so he dies, and Knowledge announces that he has suffered what we shall all suffer, that Good-Deeds shall make all sure, and that the voices of

Alas! I am so faynt I may not stande, My lymmes under me doth folde, Frendes, let us not tourne agayne to this lande, Not for all the worldes golde, For in to this cave must I crepe, And tourne to erthe and there to slepe.'

angels are even now welcoming the ransomed soul And as an angel descends to carry it heavenward, a personage called *Doctor* epitomises the lesson which the action of the play has illustrated.

There can of course be no pretence that the effect of this action is otherwise than impaired by its repetitions, its lengthiness, and its purely didactic passages. work calls itself a 'treatyse' in the very MS in which it is preserved to us, and though it may not have been written with a controversial intention, it was manifestly intended to uphold much of the specific teaching of the Church of Rome on the efficiency of works for salvation, on the mediating influence of the Blessed Virgin, on the Seven Sacraments, on the use of Confession and Penance, and on the authority and dignity of the priesthood—as to which last the language of the author is ecstatic 1 tendency and its effects seem incidental only in contrast with the sustained force of the general action and the simple solemnity with which it is carried through from first to last, unmarred by a trace of frivolity or vulgarity, and yet coming straight home from Every-man to every man The whole pitiful pathos of human life and death is here, and with it the solution of the problem which—theological controversies apart—has most enduringly commended itself to mankind What wonder that a morality which is successful in bringing these things before hearers and readers should, by a consensus of opinion to which I know of no exception, be regarded as the flower and crown of the literary species to which it belongs?

R. Wever's Lusty Juventus (1550 cuc) If Every-man is the production of Catholic piety, the teachings of the Reformation are reflected with the utmost distinctness in Lusty Fuventus² This morality was written in the reign of Edward VI, and breathes the spirit of the dogmatic reformation of the Protector Sonterset³. Nothing

^{&#}x27;Thus be they [priests] above aungells in degree'

² Printed in the new edition of Dodsley, vol. 1, and in Hawkins, vol. 1 Ben Jonson refers to this morality in *The Denl is an Ass*, Act 1. Sc 7

See the concluding lines, where a prayer is offered for the king and those of the nobility

is known of its author except the name—R Wever Yet in spite of its abundant theology, including an exposition of the doctrine of justification by faith, it is neither ill written, nor ill constitucted 1 Lusty Juventus is the representative of that younger generation to which the author hopefully looks, for he makes the Devil say,

'Oh, oh, ful well I know the cause
That my estimacion doth thus decay,
The olde people would beleve stil in my lawes,
But the younger sort lead them a contrary way,
They wyll not beleve, they playnly say,
In old traditions and made by men,
But they wyll lyve as the scripture teacheth them'

Thus Lusty Juventus, who opens the play with a pretty lyric to the refrain, 'In youth is pleasure, in youth is pleasure,' is speedily converted by the teachings and preachings of Good Councel, and to bring him back from these the Devil has to call in Hipocrisye to his aid Hipocrisye encourages the faltering fiend by a long and vigorous speech, in which he praises his stock-in-trade of

'Holy fyre, holy palme, Holy oyle, holy creame, And holy ashes also; Holy bronches, holy rynges, Holy knelinge, holy sensynges, And a hundred trim trams mo'

We have here the full Puritan hatred of those paraphernalia of Roman Catholic worship and ritual upon which Somerset and his Commission made merciless war—the feeling which made Spenser introduce Superstition as an old woman mumbling over her beads, Idleness as a monk with his useless breviary, and the Evil One himself now as a monk and then as a pilgrim. With the aid of a frail female called Abhominable Living, Hipocrisje succeeds in

'whom his grace hath authorised

To maynteyne the publike wealthe over us and them,'—
i.e the Council of State

¹ Perhaps it may be regarded as evidence of its enduring popularity that in as late a play as Thomas Heywood's *Wise Woman of Hogsdon* (pr 1638) a gallant is apostrophised as 'Lusty Juventus' (Act iv)

leading *Juventus* astray The lyric which the tempters sing is very pleasing, especially the stanza,—

'Do not the flowers sprynge freshe and gaye, Plesaunt and swete in the month of Maye? And when their time cometh, they fayde awaye Report me to you, reporte me to you'

The hero is, however, finally recovered by Good Councel, the exhortations of the latter being supported by a personage who is called God's Mercyfull Promises 1 and, discoursing in accordance with his name, expounds the Lutheran doctrine of Justification by Faith

Interlude of Youth (1555 curc) The Interlude of Youth², though resembling Lusty Fuventus in subject as well as in title, is less elaborate, and manifestly the work of a Catholic author³ The contention for the guidance of Youth here lies between Charity and Humility on the one hand, and Pride, Riot and Lechery on the other There is little or nothing of a controversial tone in this piece, and altogether this morality may be said to be distinguished by unusual gracefulness and ease of manner. It was doubtless composed in Queen Mary's reign.

Renascence moralities Besides these moralities of a religious tendency may be noticed two others—probably belonging to the early part of the Reformation period—which remind us of the wideness and variety of the range of ideas opened to the literary mind by the Renascence movement. The interlude of *The Nature of the Four Elements* ⁴ is a genuine *currosum*. It was printed in 1519 by Rastell, and possibly written by him, the date of its composition, if a passage referring to the discovery of 'newe londs' as having occurred 'within this xx yere' is to be taken quite literally, may be ascribed to the year 1517 ⁵. The lesson which it is designed to teach is the

Rastell's(1) Nature of the Four Elements (1517+9)

² Printed in vol 11 of Mr Hazlitt's Dodsley

¹ See below as to Bale's play bearing a similar name

³ See, besides Charity's opening speech, the allusions to the Virgin, and Humility's gift of a rosary to Youth

^{*} Printed in vol 1, of Mr. Hazlitt's Dodsley

³ Americus, to whom the author ascribes the discovery, sailed from Cadiz in 1497 (cf. Collier, 11, 321, note).

advantage of the pursuit of science, which is urged upon Humanity by Natura Naturata (1 e Cleated Nature), Studious Desire, and his friend Experience, while he is tempted astray by Sensual Appetite, assisted by the concrete presence of a Taverner, and Ignorance (with a song 1) First, Humanity goes through a course of astronomy, and after an interval of relaxation resumes his studies on the subject of the rotundity of the earth under the guidance of Experience, a travelled cosmographer But Ignorance intervenes with his medley, and in the end (which is imperfect) Nature is left giving counsel to Humanity to continue his studies, although he may now and then 'for his comfort' have to satisfy his sensual appetite. Thus the close of this well-meant endeavour seems to have been as flat as its exoidium is sobering 2

John Redford's morality of Wyt and Science 3 was likewise Redford's composed in the reign of Henry VIII, but in its later part This morality resembles the preceding in its endeavour to (temp Hen enforce the value of well-digested and well-applied learning, the principal characters are Wit, Science and 'father Reson,' without whom Wit is impotent, and, on the other side, Idlenes, Ignorance and Tediousnes There is an amusing scene, in which Ignorance is put through a spelling-lesson by Idlenes, the word which he is set to spell

Wyt and Science VIII, latır part)

¹ Consisting of a series of quotations from popular ditties Ignorance is an upholder of plain-song versus prick-song (melody versus counterpoint), and observes that it is

> as good to say plainly Give me a spade, As give me a spa, ve, va, ve, va, ve, vade.

² We have to deplore the loss of eight pages in the middle of this morality (in the course of Experience's scientific demonstration), but the author-or printer—expressly observes that when the piece is played 'ye may leave out much of the sad matter,' without spoiling the consistency of the construction He clearly (see also the close of the Messenger's prologue) did not feel quite sure of his public, and took care, like other preachers of popular science after him, to put a little alloy into his silver Criticism is disarmed by the excellence of his intentions, which announce themselves already in a kind of syllabus, notifying the principal scientific truths to be found in the play side by side with the dramatis personae. The description of the regions of the New World, which had been recently discovered, Labrador (1497), and Virginia (1502), the former in particular, are not without interest. * Edited by Halliwell for the Shakespears Society's Publications, 1848.

being *Ingland* The density of *Ignorance*, and his rustic speech, are extremely diverting ¹

John Skelton (b 1460 c11c)

To the reign of Henry VIII also belongs the solitary extant dramatic work of a writer who, notwithstanding the admirable edition of his works which we possess², has hardly as yet received the degree of attention to which his merits entitle him Skelton, as was inevitable in such a career as his, brought down upon himself the ill-will of literary as well as political contemporaries, he was sneered at by Barclay, and persecuted by Wolsey But his reputation³ has suffered from the defective sympathy of Warton, the orthodox indignation of Johnson, and the epigrammatic unfairness of Pope. Skelton is extremely and ostentatiously coarse, but it cannot be said of him that he panders to vice or prostitutes himself to the service of immorality The ends of his satire were in the main moral, and its tendency was in full sympathy with the great movement of his age His rime, as he says himself, 'hath in it some pith', and there is life in his 'tumbling' verse. His political note is the hatred of ecclesiastical domination which was one of the motive forces of the Reformation, his literary note is the return to natural sense and vivacity which was one of the mainsprings of the Renascence 4.

Skelton's Magnyfycence (after 1515) Skelton's 'goodly interlude and mery' of Magnyfycence was certainly written after the year 1515. In construction

- ¹ The costume of Ignorance, who is 'deckt lyke a very asse,' resembles that of *Anere* in the French farce *Science et Anere* See Fournier, p 334, but I do not know what authority there is for the details of the admirable illustrations to this volume
- ² The Poetical Works of John Skelton with notes and some account of the author and his writings, by the Rev Alexander Dyce 2 vols, 1843

³ Puttenham (1589) simply speaks of him as 'I wot not for what great worthines surnamed the Poet *Laureat'*

- ⁴ Ben Jonson, who seems to have been thoroughly familiar with Skelton's works, introduces him in person into his Antimasque of *The Fortunate Isles* He had already appeared as presenter, manager, and actor in Munday's *Downfall of Robert Earl of Huntingdon*, where the Skeltonical verse is imitated (cf. infra)—In later times, justice was already done to Skelton by the author of the *Curiosities of Literature* Miss Strickland discerned in the early intimacy between Henry (VIII) and Skelton the probable foundation of the grossest crimes of the royal pupil.
- ⁵ This appears from an allusion to a dead 'Kynge Lewes of Fraunce' as famed for largesse, who must be Lewis XII

and purpose it has nothing to distinguish it from earlier moralities. Its object is, as one of the characters states at the close, to offer

'A playne example of worldly vaynglory, Howe in this world there is no sekernesse, But fallyble flatery enmyxyd with bytternesse'

Magnyfycence, the hero of the allegory, is seduced by a company of false friends, among whom are Counterfeitcountenance, Crafty-conveyance, Cloked-collusion, and Courtlyabusion, into a life without measure, such a life as the introduction to the main action has, on the authority of 'Oracius,' stigmatised as leading to iuin He accordingly becomes associated with Adversity and Poverty, and then with Despair and Mischief, the latter of whom advises him to commit suicide, but he is recovered by Good-hope, and with the aid of Redress, Circumspection, and Perseverance, brought to recognise the error of his ways, and to follow above all the exhortation, 'to knowe him selfe mortall, for all his dygnyte,' 'not to set all his affyance in Fortune full of gyle,' and to 'remember this lyfe lastyth but a whyle' The teaching of this morality was singularly appropriate to the extravagant and arrogant age to which it was addiessed. but contrary to his practice in his Satires, Skelton abstains from any personal applications The merit of the play consists in the vigour and vivacity of its diction. The author gives free utterance to the wealth of his vocabulary. the rhymes are, as in his Satires, frequently happy and ingenious and he freely permits himself to lapse into the short irregular lines which he loved Upon the whole, the dignity of the morality is well sustained, but there are occasional passages of a lighter character, and a lyric song by Lyberte is introduced, further to relieve the monotony of the piece. In one speech (that in which Magnyfycence exults at the height of his prosperity) we are reminded by the general manner and by the alliteration of the tirades of the Herods and Pilates in the Mysteries 1 The learning with which Skelton was stuffed full is not always lightly

VOL. I. K

¹ I drede no daunger, I dawnce all in delyte My name is Magnyfycence, man most of might,

applied, and in truth, had the scholarship of the Renascence been able to master the beginnings of our drama, they would have run some risk of being smothered in the process.

Other dramatic works by Skelton

Besides this morality, Skelton, as he tells us in his Garlande of Laurell, produced 'of Vertu the souerayne enterlude,' and a 'commedy, Achademios callyd by name' Both of these are lost, and the loss of the latter is perhaps to be especially regretted, since it probably contained satirical remarks on the education of the age resembling those which Skelton introduces in his odd satire of Speke, Parrot1 A fourth play by the same author, Nigromansir (1 e. Necromancer), now also lost, had been seen by Warton From his account², it seems to have been an attack, in a dramatic form, on some abuses in the Church, 'yet not without a due regard to decency and an apparent respect for the dignity of the audience' The story or plot, Warton further informs us, is the trial of Simony and Avarice, the Devil is the judge, and to his jurisdiction the culprits are consigned The chief use of the personage giving his name to the play is really to speak the prologue, in which he summons the Devil-who kicks him for his pains, objecting to being called so early in the morning 8 Latin and French are stated to have been freely introduced into this piece, in the Rococo-Renascence manner so typically represented by its author

It would not have suited the temper of King Henry VIII at any time in his reign to allow so direct a dramatic lesson to be read to his lieges as that which a con-

Hercules the hardy, with his stobburne clobbyd mase, That made Cerberus to cache, the cur dogge of hell, And Thesius, that prowde was Pluto to face, It wolde not become them with me for to mell,' &c

¹ Skelton, who 'lernyd to spelle' Henry VIII him'elf, and whom Erasmus described as 'unum Britannicarum literarum lumen ac decus,' was laureate of both the English Universities, as well as of Louvain

^{*} History of English Poetry, sec xxxiii Il Negromante is the title of a comedy by Ariosto

^{*} I cannot perceive in this a proof that plays were acted in the morning. At all events they were not acted before the hours when gentlemen were in the habit of rising.

temporary Scottish poet was allowed to put into this form in order to attract the public ear with the aid of the public eye I leave aside any attempt to put together what data remain as to early dramatic performances in Scotland 1, masmuch as they seem as a whole to be without claims upon the attention of any but specialist students The earliest Scottish religious play of which we have any information, the Haliblude was acted at Aberdeen in 1445, and may from its name be conjectured to have been of a kind which specially provoked the Scottish Reformation, about a century later, to put a stop upon all dramatic growths whatever within the range of its censure It is Lyndsays all the more interesting to observe that Sii David Lynd- the Thice say's morality, entitled Ane Satyre of the three Estarts, Estatis which in vigous and variety far outstrips any contemporary or analogous English effort, was distinctly designed to commend and encourage the Reformation movement was acted at Cupar in 1535, and performed on more than one subsequent occasion; an eye-witness, who saw it acted at Edinburgh in 1554, before the Queen-Mother (Mary of Guise, who for a time winked at the new doctrines), states that the performance lasted from 'nyne hours afoir none till sex hours at evin' I add some account of this remarkable work in a note rather than in my text, because, although, the 'Lowland Scotch' in which it is written is of course nothing but an English dialect 2, the particular

¹ For an account of the beginnings of the drama in Scotland see Dr D Irving The History of Scottish Poetry, ed Dr J A. Carlyle, 1861, chaps xvi and xxi The latter chapter mentions, as more nearly approaching to the modern drama, Lyndsay's morality, a play called Philotus, printed at Edinburgh in 1603, and absurdly attributed to John Heywood See Halliwell. Dictionary of Old English Plays 194 See also Dr Irving's Dissertation on the Early Scottish Drama in the Lives of the Scottish Pocts, 1 197-222 Mr Lecky, History of England in the Lightcenth Century, it 88, asserts that no theatre was opened in Scotland before 1726

² See the passage in Part II, where Lyndsay adds to a quotation from St Paul, 'Que non laborat non manducet' (2 Thessalomans, m 10), the explanation:

^{&#}x27;This is, in Inglische toung, or leit

QUHA LABOURIS NOCHT HE SALL NOT EIT' The same Scriptural quotation is made in the French Moralite Nouvelle des Enfans de Maintenant, Ang. Th. Fr. in 14.

literature of which it foims part continued for many a generation afterwards to run its course apart from, and without influence upon, the main stream of English literature ¹

With certain exceptions, to be noted below, the pre-

¹ Sir David Lyndsay's Satyre of the thrie Estaits in commendation of Vertew and Vitoperation of Vyce (printed at Edinburgh in 1602) is reprinted in Chalmers' edition of Lyndsay's Poetical Works, and was edited for the Early English Text Society in 1869 by Dr Fitzedward Hall Lyndsay was the faithful servant and intimate counsellor of his sovereign, James V, whom he had anxiously tended as a child, and whom his sympathy and advice con-This intimacy accounts for the extraordinary sistently supported as a man outspokenness which the author of this morality permitted himself It exposes with the utmost ardour and freedom the existing abuses in the State, and The play (for a more complete analysis of more particularly in the Church which see H Moiley, First Sketch of English Literature, pp 171-6) is divided into two Paits, of which 'the best pairt,' as the author says, or at all events the most explicit, is the Second The earlier Part resembles many of the English moralities, although it is written with greater spirit and force than any of these with which I am acquainted King Humanite, the hero of the action, is seduced by Sensualite and her helpmates Gude Counsell and his companions are resisted by Dissait, Flattine, and Falset, who appear as the Vices, and who assume disguises (Flattery that of a friar) They put Venty in the stocks after exclaiming against the New Testament 'in English toung.' which she holds in her hands, but Dwine Correction at last brings the king to a better mind, and Sensuality takes her departure to the lords of the Spirituality, who have previously refused to have anything to do with Chastity

Already in the first part, some characters of a popular kind are introduced, whose fooling is carried on with the utmost licence (Lyndsay's muse is at times very unmannerly). The second part commences with the complaints of *Pauper*, who is seeking a remedy by law against the exactions imposed upon him by clerical hands, for he is, as *Diligence* informs him,

'The daftest full, that ever I saw, Trows thou man, be the law to get remeid Of men of kirk? Na, nocht till thou be deid'

So he hes down in despair , and a Pardoner appears, by name 'schir Robert Rome-raker,' who gives

'To the devill, with good intent,
This unsell wickit New-testament
With thame that it translaint',
prays 'to the rude,' that

'Martin Luther, that fals loun, Black Bullinger, and Melanchthoun Had been smorde in their cude',

and cries his own 'geir,' administering a penance to a 'sowtar' (shoemaker) and his wife, and selling a thousand years' pardon to *Pauper* for his last groat But *Pauper* repents him of his bargain, and a free-fight ensues, in which the relics are thrown into the water

After this horse-play the more serious part of the morality commences. The Three Estates appear before the king, and the representative of the suffering people, Johne the Common-wall, comes forward with his complaints.

dominant purpose of the English moralities produced Later during the Tudor reigns remains, in accordance with the broad meaning of the term, moral teaching. Thus The The Triall Triall of Treasure (first printed, apparently in two editions, of Treasure (pr. 1567) in 1567 1) furnishes no evidence as to whether it was written by a Catholic or a Protestant It is however interesting in more than one respect. Its most distinctive feature is the learning of its author, who displays an equal familiarity with biblical and with classical lore prologue illustrates the doctrine of the vanity of human self-indulgence from the philosophy of Diogenes and from the Epistle of St James Classical allusions and quotations are frequent, and we are evidently here confronted by a genuine scholar of the Renascence. But he is also fond of lyrical efforts, which abound in the piece, and are chiefly, though not uniformly, of a merry description. The Triall of Treasure signifies the testing by experience of the vanity of confiding in earthly prosperity, the hero of the morality, Luste, being misled by evil counsellors, Inclination the Vice among the number (upon whom a bridle is literally placed by Saprence and Faste), gives himself up to the love of Treasure and the friendship of Pleasure but God's Visitation comes upon him, and finally Time reduces him and his paramoui to naught 2.

Tudor moralities

The result is that the Vices are put in the stocks, and Good Counsel is called in as adviser A long debate ensues, witnesses are examined, and summary measures of punishment adopted against the adversaries of social and religious reform Not fewer than two sermons are preached, one by the Doctous and another by Folly, but previously to the latter, Acts have been passed and proclaimed comprehending the necessary changes in the state of the commonwealth Undoubtedly, the great length of the second division of this morality renders it, as Diligence avows in his short epilogue, 'sum part, tedious', but the distinctness and earnestness of its serious passages are its most striking characteristics, the fun and grossness of the comic passages having evidently been introduced as a foil Altogethei, this dramatic satire is one of the most noteworthy of Lyndsay's works, and by far the most elaborate as well as in its way the most powerful of all our mediaeval moralities

¹ Edited for the Percy Society (Publications, vol xxviii) by Mr J O. Halliwell (1850), and printed in Mr Hazlitt's Dodsley, vol in

² It may be noted that Greedy Guite, one of the companions of Luste in this morality, uses the rustic dialect which reappears in so many of our old plays, and is employed by both Peele and Shakspere

Ulpian Fulwel's Like will to Like, &c (pr 1568)

Ulpian Fulwel's Like wil to Like quod the Devel to the Coller 1 (printed in 1568) exhibits with a very robust realism the pernicious results of riotous living The Collier, who is introduced to the tune of 'Tom Collier of Croydon,' plays merely an incidental part in the piece, emblematical of the irresistible force of natural affinities 2. As he is attracted by the Devil, so Nichol Newfangle, the Vice of the play, who was 'bound prentice before his nativity to Lucifer himself,' draws into his company a congenial crew, consisting of Ralph Roister (the name will be noted), Tom Tosspot, Hankin Hangman, and so forth After an abundance of boisterous fun 3 ensue moralisings by Virtuous Living, Good Fame, God's Promise, and Honour, and the punishment of the offenders by Severity as judge Hangman leads off Cuthbert Cutpurse and Pierce Pickpurse, and Nichol Newfangle rides off for 'a journey to Spain' on his master's back

The Marriage of Wit and Saence (hc 1569–70) The Marriage of Witte and Science 4 (licensed 1569-70), though its plot and chief characters are borrowed from Redford's earlier morality already noted, deserves attention as in execution altogether one of the most advanced specimens of its class. The excellence of the diction and versification of Nature's opening speech piepaie the reader for a production of well-sustained literary merit, and no better example could be given of a well-constructed and well-executed morality than this piece, which is regularly divided into acts and scenes. Of the lesson which it enforces I will venture to say that it is thoroughly sound

¹ Printed in Mr Hazlitt's Dodsley, vol 111 ² 'Tom Collier of Croydon hath sold his coals,

And made his market today, And now he danceth with the Devil, For like will to like alway'

The character of Grim, the Collier of Croydon, appears in Edwards' Damon and Pithias, and gives its name to another old play noffced below. According to Ratson, quoted by Collier, Crowley's epigram on the Collier of Croydon was printed in 1550 or 1551. The phrase which gives its title to the play occurs as a proverbial expression (scurrilously applied to the 'precise crew' of the godly) in Bunyan's Life and Death of Mr Badman (1680)

³ Hangman's drunkenness manifests itself in an original Leonine hexameter, and in his dancing 'as evil-favoured as may be devised'

* Printed in vol is of Hazlitt's Dodsley

and sensible, and there is a genuine enthusiasm about the tone of the work which deserves the sympathy of every real student

The Marriage of Wit and Wisdom 1 seems likewise to belong to the Elisabethan moralities It is divided into acts and scenes, and is decidedly one of the liveliest pro-There is considerable reality about temp ductions of its class several of the personages, among whom are Snatch and Catch, two vagabond 'soldiares' who have 'come from Flushing to the English port'-characters well known to the comic drama of the Elisabethan age Idleness, who on one occasion appears as a priest, is the Vice, who introduces himself as 'the flower of the frying-pan,' and describes his parentage and antecedents in the following nonsense rimes -

The Marriage of Wit and Wisdom Elisabeth 1

'My mother had it, whelps at one litter, Both borne in Lent, So we ware both put into a musselbote, And came sailing in a sowes yeare ouer sea into Kent'

The Contention between Liberalitie and Prodigalitie 3 was in its present form acted before Queen Elisabeth in 16003, but may very possibly be a revision of an earlier work In any case, the style is unequal, the incidental lyrics being in general superior to the dialogue. The action, in which 16001 several concrete personages take a subsidiary part, is upon the whole brisk, showing how after Prodigality had gained possession of Master Money, son of Dame Fortune, he lost his prize by his recklessness, how Money then fell into the hands of Tenacity (i e Avarice, who talks the usual peasant's dialect of the stage), how Prodigality then set upon Tenacity in the high-road and robbed him of Money, and how Money was finally delivered out of the hands of his tormentois and entrusted to the care of Liberality,

The Contention between Liberalty and Produgality(acted

¹ Edited by Halliwell-Phillipps for the Shakesp. Soc. Publ (1846) In the tragedy of Sir Thomas More (vide infia), this morality is selected for performance before a banquet, as a play within the play, from a list including with it The Cradle of Securitie, Hit nayle o' th' Head, Impatient Poverty, [Heywoods] The Four Ps, Dives and Lazarus, and Lusty Juventus See Colher, ii 104

² Printed in vol vin of Hazlitt's Dodsley

See Act v Sc s

while *Prodigality* (this is the effective bit of realism in the play) was tried and sentenced in due form, but in mercy forgiven part of the penalty. This morality, besides being written (or revised) by a scholar evidently desirous of showing his scholarship, is not devoid of a rude kind of intrinsic merit, but it is not a little curious to find such a relic of the early drama performed before Queen Elisabeth at a time when Shakspere had probably produced more than half his plays

Moralities bearing on thereligious controversy

Although during the Tudor period, from the first introduction of changes into ecclesiastical affairs down to the settlement of them under Elisabeth, the prohibitions were numerous which sought to prevent the popular stage from taking part in religious controversy, yet it was not in the nature of things that occasional use should fail to be made of so convenient an organ of public opinion or sentiment in connexion with topics occupying them above all others. Several interludes were produced in the latter part of the 1eign of Henry VIII bearing upon the religious questions of the day, but none of these has been preserved to us 1. King Edward VI is said himself to have composed an 'elegant comedy' which took for its title the most opprobrious allegorical designation ever bestowed by her enemies upon the Church of Rome 2. And at the very commencement of Queen Mary's reign a morality called Respublica was represented at Court which was bitterly anti-protestant in sentiment, and introduced Queen Mary herself in the character of Nemesis 3. As a matter of course the same controversial tendency manifested itself in the productions of the earlier part of Elisabeth's reign It introduces itself

¹ See the letter addressed to Cromwell soon after 1535 by Thomas Wylley, vicar of Yoxford in Suffolk, ap Collier, i 128-130, in which the writer complains of not being allowed to preach in most of the other churches in the county because he had made a play 'agaynst the popys Counselers, Error, Colle Clogger of Conscyens, and Incredulyte' He adds that he has made 'a playe caulyd A Rude Commynawite,' and is making another 'caulyd The Woman on the Rokke, yn the fyer of faythe a fynyng, and a purgyng in the trewe purgatory.' The last however was 'never to be seen but of' Cromwell's 'eye'

² The Whore of Babylon See Collier, 11 408

³ She appears, though in humbler guise, in much the same character in John Heywood's epical allegory of The Spider and the Flie

into W Wager's The longer thou livest the more Foole thou art 1, but it is the pervading element of two moralities of the Elisabethan age which from this point of view call for special notice

• The anonymous play of New Custome 2, printed in 1573, New is, then, a purely controversial production, its characters, (p. 1573) which are so arranged as to admit of being performed by four players, representing respectively the Church of Rome and her allies, and the Reformation and its supporters The allies of Rome are 'Perverse Doctrine, an old Popish priest,' and 'Ignorance, another but elder,' whose friends are 'Hypocrisie, an olde woman,' and 'Creweltie and Avarice, two Rufflers' (i.e bullies) On the other side stand New Custome and Light of the Gospell, who are called 'Ministers,' 'Edification, a Sage,' 'Assurannee, a Virtue, and 'Goddes Felicitie, a Sage' The contention between these adversaries is carried on with great ardour, Perverse Doctrine reprobates the spread of the Bible among the people as 'casting perles to an hogge'; New Custome quotes 'Paule to the Corinthians,' declares the Mass, Popery, Purgatory and pardons to be flatt against Godde's woorde, and vindicates to himself his proper appellation of Primitive Constitution. While Light of the Gospell cheers on the representative of the recovered simplicity and purity of the early Church, Perverse Doctrine, after consulting with Hypocrisie, declares that

'Since these Genevian doctours came so fast into this lande, Since that time it was never merie with Englande.'

Creweltie and Avarice then come on the scene; and the latter, in order to vindicate his power against the bluster

¹ This morality, which I have not seen, is described by Collier, ii 332-8, cf n e) Its hero is Moros, and it contains the 'toote' or retrain of several old songs—Wager was also author of The Crief Detter (entered in Stationers' Registers in 1565 or 1566), a play partly written in seven-line stanzas, of which further fragments have been recently discovered by Mr Edmund Gosse (The Academy, March 9, 1878), and of 'Its good sleeping in a whole skin See New Shakspere Society's Transactions, 1 2*.

² Printed in vol in of Hazhit's Dodsley One of the 'auncient plays' known to Captain Cox was, according to Robert Lancham, Au Gize (New Guse), which Dr. Furnivall, u.s. exxii-iv, indentifies as the play in the

text

of his companion, relates a cheering precedent from 'the daies of queene Marie' of the foul betrayal of a brother But in the end *Perverse Doctrine* is converted by *Light of the Gospell*, and *Edification*, *Assuraunce* and *Godde's Felicitie* consummate the triumph of the righteous cause The morality ends with a prayer for Queen Elisabeth, and a song—the latter not extant

N Woodes'
The Conflict of
Conscience
(pr 1581)

The other work which I have to notice in this connexion is additionally curious as containing a character taken from actual history, though the whole contrivance of the piece allows us still to class it among the moralities incident which suggested Nathaniel Woodes' The Conflict of Conscience (originally printed in 1581 1), viz the abandonment of the Protestant for the Catholic faith by an Italian lawyer of the name of Francis Spira or Spiera, had indeed taken place about the middle of the century, but unless the play was kept concealed by the author for some time after its composition, it can hardly have been written before Protestantism had been definitively re-established in England The author, who is stated to have been a clergyman of Norwich, seems to bear the Maiian persecution in fresh remembiance, and perhaps the Cardinal Legate whose proceedings he holds up to abhorrence may be intended for Reginald Pole, Rome's emissary for the work of reunion². But the play is devoid of any allusions which can be directly brought home to the national history. Its hero Philologus is represented as a learned man who, by the agency of allegorical personages, of whom Hypocrisy is the most prominent and Sensual Suggestion the most effective, is lured away from the truth of the Gospel into the toils of Rome Conscience in vain seeks to hold him back; and Horror inflicts upon him the pangs-described with some degree of power-of remorse and despair

¹ Reprinted from the edition published for the Roxburghe Club by Colher in 1851 in vol. ii. of Hazlitt's Dodsley, with Colher's Introduction to this and the other plays included in his volume.

^{&#}x27;See in 3 It is strange, by the bye, that the priest Caconos who rejoices over the restoration of the Pope's authority and the revival of saints' days, 'pilgrimage, reliques, trentals, and pardons' (iii 4), should be made to talk what seems intended for Scotch

the end, the credit of the good cause is saved by a short sixth act or epilogue, in which a Nuntius describes Philologus as having been reconverted at the last, and died in peace with God

The tone of this work is bitterly contioversial, and the fulness with which it enters into its subject, as well as the lengthiness of its speeches, is that of a clerical author Nearly the whole of it is written in the seven-line stanza, and although this metre is not unfrequently used in our early plays, this can haidly have been intended for representation. The blind intolerance which it exhibits almost surpasses that of any other production not professedly theological with which I am acquainted

mor alities

The solitary political morality which has come down to Political us has unfortunately been preserved in a fragment only To what extent elements of political contioversy or invective intermingled with that of religious vituperation in the plays dating from the reigns of Henry VIII and Edward VI, and in the Respublica performed at Court on Queen Mary's accession, on which I have already touched 1, is of course Towards the end- of Mary's reign-in 1557a play called The Sackful of News is stated to have been prohibited by order of the Pilvy Council It may be surmised to have been unambitious from a literary point of view, although it would be interesting to know more of this attempt—the earliest on recoid—in the direction of the purely secular drama² But the 'mery Playe both pythy and pleasaunt of Albyon Knight' 3 may be unhesitatingly Albyon described as a political morality, masmuch as all the Kinght. characters appearing in it represent either political ideas or 1565-61 political institutions, after the fashion of Lyndsay's dramatic Satyre The hero is of course a personnecation of England, as Fohne the Common-well is of the sister country in the Scottish play. To judge from the fragment which remains

¹ Ante, p 136

² According to Collier, ii. 408, this is, so far as we know, the 'single play anterior to the reign of Elizabeth, which, from its name, looks like an original composition of a profane kind'

Printed by Collier in vol. 1. of The Shakespeare Society's Papers, pp. 55 segg . in Publications, 1844

of the work, its purpose would seem to have been to allay the ill-feeling on the part of the commonalty against the nobility, as well as the jealousy between the lords spiritual and the lords temporal It would be unsafe to speculate on the particular relations with which Albyon Knight concerned itself, nor are we justified in assuming this to have been the particular play of which the performance was abruptly stopped at Court in 1559 But Albyon Knight was in all probability written not later than 1565-6, when it was entered on the Registers of the Stationers' Company This was a period of notable uncertainty in the policy of Queen Elisabeth, when among the chief nobles intrigue and counter-intrigue were at their height, particularly in connexion with the aspirations of Leicester, and when the great Catholic houses could not yet have reconciled themselves to the newly-made bishops of the existing reign Considerable boldness was required for the implied admonition to Principalytie—in other words, to the Queen—not to suppose the people unwilling to grant supplies In general, however, the bearing of the text is not enough to suggest that it contains allusions to particular occasions or persons. The main characters of this morality seem to be, besides Albyon Knight himself, Innuri (who at first appears under the false name of Manhode) and Fustice, and their contention reminds us of that between the olkais and the άδικος λόγος in the Clouds of Aristophanes The chief ally of Injuri is Divisio, and the moral of the piece is the evil result of discord 1

¹ I add a reference to two productions which may be most conveniently noticed here, as in fact moral-plays by the nature of their design as well as execution 'R W,' the author of The Three Ladies of London (printed in 1584 'as it hath been publiquely played') and the The Three Lordes and Three Ladies of London (printed in 1590), has been conjectured by Collier to have been an actor of the name of Robert Wilson (who was one of the Earl of Leicester's players in 1574, was adopted into the Queen's company in 1583, and was buried at Cripplegate in 1600) and a different person from the diamatist of the same name mentioned unfra See Collier's Memoirs of the Principal Actors in the Plays of Shakespeare (Shakesp Soc Publ., 1846), Introduction, p xviii, note, and p 131 (According to Collier, 1 361, note, a play with this title was printed in the same year 1590 by one Paul Bucke, cf.) 'R. W,' was in any case a writer of considerable fluency, and, as the second of these plays shows, able to accommodate himself to the fashion of

The moralities proper survived in England to the close Moralities of the sixteenth century, and even into the early years of resembling the seventeenth 1. But the regular drama had flourished tragedy from a period long preceding these dates, and to it the moralities in the end could not but give way

lively prose dialogue which Lyly had brought into favour. The plots of these moralities are little if at all in advance of those of earlier compositions of the kind The Three Ladies are Lucre, Love, and Conscience of whom the two latter are in the first piece perverted by the machinations of Lucre and Dissimulation, and the rest of her servants, while in the second the three are wooed by three series of gallants, respectively Loids of London (Policy, Pomp, and Pleasure, Lords of Spain (Pride Ambition, and Tyranny), and Lords of Lincoln (Desire, Delight, and Devotion) The London and Spanish Lords each of whom has an appropriate Page—indeed the dramatis personae of this piece are bewildering in their multiplicity) engage in a contest manifestly intended to refer to the times of the Spanish Armada, in which this play must have been written. In its predecessor one or two concrete personages are introduced by the side of the allegorical abstractions, one of these (Judge Nemo) plays a less important part in the second piece, another (the Jew Gerontus) is curious as the representation of an honest Jew, who is favourably contrasted with his Christian adversary Mercatore

One may judge and speak truth as appears by this.

Jews seek to excel in Christianity and Christians in Jewishness' The name Gerontus, as Collier observes, cannot fail to recall that of 'Gernutus, the Jew of Venice,' the hero of the ballad referred to infra See also Mr Sidney Lee's letter on Shylock and his Predecessors printed in The Academy, May 14, 1887 There is no resemblance in the characters of Gernutus Barabas, or Shylock to that of Gerontus, but there are some old similarities of expression between the scene in The Three Ladies and the trial-scene in The Merchant of Venuce ('reverend judge' Pay me the principal') In both of the plays Simplicity supplies the place of clown, in the first singing an appropriate song, with the burden.

Simplicity sings it, and 'sperience doth prove,

No dwelling in London, no biding in London, for Conscience and Love', and in the second paying a tribute to the memory of Tailton as the prince of merry fellows (Cf infra) The main distinction between these two works and the older moralities hes in a greater ease of style, in conception and in construction they mark no advance whatever As to The Playe of Playes, a morality described by Gosson in his Playes confuted in five Actions (1581 or 2), see Colher, 11 197-8

1 Thomas Nash, in his verses The Choosing of Valentines, which must belong to about the last decad of the sixteenth century, refers to

> 'A play of straunge moralitee Shewen by bachelise of Manning-trie'

See Nash's Works, edited by Dr Grosart, vol. 1 Memorial Introduction, p ix In his Apology for Actors (1612), bk in p 53 (Shakesp Soc Publ. 1841), Thomas Heywood speaks of 'moralls' as a still existing variety of the drame.

sitions by which the mysteries and the moralities respectively grew into branches of the regular drama in this country will be indicated below, here it may be noted in conclusion, that we possess a considerable number of plays, dating chiefly from the latter half of the sixteenth century, which may be said to occupy a doubtful position on the boundaryline between moralities on the one hand, and comedies or tragedies on the other. In these pieces the tendency, observable already in some of the moralities described above, to introduce real human personages of a typical kind by the side of allegorical abstractions, is more fully and systematically pursued Those among them in which both action and characters are still in the main allegorical may be classed with the moralities rather than with our earliest comedies and tragedies. In this category should perhaps be placed the play of Tom Tiler and his Wife 1 (1578), where allegorical characters, including Desire the Vice, mix with Tom Tiler and Tom Tailor, while the former Tom's wife, named Strife, is half an abstraction, half a George Wapull's Tide tarrieth no Man, already cited as introducing a Vice called Courage, out of whom the humour has gone with the wickedness, seems to have been a composition of a similar description² In The Nice Wanton⁸ (1560), 'ye may see Three branches of an ill tree The mother and her children three, Two naught, and one godly,'-real human types, but the action is as simple as that of any morality, and Iniquity plays his usual part. In certain productions of a more ambitious cast, such as Aprus and Virginia, King Cambises and Bale's Kyng Johan, and in the play called A Knack to know a Knave (1594 cf.), although allegorical personages still appear, the action and the main characters are historical, and the 'moral' element is secondary only The same is the relation between the latter and the element of romantic naufative in Common Conditions (printed about 1568), and in Sir Clyomon and

¹ Collier, 11.—Tom Tiler and his wife are referred to in Fletcher's The Woman's Prize, or The Tamer Tamed (11 6)

² Collier, il. 296-8 Cf ante, p 110, note.

^{*} Printed in vol. n of Hazlitt's Dodsley

Sir Clamydes 1 Thus by a natural process was reached, as we shall see, the stage of the Interludes, and of the Chronicle Histories proper, at which the allegorical characters were altogether dropped

I have thus pursued to the point at which it seems warrantable to speak of the beginnings of the regular English drama the two main growths from which it took Before concluding this chapter I have only in addition to advert very briefly to a third species of entertainment, not properly speaking diamatic, but containing diamatic elements, which may be said to have existed almost from the first by the side of the other two The Pagiants origin of the term pageants has been already explained 2 The expression originally referred to the movable scaffolds on which both miracle-plays and moralities were represented, and (as has been repeatedly seen) was freely used of the plays themselves that were performed on these structures. As in the case of some of the plays connected with the symbols and services of the Church already noticed3, so in that of a few popular productions, essentially or altogether secular in theme, it would be useless to seek to discriminate too nicely between such processional and spectacular features on the one hand, and dramatic on the other, as we may conclude them to have severally presented Thus we hear of a play of St George, which enjoyed a long-lived popularity in various parts of the country as an open-air summer entertainment. While at times its presentment may have in no respect differed from that of an ordinary miracle-play4, it was very frequently accompanied by processional pageantry, and on at least one memorable occasion-at Windsor, in 1416-seems to have been expanded into a magnificent dumb-show, fit to be put before King Henry V and his guest the Emperor Sigismund⁵ Other entertainments-half play, half show-seem in many Festival localities to have been exhibited in connexion with particular plays

¹ Colher, n 425 sigg. As to these two plays, see below

² Ante, p 58 11nte, p 97 5 Collier, 1, 29.

Collier, 1 16, ten Brinck, 11 305.

festivals, or with particular seasons of the year 1 The custom of kindling fires and setting watches on the Eves of St John (Midsummer Eve) and St Peter lasted into the Elisabethan age², and readers of Shakspere need no reminder as to the fact that on such occasions some sort of plays were at times performed in connexion with the shows furnished by town gilds and other bodies The perennially popular festivities of Mayday have preserved, even in the forms which they wear at the present time, some reminiscences of their traditional association with the legends of Robin Hood and his companions, and although the first extant dramatic elaboration of this connexion seems to belong to an advanced period of the sixteenth century, Robin Hood, Maid Marian, Friar Tuck, and the rest, had no doubt been known for many generations to the votaries of the merry month of May 4 A mixed entertainment of an exceptional character, and perhaps of a historical origin, was the socalled Hox or Hock Tuesday Play, which is known to have been exhibited at Coventry from the year 1416 onwards, and in 1575 was witnessed by Queen Elisabeth as part of the entertainments provided for her at Kenilworth This 'olld storial shew,' which was in the main a mirthful representation of a fight, showing among other things 'how valiantly our English women for looue of their cuntree, behaued themseluez' on the occasion, was 'expressed in' both 'actionz and rymez 5,' and therefore seems, notwith-

Hox Tuesday Play

² Cf Sharp, u s, pp 174 seqq

* See a curious reference to these diversions in the Convocation Books of the Corporation of Wells, vol 11, noticed in the First Report of the Historical MSS Commission, 1874, p 107

¹ It is needless to cite surviving instances—such as the Westmoreland rush-bearing, the Devonshire harvest-play, &c, which point to the frequency in earlier times of popular usages of this description

² The newe Playe of Robyn Hoode, for to be played in Maye games, very plesaunte to behold was printed with A mery geste of Robyn Hoode, &c, about 1561 It is a dramatisation, with certain changes, of the ballads of Robin Hood and Friar Tuck,' and 'Robin Hood and the Potter' See Furnivall, Forewords to Laneham's Letter, pp li-liv, and cf, as to this and other early plays on the same subject, Halliwell's Dictionary of Old English Plays, p 213 Friar Tuck is referred to in Skelton's Magnifycence

⁵ See the quotation from Laneham in Sharp's full description of the play, u.s., pp. 125 segg. The performance at Kemlworth was that in which

standing Collier's supposition 1, to have been something more than 'merely a dumb shew' It commemorated the overthrow of the Danes, but whether its historical origin was the massacre of St. Brice or the death of Hardicanute. and what is the true etymology of its singular name, I will not pretend to determine

Apait, however, from these mixed productions, attention Pageants must be directed to those pageants, in the generally accepted proper later and narrower sense of the term, which consisted of moving shows devoid of either action or dialogue, or at least only employing the aid of the*e incidentally, by way of supplementing and explaining the living figures or groups of figures brought before the eyes of the spectators exhibitions formed an important part of the public life of the later Middle Ages, and in accordance with tastes and tendencies which have already been sufficiently commented on, were to a large extent allegorical in characteryet were so devised and airanged that their significance and intention, both in whole and in part, could as a rule be divined without much searching by those whom they were intended to delight and to ampress² In England, and more especially in London, this pageantry obtained an

Captain Cox took a leading part, whose ghost, 'mounted in his hobby horse, delivered the so called Masque of O.ols, at Kenelworth, written by Ben Jonson, in 1524 .

"And being a little man, When the skirmish began 'Twixt the Saxon and the Dane (For thence the story was ta'en) He was not so well seen As he would have been o' the Queen"'

It appears from The Academy of January 10, 1873, that a play by Captain Cox bearing the title of Impacient Poverty was discovered by Mr Halliwell-Philipps.-It would not be difficult, were it worth while, to find analogies for the Hax-Tuesday play among the early popular festivals of ancient Rome

1 1 225

2 Similar exhibitions were, again, known to the Romans of the Empire, among whom they had doubtless grown out of the triumphal processions, The ingentes Rhem mentioned by Persius (Sat vi 47) were typical if not precisely allegorical figures, at a later date it seems to have been more usual to bear along on gigantic scaffoldings pictorial and sculptured illustrations of the glorics of a campaign. See the extract from Josephus (vii 5) quoted by Friedlander, Sittengeschickte Roms, ii 145

extraordinary hold over the popular taste, which the usages of the Church and the institutions and instincts of the feudal monarchy of course tended in every way to confirm refining fancies of chivalry introduced in the Norman period gave variety to these exhibitions, but their fuller developement was owing to our commercial intercourse with Flanders, which began and rose to its height in the Plantagenet reigns. The Low Countries were the favourite home of spectacular as of almost every other kind of luxury in the later Middle Ages, and among these cities Antwerp, which kept up the most constant intercourse with England, was from an early date specially famous for its procession of the trades (de groote Ommeganck)1 But other countries -France and Italy in particular-were subject to the influences of the same tastes, and communicated them to Englishmen, more especially when in the Renascence age classical mythology was pressed into the service of these entertainments 2

Earhest English pageants City pageants The first of these shows on record in England 3 is that described by Matthew Paris as having taken place in 1236, on the occasion of the passage of King Henry III and Eleanor of Provence through the City to Westminster.

¹ See, in general, the picturesque descriptions of Flemish pageantry in vol 1 of Kirk's History of Charles the Bold (1863), where much attention is given to this theme As to Antwerp, of K Hegel's description, suggested by Makart's picture, of the entry of Charles V as witnessed by Albrecht Dürer, in Sybel's Historische Zeitschrift, viii 3 (1880) See also an engraving and description of an Antwerp pageant of 1594 ap Sharp, us, p 25

² In France the entremets and tableaux, the figures in which were taken from Scripture story or religious legend, or were allegorical, were popular from an early to a relatively late date. In the sixteenth century figures from classical mythology were introduced. See Ebert, u.s., 37-8. In Italy too we hear of these pageants, see e.g. Machiavelli, History of Florence, vii 5. For a striking account of the trions and other Italian pageants of the Renascence period, see Burckhardt, Die Cultur der Renaissance in Italian (and ed. 1869), sec. 5. The Bishop of Peterborough, in his History of the Papacy during the period of the Resonation, ii. 438-440, very vividly describes an ecclesiastical pageant which Pope Pius II caused to be arranged at Viterbo for Corpus Christi, 1462, and which bears a certain resemblance to a collective mystery, each of the Cardinals in turn surnishing forth an allegory illustrating some portion of the faith

A full account of the London pageants, from which I have borrowed in the text, will be found in F. W Fairholt's Lord Mayor's Pageants, Percy

Society's Publications, vol x.

On the return of Edward I from his victory over the Scots in 1298 occurred the earliest exhibition of shows connected with the City trades These processions were in England frequently called *ridings* ¹

To about the same period belongs the first detailed description which we possess of a pageant in the more modern sense of the term-Walsingham's account of the reception of Richard II by the citizens in 1377 There were pageants under Henry IV, one on Henry V's return from Agincourt -. and another on Henry VI's return from France after his coronation The Lord Mayor's annual procession on the day of his entrance upon the duties of his office from the City to Westminster, which had formerly been a 'riding,' from 1454 onwards was conducted by water³, and the first description of it dates from 15334 Similar gratulatory pageants were exhibited in other cities 5, the Lord Mayor's pageants, however, of course remained preemment 6 Many of our early dramatists exercised their ingenuity upon them, Peele's Descensus Astraeae, and several productions by Munday, Dekker, Thomas Heywood. and Middleton belong to this class They dealt in patriotic and moral allegouses, as well as in direct illustrations of the glories of the City or of the particular City Company

¹ So Chaucer relates of the idle apprentice, Perkin Revelour, that

whan ther any riding was in Chepe
Out of the shoppe thider wold he lepe,

And til that he had all the sight ysein,

And danced wel, he would not come agein' (The Coke's Tale)

2 Described by Lydgate (who probably wrote the songs for the occasion)

* 'This yere' (1454)' the ridyinge of the Mayres to Westmester was for done, and John Norman, Draper, was the first maire that went to Westmester by barge' A Short English Chromole, &c, ed by J Gairdner for the Camden Society, 1880

⁴ In this year Queen Anne Boleyn was by royal command welcomed in the City 'hkewyse as they use to dooe when the Maior is presented on the morrow after Symon and Jude'

⁵ Queen Margaret was welcomed to Coventry in 1455 by a pageant, of which the scheme has been preserved, and which introduces Scriptural, historical, and allegorical personages, several of whom speak a few lines of obersance (See Sharp, u s, p 145 seqq)

4 'I do not think,' says Spendall in Green's Tu Quoque (pr 1614), 'but to be Lord Mayor of London before I die, and have three pageants carried before me, besides a ship and an unicorn'

to which the Lord Mayor belonged such as the Triumphs of Old Drapery, or The Rich Clothing of England, and Chrysanaleia, the Golden Fishing, or The Honour of Fishmongers 1 These City pageants continued in favour till the outbreak of the Great Civil War, when the very maypoles were extirpated by command of Parliament They were revived shortly before the Restoration, but without recovering their former dignity, and about the beginning of the eighteenth century sank to the level at which they still await their complete extinction The pageantry of other towns has had a firstory analogous to, though of course less ample than, that of London 2

The public pageantry on which I have touched has but little importance for the earlier history of our dramatic literature. It served, however, to encourage that love of spectacle which has at different times fostered the cultivation of the dramatic art, even when it has imperilled its higher purposes, and it helped to attach those popular tastes over which our drama was in its most glorious period to assert its mastery to the interests of national history and public life ⁸

Court entertainments Lastly, the amusements of the Court and of the great houses of the nobility from a very early date consisted of entertainments partaking to a greater or less degree of a diamatic character. These entertainments were conducted partly by paid servants,—the survivors of the minstrels whose name they still occasionally retained,—partly by members of the Court and of the noble families themselves. Dances or other ordered appearances in costume, no doubt

 2 See e.g. Sharp's account of the 'Pageants on particular occasions' at Coventry, us, 145 seqq

¹ Both by Munday A humorous description of the 'Marchant Taylers' pageants will be found in the second part of the old play of *Promos and Cassandra*, Act 1 Sc 5

^{*} The use of the term pageant was not altogether confined to exhibitions in which living personages took part. We find it also applied to hangings of cloth and tapestry, presenting pictures of an allegorical character accompanied by inscriptions. See the account of the 'nyne pageantes devised by Mayster Thomas More in his youth' in his father's house, and the verses inscribed by him upon them, in Roper's Life of Sir Thomas More, ed Singer (1822), Appendix, p. xxi.

often of a figurative character, were in vogue at Court from the time of Edward III,—these came to be known as 'disguisings' or 'mummings,' and possibly a distinction was sooner or later drawn between these two designations ¹

. We have already seen that Henry V exhibited on the occasion of the visit of the Empeior Sigismund something in the nature of a pantomimic representation of the Life of St. George 2 Thomas Heywood cites from Stowe the statement that 'when Edward IV would shew himselfe in publicke state to the view of the people, hee repaired to his palace at St Johnes, where he was accustomed to see the citty actors, and since then,' he adds, 'that house by the prince's free gift hath belonged to the Office of the Revels3; Under the same sovereign the Duke of Gloucester (afterwards Richard III) kept a certain number of 'players,' and there are indications that this was no solitary instance4. In the reign of Henry VII we hear, in addition to the 'Gentlemen of the King's Chapel,' who are also called 'the players of the Chapel,' of the King's and of Prince Arthur's 'players of interludes', and some of the great nobles-the Duke of Buckingham, and the Earls of Oxford and Northumberland-likewise had their companies of players 5 There can be no doubt that the amusements of the Court herein only kept pace with those of the country at large, where about this time companies of players regularly appeared in a variety of places, more especially in London and its neighbourhood 6

¹ Collier, 1 24, says that 'in what respects a 'disguising" differed from a "mumming" is a point which it is now impossible to settle with precision'; but ib p 26, he asserts that 'there is little doubt that a "mumming" was a dumb shew,' whereas a 'disguising' of the early Tudor period of which he quotes a description seems to have been merely an ordered dance or masque Cf. the passage cited below from A Tale of a Tub.

² Ante, p 143.

² Apology for Actors, Bk 11 (Shakspeare Society's Publications, 1841, p 40)

^{&#}x27;See Collier's extracts from the Household Book of John Lord Howard, afterwards Duke of Norfolk, 1 36 seqq. The Austrian and Bavarian ministrels who were in England in the reign of Richard III may have been the first German comedians who visited this country. Per contra, Richard III appears to have been the first of our kings who appointed a 'royal bearward.' Ib 42

^{*} According to Colher, i 37, London, Coventry, Wycombe, Mile-end,

But a new impulse was given in England to whatever implied the enjoyment of life and of what, whether really or seemingly, makes it worth living, by the accession to the throne of a prince born and bred in the very midst of the European Renascence Henry VIII was the heir of endless opportunities, nor was he blind to many of them he began his reign after the most appropriate fashion-re in the way in which he was expected to begin it-by amusing himself with great energy, a new era opened for Early in this leign (1512-3) the entertainments at Court there was introduced, as a new form of entertainment recommended by its Italian origin, the masque, which very probably at first differed from the 'mummings' or 'disguisings' customary before by nothing except the fanciful adjunct of a mask to the costume worn by the participants 1. The innovation was of the sort which Fashion loves-staitling at its first introduction², and meaningless before long Practically, however, the 'masque' was merely a more elaborate and (so to speak) accentuated form of the old 'disguising' Such an entertainment is that described by Cavendish in his Life of Wolsey, and introduced with notable effect into the play of Henry VIII But we may rest assured that, even supposing the use of the term 'masque' to have been from the first more or less accurately restricted, the variety of which this and other forms of entertainment (including dramatic elements) paitook at the Court and among the surroundings of King Henry VIII

Wimborne Minster, and Kingston It strikes me as not impossible that the companies of players which appeared in these localities were identical with the companies attached to royal and noble personages, who were licensed to this extent, as according to Collier, 1 84 and note, they were in the next reign—In the Household Book of King Henry VII, 'Frenche Players' are more than once mentioned Ib 51 note

¹ Cf A Tale of a Tub, v, 2

Pan A masque, what's that?

Scriben, A mumming, or a shew,

With vizards and fine clothes.

A disguise, neighbour,

Is the true word.'

² See the curious passage in Barclay's Ship of Fools (ii. 271), protesting against the use of masks, and the original passus in Brant (sec 'Fastnachts-narrm.'

!asques

was already very considerable We know that (in 1515) two so-called 'interludes' were represented there which were in point of fact moralities, one of which was written by 'Mayster Cornyshe of the Chapel' and the other by 'Mayster Midwell,' and which were acted respectively by the children of the Chapel and by the King's players The latter of these has been already incidentally noticed 1. in the former it seems probable that two ladies of the Court performed the attractive parts of 'Venus' and 'Bewte,' while a morris-dance, in which gentlemen of the Court took part, wound up the entertainment² On the other hand, we hear of the performance (in 1520) of a 'goodly comedy of Plautus,' doubtless in Latin, and again (in 1527) of a satirical Latin play, in which Martin Luther and his wife were derisively introduced, and per contra (about 1533) of 'a comedy represented at Court to the no little defamation of certain Cardinals 3' The performers in these rather hazardous attempts to meet King Henry's changes of mood may not always have been persons attached to the royal household, and there are indications that the players who appeared before him were occasionally tradesmen trained by tradesmen 4. It is, however, certain that in this reign the King, the Queen and the Prince of Wales, as well as several of the great nobles, kept players of their own, and that these were at times allowed to travel about the country on their own account 5 This 'extension' movement, implying a natural desire to utilise popular tastes for the profit of existing interests, may have contributed to spread the feeling that the State should regulate amusements, which had long outgrown the control of the Church

1 Ante. D IIT

² Collier, 1 69 seqq—In 1527-8 a moral play was performed at Gray's Inn in the presence of Cardinal Wolsey, who, taking it to be directed against himself, configned its author and one of the young gentlemen players to the Fleet, whence however they were released on his ascertaining that he had fitted on the cap too quickly Ib 104

^{3 1}b 107 This was the year in which Pope Clement VII pronounced against the divorce

^{*} See the note of Mr G H Overend On the Dispute between the Glazur and the Tailer in New Shakspere Society's Transactions, 1, 7, 425 segg

^{*} Collier, 1 84 and note

Restrictions upon diamatic performances

A Proclamation of the year 1533, and an Act of Parliament of 1543 (the first statute of the realm known to have taken notice of the stage), prohibited, among other manifestations of misplaced independence of opinion, the former the playing of interludes 'concerning doctrines now an question and contioversie,' the latter (more explicitly) the introduction into the same of any matter 'contrary to the doctrines of the Church of Rome 1' Of greater importance. however, than this ebullition of royal orthodoxy, was the endeavour to impose suitable restrictions in loco upon the entertainments at Court, which contained so large a share of dramatic elements In the later Plantagenet period these diversions were superintended by an Abbot, or Lord of Misrule, whose primary duty was of course to provide rather than to control them The appointment, in 1546, of Sir Thomas Cawarden as Magister Focorum Revellorum et Mascorum at Court was possibly neither the first of its kind, nor one in which the censorial functions were predominant² Nor does 'the wise gentleman and learned,' George Feirers, who in 1551 became 'master of the pastimes' of King Edward VI, appear to have owed his appointment to his political so much as to his literary and dramaturgical abilities, which, although a Protestant, he was afterwards found ready to devote alike to the service of the Catholic Queen Mary 8 But an authoritatime supervision of dramatic performances became more and more a matter of course in these troublous times Although at the beginning of King Edward's reign a reduced number of players was retained in the royal service and the Duke of Somerset had a company of his own 4, his downfall in 1549 was preceded (in August) by a prohibition for a period of three months of the representation of all plays and interludes throughout the realm on account of their seditious tendency, and after his overthrow the special license of the Privy Council was in 1551 declared necessary for the performances of players attached to the households

¹ Colher, 1. 118-119, 127-128

² Ib. 1 131 seqq

³ See Mr. Sidney Lee's article on Ferrers in vol xviii, of the Dictionary of National Biography.

Collier, 1. 136-9

of noblemen, and in 1552 (as part of a general restriction) made requisite for all players in the English tongue1 Performances at Court, or in connexion with Court society. seem however to have continued occasionally to take place² On the accession of Mary in 1553 a proclamation against 'busy medlers in matters of Religion' included 'players,' together with 'prechais' and 'pryntars,' requiring them alike to obtain the Queen's license for any of their productions 3. But, as has been seen, a morality which treated of these matters, although of course in an approved vein, was acted at Court in this very year, and at Hatfield the Princess Elisabeth was, as she had been already in the pievious reign, indulged with dramatic entertainments that may be supposed to have commended themselves to her preferences⁴ Before very long popular representations of plays likewise revived, and dramatic performances had in 1556 to be prohibited throughout the country, the City of London being in some way exempted from the general regulation, inasmuch as plays were here, when licensed by the bishop, allowed to be played between All Saints and Shrovetide At Court the amusements of the age continued in more or less languid favour, the Queen maintained eight 'Players of Enterludes,' and furnished forth a 'maske of Almaynes Pilgrymes, and Irishemen,' possibly for the diversion of King Philip, when he should at last come from Thus without noticing incidental recurrences to the old religious drama we have reached the reign of Elisabeth. In the earlier years much the same twofold system prevailed that had been carried on under her sister. After in April, 1559, issuing a general prohibition of stage-plays, the new Queen's government in the month of May ensuing ordered that they should be permitted, if licensed by the mayors of towns, by lord-licutenants of counties, or by two justices of peace, provided that they refrained from handling 'either matters of religion or of the governaunce

¹ Collier, 1 143-5.

⁸ Ib, 141 note and 153 note

^{3 16} x55

of the state of the common weale¹' But at Court, and in the spheres of life connected with or subservient to the Court, the list of plays, masques and other entertainments is continuous from the same year. This conflict between policy of State and privileged practice seems a strange preface to the period of our dramatic history which, like the corresponding period of our national history at large, glories in calling itself by the name of Elisabeth

Queen
Elisabeth's
patronage
of plays
and entertainments

As a matter of fact the popular drama, consisting as it did of remnants of the miracle-plays and survivals of the moralities,—the latter presenting themselves, no doubt, in divers novel and curtailed forms,-would have run a serious risk of drying up, if not of being extinguished, had it not been for the patronage which was above the law. The need of amusing the Royal household (a body of men and women at all times deserving of special consideration), the unavoidable livalry between the great nobles whose way to power led along the paths of fashion, and the marked personal likings of the Queen herself, alike kept up the dramatic entertainments of the Court Oueen Elisabeth could not be without them in town or country, and while there seems no reason to suppose that the players of her household themselves contributed in any notable measure to the progress of our drama, or indirectly to that of our dramatic literature, it may be concluded that in the early years of her reign players of all kinds, and the patrons upon whom they subsisted, looked up to the Royal favour as the ultimate object of their endeavours. The players of the great nobles and the boy-performers, who were either choristers of the Royal chapels or pupils of some of the larger London grammar-schools, acted their plays in innyards-which, as will be seen below, were in point of fact the earliest London theatres 2 The process by which these companies of players sought to settle down in their London

¹ Collier, 1, 167

² See Fleay, Chronicle History of the London Stage (1890), chap 1. Section A (Introduction) — For some notes on the companies of players from Henry VIII to Elizabeth see notice of contents of him rationals in Bowtell MSS at Downing College, Cambridge, in Historical MSS Commission, vol. ili. pp. 321 seqq.

houses, and the conflicts between them and the authorities of the City, together with the solution found (in the year 1576) in the election of two theatres immediately outside the City walls, will be more conveniently described in a later passage of this book. Here it is more to the purpose to note that the Queen's fondness for dramatic exhibitions, or for the pageantry which contained dramatic elements, asserted itself both at her own expense and at that of her subjects from the early years of her reign. In and near London at her own palaces, at the Inns of Court, and at the seats of influential or ambitious nobles, in the country on her progresses at great houses, and in the Universities, a lavish expenditure upon her favourite amusement was incurred both by her and for her 1 The climax of these entertainments was reached in those Princely Pleasures of Kenilworth which were exhibited in the year 1575 by the favourite who cherished the futile hope of dazzling the Queen into bestowing upon him the highest of the favours at her disposal² It may be added that not only self-seeking ambition in the person of Leicester and of his less enduringly successful competitors for the smiles of the Queen, but also political wisdom as incarnate in Cecil, sought to turn to account her fondness for these diversions

¹ The attempt at economy, or profession of a wish for it, in 1560 was succeeded by increased expenditure in 1561, when between April and September revels were held at a long series of palaces, and more than £3,000 was expended on Court amusements Collier, 1 170-3 For details of the Queen's progresses see Nichols' Progresses and Public Processions of Queen Elicabeth (1823)

² The amusing letter of Robert Laneham descriptive of the Kenilworth entertainments, familiar to all readers of Scott's enchanting novel, was edited by Dr. Furnivall, with Introduction and Notes, for the Ballad Society in 1871, and this edition was republished for the New Shakspere Society (Series VI, No 14) in 1887. The editor states a desire to investigate the 'library of Captain Cox' to have been the raison detre of this treasury of delectable learning, and students of drama owe him particular thanks for his notes on the 'ancient plays' familiarly known to the Coventry worthy—Laneham's letter is reprinted in the Shakespeare Jahrbuch for 1892—One of the literary contributors to the Kenilworth entertainments was George Gascoigne (vide uffra), whose verses and masques were published with those of other poets in 1576, under the title of The Princelye Pleasures at the Courte of Kenilworthe (reprinted 1821) See also Nichols' Progresses of Elizabeth and Dugdale's Antiquites of Warunckshire (1730).

Among the papers of the great minister is said to be a scheme for a masque to be performed at a meeting between Queen Elisabeth and Mary Queen of Scots, which was to be brought about in 1562, but which never actually took place 1

Summary

In my next chapter it will be necessary to go back once more to a rather earlier date in sketching the beginnings of the English regular drama, and a few notes will then be in place as to the history of the stage on which it was performed. Here I may in conclusion attempt to summarise the various growths, differing in origin though at many points in contact with and under the influence of one another, out of which that drama sprang

In England no accurate distinction was ever drawn between mysteries and miracle-plays, and the latter term was employed as including the former But literary terminology, without affecting absolute accuracy, must distinguish between the miracle-play and the mystery as differing not only in themes, but also in origin the miracle-play was of a more, mixed derivation, the primary source of the mystery was religious, i e liturgical. The two growths took root in England soon after the Norman Conquest, and, with the incidental co-operation of the professional entertainers brought over by that event, and of their descendants, became the English religious Though the mystery bore the name of the muacle, it was the latter which was absorbed by the former In the hands, first of ecclesiastics, then of laymen, it became a popular form of dramatic entertainment, and, especially in the developed shape of the collective mystery, as performed by the gilds of English towns, survived with little material alteration to the close of the sixteenth century

The English moralities cannot be traced back further than the middle of the fifteenth century, though the distinctive elements of this species of production are to be occasionally noticed in every stage of the religious drama. They were the result of tastes partly indigenous to the

¹ The scheme of the masque, by an unknown poet, is printed *ap.* Collier, i 178-181.

English soil, partly due to the influence of French literature Their form they borrowed in England from the popular religious drama, but they never attained to the same degree of influence as that which it had reached, because it was not till the period of the Reformation that they concerned themselves with questions of immediate and lively interest to the nation at large. Even then they could only fitfully, and at times under grave risks, address themselves to such topics. And in this period they had already begun to lose their distinctive character by admitting among their diamatis personae real types of humanity by the side of personified abstractions. In this modified form they too survived to about the close of the sixteenth century

The pageants (using the term in a more restricted sense), masques, and similar entertainments had been introduced as early as the thirteenth century, and, receiving a fresh impulse in the Renascence age, continued down to the seventeenth to enjoy the favour of their patrons. These were in the first instance the Court and its society, but also the civic authorities of London and other great towns, and the populace wherever is had a chance But though containing dramatic elements, these pageants, as lacking the essential element of a real dramatic action, could never assume genuinely dramatic forms. They continued by the side of the regular drama, as they had existed by the side of its progenitors, influencing its course, but having no real part in it. In the days of its first decline they combined with it into a hybrid species, which, under the old name, applied in a more specific sense, of the masque, will claim separate attention as an illegitimate outgrowth of our dramatic literature

Such, then, were the phenomena of the origin of the modern drama, as they presented themselves on English soil. The transitions which led directly to the beginnings of the regular English drama, and those beginnings themselves, will form the subject of my second chapter

CHAPTER II.

THE BEGINNINGS OF THE ENGLISH REGULAR DRAMA.

By the term 'the beginnings of the regular drama,' I mean the birth of the two species into which all dramatic literature divides itself, their frequent intermixture notwithstanding

The tragic and the comic

The broad distinction between the tragic and the comic is peculiar neither to dramatic literature nor to literature in general among the intellectual activities of mankind Ignorance and dulness indeed pass through the world without any clear consciousness of either the tragic or the comic elements which life contains, for anathy is the unenviable privilege of the empty or unawakened mind But wherever the power of sympathy or that of antipathy is knowingly possessed, the mind is necessarily alive to the difference upon which the only satisfactory definitions of the tragic and the comic, and of tragedy and comedy, depend difference is primarily one of subject, as was in point of fact shown by English linguistic usage in the Elisabethan age without any special reference to the drama 1 inasmuch as the secret of all true art lies in appropriate, and therefore pleasing, treatment, it is a difference of treat-

¹ Thus, I may instance from Robert Greene's works the application of the term 'tragedies' to narrative tales of a sad sort (*Planetomachia*, Grosart's edition, vol v), and again 'Vlisses Tale, A Tragedy' (*Euphues' Censure to Philautus, ib* vol vi) This usage was not of English origin, but based on Greek precedent So, in the fifth century of our era, Nestorius wrote a history of the controversy excited by his doctrines, and of its consequences for his fortunes, which he entitled his *Tragedy*, and his friend Irenaeus composed under the same title a work treating of the persecutions undergone by Nestorius and of the history of the Church in his times. See Neander, *History of the Christian Religion and Church* (English Translation) iv 190 and note—The title of the Spanish Tragedy signifies, not a tragic play taken from the Spanish, but a series of deadly deeds done by Spaniards.

ment also It therefore applies to the entire character and effect of a dramatic work, and is most assuredly not to be determined by the mere accident of the nature of its conclusion. The distinction which is supported by the official authority of Philostrate, and has largely obtained, must therefore be rejected as inadequate. The circumstance that the hero of a play 'kills himself,' or is killed by somebody else, does not constitute it a tragedy, and, conversely, the happy ending of a play does not establish it as a comedy ¹

Aristotle's definitions² will better serve the purpose According to his theory, that which distinguishes tragedy as a dramatic species is the importance and magnitude of the action constituting its theme, together with the adequate elevation of its literary form, and the power of the emotions—pity and terror—by means of which it produces its effects³.

¹ Although the serious drama which ends happily has been frequently treated as a sort of third species, co ordinate with tragedy and comedy and called by some colourless name of its own-drame, Schauspiel-it is in reality nothing but a subordinate branch of tragedy. This has been well shown by the late Gustav Freytag in his admirable Technik des Dramas (and edition), pp 96-7 He reminds us how 'already in the times of Aeschylus and Sophocles a gloomy ending was by no means indispensable to tragedy, of seven extant plays by Sophocles, two, the Ajar and the Philoctetes, and according to Athenian conceptions even the Oedipus Colonius, have a peaceful ending which gives a turn for the better to the destiny of the hero Even in 'most tragic' Euripides, to whom the Poetics ascribe a love of a gloomy ending, among seven tragedies (exclusively of the Alcestis' four (Helena, Iphigenia in Tauris, Andromeda) end like a modern Schauspiel, in several others the unhappy ending seems accidental and not accounted for by dramatic motives' Freytag concludes that the Athenian public resembled that of our own days in preferring a happy ending to a play He might have referred to the still more striking instance of the Indian diama, where a positive rule prohibits a fatal conclusion. I have spoken in the text of the loose use of the terms 'tragedy' and 'tragical', it is curious that, in a glose of his own in his Translation of Boëthius de Consolatione Philosophiae Bk II Prose ii), Chaucer should adopt the following limitation . Tragedie is to seyne a dite of a prosperite for a time that endith in wretchednesse' The same notion was in his mind when towards the close of his Troilus and Cresade (Bk. V), in which he had recurred to the philosophy of Boethius, he thus apostrophised his poem

'Go, little booke, go, my little tragedie, There God my maker yet ere that I die, So send me might to make some comedie!'

^{*} Poet c vi

² I have thought it sufficient for my purpose to leave aside the question as

Comedy, on the other hand, imitates characters and actions of less elevated or intense interest ('neither painful nor destructive"), which appeal to the sense of the ridiculous,—or, in other words, touch the springs of laughter by exciting our contempt for the meaner vices and the more common

to the proper interpretation of the famous concluding clause of this passage ---'δι' ἐλέου καὶ φόβου περαίνουσα τὴν τῶν τοιούτων παθημάτων καθαρσιν' Donaldson's translation 'effecting, through pity and terror, the correction and refinement of such passions,' implies the correctness of Lessing's explanation, according to which tragedy by exciting the emotions or passions of pity and terror purifies them, changing them into virtuous qualities even if this interpretation could be accepted as correct from the point of view of language—a question which scholars must decide—it would be open to the grave objection that it makes Aristotle ascribe to the tragic drama a distinctly moral function, viz that of regulating the passions in question to a certain level or amount desirable as the due mean between excess and But this is quite foreign to Aristotle's-oi to any true-conception of art, added to which, although tragedy may by exciting the passions of pity and terror be said to ennoble or elevate the mind, taking the latter as it were out of itself and away from the atmosphere of common things, it can in no reasonable sense be said to remove what is excessive or add what is deficient in these passions themselves Goethe, giving utterance to what we all perceive, viz that 'tragedy and tragic romances by no means appease the mind, but rather disquiet it,' could not bring himself to accept Lessing's interpretation of the tragic catharsis, but it was left to Jacob Bernays to suggest an explanation which with all deference to the critical insight of Lessing and the scholarship of Donaldson and others, I venture to think irrefutable standing κάθαρσις in the sense of a medical term familiar to Aristotle, he showed that it referred to the relief of the mind from the trouble caused in it by these very passions when excited by tragedy That sympathy which is made up of pity and terror and which so heavily burdens the human soul, is drawn forth by tragedy, which suggests an object to these emotions and concentrates the working of them upon it, and having as it were elicited them and occupied the mind which is full of them, it leaves behind it a sense of relief Humble as this interpretation may seem yet, unlike Lessing's, which even were it correct would suggest a process familiar to only a very select few from personal experience, it brings home to all of us the very condition of mind which we know ourselves to have passed through on reading a tragic masterpiece Who is a stranger to the process, whereby in the first instance everything that the soul contains of vague pity for the weaknesses and failings of our kind, and of terror for the snares besetting the path of life, is brought into a focus, or again to the experience which, as we have walked out of the theatre or laid down the book, has left us the lighter, the purer, in a sense the better, for the mental effort undergone

This note may seem both long and unnecessary, but having formerly written in a different sense, I have thought that it might at all events be permitted by way of a recantation.

Poet c' 5.

weaknesses of human nature or social habits. As is well known, the classical term 'comedy 1' covered a wider variety of species than that included under the name in modein dramatic classification, where it is usually reserved for the more elaborate type of comic play The briefer sort, which, as such, is allowed a more unrestricted licence of fun and a stronger demand upon the sense of probability, we call farce, while burlesque (or, if more refined in manner, extravaganza) is the monic species of which Amstophanes was the unequalled master, and in which the characters stand in laughable contrast to the action that they carry on, or even to the diction that they use

Obviously, quite apart from the facility with which these Mind different kinds of effects admit of being exhibited side by side in the course of a single diamatic action, they may easily be intermingled with, or so to speak, shaded off into, one another Pity, for example, if akin to love, not unfrequently seems to take her birth from ridicule, and there is a touch of pathos in many a form of folly. Even the ancients were not absolutely consistent in their endeavour to keep tragedy and comedy apart from one another, although in the classical period of the Attic drama this endeavour was facilitated not only by accepted outward distinctions, but also by the wide difference between the simple severity of the system of tragic composition and the unbridled licence allowed to comedy? Certain modern dramatic schools-among them, the English in one period of its history-have with more or less of success contrived to hedge round tragedy with artificial safeguards of form or treatment But wherever, as in a large majority of those plays of which we are about to consider the growth, the effects are mixed, it is the nature of the main action and of the most important characters which must determine

1 The vague use of the term 'comedy' for any kind of play is too common in the Renascence literature of all countries to need special illustration

² Thus in his English version of the Antigone see his edition of the play, 1848) Donaldson ventured to translate the first speech of the Sentinci (vv. 223-236', whom he calls a semi grotesque character, into prose. The treatment of the character of Heracles in the Alasks is hardly in point, if this play was the satyr-drama of a tetralogy,

the classification of a drama (if we desire so to classify it) Between the two species there lies as tragic or as comic a large variety of transitions, for which at different times different names have been invented, we shall see how tragi-comedy (a term since used in a very different sense). in which both tragic and comic effects were sought in the course of the same action or combination of actions, was a mixed species much cultivated in Italy in the later Renascence age, and in England more especially under Italian influence But, on the whole, the English drama while maintaining a remarkable freedom from rigour or straitness of any kind in the intermixture of species which it has permitted and exemplified, has likewise shown itself singularly indifferent to accuracy of terminology

Elements
of tragic
and comic
effect in the
miracles
and morali
ties

Now, from what has already been said, it must have become abundantly manifest that elements of both tragic and comic effect existed in those early compositions of which the origin and progress have been traced in the preceding chapter Nay, more, in the period when the socalled miracles and the moralities were simultaneously flourishing in England, and had in point of fact attained to the highest stage of developement which they were destined at any time to reach,—in the former half of the sixteenth century, the age of the English Reformation,-both these species had advanced a considerable way in the direction of those effects which it is possible for tragedy and comedy respectively to achieve The religious plays, to begin with, habitually dealt with subjects of unequalled and, in the eyes of the age which produced them, of virtually unrivalled importance, challenging the deepest sympathies and the keenest antipathies of their audiences In order further to rivet popular favour, they had introduced a growing amount of ludicrous characters, passages and scenes, and had constituted this admixture to all intents and purposes an integral part of their action. The moralities, on the other hand, had familiarised their spectators with personifications of the most admired of virtues, as well as of the most familiar and ridiculous kinds of vices They had likewise given bodily form to numerous conceptions involving the highest ideals of their public, or again coming closely home to the interests of their business and bosoms

But from an æsthetic point of view the miracles had, Limits of unless in incidental passages, failed to rise in dignity of their operaform to the sublimity of their subjects The action of a collective mystery was indeed, if regarded as a whole, of the utmost magnitude, but as a matter of fact the connexion between the several 'pageants' was all but lost in the often fragmentary action of each The endless repetition of the well-known episodes of the Sacred Narrative must in some measure have deprived them of freshness of interest, nor could the circumstances of the case permit even had the art of the writers been equal to adopting, a treatment of their themes resembling the loval freedom with which the Attic tragedians renewed the ancestral myths typed had the characters become, that it can no longer have been easy by means of them to arouse pity or terror, except in a very modified degree, in the breast of a fairly experienced spectator The cohesion between the several plays having become practically little more than formal (more especially as they were respectively presented by different sets of performers), the interest of each must have as a rule centred in itself, and this interest can often have amounted to little more than a curiosity which it was attempted to stimulate by interpolations damaging the total impression, or by mere external devices belonging to the sphere of what we call stage-management.

The moralities, artificial in their origin, had a harder task in seeking to produce powerful results by their dramatis bersonæ of didactic abstractions, which ringing the changes on a not very flexible system of arguments, appealed to the moral sympathies of their audiences in the first instance through the medium of their intellectual faculties With no associations of biblical or legendary narrative at their command, as in the case of the miracles, they had to be constructed on a scheme which admitted of comparatively little variation, and their success accordingly depended upon conditions which could not, as with the miracles, be in a large measure assumed. Thus, if men and women

were to be moved into something beyond a pupillary acquiescence in indisputable moral truths, it was necessary either to bring the truths in question to bear directly upon their personal interests, or to make the representatives of abstract qualities and ideas types of their most familear human embodiments. Thus, unless in exceptional instances when the lesson was brought home to every man with a swiftness as of lightning, or when a fool in his folly made a whole audience kin, the moralities had to content themselves with slower processes and more gradual effects, pity and terror on the one hand, and contemptuous laughter on the other, could not be excited continuously or in a high degree by adhering to the lines on which the moralities as a species had been built up

The transitions to the regular drama suggested by these defects

In itself, therefore, nothing might seem more natural than that a desire should have gradually arisen to remedy the defects which the miracles and the moralities alike cannot have failed to reveal to the eye of common sense, and which sooner or later must have become perceptible to the performers To apply a dramatic treatment resembling that customary in the miracles fo personages and passages of profane history, and to exchange the abstractions of the moralities for actual types of contemporary life, might seem to have been an advance of its nature inevitable. All classes of the population were familiar with the characters and events of Bible history and Christian legend, it was only necessary that a similar acquaintance, or something approaching to it, should come to prevail with regard to personages of profane history and their achievements,-and these could not fail to gain a footing on the popular stage In the first place, however, the religious themes of their pageants might well to a large proportion of both performers and spectators seem inseparably associated with the very notion of a stageplay, and, again, the national history (and a fortiors all other secular history) was a field concerning which the public at large was in profound ignorance and in which it took an extremely restricted interest In England as elsewhere the influence of the Renascence was to bring about a change in this respect, but the process was necessarily slow, and in its earlier steps feeble. On the other hand, the tendency towards substituting on the stage real human types for personified abstractions had long been asserting itself in particular instances Some such types had found their way into the mysteries from the very first, or rather the mysteries had found them ready to hand in the Sacred Narrative on which they had been founded 1, but their introduction had become more and more frequent and specific, and in the moralities the Vice was but the most prominent and popular example of the concrete beings whose presence in the eyes of a large proportion of the spectators atoned for a host of abstractions. In general, moreover, it will not be forgotten that the miracles and the moralities had never been kept absolutely distinct, both had alike been religious plays, and the manner and method of their performance had been in all essentials identical Thus there was every likelihood that, should any new species of dramatic composition form themselves, they would contain elements of both the one and the other primitive species

As a matter of fact, however although the beginnings of The direct both tragedy and comedy in England associate themselves impulse an intraneous distinctly with the moralities, while with the beginnings of one tragedy the mysteries likewise must be brought into connexion, the first English comedy and the first English tragedy alike were direct reproductions of foreign (classical) models Inasmuch as this fact stands undisputed and indisputable, there seems little advantage in speculating as to whether the regular drama or drama proper-as distinct from productions in which the essential demands of the drama are imperfectly met, although the works may be cast in the dramatic form and abound in elements of dramatic effect-could in England have sprung into being without the extraneous impulse which I now proceed to consider At the same time no estimate of the force of this impulse-in other words, of the influence of the Renascence movement-can succeed in showing, either, that

¹ I refer to such characters as the Shepherds, Soldiers, Tortores, &c.

the Renascence first implanted the love of the drama in the English people and thus made our English stage a chosen home for the genius of dramatic literature, or, that the primitive but long-lived species of productions which I have been up to this point considering lacked the possibilities of a self-development such as might have resulted in a national drama

The Renascence movement in England

The impulse in question was supplied by classical examples, and by the literature of that incomparable land which was not only to all intents and purposes the biithplace, but long the favourite home of the Renascence It would be quite superfluous to attempt to trace here the first appearances in England of an active interest in, or communication with, Italian scholarship, since there is no gainsaying the fact that these early instances of contact between Italian culture and our own Teutonic nation were isolated in character Down to a late period of the fifteenth century, during the calamitous reign of Henry VI and those of the sovereigns of the House of York which followed, this country was once more insulated from ready and productive contact with the other nations of Europe, and the mass of its inhabitants stagnated in apathy even as towards the interests of the civil conflicts which desolated their fields and homesteads As the numbers of the population remained nearly stationary, so neither was the wealth of the country increased, nor, unless very gradually, were fiesh routes of trade and intercourse with other countries opened Fearful at times even of her security within her own seas, England in arts as well as in arms seemed for a time likely to lapse into the isolation of insignificance. Thus English civilisation remained in essentials unaffected by the current of the Renascence after individual Englishmen had become subject to its influence, or had even, in exceptional cases, been overpowered by it 1. Perhaps England's 'trust to her Universities,' and her dislike of accepting articles of consumption not 'manufactured by the old-

¹ For instance, Battista Guarino's pupil John Tiptoft, Earl of Worcester, an early illustration of the force of the well-known proverb—*Inglese Italianato e un diavolo incarnato*

established firms,' may have alike retarded and regulated the process of the intioduction of the new learning among us 1 and these institutions had fallen into a lassitude that was fast approaching a condition of torpor, not directly counteracted by the multiplication of endowed colleges. Still, the existence of these colleges contributed to the decline of the custom of sending young gentlemen to the monasteries for their education, and, again, the connexion between the colleges and dependent schools made for the growth of a clearer distinction than had hitherto prevailed between undergraduate and schoolboy, while on the other hand the wealthiness of the colleges encouraged residence under more liberal (or, if the term be preferred), more luxurious conditions of life² Although students of this sort were not likely to prove specially awake to the dawn of a more eager spirit of study in our great seats of learning, yet it is unmistakeable that a closer connexion was gradually being established between these institutions and the wellto-do classes of the laity. More especially the lesser gentry-the class on which for many reasons the future of the country was from the close of the Wars of the Roses onwards so largely to depend-were brought into a closer relation than they had previously maintained with the best extant form of literary culture Nor should it be forgotten that the sixteenth century has been called the golden age of legal education, and that in our London Inns of Court the processes by which this education was conducted were organically associated with the revels (including both singing and dancing) deemed indispensable by the spirit of the age A closer continuity—or at least a more special one—than has been known to subsequent times, prevailed between the student life of the Universities and that of the Inns of Court, and made itself felt in their most authoritative spheres. Thus, while as a matter of

¹ I quote from the Bishop of Peterborough's Rede Lecture on *The Larly Renaissance in England* (Cambridge, 1895).

² A fair type of this species of student is Walter Paston, of the *Paston Letters*, who did medicere Latin composition at Eton, and was afterwards sent to both Oxford and Cambridge, whence he dutifully wrote home for supplies to enable him to live like other men

course the passivities of which our country has at all times been a kindly nurse remained powerful forces to reckon with, a special public was gradually forming itself such as could not escape the influences of the Renascence, when they reached our shores in stronger and ampler currents. To none of these influences, whether pure or mixed in their relations to literature and art, was the English academical public (if I may so call it) of the period which may be roughly described as the third quarter of the sixteenth century, more susceptable than to that of the Classical and its scion the early Italian drama, together with the narrative sources from which the latter was constantly fed. It must remain a subsidiary matter of speculation how far the earliest visits of Italian actors to this country contributed to the beginnings of our regular drama.

These hints may suffice to introduce a brief account of the beginnings of English tragedy and comedy respectively. Though it was comedy which first established itself as a perfected growth in our national literature, tragedy claims her natural precedence in the ensuing outline

The carly Itahan drama and its themes Many generations before the influence of the Renascence movement made itself felt in the progress of the English drama, Italian tragedy had seized on themes of national interest, and treated them in a form imitating the Latin classical model—Seneca—of whom I shall immedfately have to speak at length. Alberto Mussato's *Eccerims* was the work of a Paduan born not more than three years after

That in one hour's meditation

They would perform any thing in action'

Yet although these Italian actors probably for the most part presented the improvised comedies known as commedie dell' arte, to which reference will be made below, they may also have carried with them regular plays—so-called commedie erudite—which the performers had to get by heart. Cf. with Collier, in 201, Klein, iv 560.

¹ According to Colher, 1 226, a company of 'Italian players' performed before the Queen at Windsor in 1577, but one of these was evidently a tumbler or vaulter In Whetstone's Heptameron of Civil Discourses (1582) are mentioned 'comedians of Ravenna,' who were not 'tied to any written device,' but who had 'certain grounds or principles' (1 e outlines of performance) 'of their own' It can hardly be doubted that these were the actors alluded to a few years later in The Spanish Tragedy (Act V) —

^{&#}x27;The Italian Tragedians were so sharp of wit

the death of the tyrant Ezzelino himself1, and though the play is written in Latin, and is a close imitation of Seneca, from whose Threstes it even borrows a passage verbation, its subject is one of immediately national interest. Another Latin drama of the same century treats a contemporary event, the Capture of Cesena², and Landivio, a poet of the fifteenth century, commemorates in another Latin tragedy the Captivity and death of a tamous captain of its times 3 But long after the Italian tragic poets had begun to compose in their own tongue their subservience to Seneca lcd them to prefer classical subjects, although we meet with a Rosmunda4, so that by the time when the English diama came into contact with the Italian, the example of the latter no longer pointed in a direction which our playwriters had already in an earlier period come to pursue of its own accord

Of the influence of Italian models it would therefore at Isolated this stage be misleading to speak. We may, however, wonder why it should not have independently suggested itself to an early the minds of many of the authors of our later miracleplays to widen their range of subjects so as to include themes dramatic versions of secular narrative. When historical figures such as Octavian and Tiberius Caesar found their way into the religious plays, and Pompey the Great and other heroes of profane lore made their appearance in the pageants, the step to the dramatic treatment of an entire passus of secular history or of pseudo-historical romance might seem to have been so easy, that the only wonder is that it should hardly ever have have taken 5 An exception

English plays of date on

3 De Captuntate Dreis Jacobi tragoedia Jacopo Piccinino was executed in 1464 16) Cf Walker, u s, pp 56-8

Due or two French 'profane mysteries' have been already noted.

¹ Mussato was born in 1261 and died in 1330 (Klein, v 235) For an abstract of the Eccernus see J Cooper Walker, An Historical and Critical Essay on the Revival of the Drama in Italy (1805), pp 20 segg

² A D 1357 (Klein, t. 251)

By G Rucellai, 1516 The earliest tragedy in Italian is Galeotto del Carretto's Sofonisba, acted 1502, Trissino's Sofonisba (1515), Martelli's Tulha, and Rucellas Rosmunda followed. See Klein, v 251 For an enumeration of other Italian tragedies of the same epoch and of the next two decades see Symonds, Shakspere Predecessors, 217; and cf. Walker, An Historical Memoir of Italian Tragedy (1790).

Robert Cıcıll (1529) may perhaps be noted in the instance of a play acted at the market-cross of Chester in 1529, the title of which was Robert Cicill, i e King Robert of Sicily It was doubtless founded on the old romance of that name, which although certainly not identical with the romance of Robert the Devil, may connect itself with the same cycle of Norman legend The romance tells how the proud King Robert was subjected to a severe penance by an angel of God, who assumed the king's place, and changed him into the 'fool of the hall' In this degraded capacity King Robert had to accompany his impersonation on a visit to the Pope of Rome, nor was he restored to his royalty till after their return to Sicily Collier alleged that he had discovered a letter addressed to Thomas Comwell by the Mayor and Corporation of Chester, in which they gave an account of the plot of the play closely corresponding to the story of the romance 1 If so, this was to all intents and purposes a miracle-play, and should be classed with productions of this kind rather than regarded as a precocious attempt in the direction of historical tragedy

Three plays founded on romance, Patient Grisilde, a Titus and Gesippus and a Melibeus, together with a fourth on a subject of modern history, the Burning of John Huss, are stated by Bale to have been among those seen by him in the library of their author, Ralph Radcliffe This worthy was a learned man who in 1538 opened a school at Hitchin, having obtained a grant of the dissolved Carmelite friary in that town, and his plays were performed by his pupils in what had formerly been the refectory of the monks We know nothing concerning these early efforts of our scholastic drama besides their titles, some of Radcliffe's plays are said to have been in English, others in Latin²

¹ See Collier, 1 116 'It is callyd Kynge Robart of Cicylye, the whiche was warned by an Aungell whiche went to Rome, and shewyd Kyng Robart all the powre of God, and what thynge yt was to be a pore man, and thanne, after sondrye wanderynges, ledde hym backe agayne to his kingdome of Cicylye, where he lyved and raygned many yeres'—For an account of the romance see Warton, 11 174-6, with Price's note

² See Warton, in 308-9, cf Collier, 1 114 note The names of the remaining plays by Radcliffe seen by Bale were Dives and Lazarus, The Delivery of Susannah; Job's Sufferings, Jonas, and The Fortitude of Judith.—

nings of the study national

For many a long day our national history had remained The begina sealed work to our people at large Although chronicles had been composed in a long succession which even the of our Wars of the Roses had been unable altogether to break, history their authors had been chiefly ecclesiastics, nor had it ever formed part of their design to gratify such interest in the national past as might here or there exist in the general public But the Renascence brought to England, from Italy in the first instance, a taste for historical study. In the first place, the reawakened enthusiasm for the great classical authors themselves was in some measure an enthusiasm for historians In Italy the age of the Renascence opens the epoch of critical history, and in the fifteenth century the histories of the great Italian cities were already being written in a spirit to which the chioniclers of northern countries, with their naif mingling of fact and fiction, as yet remained strangers In France, Comines in historic insight excels Froissart, almost in the same measure in which he falls short of the earlier writer in purely literary excellence earlier phase, at least, of the German Renascence called forth, in Elsass more particularly, a taste for patriotic history In England, both antiquarian and literary tendencies likewise began to turn to this field of study 1 It was an Italian, Polydore Virgil, who, under the first two Tudors, made the first attempt to write English history after a fashion designed to be attractive from a literary point of view-of course in Latin, and already Henry VII's reign produced in Fabyan's Chronicle or Concordance of Historics,

Bale likewise mentions, as having written 'tragedies and comedies' in the reign of Henry VIII, Henry Parker, Lord Morley, whose only extant work is a version of the Trumphs of Petraich

As to the former, see in John Leland's New Year's Gift to King Henry VIII, published by Bale with his commentary two (or possibly rather more) years after the king's death, such passages as the following 'O that we had now the floryshyng workes of Gildas, surnamed Cambinus, that most noble Poete and Historyane of the Britaines, which wrote in the tyme of Kynge Aruragus, when S Peter yet preached to the dispersed bretherne The Venecyans more than Ixxxviii yeares ago for they r commodite could fatche them out of Irelande, and have them yet commen both at Venys and Rome, accounting them a very specyal treasure '- I quote from the charming reprint of this interesting relic, recently (1895) issued by my friend Dr Copinger from his Priory Press at Manchester

the earliest of a series of efforts at historical composition in the native tongue destined to exercise an enduring effect upon the patriotic sentiments of our people The policy of Henry VIII necessarily rendered him unwilling to employ the art of printing, as it was used by the German reformers. for the encouragement of a spirit which should be at once national and anti-Roman, but of the 'new learning' spread by the Renascence and the Reformation movements, some study of the national history, and a concomitant endeavour to compose historical works in a widely acceptable literary form, inevitably became part It was impossible, especially in a people so conservative at bottom as the English, that a great political as well as religious transformation should accomplish itself without a conscious appeal on the part of its advocates to the historical past of the nation The Tudor dynasty availed itself of the beginnings of our modern historical literature to blacken its adversaries and to glorify itself, and the Reformers, when advocating their doctrines and attacking the practices of the Church of Rome. were as a matter of course led to recur to the memory of controversies and struggles waged of old, if not for the same ends, at all events against the same resisting powers

While therefore, as has been already seen, the mysteries did not remain wholly unaffected by the spirit of the Reformation 1, and while some of the moralities were designedly made vehicles for the inculcations of its principles and tenets, the attempt to call in the aid of national history for the purposes of dramatic effect could hardly fail to be made in a more comprehensive and a more systematic form. With the help of the existing chronicles of past reigns, practical lessons might conveniently be conveyed to the living genera-

One would like to know how far this spirit manifested itself in some of the later, or latest, survivals of the religious plays of the ancient type. There can of course be no doubt, from this point of view, as to Abraham's Sacrifice, a translation from Beza by A. G. (Arthur Golding) which appeared in 1575. But we have no information concerning Abrame and Lotte except that at three performances of it on January 9, 17, and 31, 1593, Henslowe received his, xxxx, and xis respectively, or as to Absolome, except that on the occasion of its performance in October, 1602, he disbursed 'for poleyes and working the property of the hang Absolome' xiiijd (Henslowe's Diary, pp. 32, 33, 241).

tion, and of all the forms of the controversial morality, if I may use the expression, that of the historical morality seemed most to recommend itself by its impressiveness, its interest, and its comparative safety. It was at once more effective than the morality pure and simple, and less dangerous in days of sudden shifts and changes, than the political morality in the stricter sense of the term

Something of the kind suggested must have been the Organ origin of the so-called *Chronicle History*, of which the earliest *Chronicle Chronicle* specimen remaining to us closely connects itself with the history moral-plays This is the Kyng Johan of Bishop Bale

Folin Bale lived in times when alike for the sake of one's Bishop Bale conscience and for the sake of one's career it is imperative (1495-1563) to choose a side, and his was chosen with promptitude and with decision. Born in Suffolk in 1495, he was educated partly in a monastery at Norwich, partly at St. John's College, Cambridge (thus being the earliest of the alumni of that famous college to connect its history with the annals of the English diama) At Cambridge he became a Protestant and, avowedly in order to dissever himself for ever from the service of Rome, mairied a wife. He was in due course favoured by Cromwell, on whose downfall he withdrew into the Low Countries, where he resided for eight years, naturally finding ample time for literary occupation. On the accession of Edward VI, he obtained first a living, then the Irish bishopric of Ossory His consecuation, however, was speedily followed by the accession of Mary, and after many troubles he once more fled to the Continent, not to return thence till after the death of the Oueen He was now comforted for the remainder of his days by a prebendal stall at Canterbury. He had actively served the cause of the Reformation with his pen, consistently seconding the policy of its most advanced political champions, Cromwell and Somerset and Northumberland, knowing no measure in the violence of his partisanship, and pouring forth in extraordinary abundance, literature which can in no sense be called 'pure' His incontinence as a writer has caused him to be vituperated even by latter-day upholders of the interests to which he devoted his pen; his diligence as a compiler has brought

blessings on his head, such as have fallen to the lot of few of his contemporary craftsmen ¹ For my purpose it suffices to point out that we have in this instance to do with a man of strong opinions, and accustomed to express them with a vehemence in default of which listeners were not easily to be secured in so clamorous an age. Such a man neither puzzles nor refines before suiting words to his thoughts, shows scant scruple about putting new wine into old bottles, and leaves contents and continents to airange things between them as best they may. Thus, for instance, the dramatic forms of the mysteries and moralities that he found ready to his hands, commended themselves to him without further ado for the controversial uses which were the business of his life. Among the plays from his hand preserved to us, only a single one is devoid of controversial elements.

Of those which have been lost the titles enable us to guess the contexts He states that he translated Pammachus tragoedias, a phrase which Warton thinks may perhaps refer to the play called Pammachus, performed at Christ's College, Cambridge, in 1544, and afterwards laid before the Privy Council as a libel ² Bale's own dramatic pieces were, according to his account, extremely numerous, comprising a series of 'comedies,' which appear to form a sort of collective mystery concerning the life of Christ, from His boyhood to His Resurrection, together with other single plays both religious and secular The titles of some of the latter group are in harmony with the political and religious opinions advocated by their author Upon both Marriages of the King (Henry VIII); The Treacheries of the Papists;

^{&#}x27; Mr Froude calls him 'the noisiest, the most profane, the most indecent of the movement party,' and, more tersely, 'a foul-mouthed ruffian'— I remember the late Mr Henry Bradshaw observing to me in the Cambridge University Library, that in certain lines of research everybody falls back on Bale.

^{&#}x27;Warton, 1v 74 A reference to the account of this Latin comedy, ib iii 302, will show that Warton's expression 'a libel on the Reformation' must be a slip of the pen Gardiner, the Chancellor of the University, denotinced Panmachus as containing offensive reflexions on those papishical ceremonies which had not been abolished It was originally dedicated to Luther See K. Hase, Miracle Plays, &c. (English Translation), p. 57.

Of the Impostures of Thomas a Beckett¹ Of this class of plays by Bale, Kyng Johan (which will be noticed at length) and The Three Laws of Nature, Moses and Christ, corrupted by the Sodomites, Pharisees, and Papists Unfortunately, the latter production (printed about 1538 and reprinted in 1562) is not easily accessible, but it is described by Warton as 'a satırıcal play against popery, and perhaps the first of the kind in our language' Infydelyte is the parent of six Vyces, who, according to the directions given, are to be apparelled as follows 'Let Idolatry be decked lyke an olde wytche, Sodomey lyke a monke of all sectes, Ambycyon lyke a byshop, Covetousnesse lyke a Pharisee or spyrituall lawer, False Doctrine lyke a popysh doctour, and Hypociesy lyke a graye fryre The iest of the partes,' the direction adds, 'are easye ynough to conjecture' At the opening of a scene in Act ii Infidelity intones a Latin prayer of unspeakable profanity and obscenity² Of Bale's miracleplays which, using an ambiguous expression, he states himself to have 'compiled,' four have been preserved, and of these, as belonging to a class of compositions already sufficiently described, a passing notice will suffice

God's Promises 3, written in 1538, is a mystery of the God's simplest kind of construction, and was, as its author himself Promises informs us 4, like his John the Baptist, acted by the youths upon a Sunday at the market-cross of Kilkenny diction, however, is that of a learned writer, and the theological argument or concatenation is developed with precision and strict consecutiveness. The 'Promises' are those made by God to Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses, David, Isaiah, and John the Baptist, each of whom in turn, and in an Actus devoted to him, holds discourse with Pater Coelestrs. Each of the seven 'Acts' concludes with an Antiphon sung by the particular interlocutor, and a prologue and epilogue are spoken by the author, Balaeus himself. The object of this composition (unless the general

(1538).

¹ See the list given by Bale himself in his Scriptorum illustrium Majoris Britanmae Catalogus (1549), and cited ap. Collier, ii 160 note, from the Basel 2 Warton, IV. 73-4 cf Froude, IV 300. folio of 1577

⁸ Printed in Dodsley's Old Plays, vol 1.

In his Vocacyon to the Bishoprick of Ossory, (Warton, iv. 74.)

reference in the epilogue to the doctrine of Justification by Faith be insisted upon) may therefore be said to be edification pure and simple—

'No tryfeling sporte
In fantasyes fayned, nor soche like gaudysh gere,
But the thyngs that shall your inward stomake chear,
To rejoice in God for your justyfycacyon,
And alone in Christ to hope for your salvacyon'

The Temptacyon of our Lorde (1538)

The Temptacyon of our Lorde 1, written in the same year, distinctly describes itself as an 'Acte,' or portion of a series Although well and vigorously written, it is not otherwise remarkable except as containing very unmistakeable references of a controversial character to some of the institutions upon which the Reformation made war The moral of the whole piece is, not to condemn fasting, but to show that its value lies merely in its being a fiuit of faith, in addition to which the opposition to the general reading of Scripture, and the preference shown by 'relygyouse men' for 'contemplacyon' rather than the study of the Scriptures, are directly or indirectly inveighed against the Tempter, who in the first instance assumes the habit of a hermit, explicitly expresses his conviction that, as the 'vycar at Rome' will be his friend, he may defy the Saviour himself.

Johan Baptyste (1538) A fourth mystery by Bale belongs in date of composition to the same year 1538 It is the 'brefe comedy or enterlude' of Fohan Baptystes preachynge in the Wyldernesse, &c 2 Its characters are the sacred personages of the passages in the Gospel which it paraphrases, and the typical figures of Publicanus, Pharisaeus, Turba vulgaris, Miles armatus, and Sadducaeus Prologue and epilogue are here too supposed to be spoken by the author himself; and there are again references to the rupture with Rome. The Pharisee inveighs against the 'new leinynge' introduced by St. John (the term employed in Kyng Fohan to signify the teaching of the Reformation), and all ambiguity is removed by the

2 Printed in the Harleian Miscellany, vol 1.

¹ Edited by Dr. A B Grosart among the Miscellanues of the Fuller Worthies Library, vol 1 (1870),

direct admonition of the Prologue not to listen to saints and founders of monastic orders, and to

'Beleve neither Pope, nor prest of hys consent'

Even in the above group of plays, however, we cannot Kynge recognise any very substantial advance upon the religious and political moralities described in the previous chapter In Kynge Johan¹, which accordingly calls for a more special and detailed notice, we perceive that a very remarkable step in advance has been taken towards there chronicle histories from which English historical tragedy was to take its beginning. This work was not made known to students until its discovery, some time between 1831 and 1838, among old papers belonging to the Corporation of Ipswich, whence it found its way into the library of the Duke of Devonshire. It contains a reference to King John's charitable foundations there and in the neighbourhood—

'Great monymentes are in Yppeswych, Donwych and Berye, Which noteth hym to be a man of notable mercye;'

and the editor of the play, the late J P Collier, conjectures with much probability that it was performed by the guilds or trades of Ipswich

About half of this production, including all the latter portion, is in Bale's handwriting, while the remainder is throughout carefully corrected by him, various passages being inserted for the sake of greater completeness, or for other The name of Bale nowhere occurs, but as he enureasons merates a play under the title De Foanne Anglorum Rege among his dramatic works, and describes it as in idiomate materno, and as his handwriting is identifiable by other evidence, Collier thinks that no doubt can exist as to his authorship. Yet I cannot perceive any proof of the earlier part of Kynge Johan having been Bale's own production, although, on the other hand, there is likewise no proof of the contrary assumption The work is at the close of the MS described as two playes; but it remains doubtful where No I ended and No. II began It might be surmised that No. I ended where we read Finit Actus I, about the middle of the

VOL. I.

¹ Edited by Collier for the Camden Society, 1838

whole, after a summary of what has gone before in stanzas by the Interpretour (who here appears as a kind of chorus) If, however, such is not the case, and if the second play begins, as Collier thinks, at a considerably later point, where some confusion or omission occurs in the MS, and where Bale's own handwriting commences, it may be that only the second part was by him In support of this possibility. it may be noticed, first, which is of little importance, that Bale in his Summarium gives, as a translation of the beginning of his pay, Latin words to which the actual beginning only very vaguely corresponds¹, secondly, that a considerable difference seems noticeable between the earlier and the later portions, the earlier being (I think) at once more vigorous and effective in the serious, and coarser in the comic, passages. Internal evidence sufficiently shows the play to have been written either in or soon after Henry VIII's reign, and before, not after, that of Queen Mary It is most probably a product of the early years of the reign of Edward VI² The conclusion, with an adulatory reference to Queen Elisabeth, is obviously a later addition, and may, as Mr Fleav ingeniously conjectures, have been introduced, with certain other modifications, on the occasion of the play being performed before the Queen during her visit to Ipswich in August, 15613

In ages nearer to our own the reign of Queen Mary, who in 1548 was still but a persecuted princess, has been apt to furnish the most glaring illustrations required by Protestant partisanship when appealing to the antipathies of popular audiences. The contemporaries of Edward VI could hardly have found any period of English history so useful for

¹ See Collier's edition, Note I

² Even granting that *Imperial Majesty*, as Mr Fleay puts it, is 'ostensibly Henry VIII,' this would not show that the play was written before his death. On the other hand, the reference to 'our late Kynge Henrye' (see below) might of course have been introduced in the reign of Elisabeth.

^{*} Chronicle History of the London Stage, 62-3, where it is pointed out that Imperial Majesty is repeatedly styled 'Governour'—the title assumed by Elisabeth in lieu of that of 'Head' of the Church Cf. the same author's Biographical Chronicle of the English Drama, 1. 28 Bale, who died in 1563, is supposed by Mr. Fleay to have himself been the corrector

a similar purpose as the reign of King John Had not Wyclif begun his public career as the literary mouthpiece of the English Pailiament which rejected the impolitic demand of Pope Urban V, that the arrears of the tribute agreed upon between King John and Pandulph should be paid up at once? With all the hatred of Papal exactions and Papal interference, and of the airogance characterising it in manner as well as matter, there of course coexisted in the popular English mind much ignorance as to many parts of King John's story, and as to the findividuality of King John himself Thus, although he could not well be venerated as a hero, he might be sympathised with as a victim, it might even be insinuated or asserted, that this treacherous prince, whose homage done to the Pope for his two kingdoms was in truth a political manœuvre dictated by desperation, and whose mind was visited by glimmerings of Protestant doctrines just about as much as that of his brother Richard, withstood proud Pharaoh—the Pope—as a faithful Moses on behalf of his poor Israel-England, while the now glorified name 'Lollard' might be applied to him without any scruple as to its appropriateness

Possibly, Kynge Johan was one of the publications against which Bishop Gardiner protested in a letter to the Protector Someiset written in the first year of Edward VI's reign¹, when the Visitation had begun which was almost literally to change the face of the land, and which, while received with very different feelings elsewhere, may be supposed to have found friends at Ipswich² Cardinal Wolsey's birth-place had benefited by the abolition of some of the smaller monasteries in the reign of Henry VIII, and its grammar-school was to be endowed, probably from similar sources, by Queen Elisabeth Some of the charitable foundations of this borough or its vicinity, as was mentioned above, happened to date back to the reign of King John, whose name had therefore a good sound in this part of the country But the choice of theme might have naturally suggested

¹ See Froude, 1v. 300.

Less isolated than the offender who had suffered there in the days of the Six Articles (1539) Cf. Froude, iii, 188.

itself on more general grounds, and indeed a previous diamatic attempt on the subject seems to have been produced in the shape of 'an enterlude concernyng King John,' acted 'at my Lorde of Canterbury's' (Cranmer's) on January 2nd, 1540 1

The play of Kynge Johan (for I must treat it as a single one) breathes the very spirit of the period of its composition—an emphatic defiance of the Pope and of Popery, thoroughly in consonance with the tendencies which animated the sway of Somerset and the Calvinistic reformers. These were the men who made war upon the relics of Roman ritual and Church wealth spared by Henry VIII, against which the author of Kynge Fohan inveighs with the utmost bitterness and vehemence At no other time in the Tudor period was so 'thorough' a view in the ascendant in the reforming circles as to the authority of the temporal sovereign in Church as well as State, and it is this view which the play enforces with resterated energy The royal supremacy is repeatedly insisted upon in terms one may almost say of gusto, such as Cranmer would have heartily approved It is curious, by the bye (and incidentally likewise points to an early date), that though the author vigorously denounces the absurdity of employing the Latin tongue in the services of the Church, he almost invariably makes his own quotations from Scripture (which are very copious) in Latin, as if that were the tongue after all most familiar to him as the language of the Bible.

The drama begins with a speech from King John himself, declaring his lineage and position, and announcing his intention to do his duty by his people. To him enter 'Ynglond vidua'—a personification of the country as a widow, who at once beseeches the King to protect her from her oppressors². 'Who are these?' inquires the King. Her answer suggests the keynote to all that follows, in these plain-spoken words—

¹ See the document in the State-Paper Office as quoted by Collier, I. 123 sequ.

^{*} Readers of The Facrie Queene will call to mind the allegory of the desolate widow Belge in Bk. i, Canto v of that poem.

'Suche lubbers as hath dysgysed heads in their hoodes Whych in ydlenes do lyve by other menns goodes, Monkes, chanons and nones in dyvers coloure and shappe, Bothe whyght blacke and pyed, God send their increase yll happe'

The conference is interrupted by Sedwsyon (Sedition 1), who certainly proves deserving of the epithet of a 'lewde person,' speedily applied to him by the King. Sedition is in fact at once the main agent in the conduct of the play, and its solitary comic character. While therefore he represents the Vice of the moralities, he not only by his humorous (and meffably coarse) sallies enlivens the progress of the action, but is the spirit of evil as well as the spirit of mockery He makes very clear to King John the source of the mischief which is abroad in the realm. and in no measured terms exposes the iniquitous designs of the Pope, as well as the arts by which his emissaries have mastered the minds of the nobles, the clergy, and the lawyers, upon whom the King had imagined he could rely Personifications representing these three orders of men-Nobilyte, the Clargy, and Syvill (Civil) Order-are then introduced to prove that Sedition has spoken the truth, but are constrained by the King to promise such obedience as he may demand from them Hereupon the plot is hatched by Sedition and Dissimulation ('dan Davy Dyssymulacyon'), who recognise one another as cousins.-

- 'S Knowest thou not thi cosyn Sedycyon?
- D I have ever loved both the and thy condycyon
- S Thow must nedes, I trowe, for we cum of ij bretherne If thou remëber owr fathers were on mans chylderne. Thou comyst of Falshed and I of Prevy Treason.
- D Then Infydelyte our granfather ys by reson
- S Mary, that ys trewe and his begyner Antycrist, The great pope of Rome, or fyrst veyne popysh prist.'

After comparing their antecedents and principles, and finding them mutually satisfactory, these two worthies agree to summon to their aid *Pryvat Welth* and *Usurpyd Power*, who enter singing a canticle, and join in the conspiracy. The conspirators now severally assume the characters

¹ The spelling of the MS, is unusually wild

which are supposed to typify the qualities they represent, viz Dissimulation becomes Raymundus 1, Sedition Stephen Langton Archbishop of Canterbury, Private Wealth Caidinal Pandulphus and Usurped Power the Pope They agree that an Interdict shall be issued, and the rule of Popery fully established

Thus ends the 'first act,' after the 'Interpretour' has summed up the position in the following stanzas, which may be quoted, as they will render unnecessary any close account of the remainder of the play—

'In thys present acte we have to yow declaréd,
As in a mirrour, the begynnynge of Kynge Johan,
How he was of God a magistrate appoynted
To the governaunce of thys same noble regyon,
To see mayntayned the true faythe and relygyon,
But Satan the Devylle, which that time was at large,
Had so great a swaye that he coulde it not discharge

Upon a good zele he attempted very farre
For welthe of thys realme to provyde reformacyon
In the Churche thereof, but they ded hym debarre
Of that good purpose, for by excommunycacyon
The space of vij yeares they interdyct thys nacyon
These bloudsuppers thus of crueltie and spyght
Subdued thys good Kynge for executynge ryght

In the second acte wylle apeare more playne,
Wherein Pandulphus shall hym excommunycate
Within thys hys lande, and depose hym from hys reigne
All other princes they shall move hym to hate,
And to persecute after most cruell rate
They wyll hym poison in their malygnyte
And cause yll report of hym alwayes to be.

This noble Kynge Johan, as a faythfull Moyses Withstode proude Pharao for hys poore Israel, Myndynge to brynge yt owt of the lande of darknesse, But the Egyptanes did agaynst hym so repell, That hys poore people ded styll in the desart dwell, Tyll that duke Josue, whych was our late Kynge Henrye, Clerely brought us out in to the lande of mylke and honye

¹ The reference seems to be to John's brother-in-law, Raymond IV of Toulouse.

As a strong David, at the voyce of verytie,
Great Golye, the pope, he strake downe with hys slynge,
Restorynge agayne to a Crysten lybertie
Hys land and people, lyke a most vyctoryouse Kynge,
To hir first bewtye intendynge the Churche to brynge
From ceremonyes dead to the lyvynge wurde of the Lorde
Thys the seconde acte wyll plenteously recorde'

The view of King John's motives indicated in the above pervades the play, in one passage of which he is called a 'Loller,' 2 e Lollard

Under the pressure of the Interdict, Nobility, Clergy, and Civil Order, in spite of the remonstrances of the King, bend their knees before Langton and Pandulphus, then Commynalte, the personification of the suffering commons, who is blind as well as poor, and in whom, as the son of widowed England, the King had placed his last trust, tremblingly submits to the arrogant Cardinal, the forsaken King receives news that enemies from abroad are threatening him on every side, and thus at last he gives way and delivers up his crown

The rest of the play (which from this point is in Bale's handwriting) is far less dramatically effective, the real dramatic climax being past. Further concessions are forced out of the King, whose enemies finally determine to make away with him by poison. *Dissimulation*, on being promised eternal bliss as his reward, assumes to himself the responsibility of the deed and its consequences. To the King, who is athirst, he enters in the guise of a monk, bearing a cup in his hand and singing a wassailsong¹, and after himself swallowing half the poisoned draught, persuades the King to drink the remainder. The treacherous monk hereupon goes to his death, comforted by

It may be worthy of remark that the poisoning of King John at Swineshead monastery, accepted by Shakspere, is a doubtful tradition

Perhaps the oldest in our language It runs thus —

"Wassayle, wassayle out of the mylke payle,
Wassayle, wassayle, as whyte as my nayle,
Wassayle, wassayle in snowe froste and hayle,
Wassayle, wassayle with partrich and rayle.
Wassayle, wassayle that muche doth avayle,
Wassayle, wassayle that never wyll fayle'

the belief that he 'dies for the Chuich with S Thomas of Canterbury,' and then his royal victim dies (not on the stage), after forgiving his foes and uttering a farewell to England —

'Farwell, swete Englonde, now last of all to the, I am ryght sorye I coulde do for the no more Farwell ones agayne, yes, farwell for evermore.'

The whole of what follows may, in the irreverent language of the modern stage, he described as a tag (Verity) expatiates on the King's virtues and good deeds 1, and on the lies which partisan historians have uttered against his memory, and inculcates at great length the doctrine of absolute obedience to princes Nobility, Clergy, and Civil Order promise to amend their ways, and here at last the play might have come to a close, but the author could not forbear from bringing in, to wind up the action, what may be almost called a deus ex machina in the shape of one more personification—Impervall Majestie. This abstraction, beyond doubt, very thinly veils the royal or 'imperial' (for he liked that style) figure of Henry VIII, with whose sentiments the oration in favour of the royal supremacy is in very complete accordance Sedition is called to account by Imperial Majesty, and though promised pardon if he will make a full confession is consigned to the hands of Civil Order for the expiation of his sins -

'Have hym fourth, Cyvyle Order, and hang hym tyll he be dead, And on London brydge loke ye bestowe his head'

This worthy having been taken away, after begging that some one will tell the Pope, so that he may be put in the litany and prayed to 'with candels' like Thomas Becket, there remains nothing to be said beyond some final words of admonition against sedition and popery. The exhortation against anabaptism (a term of very elastic application

¹ They consist in London Bridge having been built in his reign, and in his zeal as towchynge Christes religion having been proved by the expulsion of the Jews out of the realm. The list is not long, but Bale might have found it difficult to enlarge it, unless he had foreseen the greatness of Liverpool, to which King John gave its first charter.

in the Reformation age) and the tribute of praise to Queen Elisabeth, as to the sovereign who may be a light to all other princes, are, as has been seen, later additions

As a matter of course, this play is written in anything but a historical spirit, and it would be of little advantage to criticise it from a historical point of view. Indeed, expert controversialist as he was, the author falls back on 'abusing the plaintiff's attorney' both in the passage of the *Interpretour's* speech cited above ¹, and in the assertion of *Nobility* (which for the rest does not lack point), that

'You pristes are the cawse that chronycles doth defame So many prynces, and men of notable name, For yow take upon yow to wryght them evermore, And therfore Kyng Johan is lyke to rew it sore When ye wryte his time, for vexing of the Clargy²,

In other words, this earliest example of a species which was soon to develope into the Chronicle Histories, pretended to bid defiance to the Chronicles, because they were written by priests, nor was it until a new generation of historical writers arose who were in sympathy with the sentiments of a large body of the laity that a national historical drama could draw its materials from congenial sources It so happens that with the reign of King John began a new school of ecclesiastical chroniclers, associated with the monastery of St Albans, who reflected the change in the clergy of the age from political neutrality to active partisanship on behalf of the claims of the Church³ Authorities of this description Bale was not very likely to follow, and indeed even in the later Chronicle History of The Troublesome Raigne of Fohn King of England (printed in 1591), to be mentioned below, mediaeval historical tradition was treated with scant courtesv. Yet for the main series of his facts Bale had, notwithstanding, to depend upon the narrative of the Chroniclers. This furnished the outline of the action of his play and suggested the dramatic idea that lay at the root of the two later dramatic treatments of the same subject-

¹ Ante, p 182 ² Act I

³ Cf. Bishop Stubbs, ap Gardiner and Mullinger, Introduction to English History (1881), pp 270-1

viz the fatal influence of the Roman Chuich. Thus the king became in his eyes a national hero, although, as perhaps was natural in an admirer of so arbitiary a 'duke,' he overlooked what we should term the constitutional significance of John's reign, and utterly ignored Magna Charta 1

I have treated this production at what may perhaps seem disproportionate length, because of the importance attaching to it in the history of our dramatic literature on account of its theme, which was at once (in a sense) religious and national, and which accordingly places the work midway between the early religious and the active beginnings of our national historical drama Yet, as must have become sufficiently obvious, it has in form nothing of moment to distinguish it from the moralities, to which by the allegorical nature of most of its characters and by its general method of treatment it properly speaking belongs. As in so many of the moralities, a very limited number of actors seems to have been contemplated for its performance The exits and entrances of the principal characters (with the single exception of King John himself) are so arranged as to admit of four, three or two of them respectively being played by the same persons, and stage directions frequently occur such as 'Go out Ynglond, and drese for Clargy'

In a prolific controversialist such as Bishop Bale it would be odd to look for literary merit of the poetical kind. As we shall see hereafter, the dramatist and the pamphleteer were in the annals of our literature more than once combined in the same individual,—but such writers only very exceptionally attain to loftier flights. There is however some dramatic force in the struggle of King John as his catastrophe draws near 2, and a touch of pathos may perhaps be found in the figure of the poor 'Commonalty'—which Lyndsay

¹ So did the author of *The Troublesome Raigne* and (virtually) Shakspere, to both of whom, as may be worth mentioning here, Bale's play seems to have been altogether unknown

² The earlier part of the play also has some vigorous passages, see e.g. that in which Clergy interprets the text of the Queen's 'vesture of gold, wrought about with divers colours' (Psalm xlv 10) as referring to the

had made the central personage of his political morality 1, but which was to be often conspicuous by its absence from the actions of our English historical dramas

The staple metre of Kynge Johan consists of rimed Alexandrines, very irregular as to the number of syllables; quatrains and triplets are frequently introduced, the stanzaform of the Interpretour's speech is Chaucerian

It should perhaps be pointed out that we possess no Its signifi evidence as to Bale's Kynge Johan having actually served cance in the history as a transition from the Moralities to the Chronicle Histories, of our and by means of these to the regular drama of the tragic distractive or serious kind Indeed, there is every indication to the contrary, for the earliest Chronicle History proper known to us belongs in date to the last decade but one of the sixteenth century², and to the author of the second in date (The Troublesome Raigne, already noted), which was printed in 1591, Bale's play was, as has been seen, unknown. After its composition, succeeded perhaps by one or more performances of it under King Edward VI, Queen Mary's reign had intervened, during which there were the best of reasons for keeping the MS hidden away among the papers of the Corporation at Ipswich. Thence it only emerged on a single occasion early in Queen Elisabeth's reign, when if not actually performed it was certainly revised for some such purpose The death of its author two years afterwards (1563) may help to account for its having, so far as we know, remained unprinted At all events the fact of its existence fell back into an oblivion from which it was not rescued until its discovery some threescore years ago the first decade of the 1eign of Elisabeth, as will be shown

various monastic orders, which he enumerates with extraordinary volubility, whereupon King John remarks --

Davyd meanyth vertuys by the same diversitye As in the sayd psalme yt is evydent to se, And not munkysh sects, but it is ever yowr cast For yowr advauncement the scripturs for to wrast.'

¹ Ante, p 132, note

^{*} The Famous Victories of Henry V was certainly performed before 1588 See below. Mr. Fleay, but I am not sure on what evidence, dates the production of the True Tragedie of Richard III as early as 1587

immediately, the beginnings of English tragedy were, with the utmost distinctness, to attach themselves to examples of a very different kind of dramatic writing. Yet the fact of the composition and existence of Kynge Johan, whatever were the actual fortunes of the work, remains not the less of great significance. An age which could produce a play of this description could not fail before long to find writers who would abandon the worn ways of the moralities and their abstract characters, and appeal to a range of ideas and feelings no longer to be satisfied by the allegorical inculcation of ethical commonplaces, or by the repetition of familiar Bible stories and anecdotes of saints

Classical studus under Mary and Elisabeth

Queen Mary's 1eign, which (although only for a time 1) swept away the creations of reforming or innovating zeal, likewise sought, in the ordinary spirit of Tudor despotism, to suppress by all the means in its power that freedom of public utterance of which stage and printing-press were already becoming joint agents 2. But Mary likewise shared with her brother and sister, as well as with her father, a genuine love of learning, and the learning of the Renascence had its root and inmost being in the study of the two classical languages Whatever may be the fortunes of this branch of research and study in future periods of civilisation, it may be confidently asserted that the classics can never again become to any portion or section of the public interested in intellectual effort what they were to the 'humanists' of the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. To these men and women (for a representative bevy of the female sex was wanting neither in Italy nor in England) the languages and literatures of ancient Greece and Rome furnished the exemplars, which neither time could affect nor decay could befall, of whatever was wise in meaning and beautiful in form, and modern tongues and literatures were but the servingmaids of their privileged and more perfect elder sisters. And as in what may be called the technical parlance of the Renascence 'poets' and 'poetry' often meant the composers and composition of Latin verse, so in England translation from the Classics was reckoned the choicest-I had almost

said 'the most respectable'—kind of literary productivity No substantial difference is noticeable as to the general aspects of our literature between the reign of Mary and the earlier years of the reign of Elisabeth, at least, it would need a very nice sense of discrimination to distinguish between the lyrical collections of the one and those of the other, Tottel's Miscellany (1557) seems but the first of a long series of similars All these anthologies display an Translaunquenchable ardour in the pursuit of classical study that finds its natural outlet in translation. English versions of classical poetry were produced in a continuous flow during the leign of Queen Maly and during the greater part of the reign of her successor. Even when the great period of our Elisabethan literature had already set in, when the Faerie Queene was on the eve of publication and when Shakspere was already known as a successful dramatist, one of the foremost of his earlier competitors, himself a writer of some original power, is found ranking by the side of the great English poets of old, a translator of the Aeneid, whose name is now known to none but professed literary students 1,

In the history of the literature of translations no fact is more familiar than this, that at particular times particular authors command, or even monopolise, the attention of both writers and readers Among the classical authors who The attained to this kind of popularity in the early days of tragedies Elisabeth, the tragic poet Seneca 2 for very manifest reasons held a prominent place To begin with, he wrote in Latin and not in Greek, and the history of the scholarship of the early Elisabethan age attests the fact, that it was the

our English Fraunce, A peerless sweet translator of our time'

¹ Peele, in the Prologue to The Honour of the Garter (1593), ranks Phaer, the translator of the Aeneid (1558), with Chaucer and Gower -

^{&#}x27;Why thither post not all good wits from hence, To Chaucer, Gower, and to the fairest Phaer That ever ventur'd on great Virgil's works?' In the same poem he refers with enthusiasm to

² The question cannot be discussed here whether or not the tragic poet L. or M Annaeus Seneca, to whom are ascribed nine tragedies which are preserved complete, and a tenth, the grievously mutilated Thebais, was the same person as the philosopher, L. Annaeus Seneca, the tutor and adviser, and,

'Latinists,' and not the 'auncient Grecians,' who were 'of the greatest fame and most obvious' in the eyes of the literary public of the period 1 Secondly, Seneca the tragedian was a writer whose works, while enveloped by the glamour that was the due of the ancients, had little in them of the kind of difficulty that repels the modern in a sense he was himself a modern, more especially as compared with the tragic poets who had preceded him reign of Nero has been justly characterised by one of its latest historians² as exhibiting the climax of a literary cosmopolitanism which had begun with Imperialism and which ignored any special connexion with a national life and a national religion that were themselves fast melting away A Spaniard by descent, Seneca had inherited rhetorical gifts with his paternal blood. As a tragic poet he had no choice but to follow the models of the Attic drama, while evincing what originality or desire of originality there was in him, by his treatment of details, and more especially in matters of diction and versification. Among the Attic tragedians Euripides would naturally commend himself

afterwards the victim, of the Emperor Nero Merivale thought that there was strong evidence of the latter having been the author of some at least of these Conington inclined to the same opinion See Merivale, History of the Romans under the Empire (ed 1865), vi 382, and Conington's Essay, Seneca, Poet and Philosopher, in vol 1 of his Miscellaneous Writings (1892), for a notice of the various theories which have been held as to the authorship of the 'Senecan' tragedies, including the theory of Nisard that the several plays were written by different members of the same family, and that of Bernhardy, who held them to have been the work of a school of rhetoricians For a note on the Seneca family, see Merivale, v 93

1 See the passage cited by Dr Cunliffe, p 10, from William Webbe's Discourse of English Poetrie (1586) Ascham, the pupil of Sir John Cheke, has been justly regarded as an exception proving the rule, but it is noticeable that even he gives at least nominal precedence to Seneca among 'the best authors' as to whose claims 'for learning of tonges and sciences' there rises 'amonges proude and envious wittes a great controversie, whether one or many are to be followed - and if one, who is that one, Seneca, Cicero, Salust, or Cesar, and so forth, in Greeke and Latin' (The Schole Master, Bk. II) No genuine translation of a Greek play appeared in the sixteenth century, or long afterwards, in England. On the other hand, the Pluius of Aristophanes is said to have been performed in Greek before Queen Elisabeth, (Symonds, Shakspere's Predecessors, p. 222, note.)

* H. Schiller. Geschichte des römischen Kaiserreichs unter der Regierung des New (1872).

above the rest for imitation, both in his bolder and freer, treatment of mythical characters and situations, and in his elaborate, artificial, and highly-seasoned effects of diction. What the old-fashioned Attic critics-or those who like Aristophanes pretended to be old-fashioned—found fault with in Euripides, most attracted the dramatist who catered for the Romans of the decadence But Euripides was an Athenian whose earlier triumphs had fallen within the greatest age of the art to which he ministered, even those very excesses beyond what was held seemly in the treatment of his themes were due to the uncontrollable impetus of genius to create new problems for itself to master, and their very choice was determined by an idiosyncrasy with whose claims criticism could only quarrel at its peril And if, 'haunted on the stage by the dæmon of Socrates,' he found too frequent outlets in the conjunctures of his dramas or the developement of their characters for philosophical speculation,-still, who would venture to assert of the 'most tragic of poets' that he composed his tragedies for the purpose of introducing into them subtle observations, pregnant apophthegms, or familiar quotations in posse? Finally, his innovations in the familiar forms of Attic tragedy, such, above all, as his reduction of the chorus to a position of often vanishing importance in the action, and his consequent elaboration of its lyrical effects, were natural steps in a process of development neither begun nor carried to its ultimate issue by himself

Seneca possibly represents a phase of Roman tragedy in its artificiality and decline in which he did not stand alone, but as to this, whether fortunately or unfortunately for his poetic reputation, we know nothing He borrows his subjects from the time-honoured themes of Greek mythology with a single-minded preference for what may in a word be called the most sensational in the list. The horrid banquet placed by Atreus before Thyestes, the murder of Agamennon by his adulterous wife and her paramour, the incestuous love of Phaedra, the revenge of the disenchanted Medea—all these and others of the same kind are served up once more. But his pains are spent neither on the contrivance,

of the action nor in the evolution of its characters the former respect he is only notable for a proneness to gratifying the eyes as well as the ears of his public with the horrors of blood and iron, and for a specially free use of Charon's stairs.' Seneca's ghosts were in the Elisabethan age regarded as the most characteristic part of his tragic machinery, though in this respect as in others no very careful distinction was always drawn between himself and his master Euripides 1 His treatment of the chorus, a more distinct advance upon the Euripidean piecedent, facilitated the general conduct of the action of his plays, and enabled him more freely to ignore those so-called unities of time and place of which in the Attic drama the continuous presence of interlocutory and commentating witnesses on the orchestra was the actual cause A convenient outward expression of this greater independence of dramatic construction was his habitual division of his tragedies into five acts—a system which (whether or not due to his own invention) was derived from him by the modern drama at large But the chief attention of the Roman poet is devoted to matters other than choice of theme or method of construction. His versification has the facile fluency to which only a late age of any poetic literature can attain. His own literary genius, together with the influences of his age, show themselves in his diction, highly coloured by a brilliant rhetoric and studded with philosophical sentiments and gnomic phrases to which his Stoic training frequently lent a deeper significance, and which at times intensified the force of his action and characters themselves. His dialogue bristles with antithesis, to which effect is added by the device of stichomythia, and even by that of breaking up a single line into thrust and parry, but he is not invariably so far master of his art as to be able to leave a striking

¹ The summons 'Up grieslie ghostes' in The 'Shepheardes Calendar, November, v. 55, is thus annotated by 'E K' 'The maner of Tragicall Poetes, to call for helpe of Furies, and damned ghostes so is Hecuba of Euripides, and Tantalus brought in of Seneca And the rest of the rest' As Dr. Herford observes (in his edition of the Calendar, 1895, p. 184), 'the ghost of Tantalus appears in Seneca's Thyestes, that of Polydorus in Euripides' Hecuba Kirke's statement is somewhat confused'

utterance alone when it has once been delivered neither altogether commonplace nor altogether artificial; but his style so largely combines elements of both defects as to have all the imitability of bombast 1

From all this we may perceive why among the ancient Their intragic poets Seneca pre-eminently commended himself to fluence on Renascence the sympathies of the Renascence age It was to Italy that literature English writers in this period looked for their immediate models, and, in the emphatic words of a writer who on this subject may be described as authoritative, 'every tragic scene which the Italians of the Renaissance set forth upon the boards of Rome or Florence or Ferrara, was a transcript Following this lead,' he continues, 'our from Seneca English scholars went to school with Seneca beneath the ferule of Italian ushers2' From Alberto Mussato, who wrote in Latin 3, downwards to the prolific school of Italian tragedies of the earlier half of the sixteenth century, all adhered to a model the atmosphere of whose themes and whose literary manner was alike congenial to them⁴. French tragedy began in 1552 with the Cléopatre Captive of Étienne Jodelle, a tragedy entirely in the manner of Seneca, devoid of action, but furnished with a chorus and not wanting a ghost The long-enduring sway of the Latin tragedian over the French, and his influence upon other modern dramas, it would be superfluous in this place to illustrate 5. I cannot say whether the four tragedies composed by

 Π

^{1 &#}x27;Ercles' vein' (Midsummer Night's Dream, 1 2) may immediately allude to the play of Hercules, of which Part I was produced in May 1505, and both Parts of which are stated to have been the work of Martin Slater, Slather, Slatter or Slaughter (see Henslowe's Diary, passim) But the existence of this bipartite drama only furnishes additional evidence of the influence of the Hercules Furens and the Hercules Octaeus.

² Symonds, Shakspere's Predecessors, 217

² Ante, p 168

An account of the Italian tragedians who wrote under the influence of Seneca will be found in Riem, v 341 seqq; cf Symonds, u s

As to the commanding influence of Seneca upon a long period of the French drama, and upon more isolated phenomena in the Spanish and German, see Cunliffe, p 8. Ludwig Uhland left behind him a play called Thyest-in the main a version of Seneca. The classical Dutch dramatists of the seventeenth century, Hooft and Vondel in particular, based their efforts upon a close study of the characteristic features of Seneca as a dramatist, and thus succeeded in expelling from the stage the allegorical figures which

George Buchanan while resident at Bordeaux during the years 1540 to 1545 (or thereabouts), which were acted by the students there—including a youth destined to become illustrious in the literature of the world—were based on Seneca, or more directly on Seneca's model Euripides, but they were expressly designed to encourage a transition from the old allegories to the imitation of classical models What is certain is that in the first three decades of Elisabeth's reign the tragedies of Seneca were a favourite study of English scholars and men of letters, more especially of course when connected by their present or past training with the Universities Thus this author came to form the chief connecting link between the learning of the English Renascence and the growth of the English diama²

Seneca's Tenne Tragedies translated into Eng lish (1559– 1581) Between the years 1559 and 1581 all the ten tragedies written by Seneca, or attributed to his authorship, were successively translated into English by five scholars, one of whom, Thomas Newton, in 1581, collected the efforts of all these 'laudable Authors' into a single volume, under the title of Seneca his tenne Tragedies translated into Englysh' The earliest of these Tianslations was that of the Troades

had held sway in the plays exhibited in the Rederyker-Kammern (See a notice of J A Worp, De invloed van Seneca's Treurspelen op ons tonneel, by E Martin, in Deutsche Litteraturseitung, February 11th, 1893, cf a notice of the same work in Archiv der neueren Sprachen und Litteraturen, November 1894)

¹ See Prof A. Mackay's notice of Buchanan in the *Dictionary of National Biography*, vol vii p 187 Ascham (*The Scholemaster*, Bk II) describes one of these tragedies, 'Jephthe,' as 'able to abyde the trew touche of Anstotle's

preceptes and Europides' examples '

2 Both in the preceding passage and in what follows concerning the early translations into English of Seneca's tragedies, as well as in subsequent references to their influence upon our drama, I have made free use of an exhaustive essay by an old fellow-student of my own, Dr J W Cunliffe, The Influence of Seneca upon Elizabethan Tragedy (1893) See also T Vatke's essay, Shakespeare und Euripides, in Shakespeare Jahrbuch, vol iv (1869), and a note by W Wagner, th. vol xi. (1876) I have already incidentally referred to the admirable passage concerning Seneca and his paramount authority' in the Renascence period in chap vi of Symonds' Shakspere's Predecessors (1884)

³ They are so called by William Webbe, himself a Cambridge graduate, in his Discourse of English Poetrie (1586).

* This quarto was reprinted by the Spenser Society in 1887 with an Introduction by the President of the Society, Mr Joseph Leigh.

in 1559 (reprinted in 1563) by Jasper Heywood, who also published in 1560 a translation of the *Thyestes*, and in 1561 one of the *Hercules Furens* In 1560 Alexander Neville composed a translation of the *Oedipus*, first published in 1563 In 1561 Thomas Nuce published a translation of the *Octavia* John Studley followed with the *Medea* (1563), and the complete edition by Thomas Newton (1581) included besides his own version of the *Thebais*, Studley's translations of the *Agameinnon*, the *Hercules Oetaeus*, and the *Hippolytus*.

All these writers were University men and professed The first of the band, Jasper Heywood (1535-1508), who specially interests us as a son of John Heywood. the author of interludes and epigrammatist, and who as a boy had been page of honour to the Princess Elisabeth, was under Queen Mary successively a fellow of Merton and of All Souls College At Merton he had to resign his fellowship on account of misdemeanours1; All Souls he was obliged to leave because of his non-compliance with the changes in religion that followed on the accession of Queen Elisa-Being already in priest's orders, he repaired to Rome, where, in 1562, he was admitted a member of the Society of Jesus, but his subsequent promotions (including a degree of D D.) and strange experiences must here be passed by He is supposed to have translated some portion of Vergil, he put together a compendium of Hebrew grammar, and he contributed several English poems to the Paradyse of Daynty Deutses (1578)2. Alexander Neville (1544-1614), Thomas Nuce (d 1617), and John Studley (said to have been killed at the siege of Breda in 1587 3) were all three Cambridge men. Neville, who was successively in the service of three Archbishops of Canterbury, belonged to the literary world of his day, he was a nephew of Barnaby Googe and a friend of George Gascoigne, and edited the

¹ He had very successfully filled the office of lord of misrule in his College, and possibly forgot that Christmas comes but once a year

² See the biographical notice by Mr Thompson Cooper in vol axvi of the *Dictionary of National Biography*, pp 329-331; cf. Mr Joseph Leigh's Introduction, pp. v-vi

[‡] Biographica Dramatica (1812), i. 696.

collection of Cambridge verses on the death of Sii Philip Sidney. He also wrote a Latin history of Kitt's rebellion ¹. Thomas Nuce, who, after holding a fellowship at Pembroke Hall, died as a Prebend of Ely, composed Latin as well as English verse ² Finally, Thomas Newton (d 1607), who published all the ten tragedies in a single volume, was educated both at Oxford and at Cambridge, and after (probably) practising as a physician and teaching as a schoolmaster, settled down as a country rector The long list of his works includes writings on historical, medical, and theological subjects, and he was, in addition, a skilled writer of both English and more especially of Latin verse, by which latter he excited the admiration of his contemporaries ³.

Men of letters of so liberal and many-sided a culture were as translators likely to err on the side of freedom rather than on that of a too servile fidelity to their original, and a seductive example was set by Jasper Heywood in the earliest of these versions, that of the *Troades* Here not only are verses and stanzas freely added to the choric parts, and other alterations made in them, but an entire chorus is added at the end of Act I, and at the beginning of Act II is introduced a soliloquy by the 'Spright of Achilles'—both scene and character being the inventions of the translator. To the *Thyestes* he likewise added, at the end of Act V, a soliloquy into which Thyestes strives to condense all the horrors of the play ⁴ The most anxious among the translators for fidelity seems to have been Thomas Newton,

² See Mr Donald Bayne's notice in *Dictionary of National Biography*, xii. 256, and Mr Joseph Leigh, u s, iii

¹ See Mr S Lee's notice in *Dictionary of National Biography*, xi 244-5, and Mr Joseph Leigh, u s, 111-1V

⁸ See the late Mr J P Earwaker's notice in *Dictionary of National Biography*, xl 402-3, and Mr Joseph Leigh, u s Although a Puritan in his tendencies, Newton was in Phillips' *Theatrum Poetarum* credited with the authorship of *Tamburlaine*

^{*} It begins with an invocation of Pluto, much in the style of the mysteries.—

O Kyng of Dytis dungeon darke and grysly Ghosts of hell, That in the deepe and dredfull Denne of blackest Tartare dwell; Where leane and pale dyseases lye, where feare and famyne are, Where the cord stands with bleeding browes, where every kynde of care.

but it is very likely that he merely added a version of the Thebass in order to make the collection complete, instead of being attracted by preference to this play, which moreover is at best a fragment

Both in diction and in versification these translations attest the period of their origin, they contain no blank verse, and while the stanza-forms and metres of the choruses are necessarily more varied, the favourite metre of the dialogue consists of those rimed couplets of fourteen pyllables with seven accents, of which the best-known example is to be found in Chapman's Iliad

The direct influence of the tragedies of Seneca—exercised Their no doubt chiefly through the medium of these translations— direct in-fluence upon the beginnings of regular English tragedy will become upon early abundantly manifest as we review in their sequence its tragedy earliest productions Here it will suffice to state that in external form, as well as with respect to less tangible characteristics, these productions unmistakeably imitated Seneca and no other model—taking over his five acts separated from one another by choruses, his use and treatment of the chorus itself as detached from the action, and his occasional, but by no means obligatory, resort to the Messenger as the narrator of a catastrophe—for in Seneca and on the early English tragic stage much business of this kind is transacted before the eyes of the public 1 The writers of our early tragedies likewise took over from Seneca other stock-characters of his scene, including the faithful servant and the confidential nurse, and above all they took over from him his ghosts and his supernatural devices in general. Not less certainly was he their chief (although not their only) guide in their choice of startling and often revolting themes, as well as in their use of sententious speech and rapidly antithetical dialogue. Of these characteristic features-more especially of the last-named-our English tragic drama continued in varying measure to exhibit the influence in the works of Shakspere's predecessors, in those of Shakspere himself, and even in those of the later

Elisabethans, in addition to which isolated writers of high classical training at various times in the course of this period essayed a close and consistent imitation of Senecan tragedy ¹ But as a matter of course the height of Seneca's dominion over English tragedy belongs to its earliest days, which may be regarded as very nearly coincident with those over which the production of the translations noticed above extended, and with the few years following upon their collective publication ²

The circumstance that earlier and contemporary Italian tragedy stood wholly under the influence of Seneca, and itself contributed to strengthen and intensify that influence. more especially in the choice of themes, will therefore not wairant us in representing as a primary what was but a secondary channel It was not from the Italian tragedians more or less contemporary with themselves, such as Speron Sperone or Lodovico Dolce, that the writers of our earliest English tragedies derived their method and manner. but from Seneca in his original or in his translated form Before long, indeed (as it will be most convenient to show in particular cases), but not in the first instance, the progress of English tragedy was affected by the later Italian imitators of Seneca, many of whom seasoned their plays with novelties in the way of the horrible due to personal tastes vitiated by a continued decadence in public morals

Gorboduc (Ferrex and Porrex) the earliest English tragedy (1562) To the influence, then, of the last eminent tragic writer of classical antiquity, are to be ascribed the main characteristics, as well as the fact of the composition of the earliest English tragedy either preserved or known to us. This is the tragedy of *Ferrex and Porrex*, as it is called in the only genuine impression of 1570, or *Gorboduc*³, under which

¹ See below as to Gascoigne, David and the Earl of Stirling (William Alexander), and the reference to Fulke Greville, Lord Brooke.

² In Nash's Prefatory Letter to Greene's Menaphon addressed to the Gentlemen Students of the Universities (Grosart's edition of Greene's Works, vol. vi) there is a curious reference to the translators of Seneca who will 'afficient you whole Hamlets, I should say handfulls of tragical speaches'; but, the letter continues, all things come to an end, 'and Seneca let blood line by line and page by page, at length must needs due to our stage'

³ Edited by W D Cooper for the (Old) Shakespeare Society, 1847, and

title it was printed in 1565, 1569, 1571 and 1590, and first acted on January 18, 1562 by the gentlemen of the Inner Temple before the Queen 1 The unauthorised editions of 1565 and 1590 state that the first three acts of this play were written by Thomas Norton, the rest of the play at all events was written by Thomas Sackville, afterwards Lord Buckhurst and Earl of Dorset, with whose name the authorship of the work at large is traditionally associated. Norton, who was born in 1532 at Sharpenhoe in Bedfordshire, and after being educated at Oxford was called to the Bar from the Inner Temple, made himself useful to the powers that were in both Church and State, while adhering to his own Calvinistic views He appears to have been erroneously credited with the authorship of a treatise in favour of the Puritans against Whitgift, but he wrote other Calvinistic pamphlets, translated Calvin's Institutes, and was associated with Sternhold and Hopkins in their version of the Psalms, while he seems also to have occasionally composed original verse? He is said to have been at one time counsel to the Stationers' Company, and Warton believes that he filled the post of licenser of publications under the bishop of London His coadjutor filled a notable place in both our political and literary history. Under Queen Elisabeth he was concerned in some of the most important and difficult affairs of state, it was he who conveyed her sentence of death to Mary Oueen of Scots at Fotheringay, and he was afterwards sent to the Netherlands to fill the place of Leicester. office of Lord High Treasurer which he held at the close of Elisabeth's reign was confirmed to him for life by her successor, and he died full of honours in 1608.

At the time of the production of *Gorboduc* he was still a young man (he was born about 1527), and as a barrister of the Inner Temple divided his time between attendance

by Miss L Toulmin Smith, Heilbronn, 1883, also printed in vol 11 of Hawkins' Origin of the English Drama and in vol 1 of the Ancient British Drama

¹ Cf. Fleay, English Drama, 11 174, 149

^{*} See the lines 'A man may live thrice Nestor's life,' &c in Ellis' Specimens, 11. 108. Cf. Warton, iv. 213, and 16. 130, 255

upon the Queen 'by her particular choice and liking,' and diversions among which literary pursuits must have held a conspicuous place. An early tradition asserted that he originally contemplated the composition of the entire earlier portion of the Mirror for Magistrates, of which the first edition appeared in 1559, to the second edition of 1563 his hand contributed the solemn and Dantesque Induction and the Complaint of the Duke of Buckingham 1. On these his literary fame must chiefly rest, yet neither is Gorboduc, as I think will appear, devoid of literary merit.

The plot is thus stated in the Argument of the Tragedie —

'Gorboduc King of Britain divided his realm in his life-time to his two sons Ferrex and Porrex The sons fell to dissension. The younger killed the elder. The mother, that more dearly loved the elder, for revenge killed the younger. The people, moved with the cruelty of the fact, rose in rebellion and slew father and mother. The nobility assembled and most terribly destroyed the rebels, and afterwards, for want of issue of the prince, whereby the succession to the crown became uncertain, they fell to civil war, in which both they and many of their issue were slain, and the land for a long time almost desolate and miserably wasted.'

Manifestly, this is an expansion of the ancient Theban story of the sons of Œdipus and Iocasta and their fatal strife, although of course the antecedents of the Œdipodean legend are omitted, and the father and mother play a different part in the action. The immediate source of the story is a tale belonging to ancient British legend, which was afterwards treated by William Warner in his Albion's England², a work which is to be regarded as a successor of the Mirror for Magistrates The dramatic idea of a fatal fratricidal rivalry recurs in many later dramas in different literatures, which it would be superfluous here to seek to enumerate ³

Although this plot in some respects resembles the argu-

I See as to these, Warton, iv 170 segg

² Book iii. canto 15

^{*} The opening in some measure recalls that of King Lear, for Gorboduc relinquishes his royal authority under the influence of an unwise generosity

ment of an epic poem rather than the action of a drama, yet it must be allowed to cohere well, besides leading up to strong situations No doubt these situations are not always sufficiently prepared, in other words Gorboduc, like the tragedies of Seneca which served as models to its author, is deficient in characterisation. As has been well remarked², although the personages of the action fall because of the wrong they have themselves committed, yet we are very insufficiently shown how the passions which bring about the catastrophe are developed in the individual (Seneca, it will be remembered, was described characters above as weak, even among ancient tragedians, in characterisation) On the other hand the play is strong in its construction, as to the management of which the authors, in the true spirit of the English drama, assume the right of declining to follow, except at their own pleasure, arbitrary rules. In formal matters, indeed, the authors of Gorboduc adhere to the usages of Seneca. The play is divided into five acts. Each of the first four acts closes with a chorus. of its essence superfluous, recited by a company of not more than four 'ancient and sage men of Bistain.' The murders do not take place on the stage, but are announced to the audience by messengers But while they borrow both chorus and messenger from the ancient classical drama, our authors have nothing to say to the supposed law of the unities of time and place; their plot covers an epoch of history and involves frequent changes of scene. It must be allowed that the fifth act of the play is of the nature of an epilogue, and accordingly adds to the heaviness of the movement.

Enough has perhaps already been said to vindicate the tragedy of Gorboduc against the censures of A. W. von

¹ Thus, as Warton has acutely pointed out, the awful narrative in Act iv. of Marcella, who relates how the mother Vidua, who had loved Ferrex best, revenged his death at the hands of his brother Porrex by entering the chamber of the latter in the night, and murdering him in his sleep, introduces this murder without preparing the audience by any previous disclosure as to the character of Vidua

³ By Professor Wülcker, in a review of the first edition of the present work.

Schlegel, who declares Pope's 'praise of the regularity of this work, as fitting it to be one of the first of a school of classical dramas,' as only proving Pope's own ignorance of the primary elements of dramatic art, and inveighs against the utter monotony of its versification and diction 1 To its own generation, its style seemed so excellent that in his Apology for Poetry (which was probably written between 1579 and 1581) Sidney extols it as full of 'notable moralitie.' 1 e of moral maxims deserving attention on their own account Of course the readiest opportunities for such rhetorical reflexion are furnished by the choial odes (or tags) The dialogue moves with a grave and solemn march, but here and there deviates into sober imagery Nor can it be denied that certain passages of the play, which dwell upon the evils of civil d scord and disloyalty. seem to possess a force not altogether due to the influence of association A protest against discord as the chief curse of the lives of both rulers and ruled may be said to form the leading motive of the work 2

The metre of the dialogue is blank-verse—the first known to have been declaimed on an English stage—of a solid and slow movement throughout, with single-syllable endings. Thus early was the experiment tried

1 Ueber dramatische Kunst und Literatur (1811) 11, 11, 266-7 Ulrici, too, judges Gorboduc with severity

² In addition, we may detect a direct allusion to contemporary affairs in such a passage as the following (Act V, Sc 2) —

'For right will last, and wrong cannot endure, Right mean I his or hers upon whose name The people rest, by means of native line Oi by the virtue of some former law Already made their title to advance Such one, my lords, let be your chosen King, Such one so born within your native land, Such one prefer, and in no wise admit The heavy yoke of foreign governaunce'

Of the suits of foreign princes for the hand of the Virgin Queen, one (that of Eric of Sweden) was in this very year (1562) brought to an end by her own suggestion, while that of Philip of Spain had been previously staved off. At this period Dudley's ambition was still directed to sharing Elisabeth's throne, and Sackville (who was afterwards employed in the negotiations concerning the French marriage) belonged to the Protestant party.—Cf. as to the political allusions in this play, Miss I. Toulmin Smith, Introduction, XXII.—XXIII.

in dramatic composition, which only a few years previously (in 1557) Surrey had first introduced into English verse from Italian examples, in his translation of the Second and Fourth Books of the Aneid1 on the popular stage will more appropriately be discussed hereafter

In conclusion, mention should be made of the employment in this tragedy of a device peculiar to the early period of our drama, and familiar from its use in the 'play within the play' of Hamlet This is the prefiguring dumb-show, which sets forth by pantomime (action without words) the contents of the coming play, or-as in Gorboduc-of each ensuing portion or act of it This device, unnecessary in a drama which like Attic tragedy treated legends familiar to every spectator, in so far made for refinement, that after satisfying the grosser craving of mere curiosity, it left the attention of the spectator to fix itself upon the artistic treatment rather than upon the mere material incidents of the action When, as in Gorboduc, instead of representing the incidents that were to follow in a mere pantomimical summary, it allegorised them under mythological types, it was clearly suited for none but a learned audience of dumb-show must therefore be distinguished from that which, in some of our early plays, merely presented in rapid action incidents which the author was unwilling to protract with the aid of dialogue². In general, it is obvious that this device could not be maintained in a more developed condition of the drama, it belongs to the infancy of dramatic construction, or, like the Euripidean Prologue, implies a neglect of the requirement that a dramatic action should be complete in itself

After dwelling on a literary production of pretensions so Other early advanced as those of Gorboduc, it seems like going back to note two dramatic efforts, contemporary with it, or nearly so, but in form still closely associated with a phase of our drama on which the scholarly and courtly authors of the

Milton, as is known, loftily ignored the effort of his predecessor

² E. g. the death of Guiscard and the preservation of his heart in Tancred and Gismunda, cf Cunliffe, p 42

first English tragedy would have looked down with lofty scorn

Yet I should distinctly be inclined to class both Aprils and Virginia and King Cambises among our earliest tragedies rather than among our later moralities, to which such plays as King Darius, Godly Queene Hester, and Jacob and Esau 1 essentially belong Of the moralities they indeed still present some of the principal features a considerable number of personified abstractions make their appearance in both, nor is the character of the Vice more important or prominent in any other of our early dramas. But the main interest which both these plays excite is historical and real, and their leading personages are actual —and supposed to be historical—human beings over, in King Cambises at least, it is not always easy to distinguish between abstract and concrete 'Common Cry,' for instance, may be regarded as a type or representative of the oppressed commons, and 'Execution,' though wearing the name of an abstraction, is actually summoned by the King as a concrete being, the 'execution man'

Apus and Virginia (1563 tire) The date of both these plays is probably very nearly contemporary with that of our earliest English tragedy proper; but from a literary point of view they may still be regarded as marking a transition rather than a consummated change. The Tragical Comedy of Aprils and Virginia² is by an unknown author, or at least by one whose identity cannot be determined, designated under the initials R. B. It was probably acted as early as 1563, though it was not printed till 1575. The subject is one which has commended itself to various periods of our drama³; from the beginnings of tragedy to Webster, and from Webster to Sheridan Knowles. The main plot of Lessing's Emilia Galotti is but a modern version of the same story. R. B.'s effort is of a very rude description, though it shows

¹ Ante, 112 note The Vice, 'Iniquity,' is a prominent personage in King Darius, and the fool Hardy-Dardy in Godly Queene Hester is a representative of the same type

^{*} Printed in vol 1v. of Hazlitt's Dodsley

^{*} Mr Fleay (History of the Stage, 61) thinks that it was probably presented by the Westminster scholars.

The tragedy opens some sense of dramatic construction with an exhibition of the domestic bliss of Virginius and his wife and daughter, which they celebrate not only in dialogue, but in a song or refrain several times repeated -

> 'The trustiest treasure in earth as wee see Is man, wife and children in one to agree, Then friendly and kindly let measure be mixed With reason in season, where friendship is fixed'

The criminal lust of Apius therefore mars a fair picture of happiness with which the spectator has been previously led to sympathise, and the action progresses simply and effectively, without the allegorical personages playing any important part in it 'Haphazard,' the Vice, is a general mischief-maker, but is himself, not less than the Mansipulus and Mansipula with whom he holds converse, redundant to At the close of the play, Doctrina, Memorie, and Virginius bring in a tome, wherein Memorie, Justice, Rewarde, and Fame inscribe the honour of Virginia's name¹. The Epilogue prays 'God save the Oueen,' but makes no reference to what later Elisabethan poets would have joyed to find an occasion of celebrating,—her renown for the virtue which is the subject of the play

While the author of Apius and Virginia varies his tone Preston's as he varies his metres, a higher degree of literary merit seems to belong to the Lamentable Tragedy mixed ful of pleasant mirth, conteyning the Life of Cambises King of Percia-his one good deed, his many wicked deeds, and (I condense) his odious death 2 It was entered in the Stationers' Registers, 1569-70, probably immediately after its production. Its author was Thomas Preston, who is said, when a fellow of King's College, Cambridge, to have performed so well in the tragedy of Dido before Queen Elisabeth, that, on account of this excellence and his prowess in disputation, she, with unusual generosity, granted him an annual allowance of £20 He afterwards became

Cambises (1569-70)

¹ This is at least as effective as the introduction in Sheridan Knowles' play of an urn superscribed Virginia, and supposed to contain the victim's ashes

² Printed in Hawkins' Origin of the English Drama, vol 1, and in vol 1v. of Hazlitt's Dodsley

Master of Trinity Hall. His tragedy or comedy (as it seems indifferently to call itself), besides being clearly constructed, is generally well written-chiefly in the so-called 'common metre' King Cambises' one good deed is his condemnation to death of the wicked judge Sisamnes, who has misgoverned the realm during the King's absence in Egypt, on the other side of the account stands his doing to death of his too-outspoken counsellor Praxantes, afteraccording to the famous anecdote, in order to prove his own sobijety-shooting the minister's son in the heart, of his brother Smirdis, and of his own consort, whom he had married in defiance of the divine law. The King falls by a divine Nemesis, as has been predicted by Ambidexter the Vice, who opines that the King was 'akin to Bishop Bonner 1' The participation of this Vice in the action is ingeniously managed, but room is also found for much low fun and interchange of ribaldry between the Vice and three ruffians, Huf, Snuf, and Ruf', and two 'country patches,' Hob and Lob3, who speak the usual justic dialect of the stage. On the other hand, some of the scenes (such as that between the condemned Sisamnes and his son, and that of the mother's lament over her murdered boy) display touches of real pathos, and though 'Cambyses' vein' has, in consequence of its being cited by Shakspeie 4, become proverbial for rant, the language of the play is in no instance specially obnoxious to this charge

The simplicity which must have still characterised the performance of these plays is illustrated by some of the

¹ Bonner was imprisoned in 1559, hence, so far as this indication goes, the early date of 1561 sometimes assigned to the play is not impossible. He died in 1569

These names are introduced by Lyly into the Dedication of his Papple with an Hatchet (1589 ε).

⁸ There is some resemblance here to the scene-in the Winter's Tale between the Peasants and Autolycus, who is a genuine descendant of the Vice

^{*} I Henry IV, 11 4 Mr Fleay (Life of Shakespeare, 185) further supposes the intermixture of 'pleasant mirth' in the title of King Cambises to be alluded to in the 'tragical mirth' of the 'tedious brief scene of young Piramus' (Midsummer Night's Dream, v, I), and Prestor's pension in the 'sixpence'aday' given by the Duke for playing the chief character (ib 1v. a)

stage-directions 'Here let Virginius go about the scaffold'-so that the stage was still that of the mysterydramas and moralities, and in Cambises, 'Smite him in the neck with a sword to signify death,' and 'Flea with him a false skin,' so that in this classical drama there was no attempt to practise the classical abstinence from the introduction of death on the stage Though Cambises is full of characters, they are so arranged as to be capable of performance by seven men and a boy

In subject, at all events, both these plays attest the influence of classical literature upon the beginnings of English tragedy A still more striking proof of this influence would be furnished by the performance at court, less than a month after the production of Gorboduc, of a play called Fulius Sesyar, could we affirm with certainty that the entry under February 1, 1562, in the diary of the worthy citizen and undertaker Henry Machyn, establishes the fact of such a performance If it actually took place, it was indisputably the earliest among many English dramatic treatments of this theme 1.

Between the years 1567 and 1580 a large proportion of Other trathe plays presented at court by the choir-boys of St. Paul's, gedies on classical the Chapel Royal, and St George's, Windsor, by the school- subjects boys of Westminster and Merchant Taylois', as well as by (1567-80) various companies, were on classical subjects 3 subjects are partly mythological, partly historical—although this is a distinction which not many of the authors of the plays in question would have been at much pains to draw. To the plays treating themes of the former description belongs John Pickering's New interlude of Vice concerning

3 See Collier, 1 187-234 passim, and cf. the lists ap Fleay, Chronicle of the English Drama, 11. 287 segg.

¹ The last words in the entry, 'and Julyus Sesar played,' are in another, possibly a later, hand. See Machyn's Diary, 276, and note - The line in Shakspere's Julius Caesar, 111, 1

^{&#}x27;How many times shall Caesar bleed in sport'is by Mr A W Verity (in his edition, 1895) ingeniously interpreted as referring to the many dramatic representations of the theme - A French Casar, by Jacques Grevin, had appeared in 1560, eight years later than the first French tragedy proper, Jodelle's Cléopaire See A Ebert, Entwicklungsgeschichte der französischen Tragödie.

a dramatic author, and among the plays 'tollerable at sometime' excepted by him from his general censuie, is one which he terms a 'pig of his owne Sowe,' i e a piece written by himself, called *Catiline's Conspiracies* ¹

The nature of these works we can only conjecture, Gascoigne's George Gascoigne's Jocasta, in the composition of which he Jocasta was assisted by Francis Kinwelmarsh (who wrote acts i and iv), is a very free adaptation of the Phoenissae of Euripides, or rather a version of a free Italian adaptation of this tragedy Mr Symonds has proved that in certain passages at all events Ludovico Dolce, and not Euripides, was the direct original of the English writers 2 I cannot say how far the English choial odes, which in part are independent of the Greek, correspond to the Italian, that which concludes the play was contributed by Christopher Yelverton (afterwards a judge and knighted), who is associated by Jasper Heywood with Sackville and Norton as one of the young lions of his times 3. This tragedy was presented at Gray's Inn in 1566, and is notable as the second English play composed in blank verse Dumb-shows and 'musickes' introduce each act, two of the former allegorically represent the doom of Curtius, and the conflict between the Horatu and the Curiatu.

This enumeration shows how the choice of classical Tragisubjects and the imitation, direct or indirect, of classical models were exercising their influence upon the early progress of English tragedy. It is not of course in all cases possible to decide whether a play should strictly be classed under the head of tragedy or of comedy, and, to judge from the instance of a play preserved from the hand

Tragicomedie-

* Shakspere's Predecessors, 221-2 L Dolce's Giocasta ('gia di Euripide invenzione e ora nuovo parto mio') was printed in 1549 Klein, v 408

VOL I.

¹ Schoole of Abuse, p. 30 (Shakspeare Society's Publications, 1841) In subsequent publications he refers to Pompey and The Fabu as subjects treated by contemporary dramatists Cf Fleay, Chronicle History of the English Drama, 1 248–9.

³ See the quotation from the Introduction to Seneca's Thyesies, ap Collier, 11, 398. Cf as to the play in general Warton's History of English Poetry, iv 266 seqq. He notes that among the Hatton MSS in the Bodleian is a long blank verse translation from the Hercules Octaves of Seneca by Queen Elisabeth.

of one of the most popular diamatists of his day, the two species were at times so intermingled as to leave us almost at liberty to call productions belonging to either by either name Upon the whole, however, Damon and Pithias will be most appropriately mentioned by the side of the plays enumerated above, although it would be more correctly classed as a tragicomedy, a species much cultivated in the Italian drama of the sixteenth century, and not without classical precedents Unfortunately we have but one classical play which satisfactorily exemplifies the Attic conception of tragicomedy, as a species 'resembling the regular tragedy in its outward form, but containing some comic characters, and always having a happy termination1, This is the Alcestis of Euripides (which we know to have been performed as the satyr-drama of a tetralogy, perhaps the Orestes of the some poet may be regarded as another)2 We can hardly, on the strength of Mercury's accommodating nomenclature, agree to call the Amphitruo of Plautus a tragicomedy, because 'gods and kings' do not appear in comedies³ On the precise nature of the later so-called hilarotragedies of Rhinthon of Tarentum, and one or two other writers, it seems unsafe to speculate, I incline, however, to think that they were rather of the nature of burlesques 4 Italian examples of the type of Bernardo Accolti's Virginia (1513) doubtless directly inflaenced the cultivation by our early English dramatists of the mixed species which came to be called (but by no consistent usage) tragicomedy, and which represents an unconscious revolt against the monotony of Senecan tragedy. assume the influence of Spanish tragicomedy to have already largely co-operated, would probably be premature 5.

² *Ib* 142, 148

As to Accolti's Virginia, see Klein, iv 546 segg. In the preface to the.

Donaldson's Theatre of the Greeks (seventh edition), 75

³ See the amusing prologue to the play, in which Mercury, after calling it a tragedy, offers to call it a comedy, if the spectators prefer, and then concludes to call it a 'tragico-comedy'

Nam me perpetuo facere ut sit comoedia, Reges quo veniant et Dii, non par arbitror

^{*} Donaldson, pp 75, 204, is not very definite on the subject These plays were also called *phlyacographies*, from φλύας (chatter)

To the author of Damon and Pithias a special measure of favour appears to have been accorded by his contemporaries. Richard Edwardes, born in Somersetshire in 1523, was a scholar of Corpus Christi College, Oxford, and afterwards a student of Christ Chuich, in 1559 he was appointed master of the Children of the Chapel Royal, who performed a 'tragedy' by him (which was possibly no other play than Damon and Pithias itself) before the Queen at Christmas, 1564-5. In 1566, the year in which another play by him, Palamon and Arcyte 1 (in two parts), was acted before the Queen at Christ Church, he died. On the evidence of the solitary play known to have been preserved from his hand 2, he appears to have been overpraised by his admirers, one of whom terms him.

'the flower of our realm And phoenix of our age 3'

Damon and Pithias (licensed 1566, and first printed, so far

Reader prefixed by Fletcher to his Faithful Shepherdess (which he designated as a 'pastoral tragi-comedy'), he says 'A tragi-comedy is not so called in respect of mirth and killing, but in respect it wants deaths, which is enough to make it no tragedy, yet brings some near it, which is enough to make it no comedy, which must be a representation of familiar people, with such kind of trouble as no life to be questioned, so that a god is as lawful in this as in a tragedy, and mean people as in a comedy' It was this free intermingling of characters of the loftier and of the lowlier type to which our drama was to be so infinitely indebted Alois Brandl (Zu Lillo's Kaufmann von London in Vierteljahrschift für Litteraturgeschichte, in 55. 1890) has drawn a suggestive comparison between the growth of tragicomedy adverted to in my text and that of domestic tragedy in Lillo's day. as a revolt respectively against the domination of classical (Senecan) and of 'heroic' tragedy He notices as yet another analogy, the rise of melodrama about the beginning of the present century, but on this head it is unnecessary for me to commit myself here

¹ Collier, 1 183 Towards the end of this piece Dionysius tells the two fiends that the gods have made them play 'this tragedy' for his behoof; but this of course refers not to the play, but to the self-sacrifice which is its serious theme

⁹ Mr Fleay (History of the Stage, 60-1), on evidence which does not to me seem conclusive, considers Edwardes to have been the author of the anonymous early comedy Misagonus, and with the aid of this supposition builds up a plausible theory of a quarrel, of which the 'personalities' in Damon and Pithias are supposed to have formed the climar.

For other compliments, see Warton, w. 213 segg.

as is known in 1571)1, which calls itself a 'tragical comedy.' but without apparently attaching any special significance to the combined term², seems to me one of the clumsiest of our early plays, in both action and language, and above all in the management of the metre The lines are 11med. but vary in length and neglect in caesura If, as has been supposed, the object of this licence was to avoid monotony. the gain in question was purchased at the cost of euphony As for the action of the play, the 'comic business' is of the nature of the broadest and grossest farce, although the episode of the shaving of the Collier Grim (who is brought all the way from Croydon to the court of the Sicilian Dionysius, and 'singeth Basse' for the delectation of the lackeys there3) may have made the injudicious roar While this entertainment proceeds two months are supposed to 'elapse,' during which Damon is absent, his friend's life in peril, and the serious interest of the play in suspense

Plays on Itahan and other Romance subjects Ancient classical history and mythology were, however, very far from monopolising the attention of our early playwrights, when in search of dramatic subjects of serious interest. Stories borrowed from the history, or more frequently borrowed from the legends and romances, in verse and prose, of contemporary Western peoples, were finding their way in increasing numbers to English readers, many of whom still crossed the Alps to bring home with them these with other trophies of their travels. For more than a century past the charm had been at work, which in the opinion of sober—not necessarily sour—censors contained so large an ingredient of poison. And now there were added to the tales, instinct alike with passion and with wit, of Boccaccio and his school, the brilliant epical efforts, to which he had himself furnished something of a model, and

It occurs in the last line of the Prologue, near the beginning of which

the author speaks of 'comedies' simply

¹ Printed in Hazlitt's *Dodsley*, vol iv (with a Pfeface found among the papers of the elder Hazlitt), and in *Ancient British Drama*, vol 1

⁸ As Mr. Fleay shows to be probable, this episode and the allusions contained in it were suggested by Ulpian Fulwell's Like will to Like, &c., concerning which see below. The previous comic quarrel between Jack and Will is made fun of in Bartholomew Fair, v. 3.

which form the chief boasts of the last phase of the Italian Renascence 1 Many of the Italian epical and lyrical poets and novelists of the sixteenth century were also dramatists, and there were doubtless not a few who, like Giraldi Cinthio, founded more than one of their plays upon novels of their own inditing² The titles of a considerable proportion of our early English tragedies suggest a distinctly Italian origin It would be pleasant to assert, could the assertion be made good on other than subjective grounds, that the first English tragedy on a subject taken, directly or indirectly, from an Italian novel, was the earliest known English dramatic version of the immortal story of Romeo and Juliet In 1562, Arthur Brooke printed a metrical paraphrase of Bandello's story of Romeo and Juliet (1554), which Boisteau had shortly afterwards reproduced in a French version. Bandello's novel had itself been preceded by Luigi da Porto's on the same theme Inasmuch as Brooke, at the close of his address to the Reader, states that he had seen 'the same argument lately set forth on stage with more commendation' than he could expect for his poem, it has been supposed that a play on the subject had in or before 1562 been performed in this country 8. But no positive conclusion can be arrived at whether the play seen by Brooke was English or Italian, and it would therefore be superfluous to discuss a further conjecture identifying it with an early Italian drama akin to it in plot, and full of resemblances in details 4.

Thus the tragedy of Tancred and Gismunda⁵, presented Tancred in its original form under the title of Gismonde of Salerne and Gismonde before the Queen at the Inner Temple in 1568, may still (acted claim to be designated the oldest known English play 1598

¹ I say 'the last,' thinking it unnecessary to include in the movement of the Italian Renascence its rococco and largely burlesque epilogue,

² So the Orbecche (Klein, v 324 seqq), and again the Epitia (ib 353)

⁵ For conflicting opinions on the question as to whether Brooke refers to an English play, or to one which he had seen abroad, see Furness' Romeo and Juliet (Variorum Shakespeare edition, 1873), Appendix, 397

Viz Luigi Groto's Hadriana (1540-50); see Klein, v 423 seqq

Printed in Dodsley's Old Plays, vol. ii, and in Hazhtt's Dodsley, vol vn. The Introduction (16.) gives a specimen of the earlier version

of which the plot is certainly taken from an Italian novel -a class of works that was afterwards to prove so fertile a source of subjects for Shakspere and his fellow-dramatists And yet this play likewise connects itself with Gorboduc, masmuch as its authors endeavoured to follow ancient models, each act commencing with a dumb-show (for which at the opening of the play is substituted a kind of pageant introduced in a long speech by Cupid), and ending with a series of choiuses (of which at the close an epilogue takes the place) It was originally written, in rimed decasyllabic quatrains, by five gentlemen, probably all members of the Inner Temple 1, where its performance was witnessed by Queen Elisabeth and her Maidens of Honour,' to whom the later edition commended itself by Prefaces in both prose and verse Of this later edition, which was not printed till 1591, and was 'polished according to the decorum of these days,' i e put into blank verse, the author was Robert Wilmot, the writer of the original fifth act He had then come to be a man of some literary repute². and held the living of North Okenham in Essex

The subject of this tragedy belongs to the most passionate kind of romance. King Tancred, after surprising his daughter Gismunda with her lover, causes him to be put to death, and his heart, placed in a golden cup, to be presented to his daughter. She fills the cup with poison, and drinks her death from it, and her dying wish to be reunited to her lover in the tomb is carried out by the broken-hearted father, who slays himself with his own hands. The story, which is taken from Boccaccio, served as the theme of several dramas in the Italian and other languages, and was retold by Dryden in some of his latest and most characteristic verse.

¹ Their names are signed, in abbreviated forms, at the end of the several acts. 'Ch. Hat' at the end of Act iv is supposed to stand for Christopher Hatton, whose dancing, so much admired by the Queen, is supposed to have made him Lord Keeper

^{*} He is mentioned as a poet in Webbe's Discourse (1586)

^{*} Sigismonda and Guiscardo is included in the Fables, which were published in November, 1699, a few months before Dryden's death According to Klein, v. 461-2, there were several Italian plays on the subject Silvano

The most noteworthy feature of this play is beyond doubt the struggle which it exhibits between the classical tastes of its authors and the romantic character of their subject Through the first four acts everything proceeds classically enough, Cupid speaks as Prologue, choruses of maidens intersperse reflexive relics and calmly intervene in the action, the real incidents of which are carefully kept behind the scene But, in the last act, though the death and doom of the 'Countie' has been decently narrated by an eve-witness, the situation becomes too strong for the classicism of the writer, and Gismunda and her father both die on the stage The speeches of this play are of inordinate length, though stichomythia in the Greek antithetical manner is also introduced. The lyrical passages strike me as graceful, and, altogether, I should say that the play, which in its revised version had no doubt been put together with unusual care, possesses no mean literary merit. The inevitable compliment to Queen Elisabeth here occurs, not at the end, but in the middle of the piece 1.

A more enduring interest attaches, in the history of our G Whetdramatic literature, to the next play founded on a subject stone's Promos

de' Razzi's Gismonda was printed in 1569 Pomponio Torelli (d. 1608) wrote a tragedy on the subject, and Federico Asinari another (printed 1588) The latter appeared in Paris in 1587, under the title Gismonda, as a work by Terquato Tasso The theme was once more treated by Ridolfo Campeggi in 1614 (Walker, Historical Memoir of Italian Tragedy, 175) A tragedy on this story, written by Sir Henry Wotton, probably in Latin, was never printed, but read by Guarini in Italy in MS (16 ror note) Thompson's tragedy of Tancred and Sigismunda (1745) was made use of by Whigs and Jacobites for a political demonstration and counter-demonstration like those which accompanied the production of Addison's Cato (Doran, London in the Jacobite Times, ii 108-9) The plot of this play, Genest informs us (iv. 149), was however taken from Gil Blas The catastrophe of the play resembles that of Keats' Pot of Basil, the story of which poem appears to be treated dramatically in Hans Sachs' Lisabetha.

1 Act 11 ad fin. -

'Yet let not us maidens condemn our kind, Because our virtues are not all so rare: For we may freshly yet recall in mind. There lives a virgin, one without compare, Who of all graces bath her heavenly share; In whose renown, and for whose happy days, Let us record this Paean of her praise.'

and Cassandra (pr 1578) from Italian story George Whetstone's Promos and Cassandra¹, from which Shakspere took the story of his Measure for Measure, was printed in 1578, and its subject is a novel of Giraldi Cinthio's, which Whetstone himself translated in his Heptameron of Civil Discourses (1582). Cinthio himself dramatised the story in a work of earlier date² The author of this play was a writer of considerable productivity, who moreover gained varied experience of life as a courtier, soldier, and farmer, besides taking part in one of Sir Humphrey Gilbert's expeditions for Newfoundland³ According to a ghastly conjecture, he ended his days in Bedlam 4 In the Dedication of Promos and Cassandra, Whetstone exhibits a highly critical spirit, condemning for various reasons the dramatic tastes of the principal literary nations of Europe, his own among the But although he takes lofty ground with reference to both diction and construction, it cannot be said that he was in practice highly successful in either respect. Consideration of 'Decorum' preventing him from 'convaying' his whole story in a single play of five acts, he

² Epitia, cf Klein, v 353 seqq Cinthio died in 1573

⁸ Fleay, English Drama, 11 274

* See Cunningham's note to the passage in Bartholomew Fair 1 1. 'Good Lord, how sharp you are, with being at Bedlam yesterday! Whetstone has set an edge upon you, has he?' Of course there may be no meaning in this beyond a pun, as the same editor conjectures, Whetstone had possibly published something in the nature of a jest-book

¹ Printed in vol 1 of the Six Old Plays on which Sh founded his Measure for Measure, &c (published by Nichols in 1779)

⁵ The passage is worth quoting — At this daye, the Italian is so lascivious in his Commedies, that honest hearers are greeved at his actions the Frenchman and Spanarde follows the Italians humor the Germane is too holye, for he presents on every common Stage what Preachers should pronounce in Pulpets The Englishman, in this qualitie, is most vaine, indiscrete, and out of order he first groundes his worke on impossibilities then in three howers ronnes he throwe the world marryes, gets children, makes children men, men to conquer kingdomes, murder monsters, and bringeth Gods from Heaven, and fetcheth divels from Hel' But the gravest objection to English playwrights is, that they do not make the speech of each character appropriate to it, but use one order of speech for all kinds of persons. The objection to the Germane is the same as that brought against English plays by Northbrooke in his nearly contemporary Treaties against Ducing, Danang, Plays and Interludes (1577 cmc). See Shakesp Scaety's Publ., 1843, p. 92

distributed it over two-but very unequally as to the serious interest of the argument, which is wholly absorbed by the first part And to 'work kindly' the action of his characters, he made his low comedy very low, and his The moral struggle in grosser characters very gross his heroine is brought to a conclusion too rapidly to keep the reader or spectator in an effective condition of suspense, while the intrigues of a courtesan and the ribaldries of a pimp relieve after their fashion the cumbrous progress of an in itself offensive plot It was something different from mere condensation which converted Promos and Cassandra into Measure for Measure 1

The titles of a considerable number of other Early English Other early tragedies, which have not been preserved, suggest a direct tragedies of liabon Italian origin—as in the case of The Duke of Milan and the origin Margus of Mantua (1579)—while no mistake is possible as to the literary genealogy of a play called Ariodanie and Geneuora (1582)2. Two years before he was moved to denounce the English stage, Stephen Gosson had composed the comedy of Captain Mario (1579), which he describes as 'a cast of Italian devices' and which may be assumed to have been founded on some Italian novel or novels a rather later tract, the same censor of the stage asserts that the doubtful novels of Latin, French, Italian and Spanish riters have been 'thoroughly ransacked to furnish the playhouses in London 3' Already in this period of our literary history, France was becoming the natural purveyor to the English literary market of light wares produced by herself or adapted from the productions of her Romance neighbours. English translators seized with avidity upon all these exotic materials, and spread them forth before the eager eyes of our dramatists in search of themes 4

¹ Mr Fleay notes that a scene from Promos and Cassandra (Part 1, v 5) was utilised by Chapman in his Mayday

² Cf. Fleay, English Drama, 11 290 and 288 The subject of the latter play is from the Orlando Furioso, whence the episode in question had been shortly before translated by Peter Beverley (Collier, 1 241 note)

³ Collier, in 327 segg The earlier quotation is from The School of Abuse, the latter from Plays compiled in Five Actions.

⁴ The first volume of Paynter's Pallace of Pleasure (sixty novels from Boccaccio) appeared in 1566, a translation of Les Cent Nouvelles Nouvelles in

Plays on subjects from national history

From the twofold danger which threatened the English diama in the days of its infancy—that it might seek to dwell on the glacial heights of classical mythology or history, or might dissolve its vigour in the glowing heat of Italian stories of passion and crime—it was freed, more than by any other cause, by association, gradually growing closer, with the traditions of our own national history direction in which a sound instinct had turned the controversial ardour of Bishop Bale was that in which English tragedy was, not indeed to find a sphere sufficiently wide to absorb its energies, but to be imbued by influences at once invigorating and enduring The Chronicle History, that species of the early tragic drama which was based upon the historical records of the nation's own past, was the healthiest developement to which it attained within the period when no great dramatist had as yet arisen, and was likewise the most productive in animating the early efforts of several among the great dramatists themselves.

It was, however, without any clear sense of the limits of national history that our early tragic drama widened its range from subjects of classical or foreign origin. The next tragedy which in chronological order has to be noted, belongs in truth rather to the plays founded on romantic legend than to those deriving their themes from national historical traditions. It associates itself directly with Gorboduc rather than with the Chronicle Histories of which I have immediately to treat.

T. Hughes' Misfortunes of Arthur (1587) The Misfortunes of Arthur¹, acted before Queen Elisabeth at Greenwich in February, 1588, is in many respects one of the most remarkable of our early tragedies. Eight members of the Society of Gray's Inn co-operated in its composition, among whom Thomas Hughes was author of the whole body of the play. Nicholas Trotter furnished the Introduction, which in no very light-handed fashion apologises for the poetic effort of legal hands. The choruses

¹⁵⁵⁷ See for further examples, Warton's History of English Postry, Section ix.

¹ Printed in Colher's Five Old Plays, forming a supplement to Dodsley's collection (1833); and in vol. iv. of Hazhtt's Dodsley,

of acts 1 and 11. (which are in rimed stanzas, while those appended to the remaining acts are, like the body of the piece, in blank verse 1), were composed by Francis Flower William Fulbeck contributed two speeches. Three other gentlemen of the Inn devised the dumb-shows introducing the several acts, and allegoising them with elaborate ingenuity. Of these three, one was 'Maister Francis Bacon,' who was at that time already a bencher of Gray's Inn, and had sat in Parliament 2. Bacon, as is proved by the various 'devices' to which he contributed or which he 'contrived' or 'encouraged 3,' as well as by his essay On Masques and Triumphs, had considerable insight into the principles of dramatic effect, albeit at the close of that essay he dismisses as 'toys' the kind of productions which form its theme

The circumstance of Bacon's co-operation, however slight it may have been, in this piece, would suffice to attach a special value to it, but it claims consideration on its own account. Its subject is taken, apparently without the intervention of any later literary treatment from that Morte d'Arthur which, according to a well-known statement by Roger Ascham, had, in his 'forefathers' time' formed the staple literary entertainment of the English Court. The Arthurian legend had derived a fresh senti-

'Who sawe the griefe engraven in a crowne,
Or knew the bad and bane whereto 'tis bound,
Would never sticke to throwe and fling it downe,
Nor once vouchsafe to heave it from the ground.
Such is the sweete of this ambitious powre,
No sooner had, then turnes eftsoones to sowre
Atchiev'd with envie, exercised with hate,
Garded with feare, supported with debate'

¹ The Chorus to Act 11 18 well written, see especially the stanza-

² Parliament had been dissolved about a year before the production of this play See Spedding's Works of Bacon, vin 67

² For a list of these see Fleay, English Drama, I 27-8

^{*} No interest of the kind, of course, attaches to John Bourchier Lord Berners' 'comedy,' called *Ite in vineam*, or *The Parable of the Vineyard* (translated from the French *History of Arthur*), of which an edition, supposed to date from 1540, is extant. Lord Berners died in 1532. See Warton, iv 66

The Scholemaster, Bk. 1. Cf. the striking sarcasm in Ben Jonson's New

mental interest from the Welsh origin of the founder of the Tudor dynasty, who bore the dragon on his flag when he started on his march from Milford Haven, and who gave to his heir the name of 'the Briton Prince' But although the Atthurian cycle of legend furnished the argument of more than one Elisabethan drama 1, the figures of this misty and migratory body of romance were not to become endeared to English popular sympathies until after they had floated down the stream of a long literary history Hughes, who 'reduced into tragical notes' the story of 'Uther Pendragon's son,' and of whom nothing is personally known except that, before he came to London, he was an undergraduate and fellow of Queens' College, Cambridge², was unmistakeably attracted to the subject of the play which he composed for the purpose of the 'devices and shows' to be presented to the Queen by his Inn, by its resemblance to the themes of the classical tragedies then so constantly in the hands of learned students. He knew his Seneca by heart, and the first act of his play has been shown to be 'little more than a mosaic of extracts from Seneca, pieced together with lines of Hughes' own invention, cast in the style of his model 3. He viewed the story of Arthur's fall as the wreaking of a curse due in its origin to Arthur's sin, and the Ghost of Gorlois, whom in life Uther Pendragon, Arthur's father, had so cruelly wronged, opens the play just as the Umbra Tantah opens the Thyestes of the Latin tragedian 4 The terrible complication of adultery and incest which avenges itself on Arthur and his son Mordred, resembles that with which the whole Senecan cycle is familiar, and the merits, as well as the limits, of

¹ See more especially below as to *The Birth &f Merlin*, attributed to Shakspere and William Rowley

Inn, 1 r. Ben Jonson, by the way, himself effectively uses the Arthurian legend in the Speeches at Prince Henry's Barriers

² See the brief notice by Mr A H Bullen in *The Dictionary of National Biography*, vol xxviii p 188

³ Cunliffe, u, s, 52-4, cf the striking comparison of passages in Dr. Cunliffe's Appendix II, pp 130-155

⁴ The Ombra de Selma in Cinthio's Orbecche (Klein, v. 326) has the same, origin, but no similar moral claim to assume the position.

the dramatic treatment are those of the writer's model It is possible that as a classical scholar Hughes was acquainted with the Agamemnon of Aeschylus as well as with that of Seneca, but there is probably no necessity for the assumption. In general, the methods of the Senecan tragedy—including the use of messenger and chorus—are here carefully observed as in Gorboduc. In style the later is at least equal to the earlier play, the stichomythia is managed with considerable force and effect, and there is no lack of vigour in some of the speeches. Thus eg the address of Arthur to his soldiers (act iii sc 3), in which he bids defiance to his rebel son—

'Nay, let that Princocke come,
That knowes not yet himselfe, nor Arthur's force,
That n'er yet waged warres, that's yet to learne
To give the charge yea, let that Princocke come,
With sodaine souldiers pamper'd up in peace,
And gowned troupes and wantons worne with ease,
With sluggish Saxon crewe, and Irish kernes
And Scottish aide, and false redshanked Picts'—

is extremely spirited, and contrasts powerfully with the subdued melancholy of the King's previous speeches. The last stanza of the chorus to act iii ('O base yet happy boores!' &c) will recall a familiar Shaksperian passage, and the mysterious disappearance of Arthur in death ends the action with peculiar effectiveness —

'This onely now I crave (O fortune, erst My faithfull friend) let it be soone forgot, Nor long in minde, nor mouth, where Arthur fell Yea, though I conqueror die, and full of fame, Yet let my death and parture rest obscure No grave I neede (O fates) nor buriall rights Nor stately hearce, nor tombe with haughty toppe; But let my carcasse lurke; yea, let my death Be ay unknowen, so that in every coast I still be feard, and lookt for every houre!

But Arthurian legend is not, and never has been, to the English national mind what the myths which supplied the subjects of Attic tragedy were to the Greek. British mythology in general had no relation to the historic consciousness of our people, and the Aithurian cycle in particular had only come back to our shores after being impregnated with the romantic elements of a foreign literary atmosphere. Thus the meritorious, and within its limits successful, attempt of Hughes was beset by the radical weakness of an artificial origin, and belongs to a passing early phase in the history of English tragedy, instead of having caught a breath of the genuine national life with which our tragic drama was already associating itself

Chronicle Histories

The dates of our earliest tragedies on subjects from national history, properly so called, are more or less uncertain. This uncertainty is largely owing to the fact that the dates in question practically fall within a period of dramatic authorship, including several of Shakspere's earlier contemporaries, and possibly Shakspere himself Mr Fleay 1 comprehensively avers this kind of drama to have 'arisen with the Armada, and died with Elisabeth.' Obviously, however, the chief interest attaching to it as a literary species contributing to the genesis of our regular tragic drama connects itself with those earlier productions which asserted their right to be regarded, in the words of the same literary historian, as 'a variant of tragedy,' usually marking its claim to a distinctive historical character by the assumption of the title of 'True Tragedy' Among these plays the prerogative of seniority is, so far as we know, due to The Famous Victories of Henry V2 This drama cannot have been produced later than 1588, the year of the death of Richard Tarleton, who performed in it the part of Derrick the clown, very probably as composed by himself³. This play, written partly in prose, partly in blank verse, frequently of a rude description 4, is neither divided into

The Famous Victories of Henry V (acted before 1588).

¹ History of the Stage, 75

² Printed in the Six Old Plays (v ante) and in the Publications of the New Shakspere Society.

³ Cf Fleay, English Drama, 11 259

^{*} E.g. King Henry's not very perspicuous computation of the French and English forces before the battle of Agincourt —

They threescore thousand, And we twelve thousand And we but two thousand, They are a hundred thousand, They threescore thousand footmen, And we forty thousand, ten to one.

acts and scenes, nor otherwise constructed with any perceptible measure of dramatic skill But its general vigour and freshness are considerable, and in many of its situations and characters we recognise the familiar scenes and favourite figures of Shakspere's Henry IV and Henry V. For the action opens with the end of the reign of the founder of the dynasty, and introduces not only the wild doings of Prince Hal and his merry companions, among whom Sir John Oldcastle puts in a passing appearance, but also the Prince's interview with his dying father, and his premature seizure of the crown Hereupon follow, in a rapid succession of scenes, the victorious campaign of the young King up to Agincourt, and his marriage with the Princess Katherine—the scene between whom and Henry contains many of the best points of that in Shakspere, without being disfigured by the unpardonable element of grossness afterwards added for the benefit of the groundlings

Another of these Chronicle Histories is The Troublesome The Raigne of King John, in two parts 1 Like the Famous Victories, it is partly in prose, partly in verse—the latter Raigne of being frequently rimed. It is not divided into acts, and King John the scenes follow one another without any attempt at dramatic construction. Nor is there, except perhaps in the case of the Bastard Faulconbridge, any endeavour to develope character out of the situations The facts, or supposed facts, of history are allowed to speak for themselves, and it is most instructive to compare this faithful reproduction on the stage of an epically consecutive narrative with Bale's didactic effort on the one hand, and Shakspere's compact drama on the other It is, perhaps. in such a play as the Troublesome Raigne that we may find the best example of the Chronicle History pure and Its author, at one time carelessly thought to be Shakspere himself², is at the same time fully alive to the political lessons—such as he conceives them to be—of his subject, so far as it relates to the struggle with

Trouble-(pr 1591).

¹ Printed in the Six Old Plays (v. ante)

² In deference to Pope's 'hasty and inconsiderate opinion' See Malone's Shaksp., vol xviii. p. 593

Rome ¹ But his facts are upon the whole drily given, only here and there a fine passage, and more frequently a Latin phrase ², varies the progress of the dialogue. The incidents are the same as in Shakspeie, but the old play introduces, with a large admixture of comic ribaldry, an incident omitted by Shakspere, viz the plunder of a Franciscan abbey by Faulconbridge.

Of an early Life and Death of Harry I (acted in 1597) we know nothing but the title 3.

Quite manifestly, when the vein of these *Chronicle Histories* had once been opened, it was speedily and energetically worked by eager and competing playwights. But it would be futile to attempt in the present connexion to discuss the dates of the earliest dramatic versions of the fall of *Richard III* and the *Contention* between the houses of York and Lancaster

The True Chronicle History of King Leir (acted 1593) The question of authorship is less entangled with regard to The True Chronicle History of King Leir and his Three Daughters, Gonorill, Ragan, and Cordella⁴, acted in 1593 (but apparently not as a new play), which in its form is of the same kind as the Chronicle Histories founded on English history already mentioned. Its resemblance to Shakspere's tragedy is not more striking than its difference

1 'Tell thy master so from me,' says the King to Cardinal Pandulph, in Part I, 'and say, John of England said it, that never an Italian priest of them all, shal either have tythe, tole, or poling peny out of England, but as I am king, so will I raigne next under God, supreame head both over spiritual and temporall, and he that contradicts me in this, He make him hop headlesse' And again, Part II —

'If my dying heart deceive me not, From out these loynes shall spring a kingly braunch Whose arms shall reach unto the gates of Rome, And with his feete treade downe the strumpet's pride That sits upon the chaire of Babylon'

John Young man how now, what art thou in a trance?

Elianor. Philip awake, the man is in a dreame

Philip by a tawns aedite Regibus [sic.]

What saist thou Philip, sprung of auncient kings?

Quo me rapit tempestas?

What winde of honour blowes this furne forth?' &c.

Fleay, English Drama, 11 306

^{*} Printed in the Su Old Plays, &c., vol. ii.

from that masterpiece of tragedy—the dramatic form working by pity and terror For not only is the powerful bye-plot of Gloucester and his sons absent from the Chronicle History, but the latter is far from developing the dramatic capabilities of the subject common to both these plays, after a fashion corresponding to that of Shakspere's tragedy Mr Fleav thinks himself able to distinguish between two divisions, of which, on evidence to my mind insufficient, he assigns the latter part to Lodge, while the earlier he guesses to have been written by Kyd Whether the work of one or more authors, the play has the defects of an earlier phase of workmanship than that of Shakspere and his contemporaries The influence of Lear's heartrending experiences upon his own mind and its powers is left aside, and even the ingratitude of Goneril and Regan is exhibited with comparatively slight skill and effect. On the other hand, the uninteresting episode of the wooing of 'Coidella' by the king of France, who with his comic companion Lord 'Mumford' meets her in disguise, is long drawn out Yet with all its shortcomings, the play seems but to await the touch of a powerful hand to be converted into a tragedy of supreme effectiveness 1 Even of the attractive minor character of Shakspere's Kent, the germ is here perceptible in the character of Perillus,

The birth of Comedy, as has already been hinted 2, in Comedy the history of the English drama slightly precedes that of Tragedy As a matter of fact, the transition from the Moralities was in the former case a matter perfectly easy of accomplishment Concrete figures, largely comic in effect, if not in design, had, as we have seen, been introduced with increasing freedom among the dramatis personae of the Moralities, and admitted to an organic share in the conduct of their action. The Vice and his various aliases, in particular, were hail-fellows well-met with any Dick or Tom in the audience The difficulty—if indeed any such existed—attending the first and essential step in the transition was a negative rather than a positive one. It was not to be found

VOL. I.

2 Ante, p 168.

¹ See below as to King Lean.

in any obstacle against the introduction of figures from real

life, such as might present themselves as palpable human examples of particular virtues and vices, or of particular virtuous and vicious tendencies What seemed to require the slow hand of time to accomplish, was rather the work of making a riddance, before the new dramatic chapter could be begun, of the antiquated machinery which had so long satisfied the public Mediaeval taste had adhered with extraordinary persistency—and by no means in the sphere of dramatic compositions only—to its fondness for personified abstractions And our wonder at the length of time that was required in England for the accomplishment of the simple process in question is heightened, when we notice the early dates, speaking relatively, at which the thought of effecting this change had been carried out by other Western peoples Something has already been said, not only of the débats and disputations of the French trouvères 1, but also of the early sotties and farces, which, together with the moralities proper, in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries competed with the French religious drama² The sotties consisted of short comic scenes representing contemporary popular life, but interspersed with allegorical figures Before long, however, the admixture of such abstractions among figures of living men and women was abandoned, both in the sotties and in the cognate growth of the farces Nothing could be simpler than the scheme of many of these entertainments, in which husband and wife, husband and wife and mother-in-law, husband and wife and lover, make up the dramatis personae; but in others we already feel ourselves within the range of comedy proper 8. In Italy, the early efforts in the same direction were of a similar description, but of course were more directly stimulated by precedents or reminiscences of classical antiquity. The Italian term farsa was indeed

Its preliminary growths in France,

and in Itály

¹ Ante, p 25

² Ante, pp. 107-8 The farces were properly acted by the Basoche, who also performed the moralities, and the sottles by the enfans sans sour, but they mutually conceded to one another the privilege of poaching on one another's manor.

³ Cf. above, p. 108, as to Maitre Pathelin, acted in 1480 by the Basoche

applied indiscriminately to a variety of entertainments, including religious, as well as profane and comic plays, and in the hands of the famous Neapolitan poet, Giacopo Sannazaro (who flourished at the close of the fifteenth century), the court-farsa gained a new literary, as well as social, significance 1 But it was the comic plays which attained to a peculiarly vigorous popular growth, accounted for by their derivation from the atellanae and mimi of ancient Italy Vallous names were given to the earlier efforts in this direction The contrasts, of which many titles are preserved from the close of the fifteenth and from the sixteenth century², were disputations or contentions, inevitably containing a considerable comic element, between abstract or allegorical figures 3 The frottola (literally a comic ditty) marks a step in advance. Here types take the place of abstractions, and more characters than two are introduced, we are, however, still among dramatised dialogues rather than in view of dramatic action The Roman carri (comic disputations held on waggons during the Carnival) must have been of a similar class attempts, probably belonging to the fifteenth century, which already call themselves commedie, were doubtless still little or nothing more than lively dialogues4. But all these

¹ According to Collier, 1 71, note, it was not unusual for the great ladies of the French court, about the earlier part of the sixteenth century, to appear in what were termed 'farces,' and the word is used by Sir Wilham Paget, when giving an account of such an entertainment at the court of France to Henry VIII in 1542 Farsa and farce are from the non-classical Latin farceta which has much the same meaning as satura

² The term 'contentions' remains in use in English dramatic literature as late as 1602, when Sir John Davies' Contention betwixt a Wife, a Widow, and a Maid was presented before Queen Elisabeth at Sir Robert Cecil's house in the Strand. It was afterwards printed in Davison's Poetical Rhapsody (and Ed., 1608) See Dictionary of National Biography, xiv 241

The following titles will sufficiently illustrate the nature of the contrastical contrasts of carnesciale et la quaresima (Carnival and Fasting), il c. degli momini e dell donne (men and women), il c del vivo et del morto, c del Denaro e dell' Uomo (money and man), contensione della Povertà contra la Ruhessa, el contrasto de l'Aqua et del Vino, and of the frottole: la contensione di Mona Gostansa (Dame G) et di Biagio; frottola d'un padre che haveva dua figliuola (one good and one bad), f da dua vischi fattori di monache. Cf Klein, iv 233-6 As to the carn, see ib 239.

So the Commedia di due Contadini (pessants) and the C d'un Villano e

elements being in existence, it needed only the impulse of example, which was here supplied by the Renascence at a much earlier date than elsewhere, to call forth fruits from the expectant soil The schools, as a matter of course, here came to the aid of life, as having never altogether ceased forming, or claiming to form, part of it fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, Latin comedies were composed by Italian writers, but of these little remain beyond the names, among them that of Petrarch's Philologia In the latter part of the fifteenth century, however, comedies by the two representative authors of Roman palliatae were performed in Italian translations as well as in the Latin 'originals' Pomponio Leto, who has been ciedited with the revival of the stage at Rome, produced comedies of Plautus and Terence as entertainments in the courtyards of the palaces of great prelates of the Church, and Dukes Hercules I and II of Ferrara caused Italian translations from the same writers to be performed at their court 1

The designation of the first original comedy is probably due to Bojardo's *Timone*, produced before the year 1494 (Nardi's *Amicisia* was not written till that year), in which Bojardo died, the date of the celebrated *Calandria* by Bernardo Divizio (afterwards Cardinal de Bibbiena) is more or less uncertain, although we may grant the author's boast that, notwithstanding his debt to the *Menaechmi*, his play is not from Plautus² This Italian *Timone* is founded on the dialogue of Lucian, who accordingly speaks the prologue, while Boethius, a national figure, as he may almost be called, of Italian literature and its outgrowths, similarly moralises the last act, and the play as a whole, with its conjunction of allegorical and mythological figures (Wealth, Poverty, Wisdom, Mercury, and Jove), is still of its kind

di una Zingara (Klein, iv 243) Zingaresche or gypsy-dialogues were a standing species of dialogues. The Roman Carri were sometimes called Gindati, because they systematically victimised the Jews. Ib 239

I Nencemi, 1486, Anfitrone, 1487. He also caused the Casma and the Mastellaria to be translated into Italian tersa rima Pomponio Leto brought out the Asinaria and other Roman comedies, apparently in Lain, about the same time. Klein, iv. 248-251.

^{*} It was represented in 1508

transitional Within a generation, however, the first great writer of modern comedy was busily at work, and with the plays of Ariosto, composed in the first quarter of the sixteenth century, Italian comedy had established itself as an independent literary growth Although two of Ariosto's plays are adapted from the Latin comic poets who had served the same purpose for his predecessors, yet even in these a native ease and grace of form apprise us that we are concerned with products of a modern literature of independent growth

The influence exercised by Italian comedy upon the progress of the younger English sister will be best illustrated by particular examples in the course of the following pages Perhaps, however, the general remark may be worth making at once, that although Ariosto, and also Aretino (who with Machiavelli is his chief rival among early Italian comic dramatists), wrote plays in which much attention was devoted to characterisation it was the comedy of intrigue or adventure, where character and manners are incidentally delineated rather than made the principal subject of treatment, which found particular favour in Italy in the age of the later Renas-To these examples the luxuriant growth of our own 10mantic comedy was to be very specially indebted At the same time, however, the peculiarly Italian species of The Comthe so-called commedia dell' arte renewed a vitality, traceable no doubt in its origin to Oscan traditions imported from Campania to Rome 1. The figures of this popular form of comedy, which derived its name from the secondary, though significant fact that it was as a rule performed by professional actors, trained members of a craft or guild underwent various modifications. But, down to their last tremulous epigoni, who still prolong the dubious days of English pantomime, Arlecchino and his confederates reveal their descent from Maccus and his inseparable companions. The

dell' arte

¹ The atellanas have already been referred to above. As to their origin see Teuffel, Geschichte der römischen Literatur, § 9 Very possibly the chief characters of the commedia dell' arts, and even their traditional costumes, were more or less traceable to a primitive source. The revival of this species, in its modern frame, and under its modern name, has been ascribed to Francesco (called Terenziano) Cherea, the favourite player of Pope Leo X.

scenes of the commedia dell' arte were merely the successive parts or atticles of a scheme drawn up beforehand, in which the dialogue was filled up by improvisation (hence commedia. all' improviso) This feature marked out the species in question as peculiar to the country of its birth, although attempts were made in England and elsewhere to imitate the 'sharpness of wit' which enabled the Italian actors to invent their own dialogue, to all intents and purposes, as they went along 1 Less distinctive in kind was the device of connecting the scenes of these plays by means of the lazzı (ligatures or links) furnished by the facile tongue or limbs of Arlecchino He was as a matter of course transferred into the stereotyped elaboration of the same species of composition, which was distinguished by its action being carried on by certain typical figures in masks-standing varieties associated in the matter of speech with particular The invention of this new development is local dialects ascribed to Angelo Beolco of Padua, who called himself Ruzante (10ke1), and who was born in 1502 The figures of his pieces represented local types (Pantalone the Venetian merchant, the Dottore from Bologna, &c) He, and others who followed his example, wrote down the text of their' plays² The occasional influence upon the English comic drama of the commedia dell' arte, which at home in Italy popular sentiment has cherished by the side of a long series of more purely literary growths, will be incidentally illustrated as we proceed 3

¹ See Collier's chapter on Extemporal Plays and Plots, in 197 seqq The term platt (platform) was used of outlines or schemes of performances, in which at least the greater part of the dialogue must have been extemporaneous, and Collier cites at length the platt of the Second Part of the Seven Deadlie Sins, found at Dulwich —Italian extempore actors are repeatedly mentioned by English writers, and Collier thinks it possible that performances of this kind were given by an Italian actor Drousiano, whose company visited London in 1578—In Germany, improvised comedy endured long after tragedy had attained a regular character, and many actors of Schroeder's company, perhaps Schroeder himself, had performed extempors See Uhde, Denkwirdigheiten von F L Schmidt (1875), 1 12 As to the commedia dell' arte, see an interesting essay by J A. Symonds in his Translation of the Memours of Count Carlo Gozsi (1889)

² Klein, 1v. 904 segg

^{*} For the history of the important connexion between this species and

Lastly, it may be noted that the pastoral drama, which The Italian was, at first, nothing but the bucolic idyll in a dramatic pastoral form, and which freely lent itself to the admission of both mythological and allegorical elements, flourished in Italy from as early a date as the close of the fifteenth century Its origin was purely literary, and marks it as one of the most characteristic products of the Renascence The 1enowned scholar Agnolo Poliziano's Orfeo (1472) begins a series, of which Tasso's Aminta (1573) and Guarini's Pastor Fido (1583, first printed 1590) may be held to represent the most exquisite flower 1 The artificial character of this delicate combination commended it for imitation to the fancy and wit of our Elisabethan poets, who recognised in it an incomparable vehicle for the display of learning and imagination, suiting itself with equal facility to the intention of allegorical compliment and to that of satire, and in both directions its influence will be perceptible at almost every stage in the progress of our sixteenth and seventeenth century drama, more especially in its comic branches

The beginnings of the Spanish comic drama in the main Beginnings followed a course analogous to those of the Italian first entremeses (interludes), to be sure, connect themselves directly with the mysteries and moralities in which, from an early date, it had been usual to insert them, but in the celebrated Couplets of Mingo Revulgo (1472) we have a dialogue in character after the fashion of the Italian The personages of the dialogue are Mingo contrasti Revulgo (Domingo Vulgus), who represents the common folk, and Gil Arribato, who belongs to the 'classes'2. A Dialogue between Love and an Old Man, dating from the same period, is a composition of the same kind. Of both

The of Comedy ın Spaul,

French comedy, see L. Moland, Molière et la Comedie Italienne (2me ed), Paris, 1867.

For a characterisation of the Orfeo, see J Mahly, Angelus Politianus (1864) pp. 108-143 The Orfeo, which the Italians are said to regard as the beginning of their opera, was despised by its author, who wished it to be treated as weakling children were dealt with by their Spartan parents To the Pastor Fido I shall have repeated occasion of returning

A play called Myngo is mentioned among other plays of which the names are otherwise unknown, performed at Bristol in 1578. (Collier, 1 223 note.)

these species the authorship has been attributed to Rodrigo Cota the elder, who is also held to have begun, about the vear 1480, a famous dramatic composition finished, not later than 1499, by Fernando de Rojas This was Calisto and Meliboea, a dramatic novel of intrigue and character. which, under the name of Celestina, afterwards achieved a success extending far beyond the borders of Spain 1 Before its adaptation for the stage by Romero de Zepeda (1582) it cannot, with its twenty-one acts, be regarded as having been intended for representation. The earliest dramatic compositions known to have been performed in Spain by actors, who were neither priests nor cavaliers, were the Representaciones of Juan de la Enzina (born 1468-9), which, under the title of *Ecloques*, were dramatic dialogues, partly of a religious, partly of a pastoral, character. Both in Spain and in Portugal these entertainments developed slowly in the direction of the regular drama, under the influence of Italian, and occasionally of ancient classical, examples, but a national drama had not formed itself in Spain, before it was already rising into life in England The early Spanish theatre is chiefly remarkable for its. mixture of styles, and the first great Spanish dramatists, Cervantes and Lope de Vega, are very unfixed in form²

and m Germany In Germany, on the other hand, although the first growths of the comic drama were by no means belated, the process was a far simpler one. Here, no doubt, under the influence of the dialogue-literature, to the remarkable growth of which in the Reformation age reference will immediately be made, it was the religious drama proper that suggested the comic exuberance of the Fastnachtsspiele (Shrove-Tuesday plays), dating in their earliest known speci-

¹ It was frequently translated, and, in 1632, was published in an English version by 'Don Diego Puedeser' (James Mabbe) under a vernacular first title. See *The Celestina*, &c., in James Mabbe's version, with Introduction by J. Fitzmaurice Kelly (*Tudor Translations Series*) For a translation of acts xix and xx of the Celestina (with the catastrophe of the ladder), see M. A Fée's Études sur l'ancien Théâtre Espagnol (1873), pp 417 segg.

² Cf. Ticknor's History of Spanish Literature, Period I, chaps, xiii and xiv, and Period II, chaps vii and viii For a sketch of the Spanish theatre before Cervantes, and of the changes introduced into it by him, see the essay on Cervantes in Mérimée's Portraits historiques et littéraires (2^{mo} éd., 1874).

mens from the middle of the fifteenth century. At first these entertainments appear to have been little more than comic dialogues, diversified only by the occasional use of imported elements, and it was natural that a strong impulse should be given towards this kind of production by the dialogues which form one of the most characteristic features of the earlier years of the sixteenth century in German literature The masters of this form of composition were two of the chief leaders in the German Renascence movement, and of the most potent factors in the cognate movement of the Reformation, towards which their idiosyncrasies ultimately induced them to assume attitudes directly antagonistic to one another In the dialogues of Erasmus and of Hutten the influences of classical culture and of national sentiment are respectively predominant, but by no means to the exclusion of other diversifying motives. In addition to these, a third group of German dialogues has been distinguished in this age by Dr Herford, and happily described by him as that which exhibits the dialogue 'turning into what is perhaps best called the drama of debate2.' To this species, which is apt to exhibit a succession of detached scenes and a crowd of contributory characters, Swiss writers particularly inclined

It seems unnecessary to refer to the early efforts of the comic drāma among other cognate peoples, though it is perhaps noticeable that in the Low Countries comic as well as serious dramatic pieces, moving in the sphere of real life, are stated to have been produced as early as the fourteenth century ⁸

¹ Cf Devrient, Geschichte der Schauspieleunst, 1 93 segq As to the Fastnachtsspiele, see the instructive Introduction by Julius Tittmann to Part in, of Dichtungen von Hans Sachs (in vol vi of Gödeke and Tittmann's Deutsche Dichter des 16 Jahrhunderts), Leipzig, 1871 The two chief authors of Fastnachtsspiele in the fifteenth century were Hans Rosenblüt, a 'minstrel' of the later type, and Hans Folz, both Nürnbergers, although Folz was a native of Worms.

² The second chapter of Dr C H Herford's Studies in the Literary Relations of England and Germany (1886) brings out with admirable force the literary characteristics and influence of German dialogue literature in the Reformation Age

² As to the Dutch sotternie, cf. F. v Hellwald, Geschichte des hollandischen Theaters (1874), p. 2.

Beginnings of Enghsh Comedy

These general notes will help to indicate the precedents and examples that affected the beginnings of English comedy before the Renascence movement, in this country with more of suddenness than elsewhere, brought them into direct contact with classical models In the period with which we are immediately concerned—the early Tudoi period—these germs were still slumbering beneath the cumbious folds of the moralities, yet at home, too, there were not a few influences already in operation which, when combined, might seem to have been well capable of awakening them It should not be overlooked that in a quite different branch of literary composition, a work of long-continued and widespread popularity in this very age brought home to English, as it had to continental, hearers and readers the advantage and pleasure to be found in a concrete treatment of the vices and foibles exemplified to them by their neigh-Sebastian Brant's famous Ship of Fools, of which the English version by Alexander Barklay was published in the first year of Henry VIII's reign (1500), transmuted abstractions into human realities, calling down scorn and indignation, instead of upon Improvidence, Pretentious Incompetence, and the like, upon real Folys without Provysyon, Folyshe Fesycyans, and so forth-all of them more or less successful facsimiles of persons living next door or in the next street to your worship's self Such books, in the earliest as in later periods of our comic drama, have materially helped, not only to suggest effective types of character, but also to enforce the uses of comparison between them. Again, as has been seen, our literature had, from an early date, furnished examples of interlocutory poems which, as wholly lacking action, cannot be called dramatic, but which comprise efforts in the direction of characterisation-an important branch of dramatic effort Under the influence of foreign examples, to which reference was made above, English dialogue-literature entered upon a new phase, which may be said to have lasted from the earlier days of Henry VIII into the great times of Elisabeth. We are not concerned here with those of its productions which have no direct contact with the drama, and which include, together

Dialogues.

with examples of didactic or satirical prose, headed by More's Utopia in its English dress, the fierce polemical verse of William Roy and Jerome Bailow 1, and the controversial aftermath which followed on the accession of Edward VI English writers utilised the time-honoured 'contrast' form for such productions in the manner of Hans Sachs as Fohn Bon and Mast' Parson, a disputation between a peasant and a pilest on the Sacrament, which the former, in his justic ignorance, calls 'Corpsy-cursty 2' Robyn Conscience, a disputation in seven-line stanzas between a son and his father, who is an abstract personage called Covetousness, and represents, oddly enough, the άδικος λόγος of the old generation, is justly regarded by Dr Herford as a composition of the same class 3 Dr William Turner's Examination of the Mass (1547 c) and a rather later composition of similar conception, entitled *The Endightment* (Indictment) against Mother Masse 4, add an element of novelty by arranging the disputation for and against the Mass and the dogma involved in the rite under the ever popular form of judicial trials, carried on in the one instance by concrete agents in the familiar locality of a London sessions-house, in the other before a personified God's Word as judge and the Twelve Apostles as jurymen The liking for controversial dialogue was not extinguished under Mary, but came to an end under Elisabeth, when a religious settlement was effected, against which it gradually became either needless, or futile, to struggle Isolated examples of the dialogue or disputation of the non-controversial type,

⁴ Described by Herford, pp 63-6, from the originals, preserved respectively in the British Museum and at Lambeth.

¹ The Dialogue between Watkyn and Jeffroye follows upon the mock Lamentacion for the decease of the Mass in the invective, published by the two fugitive Franciscan Friars against Cardinal Wolsey and the orthodox Church at home under the heading Rede ms and be not wrothe (1528). See Arber's English Reprints (1871). Dr Herford, u. s, p 43, shows that there is no reason for supposing the authors to have been acquainted with the Bernese poet Nicholas Manuel's more elaborate satire on (virtually) the same theme of The Sickness of the Mass.

² Herford, u. s., p. 54 This dialogue, printed in 1548, is reprinted ap. W. C. Hazlitt's Remains of Early English Poetry

³ Herford, p 55 A sufficient account of this piece will be found ap Collier, n. 316–319 It is in the library of the Duke of Devonshire

in which an argument is carried on merely or mainly for the intellectual pleasure to be derived from it, recui at different times in the Tudor period of our literature

'Dialogue of Death' (1564-5)

A very celebrated dialogue, or rather series of dialogues. combining piecept with example, and enforcing the effect of the latter with much picturesque vivacity, by William Bulleyn, was published in 1564-5, and is often cited under the title of a Dialogue of Death Its full title better displays its double purpose, which is that of indicating 'a goodly regimente' (regimen) 'against the fever Pestilence, with a consolation and comfort against death' Its author was a learned scholar, born under Henry VIII, who held clerical preferment in the early years of Edward VI, and then travelled abroad On his return he published a variety of medical treatises, partly in dialogue form, and led a life of chequered fortunes till his death in 15761 The Dialogue on account of which he is most generally remembered, and on the bibliographical history of which a vast amount of learning has been expended, is still, so far as I know, only accessible in fragments² They show the author to have had a large and varied knowledge of both books and men, and to have possessed the art of imparting a lifelike colour of reality to such pictures as that which he introduces of the citizen and his wife riding forth from London to escape the plague But I cannot perceive that this interesting relic of an interesting man has any special value for the early history of our drama.

Dialogue of Gentylnes and Nobilitye (pr. 1533

Of other Tudor dialogues I need only mention here, by the side of John Heywood's *Dialogue of Wit and Folly*, noted among his works below, a similar piece printed about the same time (1533 c, according to Mr. Bullen's conjecture) by John Rastell, who was possibly its author.

¹ See Mr. A. H Bullen's notice of William Bulleyn in vol. vii of the Dictionary of National Biography

^{*}See the note, pp xc-xcn of the Notice of the Life and Writings of Alexander Barclay, in Jamieson's edition of The Ship of Fools (1874), vol. 1. The fullest series of extracts known to me is that in the Appendix to Waldron's Sad Shephard (1783), pp 185-223 The scene in which the 'Pothicaire' and the doctor attend upon the sick rich man Antonius contains the much-quoted passage on our early English poets.

It has also been attributed, but without apparent reason, to John Heywood This is a dialogue bearing the title Of gentylnes and nobilitye 1, and addressing itself to a question which has in its time been illustrated by both wit and wisdom, and not unfrequently reiterated without much of either,—'Who is a verey gentleman 2?' The discussion is carried on between 'the Marchaunt, the Knyght and the Plowman,' and the piece professes to be 'compiled after the maner of an enterlude, with divers toys and gestis addyd therto to make mery pastyme and disport', but there is no action to differentiate it from the type of the Italian contrasti A similar production seems to have been that mentioned in Hall's Chronicle (s a 1527) as having served to entertain the King and Court, in it, we are told, 'two persones plaied a dialog, theffect whereof was whether riches were better than love 3.'

It must not, however, be forgotten that already at a much Early earlier date there are traces in England of a species of interludes entertainment in which an element of action was included, and which, unlike the disputations and dialogues to which I have adverted, may therefore be rightly described as dramatic These early interludes, as they were called, were in point of fact dramatised anecdotes of the type of the French of Italian comic farce, which from the Plantagenet times onwards seem to have not unfrequently been produced to diversify or fill up the pauses of the banquets ensuing in great houses upon the more substantial part of the repast One such composition has been preserved, although in a fragmentary condition, but though the Interludium de clerico et puella, which probably dates from the reign of Edward I, was founded on the English tale of Dame Strith, there are indications that the author of the English faice had (like so many of his successors) a French model in his

² The best answer, I suppose, is Chaucer's, professedly rather than actually founding itself on a passage in Dante, in The Wife of Bath's Tale

¹ Cf Collier, 11 310 segg

Collier, 11 307 note Francis Thynn's Debate between Pride and Lowliness fedited by Colher for the Old Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1841), which Robert Greene reproduced under the title of A Quip for an Upstart Courter, is, on the other hand, not dramatic even in form.

Transition from the Moralities

It is unlikely that similar 'interludes' should not have continued in England, as they did in France, to divert the leisure of those who had so much of it on their hands What was needed was that some dramatic writer of ingenuity and power should be bold enough to take a lesson from such neglected trifles, and break with the usage long imposed by literary custom To effect a transition from the moralities, upon which literary effort of the dramatic kind had in England so long concentrated itself, he would have to throw overboard the time-honoured agency of personified abstractions which they had preserved with so wearisome a persistency, and to confine the characters of plays pursuing the same ends as the moralities themselves to those human types which had hitherto been only occasionally or fitfully introduced in these But although it may seem an easy matter to take a step of this description, the resolute freedom proper to genius frequently has to come into play before such a step is actually taken The real beginner of English comedy had been long awaited in the man who should definitively establish the practice of combining, in an easy and amusing dramatic action, clearly marked and contrasted types of ordinary human life. This man was John Heywood, whom I thus have no scruple in accounting a man of genius, and whose series of Interludes possesses a distinctive significance for the history of our national drama.

John Heywood (b 1497 c, d 1580 c). JOHN HEYWOOD², the date and place of whose birth are alike uncertain, was in his boyhood very possibly employed in the choic of the chapel-toyal, and, according to his own statement, was afterwards, for a long time, one of King Henry VIII's 'singing-men.' It may be that between

¹ Ten Brinck, ii 308-9 This curious fragment is printed in Wright and Halliwell's *Reliquae Antiquae*, vol ii p 145 It consists of two scenes of a farce, written in short couplets, of which the diction has a strong dialect colouring. The second scene unluckly breaks off in the middle, after 'Mome Ellwis,' a homely Celestina by her calling, has testified to her religious sentiments

¹ For the known data of Heywood's life, and for references to the authorities concerning it, see my article on him in vol xxvi of the *Dictionary of National Biography*.

these two stages of his life he spent some time at Broadgates Hall, now Pembroke College, Oxford, of which he is traditionally said to have been a member In 1526 he was officially known as 'player of the viiginals' at court, and it is conjectured that a reduction in his wages as such was due to his appointment, some time before 1538, as master of a company of children who performed plays before the couit The Princess Mary, to whom Heywood was introduced by his patron Sir Thomas More, witnessed one of these performances, and to her he became attached with a loyal devotion to which his writings repeatedly testify, and which was unmistakeably enhanced by his sympathy with her subsequent policy in matters of both Church and State Under Edward VI he is said to have escaped 'the terke of the six-string'd whip', as a matter of fact he had already, in 1544, avoided a charge of having denied the Royal Supremacy by a public recantation, and it must be the Supremacy, not the Six Articles, Act against which he had again offended in the new reign His literary reputation, already considerable under Edward, rose to its height under Maiy, who took an intelligent delight in his accomplishments and in his wit, which is said to have amused her even on her death-bed. She granted him a lease of the manor of Bolmer and other lands in Yorkshire After the accession of Elisabeth, although he had enjoyed her favour in former days, he thought it more prudent to withdraw to the continent, where (at Malines) he is supposed to have passed the remainder of his days. He was certainly alive early in 1577, when his name occurs in a return of Catholic fugitives, but in 1587 he is spoken of 1 as 'dead and gone.' Of his two sons, the younger, Jasper, has been previously mentioned as one of the translators of Seneca's. Tragedies2.

John Heywood's personal position at the courts of the sovereigns whom he so loyally served was not completely defined by his official duties as trainer of boy-players, conductor of their performances, and writer of the pieces

3 Ante. p. 195

¹ By Thomas Newton, in his Epilogue or Conclusion to Heywood's Works

presented by them Upon these duties he appears to have entered at some time between 1514, when Henry VIII enlarged his establishment of players (to which Heywood did not belong), and 1520 or thereabouts, the probable date of his earliest extant interlude He cannot be supposed to have held the place of court jester or fool 1, but he was certainly expected to amuse by his conversation as well as to interest by his writings and by their reputation His Epigrams were probably considered by himself, as well as by his contemporaries and by near generations, to constitute his foremost title to literary fame, and indeed the collection is full of flashes of wit and humour, and here and there even has touches of pathos, which it needs no great alertness to discein amidst inevitably dull surroundings. The store of Proverbs, cleverly fitted by a tour de force into the framework of a single Dialogue, redounds to the credit of his learning rather than of his wit, although displaying an aptness in the art of quotation which is rightly held to partake of the quality of wit itself But the Epigramssix hundred in number-would, even if nothing else were preserved from their author's hand, prove their author to have been possessed of a vein of wit and humour such as no difference of times or manners can altogether obscure, and to have moreover had in him a vein of sentiment occasionally approaching the confines of poetical power² Of even more importance, perhaps, in the present connexion, is the fact that as an epigrammatist he may be said to be free from the pedantry which has beset so many more richly endowed humourists, and is quite content to use a cross-bow instead of a catapult in dealing with folly as it flies 8. I do not think that this estimate is contradicted by his elaborate allegory on the

¹ The dagger woin by him in the woodcut portrait which appears in editions both of *The Spider and the Flie* and of *Epigrams upon Proverbs* can hardly be adduced as an argument to the contrary

² I may instance the epigram Of weeping

^{&#}x27;Better children weepe then olde men, say wyse men But olde men weepe when children laugh, now and then'

^{*} This write I not to teache but to touche, for why,
Men know this as well or better than I.'

affairs of Chuich and State—the burlesque epos of *The Spider and the Flie*,—which has been generally condemned as wearisome, although its general lucidity and relative variety of treatment to my mind redeem some of the tediousness inherent in the literary species to which it belongs Of his remaining non-dramatic writings, I need here only mention the *Willow Garland* ballad, the refrain of which was known to Desdemona ¹

Such a humourist as John Heywood was manifestly fitted for the task which, doubtless without much consciousness of its importance, he undertook in connexion with the progress Frank and open-minded, he is at the of our comic diama same time a really modest writer, who, in the matter of characterisation, for instance, unaffectedly rates his powers at the very lowest 2 Yet it was precisely the vivacity of his genius which, in a more advanced age of the English drama, would probably have secured to him a far more prominent position in its history than is usually accorded to him humour is of a kind perhaps peculiarly characteristic of those minds which, while strongly conservative at bottom, claim a wide personal liberty in the expression of opinion, and are radically adverse to all shams. Such a mind was that of Aristophanes, who, I am convinced, went through no such changes of religious opinion as have been attributed to him by modern criticism, but who consistently indulged in a license of expression quite compatible with the maintenance of fixed principles in religion and in politics. Such a mind was that of Canning, who, under the influence of personal feeling, could satirise a Tory premier as happily as he could ridicule a revolutionary Radical. Heywood was a convinced orthodox Roman Catholic, as he was an upholder of legitimate authority in the realm, to quarrel with the foundations of spiritual authority (such as they seemed to him) was in his eyes alike foolish and criminal; but he saw

Reprinted in the (Old) Shakespeare Society's Papers, 1844, 1.44-6
Were I, in portraiying persons dead or alive
As cunnying and as quicke to touche them at full,
As in that feate I am ignorant and dull'
Dialogue of Proceedes & Part 1.

no reason for sparing priests, pardoners, or pilgrims the lash of his 'mad, mery wit'

For both the wit and the humour of Heywood are not only undeniable, but exceedingly striking, especially in the midst of the literature, tame and tedious as a whole, of our English moralities. The manifestation of these qualities by Heywood redeems the youthful period of the English comic diama from the charge of utter inferiority to that of the French; and proves that neither had Chaucer written in vain, nor were Shakspere and Ben Jonson in this respect without a true predecessor If the form of Heywood's interludes is extremely simple, this only increases our admiration for the fact that he found it possible within so limited an area to display comic faculties which would have been equal to far ampler opportunities tells a merry tale with Chaucerian verve, and contilves in his simple scenes to introduce touches of character of irresistible effectiveness And, so far as it is possible to judge, his fondness for a joke is merely the ripple on a broad surface of good sense, and never at issue with the fundamental principles of a sound morality Lastly, he is possessed of what, considering the age in which he wrote, may be described as the most exceptional of his literary gifts, viz. genuine lightness of hand, while all his writings are interesting, his interludes may be described as thoroughly enjoyable

Not all the productions of Heywood which I am about to notice are properly described as *interludes*, if that name is, in its more precise application to a distinct literary species, to be confined to short comic pieces containing an element of action that entitles them to be called dramatic But, as it is these which constitute his claim to a conspicuous place in a survey of our dramatic literature, and as they appear to have preceded the rest in chronological order of production, they may here be noticed first

The Mery Play between the Pardoner and the Frere, the Curate and Neybour Pratte, was printed by Rastell in 1533¹, but the internal evidence of a reference to Pope

A Merry Play between the Pardoner, &c. (pr. 1533)-

A unique copy of this is to be found in the library of the Duke of Devonshire, and was reproduced in facsimile in 1820. It has been reprinted in

Leo X (who died in 1521) shows it to have been written at least twelve years before this date. The construction of this easy diamatic satire is even slighter than that of its successors, the idea being simply that of a ludicrous rivalry between the Friar and the Pardoner to gain the ear of a parish which could do very well without the presence of either. The Friar having secured the use of the Cuiate's pulpit sets out upon his begging sermon, in which he is interrupted by the Pardoner, intent upon extolling his relics 1. They carry on their oratorical efforts in alternate lines, with the ludicrous effect of such an alternation so well known to later days of the comic stage. Ultimately they fall to blows, and are engaged in a furious scuffle, when the Curate (or Parson) appears on the scene to preserve his church, as he incisively puts it, from 'pollution' He thus appeals to the lay-element, in the person of neighbour Pratte, to second him in this endeavour.

> 'Neighbour, ye be constable, stand ye near, Take ye that lay knave, and let me alone With this gentleman By God and by Saint John, I shall borrow upon priesthood somewhat, For I may say to thee, neighbour Pratte, It is a good deed to punish such, to th' ensample Of such other, how that they shall [not] mell In like fashion, as these caitiffs do'

It proves, however, a difficult task, especially for the Curate, to quell such determined intruders, and in the end they are allowed to depart in peace, although without a benediction.

'Friar Will ye leave, then, and let us in peace depart? Curate and Pratte Yea, by our lady, even with all our heart. Friar and Pardoner. Then adieu to the devil, till we come again! Curate and Pratte. And a mischief go with you both twain 12

The Mery Play between Johan the Husbande, Tyb the A Mery Wife, and Syr Thon the Priest2, was likewise printed by tween Johan

Four Old Plays, edited by Child (Cambridge, U S A, 1848), and in Hazlitt's Dodsley, vol. 1.

¹ Colher, 11. 301 note, mentions that a Proclamation, issued in 1537 against erroneous writings and books, contains a warning against 'dyvers and sundry light persons called Pardoners,' which denounces their evil ways in very explicit terms.

² Reprinted at the Chiswick Press (1819), from the unique copy in the

the Husbande, Tyb the Wife, and Syr Jhon the Priest

Rastell in 1533 It treats of a triple relationship, which the later Middle Ages and the Renascence period 'analyse' as persistently as our novelists of the nineteenth century. Johan commences the action by a soliloguy, in which, because it is a soliloquy, he proclaims with heroic boldness his determination to exercise his martial authority by 'beting' his wife But after he has reviewed and confuted all possible arguments against such a procedure, the real argument soon appears in the person of his wife Tyb herself. She meets her husband's suspicions as to her relations with the parish priest by constraining him to invite her ghostly friend to partake of a 'pye,' which constitutes the central point of interest in the drama. The notion that to suffer injury is much, but that to be in addition deprived of one's dinner by the destroyer of one's peace is too much, is immortal in faice, but never has it been worked out with more 'convincing' humour than in this Mery Play the priest and Tyb are consuming the pie, the husband is set to 'chafe wax' at the fire, in order to stop up a hole in a pail, which, there is but too much reason to believe, was not strange in its origin to Tyb1. In the end, the longsuffering husband's patience gives way, and with a courage born from despair he suddenly attacks the priest 'with his fyst,' ending the play with an expression of forebodings that excuse if they do not justify his conduct. In a farcical sketch such as this there is, of course, not very much room for characterisation, or for any very special depiction of In his third and most celebrated interlude, the author returns to the more elaborate kind of satire which he had attempted in his first extant piece.

The Four P's (pr 1545 c) The Four P's, a Mery Interlude of a Palmer, a Pardoner, a Potycary, and a Pedlar, was printed, without date, by William Myddleton, but as no dated publication was issued from his press before 1543 or after 1547, the precise time

Ashmolean Museum, Oxford 'Sur' is of course the usual prefix allowed to a priest, as representing the 'dominus' attesting his (actual or supposed) B A degree.

¹ Cf. in the Farce de Pernet (Ancien Théatre Français, i 211)
'C'est ung très pouvre passetemps
De chauffer la cire quant on digne.'

of the printing of this play must fall between these years, although its composition was probably more or less contemporaneous with that of the interludes previously noticed 1 This piece 2 is in its details extremely entertaining, while it thoroughly succeeds in conveying a moral quite distinct from the tendency which might, by a natural mistake, be imputed to it We may therefore unaffectedly regret that its most humoious passages are unfit for modern ears. The Palmer and the Pardoner begin by a contest as to the superior efficacy of the processes of salvation which they respectively practise, the 'Poticary asserts that if they teach men how to prepare for death, he can facilitate death itself, while the task of the Pedlar is to judge which is the greatest liar of the three. The competition consists in the telling of two stories by the Palmer and the Pardoner, and the outbidding of their lies circumstantial by a monstrously extravagant assertion on the part of the 'Poticaly' The humour of the whole is inimitable, but at the end the author takes occasion to show that it is the abuse and not the use of means of edification which he has been satirising. interlude is in many respects curious as an illustration of manners as well as character, the Paidoner's list of his relics being only equalled by the Palmer's enumeration of his pilgrimages, of which his rival thus sums up the result

> 'And when ye have gone as far as ye can, For all your labour and gostely entente, Ye will come home as wyse as ye wente'

Heywood's lines often possess the felicity of the above,

¹ Reprinted in vol 1 of Dodsley's Select Old Plays, in vol. 1 of the Ancient British Drama, and in vol. 1 of Hazlitt's Dodsley.

² The alliterative title of *The Four P's* either was already popular, or was made such by Heywood's interlude On the dismissal of Coke, Chamberlain writes to Carleton (Nov 18, 1621) 'The common speech is that four PP's have overthrown and put him down, that is, Pride, Prohibitions, Praemunire, and Prerogative.' (Court and Times of James I, 1848, 1 427)

And this I wolde ye shulde understande, I have sene women v hundred thousande And oft with them have longe tyme taried; Yet in all places where I have ben, Of all the women that I have sene, I never sawe nor knewe in my conscyens, Any one woman out of paciens.'

he had all the power of condensing and pointing expression which became second nature to him as an epigrammatist, and there is a really gnomic force in the use to which he puts his power in the few serious words at the close of this interlude. Or is there not strength of meaning, as well as of expression, in the admonition—

'But where ye dout, the truthe nat knowynge, Belevynge the beste, good may be growynge, In judgynge the best, no harme at the leste, In judgynge the worste, no good at the beste'—

whatever may be thought of the corollary, which exhibits the author's orthodoxy

'But beste in these thynges it semeth to me, To take no judgement upon ye, But as the churche doth judge or take them, So do ye receyve or forsake them And so be you sure ye cannat erre, But may be a frutfull folower'?

The Play of the Wether (pr 1533) Besides these Interludes, in the more special sense of the term which they may be said to have themselves succeeded in establishing for it, John Heywood composed other pieces more or less resembling earlier types, but not unmarked with the originality which rarely deserted him The Play of the Wether, a new and a very mery interlude of all maner of Wethers (printed in 1533)¹, is a highly ingenious-composition, of which the plot has a more didactic design than can with sincerity be ascribed to any of the interludes noticed above The introduction of personages from classical mythology interests us, as indicating the influence of Renascence tastes, which kept alive a liking for such agency in the more fanciful spheres of our drama down to a very late date².

¹ A copy of this exists at St John's College, Oxford There is another edition, printed by Robert Wyer A full account of this play by Dr Bliss is reproduced by Fairholt in his essay on Heywood and his writings in Penry Society's Publications, vol xx (1846)

Robert Greene's Debate between Folke and Love, professedly translated out of French (printed 1587, and reprinted in Dr Grosart's edition of Greene's Works, iv. 45-223), comprises a long disputation between these personages of their power, dignitie and superioritie, followed by action. Folly, having made herself invisible, puts out Love's eyes, and Venus carries the complaint of Love before Jupiter, who appoints Apollo and Mercury as counsel. They make long speeches full of ancient instances, and Jupiter's

The divinities who superintend the several phenomena of the weather-Phoebus, Saturn, Aeolus, and Phoebe-prefer complaints against one another at the thione of Jupiter, who thereupon, through Merry Report, the 'Vice' of the play, summons before the supreme tribunal a set of human witnesses, types of classes specially interested in different sorts of weather, such as the Ranger, the Water-miller, the Wind-miller The variety of their requests, to which Jupiter undertakes to respond one by one, masmuch as to respond to them simultaneously is impossible, proves the absurdity of demanding more than what is in the end beneficial to the human community at large If, as Collier suggests 1, intended for a court show, this mythological morality was certainly a refined as well as genial specimen of its class The Play of Love, of which the extant copy 2 is without The Play

date, was perhaps an earlier production of the same versatile author. One may best compare it to an Italian frottola, comprising, as it does, as many as four characters, although the contention between them is in the form of a disputation rather than of a dramatic action These characters consist of 'the Lover not beloved - the Woman beloved, not loving-the Lover beloved-and one Neither lover nor loved.' This last unlucky wight makes his appearance as the Vice, who 'cometh in ronnynge sodenly aboute the place among the audiens, with a huge coppyr tank on his head, full of squybs, fyred, crying "Watere, water; fyre, fyre, fyre, water, water, fyre," till the fyre in the squybs be spent' A certain measure of action is thus introduced, inasmuch as the Lover nervously imagines his mistress to be But finally argument settles, or rather harmonises,

The Dialogue of Wit and Folly, in conclusion, of which The the MS.3 likewise Dears no date, is, as its title implies, a mere of Wit dialogue, and not therefore to be included among Heywood's and Folly.

the difficulty in dispute, and the closing speech gives a

sentence is postponement. Folly to undertake the guidance in the meantime of blind Love

religious tuin to the sentiment conveyed.

¹ u 307

² In the Bodleian. It is described at length by Fairholt, u s

³ In the British Museum Reprinted by Fairholt, u. s.

dramatic works The disputation on the question whether the life of a wise man or that of a fool be superior to the other, is conducted by two persons named John and James, and decided by a third, bearing the authoritative name of Jerome The piece appears to have been recited before the king, and repeatedly refers to his majesty's fool, Will Somers or Summer, as illustrating the advantage of being unencumbered by either understanding or education ¹

Other early Tudor interludes

One or two other plays may be conveniently mentioned here, which, whether or not designated as 'interludes' by their authors or printers, can hardly be classed among regular comedies, and exhibit features of treatment or style connecting them with earlier species which were passing away2 A new Enterlude called Thersytes 3, which announces its purpose to be to 'declare howe that the greatest boesters are not the greatest doers,' must have been first performed in or very soon after 1537, the year of the birth of the prince who afterwards reigned as King Edward VI, for to this auspicious event the play expressly refers at its close 4 As it was printed at some date not earlier than 1561, it may have been revived under Queen Elisabeth Although in its design resembling Heywood's interludes, it differs from them both in its method of treatment, which is that of a rather childish kind of burlesque, and in its style, which is manifestly, and not altogether unsuccessfully. modelled on the Skeltonical⁵. Although the chief character bears a name taken from classical story, and there is some further display of classical learning, the fun is of the most

¹ Collier, 11 307-9 This dialogue ends in an epilogue of four stanzas which extol the king's wit, but which 'in his absens are voyde,' 1 e, to be omitted

³ A few other pieces of this class which, however, contain so large an allegorical element as to admit on the whole of being reckoned among the moralities, have been mentioned *ante*, p 142

³ Printed in Hazhtt's *Dodsley*, vol 1. Both this and the following play were published by Haslewood in 1820, with an Introduction, reprinted by Mr. Hazhtt.

Beseech ye also, that God may save his queen,

Lovely Lady Jane, and the prince that he hath sent them between.

* Cf. p. 129, ante. One of the speeches of Thersites contains a long string of onomatopoeic names, in the fashion which Ralph Roister Doister and other comedies took over from the moralities.

straightforward kind, and occasionally, as observed, borders (Thersites 'must fight with his sword on the infantile against the snail, and the snail draweth her hoins in') A new Enterlude for children to playe, named Jacke Juggler 1, on the other hand, though intended to be performed by children, is extremely gross in expression, while we must grant that its plot, or shred of a plot, is a most innocent adaptation of an original very differently treated by It is, in point of fact, taken from the later hands 2 Amphitruo of Plautus, 'without the part of Amphitruo', and resembles those 'drolls' of a later period which consist of a farcical episode taken from a play of established reputation. The hero and other personages bear typical names (Mayster Boungrace, Dame Coye, Ales trype and go, &c)8 Of a far more advanced kind is the interlude of Calisto and Meliboea 4, printed by John Rastell about 1530, with a long and edifying title 5, for which it seems ungracious to have substituted one merely conveying the origin of the piece But, in point of fact, the circumstance of this origin accounts for the relatively developed nature of both action and diction, which no occasional defects of detail can conceal We have here the earliest English version of part of the Celestina, the significance of which, although here reduced to the proportions of the action of an interlude, seems to anticipate many later developements in the history of our drama 6

¹ Printed in Hazlitt's Dodsley, vol 1

² See below as to Dryden's Amphitryon

³ 'And a maid we have at home, Alison Trip-and go, Not all London can show such other two, She simpereth, and pranketh,' &c

4 Reprinted in vol i of Hazlitt's Dodsley

5 'A new comedy in English in manner of an interlude right eligant and full of rhetoric, wherein is shewed and described as well the beauty and good properties of women, as their vices and evil conditions, with a moral conclusion and exhoriation to virtue'

⁶ Cf Klein, iv 591, in the connexion between the *Celestina* with the Italian, and indirectly with the English romantic drama. As to mere diction, I content myself with citing a passage which (quite early in the play) expresses the longing of Calisto for Meliboca.

'Oh, what woeful wight with me can compare? The thirst of sorrow is my mixed wine Which daily I drink with deep draughts of care,'

Ingelend's Disobedient Child (before 1560)

The Disobedient Child1, an interlude by Thomas Ingelend. described on the title-page as 'late student in Cambridge.' where he appears to have been a member of Christ's College. may probably be assigned to the reign of Edward VI. or even to that of Henry VIII, but it was not printed till 1560, and concludes with the praise of Queen Elisabeth I mention it here, because, though in manner belonging to the moralities, and introducing the Devil with his 'O, ho, ho, what a felowe am I,' in the old-fashioned style, it possesses a real dramatic fable, however simple, while its characters are all human types, not personified abstractions is that of a rich man's son in the city of London, who, instead of following the admonitions of his kind parent, leads a life of wantonness, and crowns his follies by an imprudent early marriage This crime brings with itself its own punishment in the shape of a shrewish wife, and the Prodigal returns repentant to his father The play straightforwardly teaches its homely lesson, and the characters (including, besides father and son and the young woman, a priest, and as comic personages, a Man Cooke and a Woman Cooke) are distinctly drawn But the whole manner of the play bespeaks the style of dramatic composition to which the age of its production was accustomed

There can be no doubt that so soon as the *Interludes* of John Heywood, and compositions more or less fesembling these in kind, had established themselves in popular favour as an accepted dramatic species, the required transition from the moralities to comedy had, to all intents and purposes, been effected. There can be no mistake whatever as to the facility with which the Interlude might have been expanded so as to fill the larger mould of comedy, indeed, as will be seen, the second in date of our extant English comedies 2 differs from such a piece as *The Four P's* merely by its larger number of characters and by its rather nearer approach to the *minimum* in the matter of plot. In the

¹ Edited by Halliwell for the Percy Society (Publications, vol. XXII) This play appears to be alluded to in Will Summer's sarcastic remarks on the prodigal child in his doublet and hose all greasy,' in Summer's Last Will and Testament

^{*} See below as to Gammer Gurton's Needle.

meantime, however, a direct influence from the outside had actually ripened the earliest fruit on the tree As a matter of fact, not only was the progress of English comedy from the first materially facilitated by examples from other modern literatures which the Renascence movement had already stimulated to efforts in this branch of literature, but its own actual beginning was due to the promptings of that movement Yet, while so much is admitted as indisputable, it would be an error to ignore either the co-operation of a free creative spirit, due to the consciousness of the national literary developement which I have attempted to trace, or the circumstance that the classical examples on which the earliest English comedy, and not a few of its successors, were immediately modelled, themselves attested the informing power of a similar influence

Plautus and Terence, with whom, like the Italian before Plautus them, our English comic dramatists were brought into direct contact by the current of the Renascence, belonged models of to a very different period of Roman literary and social life Renascence from that in which Seneca, the direct exemplar of modern tragedy, had his being 1 It is true that, like Seneca, these writers were almost entirely indebted to Gieek originals for their subjects, which they borrowed all but exclusively from the masters of the so-called New Comedy-Menander and Philemon in particular-either adapting single plays, or 'contaminating,' i. e blending into one, parts or portions of single plays But, in the first place, the Roman comoedia palliata was, properly speaking, not a literary imitation, but the Greek comic stage bodily transferred to Rome, at a time when its productions were still gratifying Greek audiences as a living and continuous growth 2 And, again, Plautus at least was so genuine a Roman that his plays without effort

and Te rence as

¹ Ante, p 190

² See K O Müller, History of the Literature of Ancient Greece English Translation), ii 63 Although Menander died as early as 291 B C, and Philemon (who had been his contemporary) in 262, yet they were followed by a younger Philemon and other comic poets, whose plays, inferior examples of the same school, amused the Greek public by the side of their own The dates of the lives of Plantus and Terence are 254-185, and 193-160 B. C. respectively.

adapted themselves to the atmosphere in which they were produced, and in manner and style presented themselves as thoroughly Roman and thoroughly popular Terence, who was born a few years before the death of Plautus, is, to be sure, as far inferior to his predecessor in comic power as he excelled him in refinement of manner and elegance of form, vet his comedies have only the possible faintest smell of the lamp, while their intrinsic attractiveness has left them in little need of changes of importance at various times and in various literatures to accompany their assumption of the garb of a modern tongue 1 Without, then. dwelling in this place either on the merits or on the shortcomings of these two poets, whose fate (for reasons perhaps of a more or less incidental kind) has certainly not been undue neglect, we may regard them as precursors whom the Italian, and afterwards the English, comic dramatists of the Renascence age might easily follow Now, the chief merits of these Latin adapters of the New Attic Comedy consisted in a deft construction of plots and in a diction at once terse and sententious Their range of characters was by no means wide, and in its selection of types illustrated the decay of contemporary Greek civilisation, rather than the still abounding vigour and solid coherence of Roman public and private life 2.

² The lines are well known in which M Manilius (Astronomica, v. 467-471) summarised these types, and paid a tribute to their literary creator

Menander as an artistic painter of real life -

'Ardentes juvenes, raptasque în amore puellas, Elusosque senes, agilesque per omnia servos, Queis in cuncta suam produxit saecula vitam Doctor in urbe sua linguae sub flore Menander, Qui vitae ostendit vitam, chartisque sacravit'

I venture on a paraphrase of the first two of these lines
'Young men in love the livelong day,
Young girls with whom they run away,
With guardians or parents old,
Of tricks the victims manifold;
And slaves for ever on the wing,
Who deftly manage everything.'

¹ The English adaptations of comedies by both these poets are, as is well known, extremely numerous, in the case of Terence this is the less astonishing, when we note the long series of English translations of his plays (See Halliwell's Dutionary, sub voc Adelphis and Eunuchus)

By the end of the first quarter of the sixteenth century, in Eng-Italian comedy had, as has been already seen, vindicated to itself an independent literary existence of its own, while the English comic drama was still, as it were, striving by its own strength to free itself from the fetters encumbering its growth Yet just as the literary parentage of our earliest English tragedy is to be sought in the tragic poetry of Seneca, so our earliest extant English comedy is a direct imitation of the comoedia palliata of the Romans, without the intervention of any Italian or other modern agency We have already met, in the case of the interlude Fack Juggler. with a plot borrowed from the Amphitruo of Plautus 1. A version of the Andria, under the title of Terens in English, was printed some time before 1530, which, although not pretending to be anything more than a translation, expressly insists on the expediency of English plays being composed in the English tongue, and moves in this direction by introducing occasional allusions to things of its own day authors (for there was more than one) must have been men of taste as well as learning, since their prologue pays a tribute, which recalls that of Bulleyn's celebrated Dialogue, to Chaucer and other illustrious English poets² A purely scholastic purpose, as I may take this opportunity of noting, was that of the English version of the Dutch scholar William Fullonius so-called 'comedy,' Acolastus, printed in 1540 by the learned John Palsgrave, who was one of the earliest professors of modern languages in England, and to the excellence of whose training witness was borne by the linguistic accomplishments of his pupil, afterwards Queen Mary. Acolastus dramatises the parable of The Produgal Son, but its purpose was more restricted than that of even the ordinary scholastic drama 3 For the translation was

¹ Ante, p 249. As early as 1520 Henry VIII had provided 'a goodly comedy of Plautus' for the entertainment of certain hostages left in this country for the payment of the indemnity agreed upon as the condition of the restoration of Tournay in the previous year; but masmuch as these strangers were Frenchmen, the play was doubtless acted in the original See Colher, 1 89

¹ Ib n 278 note

⁸ I remember a version of the same parable on the modern stage in the form of a melodrama, by the late Mr. Edward Fitzball.

The first extant English comedy

Udall's Ralph Roister Doister (1552 or 1553) arranged 'after such maner as chylderne are taught in the grammar-schole, fyrst, worde for worde, as the Latyne lyeth, and afterwarde, accordynge to the sense and meanyng of the Latin sentences,' and was accompanied by a variety of marginal 'admonitions' concerning grammar, diction, and metre ¹ A very different significance attaches to the play of which I am about to speak, although its author was likewise a schoolmaster, whom internal not less conclusively than external evidence shows to have intended this piece for performance by his pupils

Nicholas Udall, the author of Ralph Roister Doister, is known to have been head master of Eton School during He had been educated at Corpus the years 1534-41 Christi College, Oxford, where his Lutheian views had for several years delayed his pioceeding to the MA degree. Already previously to that period, his literary pretensions must have been well known, or he would not have been associated with his Oxford contemporary John Leland in the composition of a pageant designed to celebrate the entry into London, after her marriage, of the new Queen Anne Boleyn². In the following year he published a Latin anthology, which included three comedies of Terence. It would be futile to discuss the scandals connected with his dismissal from Eton, followed by his consignment to the Marshalsea prison He seems afterwards to have been for a time vicar of Braintree in Essex His most important literary production, the Translation of Eiasmus' Paraphrase of St Luke, was dedicated to Henry VIII's last, and Protestant, Queen; but in the tripartite Introduction to the Gospels, published by him under Edward VI, he found occasion to pay a feivid tribute of praise to the Princess Mary, who had in her turn translated the Paraphrase of the Gospel of St. John. Our literary history, as has been already seen in the case of John Heywood, shows how our

* See Collier, u. 353, for an extract from this pageant, offering to Queen Anne the same hyperbolical tribute as that paid to her daughter Queen

Eleabeth at the close of The Arrangement of Paris.

See the elaborate title of this curious publication in Halliwell's Dictionary of Old English Plays Palsgrave's treatise, L'Esclairassement de la langue Française, was printed by Pynson in 1530 (Warton, iv 335).

Tudor sovereigns at times rewarded attachment to their family without too close a personal enquity, and Udall seems to have known how to please the whole dynasty in Under Edward VI he was presented to a prebendary's stall at Windsor, and to a rectory (Calbourne) in the Isle of Wight Under Mary he is officially named as one of the Oueen's purveyors of dramatic entertainments, who set forth in her presence both dialogues and interludes, so that the tragoedia de Papatu, which Bale in 1548 notes him as having composed, must have been overlooked in consideration of his dramaturgic capabilities, as well as of his scholarly reputation In 1555, or possibly even a year or two earlier, he was headmaster of Westminster School, and at Westminster he died in 1556 His play called Ezechias in English, doubtless founded upon 2 Kings xviii-xx, which was performed before Queen Elisabeth at King's College, Cambridge, on her visit to the University in 1564, was therefore a posthumous work 1

The supposition, generally entertained, that Udall wrote Ralph Rosser Doister for performance by the Eton boys during his tenure of the headmastership of this school, would fix the date of our carliest English comedy between the years 1534 and 1541² This supposition derives colour, not from the accidental fact that the single old copy of the play extant was in 1818 presented to Eton College Library, but from the explicit statement, cited by Waiton from the Eton Consuctudinary drawn up about the year 1560, that in addition to the best and most suitable plays being publicly acted by the Eton boys in the Christmas holidays, plays written in English were occasionally exhibited by them, when any were to be found of sufficient wit and attractiveness³. But recent researches have established on

¹ As to Exechas, see Colher, 1 183. For the data of Udall's life, see W D Cooper's Introductory Memoir, u. s, and cf. Warton, 111 308 et al, and Professor J W Hales, The Date of the First English Comedy, 11 Englishe Studien (1893) 'Nicolas Yevedall' was registered as buried in St Margaret's parish, on December 23, 1556.

² It was some time before 1543 that Thomas Tusser, the author of Five Hundreth Pointes of Good Husbandne, was at Eton, and received from Udall the flogging of fifty-three stripes recorded by him in the Author's Life See Warton, iv. 222,

² Warton, iv. 308

direct evidence of a very striking character the extreme probability that the play was written in 1552 or 1553, in which case there is every likelihood that it was composed by Udall for performance at Westminster School, either during or just before his tenure of the headmastership there, which may have commenced as early as the latter of the two above-mentioned years ¹ And it has at the same time been shown to be even less open to dispute that the comedy cannot have been written before 1546, inasmuch as it contains a number of more or less unmistakeable coincidences with John Heywood's Proverbs, which were published in that year ² The result seems to be that the date of our earliest English comedy falls at least eleven years later than has hitherto been assumed, and therefore in closer proximity to those of its next successors

Ralph Rosser Dosser⁸ is an adaptation of the Miles Gloriosus of Plautus, itself in all probability an adaptation from Menander, from whose Colax Terence, in his Eunuchus, boriowed the figures of Thiaso the soldier and Gnatho the parasite⁴ But although both Plautus and Terence are duly mentioned in the prologue, the scene of the action is laid in London, and the characters were doubtless both intended and represented as types of contemporary manners. Thus, though both the literary origin of the play, and the 'mirth with modesty' which it preserves through all its rollicking

¹ See Hales, u s The third edition of the Rule of Reason, by Thomas Wilson, an old pupil of Udall's, published in 1553 (or, though less probably, in 1554), makes use of Ralph Roister Doister's celebrated mispunctuated love-letter to Mistress Custance for the purpose of illustrating 'antiquity' The first and second editions of the same book (1550-1 and 1552) do not contain this reference. The obvious conclusion is strengthened by the possibility of an allusion in the play to another of Wilson's works, the Art of Rhetoric, of which Udall, who contributed commendatory verses to it, certainly knew in 1553, the year of its publication

I do not refer to Professor Hales' additional argument from the dates of the Usury Acts (1546 or 1552), which appears to mc less convincing Taken as a whole, his demonstration is irresistible.

^{*} Printed by F Marshall, 1821, edited for the (old) Shakespeare Society, with Introductory Memoir, by W D Cooper, 1847, again reprinted in Arber's English Reprints, 1869, and in vol. iv of Hazlit's Dodsley.

^{*}The notion of professional military arrogance is better suited to the Macedonian period of Greek than to any age of Roman history before the Civil Wars.

fun, mark it as an example of the scholastic drama, intended for the delectation of a special kind of audience, it is to all intents and purposes a popular play, resting its effects broadly and directly upon its genuine comic qualities

The names of the dramatis personae are onomatopoeic, 1 e they are made to suit the characters, after a fashion of which we have already met with abundant examples hero's name, which recurs in a morality of rather later date 1 and elsewhere, signifies swaggerer, and the type 2 became a standing one on the stages of most modern He is a vain-glorious, cowardly blockhead, of whom the Pyrgopolinices of the Latin comedy is the precise prototype Matthew Merygreeke (who opens the play with an account of his skill in the art of living at the expense of somebody else, into which he introduces a whole gallery of alliterative shadows 3) is the Artotrogos or Loafer of Plautus, the standing figure of the parasite in Greek New Comedy and its Latin reproductions. His name was, or became, proverbial for proficiency in the kind of talk which is the stock-in-tiade of such hangers-on 4 Besides these, there are Gawyn Goodluck, Tristram Trusty, Dobinet Doughty, Harpax, Truepenny, Sim Suresby, Dame Christian Custance (Constance), the heroine—too pretty a name for

1 Ulpian Fulwel's Like will to Like (1568) Cf ante

2 Nares quotes from the Mirror for Magistrates

'In peace, at home they swear, stare, foist, roist, fight and jar.' Cf the French rustre

Sometime with this good man, sometime in that place,
Sometime Lewis Loytrer biddeth me come near,
Sometime Watkin Waster maketh us good cheer,
Sometime Davy Diceplayer when he hath well cast
Maketh revel rout, as long as it will last,
Sometime Tom Titivile maketh us a feast,
Sometime with Sir Hugh Pye I am a bidden guest,
Sometime at Nickol Neverthrive's I get a sop;
Sometime I am feasted with Bryan Blinkinsoppe,
Sometime I hang on Hankin Hoddydodie's sleeve,
But this day on Ralph Royster Doyster's, by his leave,' &c

I have quoted the passage, in order to show once again how near our early comedies are in manner to the later morahhes.

^{*} Dick Litchfield, the Trinity barber, to whom Nashe dedicates his entertaining tractate, *Haue with you to Saffron Walden*, is there described as 'a rare ingenuous old merry Greeke' (Nashe's Works, ed. Grosart, in 47).

the swaggering Ralph to hang his erotics on 1-and the less attractive trio of Madge Mumblecheek, Tibet Talkapace. and Annot Alyface The dialogue carried on by these worthies is vigorous in texture and interlarded with an unconscionable number of strange oaths, but, in accordance with the author's promise, free from a worse kind of indecency The lyrics, too, for which this early comedy, as well as Gammer Gurton's Needle, freely found room, thereby setting an example of some importance to the later drama. although they cannot lay claim to elegance, are harmless in The construction of the plot is both ingenious and clear, and the device, already noticed, of the letter which, through the parasite's false interpunctuation, conveys to the herome the directly opposite meaning to that which his master intended it to bear, is amusing enough, even though the trick may slightly smack of the schoolroom 2 A bit of broader fun, and one that doubtless commended itself highly to the Westminster actors, is the free fight between the men and the women³ At the end, all the characters unite in a 'tag' in honour of Queen Elisabeth, not forgetting to dwell upon her royal task of protecting the Gospel, this, however, must in any case have been a later addition

A comparison between this comedy, written by a school-master for schoolboys, and its first known successor, will show that, like Gorboduc, when compared with Aprus and Virginia or Cambises, Ralph Roister Doister has already with true academical freedom cast off certain of the traditions still slavishly obeyed by the writers of plays designed to win the favour of an ordinary audience. This implies a testimony to the liberating spirit of the Renascence, in

Christian Custance have I found, Christian Custance have I found; A widow with a thousand pound! I maun be married a Sunday'

² The same humourous notion constitutes the fun of the Prologue to the Tradesmen's Play in A Midsummer Night's Dream, the speaker of which does not 'stand upon points, rides his prologue like a rough colt, and minds not the stops,' thereby effectually mangling the meaning of his text, 'nothing impaired, but all disordered'

^{*}Rapp (Englisches Theater, p 126) has pointed out the resemblance of this episode to an infinitely funny (and also infinitely coarse) passage in Aristophanes Legistrata.

a quarter where at first sight the operation of its influence might have not been expected to go beyond the mere imitation of ancient models. In literary as in historical movements, the school or the academy not unfrequently anticipates the market-place, their habitual failing lies in too close an adherence to their own first estimate of the required measure of reform, in their unresponsiveness, in other words to the ever-fresh demands of life

Misogonus, which a singularly convincing piece of internal Misogonus evidence proves to have been written as early as 1560 ¹, (1560) although the date of the MS in which it is preserved to us falls as late as 1577, may, in the opinion of a high authority ², claim to rank as our earliest English comedy. It must, however, be later in date of composition than Ralph Roister Doister, even on the hypothesis adopted above, while (which is of more real importance) it contains a more considerable admixture of the manner of the moralities, so far as can be judged from the copious extracts of the play printed by Collier ³. Rather pedantically introduced by a prologue spoken by an actor in the character of Homer, the action of the play is simple, and the versification ordinarily in long four-line stanzas. Among the characters, which bear Greek or Latin names indicative of their

'Small wages I will aske,
A cap only once bith' yeare,
And some prety cullerd geare,
And drink whense'er I wull,
And eat my belly full'

qualities, the most notable is the Vice of the play, who describes himself in a long speech, in Skeltonical verse, as

a domestic fool out of place

His ordinary name is *Cacurgus*, but in allusion to King Henry VIII's jester he is by himself and others frequently called 'Will Summer'.' While there seems no reason for

¹ A reference to the 'rising rection i' the north,' as having occurred twenty-four years before the date of the play The allusion must be to the Pilgrimage of Grace (1536)

Fleay, History of the Stage, 1 58
5 11 369 seqq
6 'Ha, ha! now will I goe playe Will Sommer agayne,
And seme as yene a gose as I was before'

assigning the authorship of this play to one Thomas Rychardes, who wrote the prologue, I cannot think that a sufficient case is made out by Mr Fleay for assigning it to Richard Edwardes, or for supposing that a polemical intention connects Misogonus with the play designated as the first English comedy 1. For the rest, inasmuch as the scene of Misogonus is laid in Italy, and the name of Laurentius Bariona [sic] is mentioned on the titlepage, this piece may be based on some Italian work or drama. It is, however, written in a bitterly anti-Papal spirit

Still's (1) Gammer Gurton's Needle (pr 1575)

Gammer Gurton's Needle 2, long regarded as the earliest of all English comedies, was printed in 1575, with a statement that it had been acted 'not long ago in Christ's College, Cambridge' Its authorship is attributed, on evidence which cannot be deemed quite conclusive, to Dr. John Still, a scholar and ecclesiastic of some distinction⁸ He was in turn Lady Margaret Professor of Divinity (succeeding Cartwright, to whose tenets his own were directly opposed), and Master of St John's and Trinity Colleges, Cambridge, and died as Bishop of Bath and Wells in 1607. A rare charm appears to have attached to his personality, as one combining moral force with intellectual culture 4 He was in any case a remarkable man, belonging to a phase of the English Reformation and Renascence distinct from Udall's, with whose name his own is brought into so close a contact in the history of our drama. The

² Printed in Dodsley's Old Plays, vol 11, and in vol 1V of Hazlitt's Dodsley

Of 'Divine Still' there is a sympathetic biographical sketch in A Brief View of the State of the Church of England, by Sir John Harington, whom Still examined for his BA degree See Nugae Antiquae, ed Park, ii 157 seqq. Cf. as to the facts of his career, Mullinger, History of the University of Cambridge, it, 264-267.

¹ Cf ante, p 211, note 2

^{*} See Fleay, English Drama, 11 253, 254, as to the doubtfulness of the claim From a passage in Martin Marprelate's Epistle (1588), it would appear that Dr Bridges, Dean of Salisbury, the author of The Defence of Church Government, attacked in that celebrated libel, had been supposed to be the author of this play But M M holds that the internal evidence of 'some witte and invention' in it disproves the supposition. See Epistle, p 13 (Puritan Tracts edition, 1843), and of an allusion in the Epitone, p 55

performance of Latin plays, both tragedies and comedies, had become frequent in the English Universities by the time of the production of Gammer Gurton's Needle in a Cambridge college hall, and it is curious, though not in any way contradictory to the supposition of authorship of an English academical play seventeen years before, that during Dr Still's Vice-Chancellorship in 1592, he headed a supplication to the Queen, requesting that a Christmas play ordered by Her Majesty from Cambridge, in consequence of the Plague having rendered impossible a performance by her own actors, should be in Latin, as 'more beseeming the students,' there being, moreover, no English plays at hand ¹

The chief difference between Ralph Roister Doister and Gammer Gurton's Needle, which undoubtedly marks an advance on the part of the latter play, lies in the fact that its plot is so far as we know of original invention. It is, to be sure, not only slighter than that of the adapted comedy, but on the whole childish in its general texture. At the same time the central notion of basing the action on the fortunes of an manimate piece of goods is felicitous in conception, and not without noteworthy analogues in later dramatic literature 2 In other respects Gammer Gurton's Needle compares by no means favourably with its predecessor. Its plot is slighter, and its language coarser, than those of the earlier play. All the characters, gaffer and gammer, pilest and justice, talk in the same unelevated The parson is particularly wanting in refinement, and is treated with the most undisguised contempt both by characters and by author. Diccon (2.e Richard) is the evil genius of the action, whose machinations create every

¹ Collier, i 284 The excuse appears to have been ungraciously received, and a *posse* (or *non-posse*) of Cambridge students was ordered to Oxford, to witness the superior facilities of the sister university.

² Two occur to me in German the charming rustic comedy of *Der zerbrochene Krug*, by that true dramatic genius, Heinrich von Kleist, and Platen's Aristophanic burlesque on the Destiny-tragedies, *Die verhangniss-volle Gabel* V Sardou's capital comedy, so well known to English audiences through its adaptation, *A Scrap of Paper*, partakes of the same character, to the Chinese judicial dramas of the type of *The Speaking Platter* (Klein, in 478, 479) it may be well to refer with more diffidence

successive complication, but who in the end is subjected to a merely mock penalty. He is of course merely the Vice of the old moralities slightly modified. The diction, which is held to be in the Midland dialect, seems more antiquated than that of Ralph Rosster Dorster. The touches of humour are only occasional 1, and it has been not unjustly remarked, that the song in praise of ale, which is still occasionally heard in convivial spheres ('Back and syde go bare, go bare,' &c), is the best thing in the play. It is, however, merely an adaptation of an older original 2.

Gascoigne's Supposes (acted 1566) The scene of *Misogonus*, as we saw, was laid in Italy, and there are other indications that the story of this play was of Italian derivation. That the English comic stage was beginning, like the tragic, to turn its attention in this direction, is however proved with certainty by George Gascoigne's *Supposes*⁸ (acted at Gray's Inn in the same year as his *Iocasta*, 1566). This comedy is a translation of *I Suppositi* of Ariosto, acted in 1519 4. The literary genius of the author of the *Steele-glasse*, one of our most effective didactic satires, was well employed in reproducing, in flowing and facile English prose, the liquid iambics, with a dactyl at the end of the line, of his Italian original. Gascoigne's cleverness as a translator is manifest already from the Prologue or Argument, which plays with graceful lightness on the title of the comedy 5. Its fable is a very

 1 E g in Hodge's account to the vicar of the grievance of the lost needle, where, after the manner of the uneducated of all times, he cannot bring out a single clause without the support of an expletive

'My Gammer Gurton here, see now,
Sat her down at the door, see now,
And as she began to slisher, see now.
Her needle fell on the floor, see now,
And while her staff she took, see now,
At Tyb her cat to fling, see now,
Her needle was lost in the floor, see now,
Is not this a wondrous thing, see now'

^{*} See Warton, IV 159

^{*} Printed in Hawkins's Origin of the English Drama, vol 111.

^{*.} For a notice of the performance of I Supposit at Rome, see Gregorovius, Gaschickte der Stadt Rom im Mittelalter, viii. 350.

^{*} I suppose you are assembled here, supposing to reap the fruit of my travells; and, to be plain, I mean presently to present you with a comedy,

ingenious combination of Terence and Plautus, and suggested to Shakspere part of the plot of his Taming of the Shrew, as well as (possibly) the name Petruchio.

Italian plays and novels were now largely resorted to by Other early the writers of English comedies, in his School of Abuse Gosson mentions Captain Mario as a 'cast of Italian de- Classical, vises', and in the list of plays acted at Court from 1568 to 1580 we recognise the influence of Italian reading subjects were however also treated—the History of the Collier is of course a dramatic representation of the famous Croydon worthy¹, and the hero of Tooley (1576) was possibly the player of that name At the same time English writers continued to resort directly to Classical sources A Historie of Error, which may have been the foundation of Shakspere's Comedy of Errors, was acted at Court in 1577, and was possibly, like the Shaksperean piece, founded on that Plautine comedy, the Menaechmi, which has produced so endless a crop of imitations². In 1505 was printed the Menaechmi taken out of Plautus, by 'W.W' (at one time supposed to have been William Warner), who states that it was by him 'chosen purposely from out the rest, as least harmefull, and yet most delightfull', while in the pievious year was printed that old

comedies on Italian. and native subjects

called Supposes, the very name whereof may, peradventure, drive into every of your heads a sundry suppose, to suppose the meaning of our supposes,' Cf Klein, iv 326 segg, for an analysis of Ariosto's play As to Gascoigne's strange and by no means wholly reputable personal and literary career, see Fleay, English Drama, 1. 237 segg

Possibly this was Ulpian Fulwell's morality (Ante, p 134) The extant play of Grim the Collier of Croydon is stated to have been printed under the name of The Devil and his Dame in 1600, and is assigned by Fleay, English Drama, 1 273, to William Haughton. It was probably written at an earlier date, subsequently, however, to the publication of the Faere Queen See Hazlitt's Dodsley, vol vili

² The Menaechmi of Plautus is itself from a Greek original, of which the title was doubtless Aidupor, like that of all Greek comedies turning on the deceptive likeness of twins Plays of this name by not less than six authors are actually mentioned. The variations of the same idea in both ancient and modern plays are too numerous for mention. See Brix, Emlatung su ausgw Komodien des Plautus, Bd. m He considers that the author of the play unitated by Plautus was not Epicharmus, as used to be supposed, but Posidippus; Teuffel, however (History of Roman Literature, Engl tr., i. 120), holds that this conjecture is likewise extremely doubtful.

Taming of the Shrew, of which the main action was in some way derived from a novel of Strapaiola (1550), and which was, with altered names and scenes (for it plays at Athens), at a doubtless early period of his career adapted by Shakspere ¹. The beginnings of romantic comedy were foreshadowed by such a play as The Rare Triumphs of Love and Fortune (printed in 1589), a court entertainment presented before Queen Elisabeth, and consisting of a mythological Induction and an action apparently founded on some Italian tale, but to this play I shall immediately have special occasion for returning A mere reference to these examples of the variously derived themes of our early English comedies must suffice for our present purpose

Thus easy and natural had, with the animating aid of Classical and Italian models, proved the transition from the moralities to comedy in England Flexible by its nature, this branch of dramatic literature sprang into vigorous and varied activity almost immediately after it had been called into being; and in reviewing its further progress we shall find one of our chief difficulties in having to select out of a superabundance of productivity those authors and works that possess a distinctive significance.

Summary of the beginnings of English Tragedy Henceforth then, in treating of the progress of our dramatic literature, I shall endeavour to confine my remarks to works of literary mark or special historical interest. In the present chapter I have sought to trace the beginnings of the regular English drama in its two species through their connexion with earlier forms of native dramatic composition, and with Classical and Italian models. TRAGEDY was derived from the mysteries and moralities through the transitional phase of the chronicle histories, with the immediate aid of the examples of Seneca, and secondarily of his Italian imitators. Italian romance, but not this exclusively, suggested a wider variety of stibjects, of a cast dealing by preference with horrible and exciting events. These subjects were partly historical and political, partly

Hoth these old comedies are printed in vol i. of the Six Old Plays published by J. Nichols in 1779 See below as to the sources of the Shaksperean plays.

domestic, and both kinds were seized upon by our early tragic dramatists But our national history likewise continued to furnish subjects, and the Chronicle History remained a favourite species of dramatic composition. COMEDY sprang more easily from the moralities through and the transitional phase of the interludes, by the direct impulse of the examples of Plautus and Terence, and secondarily of the Italian comic dramatists The association of marked characters, often of a typical kind, with complicated and interesting plots, which these dramatists loved, pointed in the direction of comedies of incident as well as of comedies The mixture of tragic with comic motives of character produced Tragicomedy, of which the Spanish as well as the Italian theatre furnished some contemporary examples. and the precedent of the Italian pastoral drama encouraged the introduction of figures and stories from Classical mythology The vivacities of the commedia dell' arte and of the masked comedy suggested to our English writers many hints, but it was in the literature of regular Italian comedy that they continued to find the most numerous examples for direct imitation

Under these more immediate influences opened, in the The period third decade of Elisabeth's reign, the great age of English opening the The period was in almost every of our dramatic literature respect a momentous epoch in the history of the nation. The die had been cast in the great struggle between Spain and Rome on the one side and the Protestant North on the England had assumed her position in the van, and aspect the hesitating hands of Elisabeth had at last thrown away the scabbard. Her people felt more distinctly than herself the necessity for a full and sustained effort: and fortune crowned the national hopes by the dissipation of the Spanish Armada, by the gradually established success (to which England's direct aid had contributed little or nothing) of the revolt of the Netherlands, and by the overthrow of the cause of the Catholic League, and of the ascendancy of the Spanish party, in France.

great age liter ature under its general historical

It was in the period of Elisabeth's reign which may be Our literaconsidered to date from the execution of Mary Queen of ture be-

thoroughly national Scots (1587) and the destruction of the Spanish Armada (1588), that Elisabethan literature accomplished its great works, and testified to the greatness of the age which produced it. Still subject to the influence of the Classical Renascence, and pursuing with increasing rather than abated ardour the study of foreign, especially Italian, models, our literature became thoroughly national as it became really great. Spenser is at once one of the most scholarly and one of the most English of our poets. Neither the pedantic influence of such a friend as Gabriel Harvey, nor the antiquated tastes of such a patroness as Queen Elisabeth, could prevent his mighty muse from identifying herself with the genius of an aspiring nation.

In every direction literature was contending for the smiles of loyal favour which typified the acquisition of national popularity. The seminaries of learning and the homes of law were full of literary adventurers, the success of whose efforts made them national poets, just as the achievements of the sea-rovers of Devon made them national heroes. Often, as in the case of Ralegh, the double venture was made by the same person. And the born favourites of fortune were as eager in the strife as those whose ambition prompted them to become the authors of their own greatness. The tears of the Muses bedewed the laurels which Sidney had gained by a hero's death

The dignity of the drama begins to be recognised At such a time genius, if it turned its creative powers in the direction of the stage, could hardly fail to make that vehicle serve the highest purposes which it is capable of fulfilling. Hitherto, diamatic entertainments had been mainly regarded as the toys of an hour, suited to beguile the everlasting tedium of fashionable amusements, or to

¹ The union of these characteristics is already perceptible in the Shepheard's Calender, with the publication of which in 1579 the great Elisabethan age of our literature may be fairly said to begin. Ten years later Spenser presented to the Queen the first three books of his master-piece, a poem equally national in spirit and in colouring Coleridge has admirably illustrated this latter characteristic. Sidney's Arcada was written in 1580-1; Warner's Albion's England was published in 1586, Daniel began his original literary career in 1592, Drayton in 1591, Davies in 1596. With the above dates coincide those of the earliest of Ralegh's literary labours, and that of Huoker's great work, the noblest monument of Elisabethan prose.

stimulate the passing curiosity of the multitude. The dramatic performances at Court, and more especially during the progresses of the Queen, in the houses of the nobility, were mere appendages of other entertainments, the London playhouses were the resort of idlers, and in general of the least sober-minded elements of the population. The civic authorities looked with dislike upon the drama, a grave clergyman, such as Northbrooke, condemned it together with dicing, dancing, and 'other idle pastimes', a repentant play-writer, such as Gosson, hurled against it all the epithets of righteous abuse

Yet it was inevitable that, as the royal sanction continued to favour the production of dramatic entertainments-and Elisabeth's love of stage-plays was, like that of all born 'patrons of the drama,' in a word insatiable-and as the establishment of permanent theatres encouraged the growth of experience in their public, a connexion should establish itself between the drama and the highest aims of contemporary literature The fact that the study of Classical and Italian dramatists had induced writers so talented as were Sackville and Gascoigne to compose English plays. was in itself full of promise for the growth of a dramatic literature which should be entitled to take an equal place by the side of the branches of literary composition holding an acknowledged place in the national literature. Those reflecting minds which were beginning to survey critically, by means more especially of systematic comparison, the entire field of poetic literature, whether as cultivated at large in the past, or at home in more recent times, were not blind to the claims of its dramatic branch Sir Philip Sidney, in his Apology for Poetry (written about 1583), upholds the cause of Comedy and Tragedy, together with that of other species of poetry He allows that 'naughty play-makers and stage-keepers' have 'justly made odious' the Comic; but, taking his examples from the Latin diama, he insists upon the irresistible force of the comic poet's art. Still less will he consent to a depreciation of Tragedy, for 'it were too absurd to cast out so excellent a representation of whatsoever is most worthy to be

learned 1' George Puttenham, in his Arte of English Poesse (written about 1585, published in 1589), not only discusses the objects of Comedy and Tragedy at length, but in his enumeration of those 'who in any age have bene the most commended writers in our English tongue,' gives it as his 'censure' that 'for Tragedie, the Lord of Buckhurst, and Maister Edward Ferrys for such doings as' he has 'sene of theirs do deserue the hyest price Th' Earle of Oxford and Maister Edwardes of her Maiesties Chappell for Comedy and Enterlude²' William Webbe, in a work of a rather earlier date (A Discourse of English Poesie, 1584), confesses that 'the profitte or discommoditie which aryseth by the vse of tragedies and comedies, hath beene long in continuersie, and is sore viged among vs at these dayes 3,' but himself discusses the drama at length as an advocate of its claims

That the stage should soon throw itself with eagerness into the political and religious agitations of the times, was unavoidable, and in the earliest day of its flower we shall find it at once the instrument and the subject of ardent and bitter controversy. But it was not herein or hereby that lay its path to greatness. The one thing needed was that literary genius should apply itself to this form of literary composition. Every stimulus and theoretical as well as practical encouragement combined to bring about this result. The great opportunity was therefore consciously seized; and it is no mere phrase to say, that in seizing it our first great Elisabethan dramatists addressed themselves to a national task, as men understanding their age, its signs, and its needs.

Literary genius devotes itself to the drama,

Had it been otherwise, had the creative activity of Elisabethan genius failed to find in dramatic composition its

¹ Sir P Sidney's Works (1724), vol. iii pp 25-27 Some reference will be made below to Sidney's own high-spirited masque, The Lady of May, presented before Queen Elisabeth in 1578.

³ Bk. I, chaps xiv and xxxi.

^{*} P. 30 in vol u. of Haslewood's Ancient Critical Essays upon English Posts and Posts, in which collection Puttenham's treatise is also printed. The quotations made above refer solely to works written before plays of high literary merit had been produced.

most attractive and its most appropriate sphere, our literature would have been shorn of its most splendid and its most peculiar growth. At the same time, the incomparable resources of our language would never have had to meet so exacting, because so varied, a series of demands. Lastly, our national history and national life would have missed their most faithful, most complete, and most effective interpretation. Both in the judgment and in the sentiment of subsequent generations, the great Elisabethan age would have remained, so to speak, isolated from its predecessors and its successors, had not its dramatic literature, with a vividness beyond the reach of any other literary form, held up to itself the mirror of the past, and transmitted its own picture of itself to posterity

What, then, the genius of the Elisabethan age accomplished in dramatic literature, before the consummation of its glories was achieved in the works of its master-mind, I shall seek to indicate in my Third Chapter.

CHAPTER III.

SHAKSPERE'S PREDECESSORS

John Lyly (1554– 1606) In the group of diamatists of whom I propose to treat under the title of 'Shakspere's Predecessors,' the first place in order of chronology belongs to John Lyly¹. The relation in time between the dates of his life and Shakspere's illustrates the inaccuracy, in one sense, of the title in question, on the other hand, the nature of the work of no other dramatist more strikingly justifies the aptness, in a wider sense, of the present application of the term Although he was connected personally with at least one of the dramatists to be subsequently noticed in this chapter, and exercised a marked influence upon the literary growth of all these predecessors of Shakspere, as well as on that of

¹ The Dramatic Works of John Lilly With notes and some account of his Life and Writings By F W Fairholt a vols 1858 This edition includes. besides the plays printed in the first collected edition of Lyly's dramatic works, the Sixe Court Comedies, published by Edward Blount in 1632, The Woman in the Moone, and Love's Metamorphosis - See also Collier's chapter. 111 I seqq, On John Lyly and his Works, and Fleay, English Drama, 11, 36 segq, and cf J A Symonds' Shakspere's Predecessors, chap xiii, and, as to the stylistic qualities of Lyly's comedies, the two essays by C C Hense on John Lilly and Shakespeare in the Jahrbuch der deutschen Shakespeare-Gesellschaft, vols vii and viii (1872 and 1873), and the very careful treatise, John Lyly and Euphusm, by Clarence Griffin Child, Munchener Bairage, &c. Erlangen and Leipzig, 1894 References to Lyly's plays will of course be found in most of the other literature concerning Euphuism, and in the articles on him by Mrs Humphry Ward in vol xvc of the ninth edition of the Encyclopaedia Britannica, and by Mr Sidney Lee in vol xxxiv of the Dictionary of National Biography The most recent essay on Lyly, in the Quarterly Review, No 365, January 1896, devotes special attention to his plays.—The Biographical Introduction in Mr G. P Baker's edition of Endymion (New York, 1894) contains much that is of value concerning Lyly's dramatic works at large and their connexion with his literary labours in general and with his personal career

Shakspere himself, yet Lyly occupies in the history of our literature a position apart from the rest of our dramatists, and is more easily at all events than any of his contemporaries in this branch of composition distinguishable by characteristics of his own

John Lyly (whose name it seems preferable to write as His life he seems to have written it himself) was born in the Weald of Kent 1 in the year 1553 or 1554, of well-to-do parents. He passed, not without interruptions, through an undergraduate course at Magdalen College, Oxford 2, but having in vain sought to obtain a Fellowship there by asking for letters of commendation from Lord Burghley 3, he seems to have continued his studies at Cambridge, and at all events ultimately, like his follower Robert Greene, became utrusque Academiae in Artibus magister Some time before 1578 he went up to London to try his fortune at Court, where he seems in some fashion to have entered the Queen's service in connexion with the Revels, and where he was patronised by Burghley's son-in-law, the Eail of Oxford. His literary reputation was established with extraordinary

¹ This and other biographical data are derived from the tale of Fidus in Euphues and his England Elsewhere in the same work the Kentish men are described as 'most civilest,' and the whole county as differing 'not greatly from the maner of France'

Which house,' says Anthony a Wood, as if inspired by his subject, 'was seldom or never without a Lilye (understand me, not that it bears three lilyes for its arms) from the first foundation thereof to the latter end of Queen Elizabeth'—From a passage in the address To my vere good friends the Gentlemen Schollers of Oxford prefixed to Euphues it has been concluded that Lyly was rusticated for three years soon after entering into residence at Magdalen If this were so, how could he, having entered in 1569, have taken his B A. degree in April 1573? Possibly, in accordance with the suggestion of Mr. Baker (Introduction, p xii), the plague of 1571, which relegated both tutors and scholars from Oxford into the country, may have something to do with the matter

³ In Lyly's letter to the Lord Treasurer, which is printed by Fairholt in his Introduction, pp xii-xiv, the petitioner prays, 'it tua celistudo dignetur seremissimae regiae majestati literas (it minus latine dicam) mandatorias extorquere, it ad Magdaleness deferantur quo in corum societatem te duce possim obrepere' Burghley, who had evidently shown some previous kindness to Lyly, seems to have taken notice of him at a subsequent date, and to have given him some employment, but the Fellows of Magdalen either were not approached, or proved as inflexible as they did on a later occasion, more famous in English history.

rapidity by the work which he published in the winter 1578-9, the famous Euphues, the Anatomy of Wit continuation, Euphues and his England, in which academical satire is superseded by courtly flattery, followed in 1580 Not less than five editions of the original Euphues were printed during the seven years ensuing upon its issue, but neither the popularity so speedily achieved by Lyly among a public which had its centre in the Court, nor the series of comedies produced by him for its delectation from about the time of the publication of Euphues onwards, obtained for him the office—the Mastership of the Revels—on which, sooner or later, his heart had become set It is conceivable that, as has been conjectured by the latest editor of his comedy of Endimion, that by identifying himself in that play with Leicester's interest, he had as early as 1580 become attached to the service of the Queen, in which capacity he presents himself in a petition to her probably belonging to the year 1585. Both this letter, and another to the same address written in 1588, testify to his disappointment in missing the desired meed of his multifarious labours As vice-master of the St. Paul's and Savoy companies of children players, he toiled both in the teaching of histrionics, and possibly in the minor duties of custodian of properties and censor of copy, while all the time he was undergoing that experience of Court-service and Courtsuitorship to the tediousness of which the paisimony of the Virgin Queen imparted so exceptionally bitter a flavour. It may very conceivably have been a delight to him to take part in the Marprelate controversy, to which further reference will be made below, and in which, apart from its general bearings upon the relations between the stage and its adversaries, his personal quarrel with his former friend Gabriel Harvey must have made him eager to break a lance. He was, it can hardly be doubted 1, the author, possibly in conjunction with Thomas Nashe, of the anonymous pamphlet Pappe with a Hatchet, alsas this and that, directed against Harvey (probably in 1589), who had offended Lyly's patron the Earl of Oxford, and who may have been in some way

¹ See Baker, u. s., pp. cxxxvii sagg

connected with his dismissal from that nobleman's service or favoui 1 Harvey's reply was in its turn answered by Nashe², who took the opportunity of paying a high compliment to his friend Lyly's literary ability (and incidentally to his power of taking tobacco), and who promised a retaliation from his pen This, however, was so fai as is known never attempted In 1589 Lyly became a member of Pailiament, where he represented three different constituencies in succession³, but notwithstanding these services and his literary reputation, to which his contemporaries whether friendly or adverse to it abundantly testify 4, he obtained no satisfactory mark of the 10val favour, and the Mastership of the Revels continued to elude his grasp Two doleful letters addressed by him to the Queen, about 1500 and 1503 respectively, 1 emain as records of his heartsickness at hopes deferred, in the second of these he begs permission to dedicate to Her Majesty Lillie de Tristibus, and adds a petition that if born to have nothing, he might have a protection to pay nothing, 'which suite is like his, that having followed the Court ten years for recompence of his seruis committed a Robberie and tooke it out in a pardon' The statement of Edward Blount, the publisher of the first collected edition of his plays, that some kind of reward was granted to him by the Oueen, has been thought to account for his having settled in his later years in the parish of St Baitholomew the Less, where three children

¹ See Introduction to Plaine Percevall, p x, Puritan Discipline Tracts, 1860 The tract forms part of this collection. The meaning of its title (a proverbial expression signifying, in Fairholt's words, 'the roughest mode of doing a necessary service') is well illustrated by a passage in Lyly's comedy Mother Bombie, act 1 sc 3.—His authorship of An Almonde for a Parrott appears to be more than doubtful

² In the tract Have with you to Saffron Walden

³ Viz Hindon (in Wiltshire), Aylesbury, Appleby, and again Aylesbury. The identification of the dramatist with the John Lyly who represented these places is, of course, not a matter of absolute certainty.

^{*} Among his encomiasts are William Webbe, in his Discourse of English Poetrie (1586), and Francis Meres, in his Wit's Treasury (1598) According to Harvey, in his Pierce's Supererogation (1593), 'Euphues similes' were among other literary favourities of the day, 'too well known to go unknown' The most charming tribute came in the next generation from Ben Jonson.

^{&#}x27;And tell, how far thou didst our Lilly outshine'

were born to him¹, but he had his erratic holidays², and is said to have hovered about the Court even in the decline of his days, although the tradition that Shakspere portrayed him as a genial old lord admitted by his sovereign to intimate converse and comment, strikes me as intrinsically absurd³ He died, according to the evidence of the register of St. Bartholomew the Less, in November, 1606

Euphues and Euphusm.

Euphues—to speak of the two books which included that name in their titles as of a single work although in matter and treatment each has a character of its own-was the delight of its own age, and suggested the designation of a distinct style or manner in English prose composition. Even more largely and enduringly than is the usual fate of specific fashions, whether in letters or other forms of art, Euphuism has in turn been extolled, ridiculed, and misinterpreted It may suit the convenience of literary historians, and of critics in search of names and phrases, to give to such terms applications of unwarranted width, and, for instance, to denounce as Euphuism all the affectations which from the Petrarchisti downwards to certain mannerists of our own age have emphasised literary pietentiousness or self-conceit But all such phraseology is wide of the mark where historical accuracy of nomenclature is held of value Euphusm, from this point of view, can only mean the style of Euphues and of other works by the same author in so far as in them also its essential characteristics are traceable 4.

¹ If their parentage be rightly identified See Fleay, ii 38-9, Baker, u.s., p clxxiv. The entries were discovered by the late Mr Collier.

* Lord Lafen in All's Well that Ends Well has been supposed to have been intended as a portrait of Lyly

² Bishop Hall, in his narrative of Some Specialities of his Life, mentions that after his acceptance of the living of Halstead in Essex, he 'found there a dangerous opposite to the success of his ministry, a witty and bold atheist, one Mr Lilly, who by reason of his travels and abilities of discourse and behaviour' had hopelessly prejudiced the patron of the living against the incumbent Ultimately, as he states, 'this malicious man going hastily to London to exasperate my patron against me, was then and there swept away by the pestilence, and never returned to do any farther mischief' See Satires by Joseph Hall, Warton and Singer's edition, 1824, pp xxxvii.-viii.

In the connexion hinted at, and on which it is difficult to forbear the tamptation to enlarge, I need only refer to J A Symonds' excellent passage on the mannerism, bred in the premature decay of the Renascence movement in Italy, 'which pervaded every country where Italian culture

It cannot, of course, be disputed that Euphuism shares Euphuism many of its most salient characteristics with kindred forms and of style, both in our own and in other modern literatures forms of And, again, some of these features are most largely noticeable in fashions of composition belonging to the literary periods immediately preceding or following upon that over which Euphues exerted its influence No style is made in a day, or (in spite of a famous maxim) altogether by one Moreover, in connexion with the question of this particular literary manner or fashion, we are perhaps apt to overlook the relative tardiness with which the Renascence movement asserted its full effect in our own country Thus. while in one sense Euphuism was an aftergrowth drawing its nourishment from mediaeval notions which swathed poetic invention in the bands of allegory and of metaphorical concerts, in another sense it is alive with the instincts of a new era, it moves freely through the range of thought and fancy opened by the rediscovery of classical antiquity through the now victorious Renascence; and it attests its indebtedness by means of an imitation, sometimes servile and not always legitimate, of the ancient models

kındred

To have made clear this cohesion between Euphuism and the general movement of modern and more especially of English literature, and to have thus redeemed Lyly from the imputation of having sought notoriety by thrusting more or less arbitrary perversions of his own into the growth of English prose, is perhaps the most striking merit of the late Henry Morley's celebrated essay1, which vindicated to Euphues and Euphuism their true importance in the history of our literature But I am here concerned, not with a comparison between particular fashions of style and Euphuism, but only with Euphuism itself. Nothing need therefore be said about Marinism-a later growth in point of time than Euphuism-or of the schools of the

penetrated? This accomplished critic, though he 'dwells upon the generic rather than the specific characteristics of this lues htterana, holds that 'Euphuism may claim to be a separate type' (Shakespere's Predecessors, 506 segg) Nothing more or less is what is contended for in the text.

¹ Published in the Quarterly Review, No. CIX, for 1861

Précieux in France and of the Fantastic Poets in England. upon which it incontestably exerted an influence 1 other hand, Gongorism—a designation which has been applied to the inflated and highly figurative speech introduced at the contemporary Spanish court by Luis de Gongoia—has been frequently confounded with Euphuism² Gongora and his style were the models of Don Adriano de Armado in Love's Labour's Lost, and to them, not to Lyly and his perfectly clear English, applies Sir Walter Scott's caricature, the pedantic Sir Piercie Shafton in The Monastery, who builds up compound verbal phrases quite out of keeping with Lyly's balanced elegance So, again, the well-known style of Sidney in his Arcadia stands virtually apart from Euphuism, of which it manifestly had no intention of reproducing the distinctive characteristics, although the Arcadia and Euphues, of which the dates of composition all but coincide, may share with one another a tendency to alliteration and to a general elevation of diction 3

The disimctive characteristics of Euphnism. In any attempt, then, to analyse the principal ingredients in the style of English prose to which Lyly's two novels, and in a minor measure the body of his plays, gave so notable a vogue in letters as well as in fashionable life, care should be taken to distinguish between what was, and what was not, peculiar to itself. Lyly, to begin with, is fond

¹ The date of Marini's Adone was 1623 For a specific comparison between Euphuism and Marinism, see Symonds' Renaissance in Italy, vol vii, pp. 202-5

² As to Luis de Gongora and his style, see Ticknor, iii 21-2 It is described as consisting almost entirely of metaphors, and further signalised by a vocabulary full of new words coined from the classical languages and of old Castilian words with new meanings, as well as by involved and unnatural constructions, foreign to the genius of the language

* It is well pointed out by the writer in the Quarterly Review (1895', already cited, that though Master Fastidious Brisk in Every Man out of his Humour (see below) may be a saturcal sketch of Lyly himself, his use of fine words to lend a dignity to the most simple actions may be abundantly illustrated from the Arcadia, but that this habit is not at all a mark of Euphness Cf Child, p. x12

The most noteworthy attempts of the kind are the monographs of Dr. R. F. Weymouth in the *Philological Society's Transactions*, 1870-2, and of Dr. F. Landmann (Glessen, 1881, and New Shakspere Society's Transactions, 1880-2, see also the Introduction to his edition of Euphues and chap 1 of

of classical references and allusions, he borrowed the felicitous word Euphues from Plato, and the whole of an appendix from a treatise by Plutarch 1, he conveyed the queer details of his apparatus of 'natural history' without disguise from Pliny, and he was in various ways and degrees indebted in style and in matter to Ovid, Virgil and Homer, and to Caesar, Cicero and Seneca. Yet there is no evidence either in his novels or elsewhere to show him to have been either a widely or a deeply read classical scholar, nor can his diction and vocabulary in themselves. and as apart from quotations, be fairly described as impregnated by classicism A more important effect, because more novel of its kind, might be expected to have been exerted upon his style by confluent impressions derived from other modern literatures with which our own was in more or less active contact. Yet although, as will be seen immediately, Lyly found a model of prose composition in a Spanish writer belonging to an earlier period of the Renascence age, he had too sound and too sincere a literary sense to Hispaniolise, Italianate, or Gallicise his English either in vocabulary or in syntax

Thus, no a priori suppositions will account for the distinctive features of Euphuism. The most important, indeed the cardinal, characteristic among these is the particular use of antithesis. While Euphuism is free from the more violent varieties of the figure, which indeed would have jarred against what may be called the placid force of the author's manner, he is consistently and consciously addicted to the purely formal antithesis which depends on the arrangement of sentences and the selection of words. Thus is brought about that balance between sentence and sentence, clause and clause, vocable and vocable, which is of its nature unique as compared with what had in English prose gone before or what (Lyly's direct imitators apart) came

the Arcadia, Heilbronn, 1887), together with those of Mr. C G Child and Dr C C. Hense, already cited.

^{&#}x27;The Euphues and his Ephoebus, appended to Euphues, the Anatomy of Wit, is an almost literal translation of Plutarch's tractate de Educatione Liberorum One of the epistles from Euphues that follow is a translation of the same writer's de Euho.

after it Antithesis of this sort inevitably calls to its aid alliteration—in which figure he out-Heroded Herod and the other rhetoricians of our early mysteries, moralities, and comedies—assonance, rime, and pun In all these processes it is purely an effect of sound which the author has at heart, and if I may so say a total rather than a particular effect. This may be illustrated from his use of the last and humblest of those aids which I have just enumerated Lyly's puns are of the feeblest sort of that frequently feeble sort of wit, precisely because it is the similarity of sound—mere consonance as a rule sufficing—which satisfies his purpose, instead of the surprise evoked by the sudden discovery of a new pair of paronyms in our paronymous tongue

In making prose the arena of these gymnastics, Lyly indisputably gave a very remarkable impulse to the piogress of that branch of English literary composition the effect of his endeavours in the special sphere of the drama I will touch immediately, as to other fields of prose composition, it may be said that the writers of non-dramatic English prose who preceded him were in truth so few, and the productions of his contemporaries and immediate successors comparatively so numerous, and from many points of view so important, that the temptation is strong to exaggerate the results of individual influence? Apart from Roger Ascham, and perhaps a few orators of whom Latimer is one of the few transmitted examples, what effective prose-writers did our Renascence age produce before the author of Euphues? We are so generally prone to neglect the essential merits of a literary style while discussing the points in which it strives to differentiate itself. that in the present instance we run the risk of overlooking the chief merits of Lyly's prose while seeking to trace the origin of its mannerisms. Yet his style, so far as I can judge, is remarkably lucid, and free from the fatal defect of involution. Aided by a carefully chosen diction, and a perfectly correct syntax, it has in it nothing that is either ambiguous or obscure—a praise which cannot be given to Lyly's most conspicuous imitators.

There yet remains to notice one special feature of Euphuism, which has not escaped the censure or satire of its critics, from Falstaff downwards 1 This is the mannerism or trick which, I am still inclined to think, the late Mr Collier very happily described as 'the employment of a species of fabulous or unnatutal natural philosophy, in which the existence of certain animals, vegetables, and minerals with peculiar properties is presumed, in order to afford similes and illustrations' No doubt some of these illustrations are of a very homely kind, but this (as in the case of the anecdotes in Oliver Goldsmith's Animated Nature) does not prove them to be as correct as they are humble But the real objection to these instances is not to be found in the violence which they may do to scientific truth, or possibly even to the text of Pliny from which they were borrowed It really consists in Lyly's taking no trouble to assimilate his facts or fancies concerning birds, fishes and amphibia, trees, shrubs and precious stones to the circumstances under which he applies them,—herein showing himself very unlike Shakspeie, who when he either borrowed or unconsciously appropriated certain of these similes, justified as true poetic ornaments what in Euphues had been mere formal and fictitious appendages²

Although the present is not the place for a full re-state- Their ment of results that may now be regarded as definitively sources ascertained, a word may, finally, seem called for, as to the

1 'Harry, I do not only marvel, where thou spendest thy time, but also how thou art accompanied, for though the camomile, the more it is trodden on, the faster it grows, yet youth, the more it is wasted, the sooner it wears' (I Henry IV, 11 4) This passage, as has been correctly pointed out, is the only one in which Shakspere makes fun of the Euphuistic style proper. Drayton's well-known commendation (in his poem to his friend H. Reynolds, Of Poets and Poesse) of Sidney as the author who

'did first reduce Our tongue from Lyly's writing then in use, Talking of stones, stars, plants, of fishes, flies'furnishes a further illustration of the fact, insisted upon above, that Euphuism and 'Arcadianism' both are, and were regarded as, styles quite distinct from one another

² See, amidst some doubtful matter, the examples of this in W. L. Rushton, Shakespeare's Euphuism, above all the immortal instance of Shakspere's famous adaptation in As you like It (ii. 1) of Lyly's dictum, 'the foule Toade hath a faire stone in his head.'

sources that suggested to Lyly the peculiarities which, as elaborated by himself, together constitute the distinctiveness of the Euphuistic style Whence did he borrow or assimilate the characteristic artificialities of Euphuism? The answer seems to be that these characteristics are traceable in their origin to the influence of a particular Spanish prosaist, and after being transmitted through the medium of an English translator, and exhibited with more distinctness in a popular English collection of stories, were developed with refinements of his own by Lyly himself The Spanish prose-writer in question was the Biscayan-born Don Antonio de Guevara, whom the favour of the Emperor Charles V transformed from a monk into a courtier, and who became court preacher, Imperial historiographer, and bishop of two Spanish sees He died in 1545, but the work of which the alto estilo or 'grand style' had so inspiring an effect, was first published in 1520. This was the Libro de Marco Aurelio, a species of Cyropaedia, designed at the same time to exhibit the model of a prince trained in ideas partly copied from the Emperor's own unpublished meditations, and to appeal to classical examples raised high above the associations of degenerate romance1 essentially didactic work was repeatedly translated and imitated by English writers during the sixteenth century; but the version of his Marco Aurelio, which appears to have created by far the most notable impression among English readers, was that published some time before 1568, under the title of The Dial of Princes, by Sir Thomas North, who more closely than any of his predecessors imitated the style of his original This, however, he did in his own way. Guevara's style has the balanced effect of Euphuism, but to his use of consonance and rime towards the attainment of this effect, North and the other English predecessors of Lyly added the use of alliteration2. Of these the most

¹ For an account of Guevara, see Ticknor, in 14-18, Warton only mentions him incidentally. The credit of having first demonstrated his influence upon Lyly belongs to Dr. Landmann, whose conclusions were summarised by Mrs. Hamphry Ward, an accomplished Spanish scholar, in her article on Lyly in the last edition of the Encyclopaedia Britannica.

³ Landmann and Child, N. S.

notable was George Pettie, author of the Petite Pallace of Pettie his Pleasure (licensed 1576), a collection of tales of which the first actually came through Guevara from Plutarch In general manner of diction, even including the illustrations fetched from accommodating repertories of strange facts in the natural world, Pettie, so far as I can judge, is the precise exemplar of Euphues There remain niceties of stylistic modulation, traceable no doubt in their turn partly to a reaction of matter upon manner, in which Lyly goes back most directly upon Guevaia, while his indebtedness to the latter as to the actual contents of the earlier part of his novel must be regarded as established 1

It will suffice to add in the present connexion, that Invitators Euphuism did not die out with Lyly, even if viewed as of Euphues a combination of stylistic elements dictated by his proper He had not only his continuators proper, whose stock-in-trade was confined to his own suggestions of subject and tricks of style, but also his imitators of the type of Robert Greene and Thomas Lodge, who elaborated his turns of thought and manner for the enjoyment of the cognoscenti² Shakspere's general indebtedness to Lyly as a writer of dramatic prose dialogue will be dwelt on below; as to the special characteristics of the Euphuistic style, however, he was alike too catholic in his appreciation and too eclectic in his appropriation of exotic excellence to imitate Lyly otherwise than incidentally, or (so to speak) as it might suit himself. On the other hand, as has been already mentioned, Shakspere cannot be shown to have satirised Euphuism more than once, when he made fun of it in the way of harmless parody 3. Towards the close of the century, and the end of Lyly's own life, we may conclude the special charms of his style to have begun

¹ Landmann, Introduction to Euphues, u s, xxii, segq.

² Of course, as is pointed out in the chapter entitled 'Lyly's Legatees' in Jusserand's English Novel in the time of Shakespere (Miss E Lee's English Translation, 1890), none of these authors copied Lyly's 'style in all its peculiarities, at any rate in all their works'

³ The relation of Shakspere to Euphuism seems to me well defined in the article in the Quarterly Review (for January, 1896), already cited, where will also be found a long list of 'reminiscences' of Euphues in Shakspere's plays.

wearing themselves out, as is the doom of everything in literature or art that is lightly rooted in assumption or affectation ¹

Prose domesticated in English comedy by Lyly

In the branch of our national literature with which this book is alone directly concerned, the influence of Lyly, though inseparable from that of the features of his general style on which I have accordingly dwelt at a perhaps disproportionate length², possessed intrinsic importance As a dramatic writer, Lyly exercised an influence upon his contemporaries and successors in this particular field of composition, which is by no means to be summed up by a review of the distinctive characteristics of his prose style as a novelist To begin with, his great service to dramatic literature lies in the plain fact that although he was not actually the first English author who wrote plays in piose 8, he was the first to set the example of dramatic prose which was enjoyable and effective Plays in prose were no actual innovation on the English stage at the time of the production of Lyly's earliest comedy, for Gascoigne's Supposes was acted in 15668, and the Famous Victories of Henry V, which is partly in prose 4, as well as 'two prose books' of name unknown, showing 'how seditious estates with their own devices, false friends with their own swords, and rebellious commons with their own snares, are overthrown, mentioned by Gosson in his School of Abuse (1579) among plays acted in London inn-yards 5, probably likewise pre-

¹ A sure sign of approaching decay in any kind of *mode* or fashion is the eager adoption of it on a lower rung of, as the case may be, the social or the intellectual scale. Thus it is a city lady who in Ben Jonson's *Euery Man out of his Humour*, v. 7, seeking to form her speech upon the fashions of the Court, apostrophises a supposed representation of those fashions. 'O Master Brisk, as 'tis in *Euphuss*, "Hard is the choice, when one is compelled either by silence to die with grief, or by speaking to live with shame." I cannot say that I am aware of any conscious allusions to Euphuism or its influence in later Elisabethan literature.

² I am free to confess that I have been anxious on a topic of so much general interest, to make use of the comments of critics who have been at the pains of correcting, instead of merely reprehending, misapprehensions in the earlier edition of this work. I refer especially to the essay of Mr. C. G. Child, to which I am also indebted for valuable suggestions on the Euphuism of Lyly's Plays.'

Anie, p. 262. * Anie, p 222 * Collier, 11. 329.

ceded Lyly's first dramatic work But these were merely incidental productions, and cannot be held to interfere with his claim to having domesticated prose in English comedy. Whatever ridicule has in times more or less remote from his own been poured upon him because of his affectations 1, has failed to obscure this memorable service to our dramatic literature, and when we delight in the flow of wit, the flash of repartee, and the dialectical brilliancy of some of the most famous comic scenes in Shakspere, Ben Jonson and Fletcher, we should not forget that the path trodden by them had been opened by the writer whom they 'so much outshone.'

The more general as well as the distinctive characteristics The Euof Lyly's prose style are reproduced in that of his plays, of Lyly's but in a form more or less modified by the conditions of plays dramatic composition The plays, acted before fashionable audiences at a time when classical learning was in fashion, performed by boys whose scholastic training prepared them for court service with an interval, in some cases 2, of a period of University life, and written by an author whose main object in life was to gain the goodwill of a learned Queen, of course reflect the classicism which he was anxious to display. With a single exception (Mother Bombie) the subjects of all his plays are derived from classical history or legend The names of their personages, even where not directly derived from a particular classical story, recall classical originals and episodes derived immediately from classical sources are repeatedly interwoven with the main action The shepherds in Gallathea have Horatian names; the story of Erisicthon in Love's Metamorphosis is from Ovid, Sir Tophas in Endimion has

^{1 &#}x27;Euphues, Anglus, verbivendulus et caerimoniarum magister,' is a character in Semile Odium, a Latin comedy by Peter Hausted, acted at Queen's College, Cambridge, in 1633 In the life of Lyly, in the Lives of the Poets, compiled (or edited) by Theophilus Cibber in 1753 (1 122), the writer acknowledges that he has not read Euphues, but quotes the author of The British Theatre, who has, and who describes its style as 'an unnatural affected jargon.' 'With this nonsense,' he continues, 'the court of Queen Elizabeth became miserably infected, and [sic] greatly help'd to let in all the vile pedantries of language in the two following reigns? ² Such as that of John Heywood. Cf. ante, pp 238-9.

far more assuredly a prototype in the *Miles Gloriosus* of Plautus than Falstaff has such in Sir Tophas. But it is quite needless to multiply examples, they crowd every one of Lyly's dramas ¹ Still more obvious is his fondness for classical allusions, taken from a fair but not very wide range of reading, and above all for Latin quotations, which are far more common in the plays than in *Euphues* ²

Lyly, whose classical reading was, as has been seen in the main confined to a few Latin poets and prose-writers (although in Campaspe he was able to give his audience a passing taste of Aristotle and Plato), was as a dramatist specially attracted to Ovid The reason of this may doubtless be sought in the prevailing taste for allegory, to which so strong an impulse had been given by the pageants and masques Certainly, neither Lyly, nor any of the earlier writers who contributed to the formation of the Euphuistic style, invented the fashion of introducing the deities and other figures of classical mythology as the representatives of moral qualities, vices and virtues, emotions and affections. But he carried the tendency to an extreme limit, and was especially adventurous in introducing in combination with it a species of allegory which had hitherto hardly ventured beyond its merest beginnings on the stage 3 Compliments to Queen Elisabeth, under the designation of Diana, did not satisfy his ambition; he actually apprised his audience that there was a hidden meaning in the plot of at least one of his plays, and unless (which in the case in question seems unlikely) the ingenuity of commentators has laboured in vain, that meaning was in more than one instance the

¹ Cf Hense, u s, vn. 241 seqq

¹² See Campaspe, Sapho and Phao; Mydas, Love's Metamorphosis, apart from the quotations of Sir Tophas in Endimion, who when in love can 'speak nothing but verses' and 'feels all Ovid de arte amandi as heavy at his heart as a load of logges'

Nothing can exceed the difficulty and delicacy of the task of discerning without excess of zeal the element of personal, which of course is very often political, meaning in a literary work. Our first English tragedy is most assuredly not devoid of political intentions; our first English comedy, as has been seen, is held by Mr Fleay to have formed part of a sustained controversy between two rival dramaturgists. Cf. ante, p. 260.

reverse of trifling or vague 1 Lyly's boldness in this respect remains very striking, although it may be partially accounted for by the strong current of fashion in favour of allusiveness of this sort and by the special charm it seems to have possessed for the Virgin Queen, and although his imaginative power as an allegorical poet seems small by the side of that of his great contemporary Spenser. And while even in the hands of a master allegory is prone at times to become frosty, or to wither away into lifelessness, with Lyly it is often the merest external machinery, which readily lends itself to use, and when used is with equally little difficulty cast aside After all, however, he was in this respect only a more haidened offender against the demands of nature and good taste than his most illustrious non-dramatic competitors in the same direction If Lyly's allegories are cold and tame, it would be difficult to characterise by kindlier epithets the staple of those in Sidney's Arcadia, or even many of those contained in the later books of the Faerie Queene On the other hand, it may be doubted whether without the example of Lyly, Jonson², Marston, and others would have attempted the composition of those allegorical dramas into which, for the delectation of the initiated, they crowded so much cryptic sentiment and criticism, or whether Shakspere himself would have thought of elaborating in the same fashion one of the most exquisite poetical passages to be found in any of his romantic comedies 3.

As to the style of Lyly's comedies, while there can be no doubt but that it exhibits all the special characteristics of Euphuism which have been discussed at sufficient length above, and while in a greater or less degree these characteristics are to be found in all kinds of scenes and in the mouths of all kinds of personages, it has been well

² See the observations below as to *Endunon, Sapho and Phao*, and *Mydas* Allegorical allusions of a personal kind are probably intended in one or two of the remaining plays

That Cynthua's Revels is not a sature on Euphuism, but written in much the same critical temper as the Anatomy of Wit itself, is pointed out in the article in the Quarterly Review, January 1896, already cited.

^{*} See below as to the passage in A Midsummer Night's Dream, it. 2.

pointed out that 'the Euphuism of the plays in a word is simplified Euphuism 1' This was, of course, a result of conditions of dramatic composition inevitable at all events in the case of plays intended for representation on the stage The extent of the sentences,—the length, so to speak. of the swing of the pendulum, -is necessarily contracted, and the elaboration of the artifices of illustration is more sparing But, including the allusions to natural history (though they are introduced as metaphors, not as similes), these artifices are all to be found in the dialogue of the plays-naturally most abundantly in the more sustained and serious passages, and in purely rhetorical additions such as the Prologues and Epilogues The sameness which, though again in a modified measure, thus attaches to Lyly's dramatic as well as to his narrative style, is the more marked in consequence of his chief defect as a dramatist-his lack of a real power of characterisation

His verse

What has to be noted concerning Lyly's blank verse will most appropriately be said in connexion with the play professing to have been his earliest,—the only one of the series which is written in metre. The lyrics interspersed in his dramas are many in number, probably largely in consequence of the fact that his actors were choir-boys. Few of them are gems of so pure a water as the famous song from Campaspe, but many deserve Mr Symonds' praise of being 'as neat and delicate as French songs².'

A brief survey of Lyly's dramatic works will best exemplify the foregoing remarks.

The Woman in the Moone (pr. 1597) A passage in the Prologue to *The Woman in the Moone* seems unmistakeably to ascribe to that play the position of the earliest among its author's dramatic productions. The grave objections to this conclusion would, no doubt, be obviated could we suppose this passage 3 to mean merely

¹ C G Child, u. s, 88 I am inclined, however, to demur to Mr Child's assertion, safeguarded as it is in a way which renders it difficult to reply by quotations, that 'low comedy dialogue shows little trace of Euphuism, though hints and gleams break through it by an unavoidable mannerism.'

^{*} Shakspere's Predecessors, p 516 Cf. Baker, u s , p clxxxvi.

A 'Remember all is but a poet's dreame,
The first he had in Phoebus' holy bowre,
But not the last, unlesse the first displease.

that this was Lyly's 'first verse-play, but not his first But there seems no sufficient reason for putting such an interpretation on the words, more especially as it would imply the existence in Lyly's mind of a distinction between the claims of veise and of prose composition which it would be specially unfair to impute to him difficulties, at the same time, remain The Woman in the Moone was not entered on the Stationers' Registers till 1595, and does not appear to have been actually published till 1597 Its title seems to suggest a parodistic allusion to that of the same author's Endimion, or the Man in the Moone, of which, as will be immediately seen, the date may be almost conclusively assigned to the years 1579-80 The plays are so different in style that the one can be hardly supposed to have immediately followed upon the other, besides which, the Man could in the way of title hardly have been preceded by the Woman. Of far more consequence is the cavil, that the blank-verse in which this play is written can hardly date from as early a year as 1570 or 1580, when no blank-verse of Marlowe's or of any similar build was as yet known to English literature. It cannot be denied that the firm but at the same time remarkably elastic texture of the blank-verse in this play, which accommodates itself without manifest effort to the sequence of the diction, is not easily to be reconciled with the assumption of a date before 1,587, or a not very much earlier year 2.

As for the diction itself, it is fairly simple and straightforward, with only a few classical quotations and reminiscences of more or less natural history, and here and there a play on words or alliterative antithesis, to remind the reader of the capabilities of the author. The plot of this pastoral comedy is very simple, and its construction the reverse of elaborate. Nature, with the assistance of Concoid

And yet as bright as glow-worms in the night, With which the morning decks her lover's hayre!'

¹ Fleay, English Diama, 11 42.

I cite as an example the lines in act iv. sc 1'
O Stesias, what a heavenly love hast thou,—
A love as chaste as a Apollo's tree;
As ardent as a vestal Virgin's eye,

and Discord, in answer to the demand of the shepherds for a representative of the female sex, creates Pandora, the herome of the play She is successively exposed to the influence of the several gods, under which she acts as a mere puppet Saturn makes her 'sullen,' and Jove 'proud'; Mais 'bloody-minded' and exceedingly demonstrative of a tendency to lay hands upon whomsoever she meets, Sol 'a Puritan,' though a Puritan after the fashion of Gabriel Harvey, masmuch as she is 'inspyrd' to an exercise in Latin verse composition 1 After this she proves only too apt an automaton in the hands of Venus, and involves herself in a maze of intrigue, from which she next seeks to escape under the guidance of Mercury Finally, she goes mad under the influence of Luna, and is by Nature banished into the Moon for a perpetual dwelling-place Hither her unfortunate husband, Stesias, is bidden follow her, so as to become the Man in the Moon, and to revenge himself on Gunophilus, Pandora's servant and the clown of the play, who for his ready subservience to her frailties has been changed into a 'hathorne,' the Man in the Moon undertakes to

> 'rend this hathorne with my furious hands, And beare this bush, if eare she looke but backe, I'le scratch her face that was so false to me².'

The device of *Prologus*' introducing the whole of this play as the poet's dream is familiar enough to Chaucer and his successors, and was adopted, very possibly on the suggestion of this production of Lyly's, by Shakspere in his early fairy-drama³. That an allegorical meaning of

See Fairholt's note, it. 278; where the resemblance is pointed out

¹ See the odd scene, act 111 sc 2, in which Pandora puts Stesias through a lesson in poetry very similar to that undergone by M Jourdain in Le Bourgeois Gentilhonime.

² As to the relation of this device to the popular fable of the Man in the Moon, see Fairholt's note, in 282. For further information on the subject of the popular farce he refers to Halliwell's remarks on A Midsummer Night's Dram, in his folio edition of Shakspere, where by the bye 'Moonshine' is far less communicative of elucidatory learning than his commentator 'All that I have to say, is to tell you, that the lantern is the moon, I, the man in the moon; this thorn-bush, my thorn-bush, and this dog, my dog'

a personal kind underlies Lyly's play, seems to me, with all deference, out of the question It would have been a sorry compliment to Oueen Elisabeth to designate her under the name of Luna as the final refuge of the errant Pandora 1

In noticing the remaining comedies by Lyly, there seems no reason for diverging from the order of sequence adopted in the first collective edition (which however did not include Love's Metamorphosis), except in a single instance is, however, that of the play which may for more reasons than one claim to be regarded as the most notable of Lyly's dramatic works

Endimion, the Man in the Moone, can only by internal Endimion evidence be shown to have preceded in date of production (acted 1579, p) the other earlier plays of its author, which share with it 1591) a more marked adherence to the Euphuistic qualities of style. But this internal evidence is peculiarly strong, and tuins on an interpretation of its plot and characters as to the substantial correctness of which no reasonable doubt It was not printed till 15912 can be said to remain

between the thought in the lines quoted above at the close of Lyly's prologue, and Shakespere's-

> 'If we shadows have offended, Think but this (and all is mended), That you have but slumber'd here While these visions did appear'

The same idea recurs, with an even closer resemblance to the Shaksperean passage, at the close of the Prologue at the Court to Sapho and Phao 'In all humblenesse we all, and I on knee for all, intreat, that your Highnesse imagine your selfe to be in a deepe dreame, that staying the conclusion, in your rising your Majesty youchsafe but to say, and so you awakt'

The original suggestion of the machinery of a dream was of course due to the Somnum Sapionis, as narrated by Cicero, de Republ lib vi ad fin (where he uses the phrase, ' Ego somno solutus sum'). The tenacity with which the fancy was repeated was a consequence of the popularity of the Roman de la Rose

¹ This is rightly seen, by Hense, u s, vii 248 The notion seems to have been suggested by Mezières as the 'most piquant' thing in the play Mr Fleay, though of course he recognizes the 'indirect' satire, is guarded as to its effects. Mr Baker, Introduction, p claxin, offers the conjecture that the play was privately produced before the Queen

² Apart from its appearance in the collective editions already cited, it has been reprinted in Dilke's Old Plays (vol. n. 1814), and more recently in the edition, already cited, by Mr. G. P. Baker, to whose Introduction I am Although in the Prologue to this comedy its author expresses a hope that 'none will apply pastimes, because they are fancies', and facetiously adds that 'there liveth none under the sunne, that knoweth what to make of the Man in the Moone,' in the Epilogue he claims the Queen's protection against 'the malicious that seeke to overthrow us with threats,' yet 'do but stiffen our thoughts.' It is thus obvious, that he desired a particular meaning of his play to be accepted, if approved by the authority to whose commendation it was addressed

Now, a very interesting attempt has been made to furnish the key to this meaning. In a highly elaborate argument, which I shall again have occasion to notice in connexion with Shakspere's *Midsummer Night's Dream*, the late Mr. N. J. Halpin, a distinguished Irish man of letters², examined the story of Lyly's comedy, and came to the conclusion that in all probability it is a dramatic representation of the disgrace brought upon Leicester (Endymion) by his clandestine marriage with the Countess of Sheffield (Tellus), which incurred the anger of his royal mistress (Cynthia), to whose hand he had previously aspired. Endymion's forty years' sleep upon the bank of lunary ³ signifies

indebted for the opportunity of revising my former remarks concerning this play and Mr Halpin's view of its allegorical significance

² Oberon's Vision in the Midsummer Night's Dream, illustrated by a comparison with Lylie's Endymion By the Rev N J. Halpin, (Old) Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1843

¹ Cf. the proverbial sarcasm cited by Lyly in another play (Sapho and Phao, act in sc 2, where see Fairholt's note, 1 294) Ben Jonson has more than one humorous attack upon this kind of ultra-ingenuity, see e.g. The Magnetic Lady, act ii. ad fin, and above all the well-known reference in the Induction to Bartholomew Fair to 'state-decypherers, or politic picklocks of the scene, so solemnly ridiculous as to search out who was meant by the gingerbread woman, who by the hobby-horseman, who by the costard-monger, who by their wares' The well-known experiments of Süvern upon Aristophanes illustrate the fact, that the danger of such attempts hes chiefly in the want of self-restraint, which often accompanies really remarkable hermeneutical ability

³ Endymion's resolution, because 'on yonder banke never grew anything but lunary,' never hereafter to 'have any bed but that banke,' is a genume bit of Euphuism. It reminds the editor of the Continuation of Dodsley (1814) (ii. 2) of the Humorous Lieutenant of Beaumont and Fletcher, who, 'when, by magical delusions, he falls in love with the old King, determines to lodge in King-abret.'

his imprisonment in the castle of Gieenwich (the Queen's favourite residence), the friendly intervention of Eumenides refers to the good offices of the Earl of Sussex, and the marriage of Tellus to Corsites, which solves the difficulty, is the marriage of Lady Sheffield to Sir Edmund Stafford There are other identifications of characters of secondary importance in the action to which it is unnecessary to refer But, though Mr Halpin's investigation began on something like the right track, it does not appear to have led him Cynthia, of course, is Queen Elisabeth, and that Leicester is Endymion seems almost equally certain the secret marriage with Lady Sheffield took place in 1573, two years before the famous festivities at Kenilworth saw Leicester at the height of the royal favour, and his imprisonment at Greenwich did not take place till 1579, and was due to the revelation by the French ambassador de Simier of Leicester's secret marriage in the previous year (1578) to another widowed Countess, Lettice Countess It will be seen at a glance that not only do these circumstances 1 better correspond to the action of the play than the incidents which M1. Halpin has intermixed from two different dates, but that Lyly, whose play cannot in any case have been written long before 1579, could hardly have made an event dating back as far as 1573 the main subject of his plot.

But this plot is in the play carried to a very decisive issue. After forty years' slumber Endymion is awakened by Cynthia's kiss², and after he has related his dream, in

¹ See Baker, xliv. seqq He cites Camden as showing that Sussex (Eumenides), notwithstanding his enmity to Leicester, deprecated the Oueen's wrath against him

² Mr Symonds has admirably depicted this scene, as enacted before Queen Elisabeth at Greenwich. 'Lords, ladies, and ambassadors watch her face, as courtiers watch a queen. On the stage hes no Hellenic shepherd in the bloom of youth, but a boy attired in sylvan style to represent an aged man with flowing beard. Cynthia—not the solitary maden goddess, led by Cupid, wafting her long raiment to the breeze of night, but a queen among her ladies, a boy disguised to personate Elisabeth herself—bends over him. And Endymion's dream, when he awakes, has been no fair romance of love revealed in slumber, but a vision of treason, envy, ingratitude, assassination, threatening his sovereign' (Shaksper.'s Predicessors, p. 521).

itself not devoid of significance 1, Endymion's marriage is made the best of, and he is restored to Cynthia's favour Leicester's imprisonment, we know, lasted little more than a month, but after his release he again fell into disfavour. and was not finally restored to the Queen's good graces till nearly a year had elapsed after the disclosure of his marriage and his confinement The probability certainly seems to be that his release is one of the incidents included in the allegory, so that it cannot have been produced before September, 1579 On the other hand, it is difficult to suppose Lyly to have been bold enough to plead in Leicester's behalf when he was again in disgrace, and this excludes any date after the beginning of November, 1570. unless we are to assume one later than July, 1580 This. however, would not only imply that Lyly then revived what at court would already have become a piece of ancient history², but it would remove the date of the composition of Endimion out of the close proximity to the dates of publication of the two books of Euphues, of which the diction of the play furnishes specific as well as general proofs in a measure reached by no second among Lyly's comedies 8. On the whole, therefore, the conclusion may be accepted with confidence, that Endimion was first performed in September or October, 1579

While, then, exhibiting the style and sentiment of its author's contemporary non-dramatic work 4, Endimion,

¹ See the preceding note There is no perceptible allusion in Endymion's narrative to the French marriage-scheme

^{&#}x27;Such, of course, it would have yet more emphatically been, were it necessary to accept Mr Fleay's assumption (u s p 4x, cf History of the Stage, p 76) that Endimon was first performed in 1588. This supposition rests on the statement on the title-page of the original edition, that the play was performed 'at Candlemas at Greenwich,' about which time the children of Paul's are known to have acted there. But we do not know what play they acted at Candlemas, or supposing it to have been Endimon, that this was the first performance of that comedy

The differences between the Euphuism of Endimion and that of Campaspe and Sapho and Phao, which are dwelt on by Child, u. s., 93-4, will hardly be thought to tell against either the above statement or the hypothesis which it supports

^{*} See the contrast drawn between friendship and love by Geron, act iii se. 4, which quite accords with the social philosophy of Euphuss.

instead of leaning closely on any classical original, derives a semblance of life from the contact between its action and the real experiences of real personages. It would have been out of keeping with the purpose of the play, even had it been in Lyly's power, to infuse much human passion into the amorous declamations of his hero, but they are not wholly devoid of charm, while the laughable character of the 'bragging soldier' and foolish pedant, Sir Tophas, happily supplies the comic element in an action which it would perhaps have been a mistake to sustain in too continuous a key of sentiment 1. That Shakspere was familiar with Endimion is, apart from the relation already mentioned, obvious from unmistakeable resemblances between passages in this comedy and two at least of his plays 2

Lyly's second play (if the above conclusions be accepted) Campa pe acted 1581? was the 'moste excellent Comedie of Alexander, Campaspe acted 1581 (pr 1584) and Diogenes, played before the Queene's Majestie on twelfe day at night, by her Maiestie's Children and the Children of Paules,' also played at the Blackfriars, and first printed in 1584 Although we have in this instance no internal evidence to fix the actual date of first production (for I cannot, with Mr Fleay, suppose Lyly to have been bold enough to have thought of 'shadowing forth' Leicester's marriage with the Countess of Essex under the union of Apelles and Campaspe, to which Alexander (Elisabeth) magnanimously consents), it is obvious, from the style of the piece, that it came fresh from the hand which had recently written Euphues; and this agrees with Mr Fleav's

¹ Some of the dialogue in which Sir Tophas takes part is pleasant fooling. See e g act in sc 2, where, on Tophas sighing 'Hey ho,' his attendant 'Epi' replies 'What's that?' 'An interjection, whereof some are of mourning, as eho, vah' 'I understand you not' 'Thou seest me' 'I' (r a Ave) 'No' 'Thou hearest me?' 'I' 'Thou feelest me?' 'I' 'And not understandst me?' 'No' 'Then am I but three quarters of a nowne substantive. But also, Eps, to tell thee troth, I am a nowne adjective ' 'Why?' 'Because I cannot stand without another' 'Who is that?' 'Dipsas,' &c. Mr. Halpin thinks Sir Tophas may have been intended for Gabriel Harvey, with whom, as has been seen, Lyly was, or was to be, at feud

See act w sc a-'Enter the Watch'; and act w. sc. 3-'Song by fairies'; and Much Ado about Nothing (Dogberry and Verges) and the Many Wroes of Windsor, act v sc 5

supposition 1, based on the Court accounts, that it was first performed on New Year's Eve, 1581. The euphuism of Campaspe pervades the entire play, with the exception of but one or two scenes, and well suits a method of treatment which has incurred the censure of Schlegel, unanswerable in itself, that this comedy furnishes a warning example, how incapable anecdotes and conceits are of forming a dramatic Indeed, Campaspe is little more than a dramatic anecdote, but within the limits thus indicated it is a singularly entertaining production, nor is it difficult to understand how it served to gratify the tastes both of the Court and of the popular audience before which it was repeated. It has accordingly two prologues and epilogues, addressed severally to the two audiences The slight substructure of the story is borrowed straight away from Pliny, who relates it in a very few words, but in a very impressive way 2, Alexander and Apelles—the King and the painter—both love the Theban captive Campaspe, but in the end the King resigns her to his rival, and starts to woo another mistress, Glory, in the Persian Wars. Round these personages, interesting in themselves, are grouped the soldiers and courtiers of Alexander, with the philosophers of the Court and the philosopher of the street, Diogenes 3. Thus the ingenious author is easily enabled, as he says in one of the prologues, to mix 'mirth with councell, and discipline with delight, thinking it not amisse in the same garden to sow pot-hearbes, that wee set flowers' To continue the antithesis, I think the 'pot-hearbes' will be generally preferred to the 'flowers,'-the ready retorts of Diogenes to the profundity of Aristotle and Plato and the harangues of Hephaestion, and the charming song of Apelles 4 to the

^{1 1}i 39.

² Nat. Hist lib xxxv cap x §§ 85-87 He says that Alexander, by resigning 'Pancaste' (from whose name that of Campaspe seems to have been formed by a curious kind of metathesis), showed himself to be 'magnus animo, maior imperio sui, nec minor hac facto quam inctoria aliqua' We again recall Edward III in the fine play attributed to Shakspere

Diogenes, I think, means Lyly himself.' (Fleay) Quaere?

⁴ This song (act in sc. 5) is the celebrated 'Cupid and my Campaspe played,' which has justly attracted the praise of generations of critics, and was printed by Bishop Fercy in his Reliques. The play contains another

long soliloguy which precedes it, steeped in allusions to natural philosophy and medicine There is in this play, besides a great amount of far-fetched ingenuity, much real wit, and the 'quips' of Diogenes could not easily be surpassed in swiftness and smartness. He remains victor in all the contests, except perhaps in a brief bout with his servant Manes1, and the speech is not without power which he addresses to the Athenians, assembled to see him fly, while he contents himself with the experiment of 'flying over their disordered lives 2'

Even slighter in texture than Campaspe is the comedy Sapho and of Sapho and Phao, which like the former was acted both Phao (acted at the Court and at Blackfriais, and was printed in 1584. pr 1584) Indeed, notwithstanding an abundant display of the favourite features of Euphuism (including natural history similes), showing clearly enough to which period of its author's literary life it belongs, Sapho and Phao could hardly have engaged the attention of its audiences, but for references in its plot, which at the same time go fai to establish the date of the play There can be little doubt that Phao's departure from Sicily, of whose princess Sapho³ he is enamoured (while Venus herself is in love with him), points (notwithstanding the awkwardness involved in the lastcharming song of a different kind (act v sc 1), cited by Symonds, in which

occurs the passage (concerning the lark) -

'How at Heaven's gates she claps her wings,

The morning waiting till she sings' Cf the opening line of the song in Cymbeline, act ii sc 3.

¹ See act 11 sc 1. Manes (named, as Psyllus says, 'Manes, a Manendo, because he runneth away') is a kind of philosophical Launcelot Gobbo 'I did not run away, but retire,' he says in answer to Psyllus' jest. And when Diogenes announces his determination to put him away and serve himself. 'quia non egeo tui vel te,' he replies that he means to run away again, 'quia scra tibi non esse argentum' Manes' definition of a 'quip' may be worth quoting (act in sc 2). 'Wee great girders call it a short saying of a sharpe wit, with a bitter sense in a sweet word '

Act 1v. sc. 1 . All conscience is sealed at Athens. Swearing cometh of a hot mettle, lying of a quick wit; flattery of a flowing tongue, indecent talke of a merry disposition. All things are lawfull at Athens' 'Allusions' of this sort, although they may happen to hit the mark in the England of Euphues or of any other censor, have a general gnomic force worth recognising

This Sapho has nothing in common with the poetess of Lesbos, whom among moderns Grillparzer has made the subject of a tragedy of some interest. named complication) at the departure from England, in February, 1682, of Francis Duke of Anjou Otherwise, the breaking-off of the action of the play with so lame a conclusion would hardly admit of explanation Mr Fleav. who has a further reason for assigning this date to the production of Sapho and Phao, holds that the inhibition of performances by the Children of St Paul's was due to the offence given by this play and its predecessor1 Lyly might have spared himself the mixture of deprecation and unnuendo into which he thought fit to conclude this play² We, who may be presumed to have outlived the taste for scandal about Queen Elisabeth or her suitors, may be excused for indulging other artificial tastes which such a production as Sapho and Phao gratifies As Mr Symonds hints, the Dresden china style of love-making has a certain attractiveness of its own 3

Gallathea (p. 1592) The date of the production at Court of Gallathea, first printed in 1592, might, in accordance with a very striking piece of internal evidence, seem assignable to the beginning of 1588. But so simple a solution has been held, while agreeing with the freedom of the dialogue of this play from the Euphuistic peculiarities of style common to the earlier group of Lyly's dramas, to be out of keeping with their manifest presence in much of the remaining part of the comedy. A chorizontic solution of the difficulty has therefore been thought necessary, and we are invited to assign the composition of the earlier version of Gallathea, of which the subsidiary action concerning the pages and their masters formed no part, to 1584, and the production of the play in

¹ English Drama, u. 40

² See the speech of Sybilla at the end of the comedy, and of the vague 'wish' at the end of the Epilogue

^{*} Us., 523-4 I add a later illustration ' "Shall I feed my pretty Princess with bonbons" Arthur Pendennis enquired sarcastically of Miss Blanche Amory. "Mais j'adore les bonbons, moi," said the little Sylphide.'

^{&#}x27;See the references, cited by Fleay, to octogesimus octavus mirabilis annus in act iii. se 3, and act v. se 1 These allusions to a current astrological superstation are in harmony with the general drift of the play. Fleay thinks the particular character of the 'astronomer' identifiable with the famous Dr. Dee, &c

^{*} Child, u.s., p. 95.

its present entirety to 1588, 'the wonderful year I'. The conclusion involves no actual improbability, but I must confess that I can perceive no such distinction between the several portions of the play—dialogues and soliloquies—as has been freely assumed

The scene of the action is laid in Lincolnshire, and some comic personages of a modern cast are introduced, indeed, the comic element vindicates to itself a considerable importance in the progress of the play 'Raffe,' with his anything but fai-fetched puns², is a promising specimen of the clown of Elisabethan comedy, while the figures of the 'Alcumist' and the 'Astronomer' directly saturse the false science of the day The plot, which involves the disguise of two maidens as boys, and their consequent passion for one another, may have been suggested by an inversion of a lascivious tale in Ovid³, but in the play little is made either of the pathetic or of the comic side of the situation On the other hand, there is some pretty toying with the fancy of the capture of Cupid by Diana's nymphs, who subject him to a series of penalties in revenge for his misdeeds, first making proclamation as follows

O yes, O yes, if any maid
Whom loving Cupid has betraid
To frownes of spite, to eyes of scorne,
And would in madness now see torne
The boy in pieces—

Let her come Hither, and lay him to his doome'

The frolic spirit of this, which recalls the gaiety of Theocritean pastoral in its English dress 4, is more amusing than the harangue to her nymphs of Diana, the accepted type of royal virginity 5.

Curiously enough, the next play in the list of Lyly's Mydas (pr. comedies, where there is no reason to suppose but that it 1592)

¹ Baker, u s, pp xcvi. seqq

^{2 &#}x27; Concurre? Condogge! I will away,' &cc.

³ The story of Iphis and Ianthe in Ov Metamorph bk. ix The Quarterly Renewer has pointed out this and another classical reminiscence in the play

^{*} Cf The Shepheards Calendar, March.

⁸ Cf. Symonds, ** s, p. 529 Her 'Now, ladies,' indeed, is in a more modern feminine style

occupies its proper place in chronological order, exhibits a marked falling-off in some of the characteristics of style which are so manifest in his earlier dramas that a certain consciousness of higher and more serious purpose pervades the allegorical passages in Mydas (first printed in 1592, and unmistakeably written in those later years of the reign of Philip II of Spain, when England was beginning to confront him as the avowed representative of the cause whose ruin he had spent his life in essaying to compass 1) It may be also that Lyly, as years went on, had become weary of the more 'mechanical devices'2 of his favourite earlier manner, while adhering to the use of its most essential characteristics, and, where the large comic admixture in the action did not interfere, effectively making use of the alto estilo which was so particularly in harmony with the allegorical significance of his argument. For although it may be difficult to convince oneself that Mydas is like Endimion, a more or less complete allegory in diamatic form 3, the course of the play is beyond dispute abundantly seasoned by political allusions The time of its production was favourable to a free delivery of hits at Philip of Spain, who is repeatedly 4 satirised as Mydas, and to an indulgence in exultation over the achievements of

¹ A passage in act in so I undeniably shows that the play was written after the dissipation of the Armada 'Have not I,' exclaims Mydas, 'made the sea to groane under the number of my ships; and have they not perished, that there was not two left to make a number?' And another passage, act iv sc. 4, while apparently alluding to the same catastrophe, appears to refer not less distinctly to the various English attempts against the Spanish power that preceded the expedition to Cadiz 'I see all his expeditions for warres are laid in water, for now, when he should execute, he begins to consult, and suffers the enemies to bid us good morrow at our owne doores, to whom wee long since might have given the last good night in their owne beds'

^{*} Cf. Child, u s, p. 96

^{*} So Mr. Halpin (Oberon's Vision, p 123) seems to think, who supplies a key, 'conjectural and incomplete,' as he avows, but sufficiently ingenious, to many of its characters and passages Cf Fleay, us, p 42 In a 'Concluding Note' to this play in vol 1. of the Continuation of Dodsley (1814), the editor solemnly leaves it to the future to decide whether a historical parallel drawn by him between Midas and another ambitious sovereign will be completely borne out by the termination of the career of—Napoleon!

* Act iff. Sc. 1; act iv. Sc. 1. act v. Sc. 3

England, here extolled under the name of Lesbos, which 'the gods have pitched out of the world, as not to be controlled by any in the world 1' It is on stretching his hands to Lesbos, that Mydas has become conscious, and prepared to confess to Diana, that his affection is grown 'unnaturall',' or, as one should say, obsolete Among other incidental references is one to Philip's supposed anxiety for the inherited rights of his daughter, the Infanta Clara Isabella Eugenia, but herein Lyly appears to have fallen into a mistake 3 In any case, it may be confessed that the play stood in some need of such incidental appeals to patriotic sympathies, for it is in substance a dull production In the conduct of his story, the dramatist imitates neither Apuleius' fable nor Lucian's earlier dialogue version of its theme, but his favourite Ovid 4. Possibly because the resources of the stage 'in Pauls 5' were unequal to such an effort, the crucial incident of turning all objects into gold forms no part of the course of the action presented; and the opportunity is thus foregone of displaying the folly of Mydas' wish On the other hand, the second part of the action, which reproduces the story of the ass's ears, is more lively in effect, although it is difficult not to sympathise with Mydas for preferring Pan's song, poor as it is, to Apollo's, which is still poorer. The barber Motto and Dello his boy (who says that his master has taught him 'Tully de oratore, the very art of trimming') are fairly amusing 8. The diction, as usual with Lyly, suffers from an excess of cadences, and there is an abundance of puns and Latinity of the quotable sort?.

¹ Act v sc 3; and cf act w sc 4, and b sc 1, the cry of Midas, when his ass's ears are discovered. 'What will they say in Lesbos?'

Act v sc 3

³ See act v sc. 3 Philip put forward her claims (through her mother) to the French, not to the Spanish, crown.

⁴ Metam x1, 90 segq.

⁵ Prologue

⁶ O'Hara's 'burletta' on the subject of Midas is well known, and still, I believe, keeps the stage. It was first acted in Ireland, and appeared on the English stage in 1764

 $^{^{7}}$ Mr. C G. Child, u.s, 8a-3, refers to the rhythm of the oracle of Apollo in this play, act v. sc $_{3}$ It is indeed a curious mixture, but a novel sort of trochate basis is its most interesting characteristic

Mother Bombie (pr 1594) Fortune-telling, a favourite practice of the age to which Lyly elsewhere makes reference, suggested the eponymous character in his 'pleasant conceited comedie, called *Mother Bombie*' (first printed in 1594) No derivation from any classical source has been suggested in the case of this play, and, in accordance with probable date, as well as with its broadly comic matter and manner, it is not pervaded by the Euphuism of its predecessors. Yet the cunning old woman of Rochester has little to say or do in the play, although her intervention helps to bring about the solution of its plot. This plot shows considerable skill of invention, and an audacious symmetry unsurpassed in any of our old comedies founded on 'errors' (mistakes of identity). It will suffice to summarise the argument of *Mother Bombie* in the words of two of its agents 1—

'Memphio had a foole to his sonne, which Stellio knew not, Stellio a foole to his daughter, unknowne to Memphio, to coosen each other they dealt with their boies [i e servants] for a match [in other words, they tried with the help of their servants each to palm off his foolish child upon the supposed sensible child of the other], wee [the servants] met with Lucio and Halfepenie [two other serving-men] who told the love betweene their master's children [Accius and Silena]. the youth deeply in love, the fathers unwitting to consent foure met, which argued wee were no mountaines, and in a taverne wee met, which argued wee were mortall, and everie one in his wine told his dayes worke, which was a signe wee forgot not our businesse; and seeing all our masters troubled with devises, we determined a little to trouble the water before they drunke, so that in the attire of your children, our masters' wise children bewrayed their good natures [1. e proved themselves the fools they were], and in the garments of our masters' children yours made a marriage; this all stood upon us poore children, and your young children, to shew that old folkes may be overtaken by children'

To which it has only to be added, that the two foolish children, Accius and Silena, in the end turn out to be brother and sister, changelings foisted upon Memphio and Stellio, by Vicina, who has brought up their actual children, Maestius and Serena, as her own, and as brother and sister, and has thus impeded the solution which satisfies the actual state of the case

Such is the sufficiently ingenious contrivance of the plot

of Mother Bombie. The diction of the play, in consequence no doubt of the relative freedom of its style, is by no means deficient in humour, although the author is nowhere so much himself as in the scene where the two clever children display their wit,-Livia by displaying a sampler stitched with an emblematic anthology of 'flowers, fowles, beastes, fishes, trees, plants, stones and what not,' and Candius by quoting (in the original tongue) a certain 'fine pleasant poet who intreateth of the art of love, and of the remedie 1'

be ascribed to Lyly, the 'wittie and courtly Pastoiall' of Metamor-phosis (p) Love's Metamorphosis (first printed in 1601), we are, as the 1601) description implies, once more transplanted into the more special atmosphere of the author's earlier efforts. allegorical element (if it exists at all) is indeed comparatively faint, on the other hand there is no admixture of low-comedy or farcial matter While the diction is often more diamatically direct, we elsewhere have to recognise the copious industry with which similes and conceits are as usual accumulated round an unsubstantial plot characters are of the familiar cast—Ceres and her nymphs. 'cruell,' 'coy,' and 'wavering,' the shepheids their lovers, and Cupid, who in anger at their coldness metamorphoses them into a stone, a rose, and a bird, and only releases them at the conclusion of the play In a bye-plot, not very skilfully interwoven with the main action, the savage Erisicthon is by reason of his destruction of the holy tree of Ceres, and with it of the life of the unhappy Fidelia who had been metamorphosed into the tree, visited by Famine 2. to escape whose inflictions he is willing to sell his daughter Protea to 'a merchant.' Protea escapes by changing her aspect (in accordance with her name), and returns under the fresh disguise of the revengeful ghost of 'Ulisses,' in time to

Finally, in the last of the plays which can with certainty Love's

save her lover Petulius from the wiles of the 'Syren.' Thus the materials employed by the author are more abundant

¹ Act 1 sc 3

^{*} The fancy of the tree 'pouring out blood' and giving forth a human voice may have been suggested by The Faerie Queene, bk 1 canto it stanza xxx segq, the description of the personified Famine, act it so r, by 'the griesly shape' of Famine in Sackville's Induction, stanzas 50 55

than usual The comparative lack of vivacity is partly accounted for by the absence of the farcical element; both cause and effect may be due to the fact that this play was probably a production of Lyly's latest years

Plays ascribed to Lyly

Two other plays have been ascribed to Lyly, but neither of them with any reasonable degree of probability authorship of A Warning for Faire Women (printed 1500. but probably written shortly after 1500) is indeed altogether out of the question This play, as its second title indicates1, is one of those domestic tragedies founded directly on incidents of real life, which, as will be seen below², had a special vogue in the last decade of the sixteenth century Its Induction, in which Tiagedy, History (1 e the Historical Drama of the early type), and Comedy dispute against one another the possession of the stage, is not without interest for the early history of our regular diama, but it would be hazardous to apply too definitely the saturical invective of the mutual recuminations³ The second of these plays is the very charming pastoral drama, The Maid's Metamorphosis, printed 1600, 'as it hath been sundice times acted by the children of Powles 4' This circumstance no doubt led to its being usually attributed to Lyly, but its manner is singularly unlike his at any period of his career, and the difference is more marked by this play being throughout in The quaint simplicity of its verse has a charm of its own, which reminds one eminent critic of the style of John Day; among the moderns, Leigh Hunt occasionally wrote in a not very dissimilar fashion. Passages here and there may recall Lyly; but he cannot concervably have been the author of a work which is not only free from his favourite affectations, but in spite of the Ovidian lubricity of its main theme (the change of maid into man, followed by a happy

The most tragical and lamentable Murther of Muster George Sanders, of London, Merchant, mgh Shooter's Hill, consented unto by his owne Wife, and acted by Mr Brown, Mrs Drewry, and Trusty Roger, Agents therein, with their several Ends

Inder Arden of Feversham, in the chapter on Shakspere.

See Collier, 11. 345 seqq.

^{*} Edited, with an Introduction, by Mr. A. H. Bullen, in vol. i. of his Collection of Old English Plays (4 vols, 1882).

restoration), has a certain naiveté of pathos, particularly in its earlier scenes, to which he was assuredly a stranger The humour of the three pages, Mopso, Frisco, and Jomlo, may be thought nearer to Lyly's way, but even here there is no salient likeness 1

Before passing to the small but illustrious group of Thomas English diamatic poets, whose undisputed works closely Kyd (1557 cally then fame with Shakspere's own, we may fitly make 1595 c) mention of a writer whose long-established reputation as the author of one original play of marked individuality cannot be held to exhaust his claims upon the attention of Their estimate of his influence upon his literary students contemporaries and immediate successors—including Shakspere himself-must depend upon the latitude allowed to conjecture in helping to determine the list of his extant achievements as a playwright.

THOMAS KYD², the author of The Spanish Tragedy, has the honour of being ranked by Ben Jonson, with Lyly and Marlowe, among the diamatists whom Shakspere 'outshone' Jonson calls him 'Sporting Kyd'-manifestly by way of nothing more than a facile, and probably familiar, pun. There is sufficient leason for supposing him to have been trained for the profession (paternal, it would seem) of a law scrivener, before he diverged into literary activity. He published in 1588 a translation of one of Tasso's prose tractates, and followed it up by at least one pamphlet narrating a contemporary case of 'secret' murder-a theme entirely in agreement with the tastes of the period, and,

¹ It is hardly worth while pointing out the affinities between the character and antecedents of Amaranthus in this play and those of Prospero in The Tempest In act iv sc 1, Echo makes one of her many appearances in the pastoral or romantic drama as a mocking interlocution.

² The four plays, of which two were certainly written by Kyd, while the other two have with more or less plausibility been attributed to him, are printed in Hazlitt's Dodsley, vols. iv. and v. Mr. Fleay's arguments for assigning to Kyd a longer list of dramatic productions will be found in his English Drama, ii 26-35. An elaborate research on Kyd's dramatic writings has been published by G. Sarrazin, under the title of Thomas Kyd und san Kras, Berlin, 1882. See also Mr. S. Lee's article on Kyd in vol. xxxi. of the Dutionary of National Biography.

one may venture to add, of the writer himself His authorship of The Spanish Tragedy, which was licensed in 1502. and printed at all events as early as 1594, is established on sufficient authority 1, but he published nothing with his name except a translation of Robert Garnier's tragedy of Cornélie, printed in 1594, and reprinted in the following year under the title of Pompey the Great, his faire Cornelias Tragedie, effected by her Father (Q. Metellus Scipio) and Husbandes (the younger Crassus' and Pompeius Magnus') downe-cast, death and fortune Whether of not because in the latter part of his career Kyd's personal repute suffered. as it seems to have done, from reports as to his participation in the recently dead Marlowe's vagaries of opinion, he was manifestly anxious to establish a soit of literary orthodoxy. undertaking in the Dedication of his Cornelia to the Countess of Sussex to 'assure her his next summer's better travel with the tragedy of Portia,' a version of the Julius Caesar theme which is thought to surpass the Cornelia in power². For Kyd is said to have died in 1595 His Cornelia carries us back, like all the earlier of Gainier's tragedies, to a phase of the diama antecedent to that which is represented by Kyd himself as an original poet. Not only is Seneca, with his ghosts and the rest of his machinery, still master of the method, but the drama, with its endless speeches and generally retrospective procedure, is still in the embrace of the epos. Kyd seems here to be doing penance for the spasmodic extravagances as well as for the freer movement of his earlier efforts

Among these it seems to me imperative to mention first the famous *Spanish Tragedy*, or, *Hieronimo is mad again*³, not because of its fame, but because of the fact that on the evidence contained in it iests the argument as to Kyd's

* Printed in vol. in of Dodsley's Old Plays, and in vol v of Mr. Hazlit's Dodsley; also in vol is of Hawkins' Origin of the English Drama, and in vol. i, of the Ancient British Drama.

¹ Thomas Heywood's, in his Apology for Actors, (Old) Shakspere Society's Publications, 1841, p. 45.

² Kyd's Cornelia is printed in Dodsley's Old Plays, vol 11, and in vol v of Hazhit's Dodsley Ebert, Enim d französ. Tragödie, p 155, describes Cornelia as a feeble replica of Porcia. The background of both plays seems to have been intended to refer to the civil troubles recently undergone by France.

claim to the authorship of any other plays The excep- The tional popularity of this piece is attested by the frequency Spanish Tragedy with which it was performed after its first appearance on (15881) the stage in 1588, or a year or two earlier 1 It was, moreover. frequently reprinted after the first extant edition, which itself refers to an earlier impression. The edition of 1602 purports to have received 'new additions of the Painter's part and others,' with which it had been of late several times performed, and Henslowe's Diary contains two entries of sums paid to 'Bengemen Johnson,' alias 'Bengemy Johnsone,' for 'additions' and for 'new additions' to this play? Charles Lamb is sceptical as to Ben Jonson's authorship of certain of the additions, which he teims 'the salt of the old play'—an expression that appears rather too strong. although Lamb's extracts no doubt comprise the most highly-wrought passages, especially in the great scene which another critic of raie insight agrees in thinking beyond Ben Jonson's powers 3 Jonson himself was at no pains to conceal his opinion of the value of the additions. for in the Induction to his Cynthia's Revels he ridicules the man who, 'furnished with more beard than wit,' 'prunes his mustachio, lisps and swears "that the old Hieronimo, as it was first acted, was the only best and judiciously penned

¹ The date of the first performance of The Spanish Tragedy and of The First Part of Jeronimo rests on the humorous declaration in the Induction to Bartholomew Fan (1614), that 'he that will swear Jeronimo or Andronicus are the best plays yet, shall pass unexcepted at here, as a man whose judgment shows it is constant, and hath stood still these five and twenty or thirty years. Though it be an ignorance, it is a virtuous and staid ignorance.' As to the early performances of The Spanish Comedy see Henslowe's Diary, where it is mentioned under the alternating designations of The Comedy of Jeronymo, The Spanish Comedy and Don Oracoe (from the character of Horatio) Jeronymo usually signifies the First Part of Jeronimo, but in the case of the 'additions' by Ben Jonson clearly means The Spanish Tragedy

² See Henslowe's *Duary*, under the dates of September 21, 1601, and June 24, 1602. (Collier's edition, printed for the (Old) Shakspere Society,

1845, pp 201 and 223)

VOL. I.

[&]quot;See the scene from act iv in Lamb's Specimens Edward Fitzgerald writes to Fanny Kemble 'Nobody knows who wrote this one scene it was thought Ben Jonson, who could no more have written it than I who read it: for what else of his is it like? Whereas, Webster one fancies might have done it' (Letters of Edward Fitzgerald to Fanny Kemble, 1895, p 63) The same suggestion had been made by Charles Lamb

play of Europe"' For the rest, although perhaps no other play received so ample a recognition as *The Spanish Tragedy* in the way of quotation by dramatists contemporary with its author or belonging to the generation next ensuing ¹, yet it is obvious that they largely regarded it as the type of antiquated extravagance. They may be excused for having overlooked the notable advance which *The Spanish Tragedy*, with its direct and forcible, if excessive, presentment of human passions, represents in comparison with our earlier English tragedies modelled on Seneca ², and as yet lacking the impulse towards freedom of movement which is unmistakeably present in Kyd's work. Its influence, I may add, was by no means confined to our own national drama³

A notion of the plot of *The Spanish Tragedy* will perhaps be most easily gathered from a ballad which must

¹ See Shakspere, King John, act 11 sc I ('You are the hare,' &c), and 3 Henry VI, act v sc 6 ('If any spark of life be yet remaining') Cf The Taming of the Shrew, Induction, sc I ('Go by, Jeronimy, go to thy cold bed and warm thee') The quotations from or allusions to The Spanish Tragedy in Ben Jonson are very numerous see Every Man in His Humour, act 1 sc I, The Alchemist, act 1v sc 4, The Poetaster, act 11 sc I, The New Inn, act 11 sc 2, The Tale of a Tub, act 1v sc 4

² I do not of course for a moment pretend that the influence of Seneca is absent from *The Spanish Tragedy*, any more than from the other plays connected with it in subject, while Kyd, as has been seen, did indirect homage to the Roman tragedian by his *Cornelia*. His reading as a classical scholar has been illustrated in the Dean of Canterbury's (Dr Farrar) early essay *On the Revival of Classical Learning*, &c (1856), more especially with reference to *The Spanish Tragedy*

³ Jacob Ayrer's Tragedia von dem Griegischen Keyser zu Constantinopel und seiner Tochter Pelimperia (1595-8) follows the form of The Spanish Tragedy previous to the 'additions' Kyd's play seems to have been a stockpiece of the English comedians in Germany, and was acted at Dresden as late as 1626. See the Introductory Note on Ayrer in Julius Tittmann's Schauspiele aus dem 16 Jahrhundert (1868), 11. 133 segq, cf Cohn, Shakspeare in Germany, Pt I, p Ixvi A curious literary discovery by Mr J. A Worp is described by him in vol xxix-xxx of the Jahrbuch der deutschen Shakespeare-Gesellschaft (1894). He found the whole story, as dramatised in The Spanish Tragedy up to the end-of act iv, inserted into the text of a Dutch verse translation by Everaert Syceram of Brussels, published at Antwerp in 1615. The fact that this inserted narrative is largely a literal version of Kyd's play precludes any doubt but that its text was in Syceram's hands; possibly the visit of the English comedians to Brussels in 1612 may have first suggested to him the use of the story, The Spanish Tragedy itself was acted in the Netherlands in a Dutch version in 1621 and 1628.

have been composed after the production of the play, and which thus adds one more to the many testimonies to its popularity 1 A terrific woodcut depicts the most sensational situation in the story. In the play itself the introductory speech of the Ghost of Andrea and the narrative of the General briefly explain what may be called the antecedents of the action, but masmuch as these antecedents themselves form the action of another and shorter play, now usually called The First Part of Feronimo, but apparently referred to by Henslowe under the title of Feronimo pure and simple, the relation between this and The Spanish Tragedy becomes a problem of interest the shorter as well as the longer play the work of Kyd, and if so, which of the two was the earlier in date of composition? The First Part is unmistakeably slighter in construction (so much so that it has been actually connectured to have merely formed the first act of The Spanish Tragedy2) as well as less forcible in diction, and altogether less characteristic of Kyd's special manner than the more important work. That manner is not easily described, since so many reminiscences of an earlier form of tragic writing still adhere to it But as is justly observed by Schlegel, when comparing the whole of The Spanish Tragedy to the drawings of children, scribbled down by an uncertain hand without regard to perspective or proportion³, the tone of the dialogue, notwithstanding the large quantity of bombast, possesses a certain naturalness, and the changes of scene impart to the action an attractive lightness of movement. Thus, no clogging influence upon the action is exercised even by the superhuman machinery of the Ghost of Andrea (the first lover of the herome, enamoused in The Spanish Tragedy of Horatio, the son of Hieronimo) and the abstraction of Revenge, who reappear at the end of Acts 1. and 1v. and at the close of the blay 4, and accordingly, in the words of

¹ Reprinted in the old edition of *Dodsley*.

² See Sarrazin, u s. p. 57. I do not think this a probable explanation.

² Lectures on Dramatic Art and Literature, No xiii (In the original)

^{*} That results are achieved adequate to the cravings of the most resentful ghost, will appear from his final summary —

Revenge, serve 'for Chorus' in this tragedy, and during its course we feel ourselves transplanted into the region of real human emotion, powerfully and on occasion even pathetically depicted. The sensuous charm of the love-scene between Belimperia and Horatio (written in rimed couplets of no ordinary beauty) cannot be gainsaid, although the author's chief effort (heightened by the later additions) is reserved for what ensues After Horatro has been hanged on the stage by his enemies, the body is discovered by his father, the brave old Maishal Hieronimo, whose desperate grief and craving for revenge become the keynote of the climax and catastrophe of the action at large. Here is introduced the striking device of the play within the play,—in its main features the same as that employed in Hamlet, although in Kyd's tragedy it is more directly interwoven with the action And, indeed, the whole diamatic idea of The Spanish Tragedy needs nothing but inversion to resemble that of Hamlet itself, for the main theme of the former is the effect of the muidei of a son upon the mind of his father, whose slowly prepared revenge at last wreaks itself as a Nemesis upon the authors of the original wrong, as well as upon the contrivers of the actual process of ietaliation.

The First Part of Jeronimo [1587 ¹] The First Part of Feronimo¹, which, as already observed, is a far slighter production, and while not wanting in vehemence and even extravagance of diction, lacks both the peculiar afflatus and a certain flacidity of style, aided by a tendency to 'return' word or phrase, characteristic of The Spanish Tragedy, may or may not have been the work of

'Aye, now my hopes have end in their effects
When blood and sorrow finish my desires
Horatio murder'd in his father's bower,
Vile Serberine by Pedringano slain,
False Pedringano hang'd by quant device,
Fair Isabella by herself undone,
Prince Balthasar by Belimperia stabb'd;
The Duke of Castile and his wicked son
Both done to death by old Hieronimo,
My Belimperia fall'n, as Dido fell,
And good Hieronimo slain by himself—
Aye, these were spectacles to please my soul'
Printed in Dodsley, vok in, and in vol iv of Hazhit's Dodsley

the same hand, to me it seems on the whole most probable that it was a play of rather earlier date, written perhaps under the effects of the first appearance of Mailowe's Tamburlaine¹, 1 e about the year 1587, and that its subsequent popularity was due to the continuation of its theme in The Spanish Tragedy, whence it became customary to perform the two plays on successive days. It is in Feronimo, and not in the longer play, that occur the repeated allusions to the small size of the heio2, from which it may be inferred that the part was originally written for a particular actor The tradition that Ben Jonson took mad Jeionymo's pait' (which would have been in The Spanish Tragedy) ill accords with this particular association

The authorship of the tragedy of Solyman and Perseda 4 is, as it seems to me, a much more interesting question than Solyman that of a production which can in no case be regarded as and Perseda (pr more than an adjunct of The Spanish Tragedy, its nominal 1599) The 'play within the play,' introduced in continuation the last act of Kyd's famous tragedy, treats the story of Erastus and Peiseda, which is that of the piece now in question, but it merely follows in abstract, so to speak, the general course of the action which in Solyman and Perseda fills a larger canvas, while diverging from the latter in details of incident, and only occasionally recalling its actual diction Solyman and Perseda, which was first printed in 1599, though licensed as early as 1592, is itself founded in plot upon a story forming part of a collection published in 1578 by Sii Henry Wotton, under the title of A Courtle Controversie of Cupid's Cautels 5; a noteworthy passage in it, descriptive of the

¹ Cf Sarrazin, p. 57 At the same time, as is here pointed out, Jeronimo contains an abundance of rime.

^{2 &#}x27;My mind's a giant, though my bulk be small'

^{&#}x27;Little Jeronimo Marshal'

^{&#}x27;Thou inch of Spain

Thou very little longer than thy beard, &c'

^{&#}x27;Thrown in Jonson's teeth in Dekker's Sahro-mashx-no very convincing authority. (See below) In the same play there is a sneer at Ionson's 'villanous broad backe'

¹² Printed in Hawkins, u. s., vol ii, and in vol. v of Hazhit's Dodsley

The text of the story has been reprinted, with a few omissions, by Sarrazin, # s., pp. 12-40.

beauty of Peiseda, is partly borrowed from a sonnet in Watson's Ecatompathia (1582)1. It is a tale containing varied ingredients—a chivalrous opening, an episode of sheer chance put to base use by intriguing guile (the episode of the gold chain given by Peiseda to Erastus, that finds its way into the possession of Lucina), a romantic development which places the fate of the lovers in the hands of Sultan Solyman. and a tragic catastrophe which involves their doom, together with that of Chiistian Rhodes This story is modified. while the characters are partly elaborated, partly altered. in the play, where an allegorical element is introduced in the personages of Love, Fortune, and Death, who prologise and 'serve as choius,' and a comic element is added to meet the demands of the groundlings The action is full of interest. and the indebtedness of Shakspere to this diama is by no means limited to reminiscences of particular passages 2

The question as to Kyd's authorship of this remarkable work cannot be determined by inferences drawn from the fact that the 'play within the play' in The Spanish Tragedy was derived from the same source as Solyman and Perseda, more especially as that drama and the abstract differ in the contrivance of the final catastrophe. The answer depends on the general evidence as to agreement in construction and style between the two tragedies, and this evidence must be allowed to be strong, though not overwhelming. The use made in both plays of the abstract figures that 'serve as chorus,' though not precisely peculiar to these two dramas, is yet somewhat different from the employment of similar impersonations in any earlier drama, possibly, as will be seen, the suggestion may be due to a third play, of

Then Perseda kills Lucinal Piston's foolery with the dead body of Ferdinando (slain by Eraj act il. must have in no happy moment suggested the dealings of with the corpse of Hotspur, while the same captain's famous (if Henry TW, act v. sc. i) betrays obscurer remniscences of Basi soliloguy in act v.

¹ The author of *The Spanish Tragedy* imitates another passage in the same collection of sonnets,

Of these the most striking is Perseda's speech (act v)
What, dar'st thou not? Give me the dagger then—
There's a reward for all thy treasons past

which the fiamework bears a general resemblance to that of the two plays in question, and which has likewise been attributed to Kyd Of more importance are the very striking similarities of style Not only is there in the two plays an undeniably frequent recurrence of the same sorts of quotations and allusions, and a remarkable parallelismat times an actual identity—of more or less unusual phrases and collocations of words 1, but in both we find mannerisms such as it is not usual for two authors to share in commonsuch as the usage, indulged in so largely as to become a characteristic feature, of repeating a catch-word from the line pieceding, and of bandving back as it were the half or the whole of a line from speaker to speaker 2 plays were unmistakeably written by the hand of a Euphuist, and on the whole I am inclined to think that hand, in the case of Solyman and Perseda as well as in that of The Spanish Tragedy, to have been Thomas Kyd's 3.

There appears to me to be no sufficient reason for accepting Plays attri the supposition that the curious old play entitled The Rare Triumphs of Love and Fortune, printed in 1589, but doubtless performed several years earlier, was written by Kyd4 The Induction is occupied with a 'debate' or 'mutiny' among Fortune the divinities of Olympus, due to the endeavour of Venus to (pr 1589) destroy the power of Fortune, in order to assert her own supreme authority At the bidding of Jupiter, Mercury

buted to KvdThe Rare Trumphs of Love and

¹ The most out-of-the-way is the 'translucent breast' to be found in both plays

³ For examples I must refer to Sarrazin, u s, pp 2 segg I am bound to say that the impression made upon me by his argument was confirmed by a consecutive re-reading of these two plays

⁵ The versification of this play is less finished than that of The Spamsh Tragedy, but it must not be overlooked that the printer of Solyman and Perseda turned a good deal of prose into verse I am not aware, by the way, whether it has ever been noticed that in the passage in Dekker's Sahro-mastix referred to above (p 309, note 3), in which 'Horace' (Jonson) is taunted with having 'taken mad Jeronymo's part,' he replies (to Tucca's flourish and enquiry, 'My name's Hamlet Revenge, thou hast been at Paris Garden, hast not?') 'Yes, Captaine, I ha' played Zulziman there' This must refer to Solyman and Perseda itself, not to the 'play within' The Spanish Tragedy

For an account of this play, of which the only extant copy is in the collection at Bridgewater House, see Collier, 11 432-7. Cf as to the probability of Kyd's authorship, Fleay, ii. 26.

hereupon exhibits a series of dumb-shows of persons slain by Love or Fortune, after which the action of the play itself begins, accompanied by musical demonstrations of the alternating successes of the two contending derties in aiding or defeating the purposes of the lovers Hermione and Fidelia, with whose story it is concerned. In the body of the play, of which the greater part is written in a rimed twelve-syllable measure, there seems nothing to connect it with a writer so comparatively advanced in manner as Kyd, of the Induction part is in blank verse, but rimes are here also frequent

Other early plays have been attributed to Kvd by M1 Fleav and earlier writers, among them the Taming of a Shrew (1594), on which Shakspere founded his comedy, Titus Andronicus, which similarity of theme and treatment naturally associated with The Spanish Tragedy, and (on the evidence of a few parallel passages) Arden of Feversham Of more interest, and supported by certain specious considerations partaking of the nature of both external and internal evidence 1, is the hypothesis, first offered by Malone and since adopted by Widgery, Fleay, and others, that Kydl was the author of an early tragedy of Hamlet, lost to us but known to Shakspeie The extent and depth of the interest which such a hypothesis involves may be illustrated by the statement of one of its more recent supporters, that 'whatever in Hamlet is relatively out of haimony with Shakspere's taste, may be more or less 2 interpreted to be due to Kyd' But to examine from such a point of view the conjecture in question would be foreign to the purpose of a historical sketch, while an attempt to indicate its bearing upon the genesis of Shakspere's Hamlet will find a more appropriate

An early Hamlet

2 'Ungespungen.' Sarrazin, p 119. I am aware that this word is itself open to distomatic interpretation.

¹ Nashe's Episile to the Gentlemen Students (1589) pictures a playwright who for many reasons (not the least among them the University man's contempt for Latin not learnt on Cam or Isis) may be concluded to be Kyd In a later passage of the letter the 'famisht followers' of Seneca are said to imitate 'the Kidde in Aesop', who leapt into a new occupation, as they take to Italian translations Between these amenities occurs the suggestion, that 'if you intreate' the playright in question, 'in a frostie morning, he will afford you whole Hamlets, I should say handfulls of tragicall speeches' See also the passage cited above from Dekker's Sahro-mastix

Resemblance—or let us say cognateness place below 1 of theme furnishes no proof of identity of authorship-still less is the latter demonstrated by incidental similarities of treatment For my part, I am unable, in dealing with a lost caput, to reach conviction except by way of external evidence, which in this instance appears to me inadequate

The author of The Spanish Tragedy was a contemporary Kyd's of diamatists who were greater than himself, in whatever claims to redegree he may have directly or indirectly influenced their an original But, to whatever extent he may in his turn endeavours have profited from the productions of his fellow-playwrights, he was himself a diamatic poet of high and original capacity for dealing with both the matter and the form of the branch of literature to which he devoted his labours. He proved himself capable of piesenting, without servile adherence to Senecan models,

> 'Tragoedia cothurnata, fitting kings, Containing matter, and not common things 2,

and he was at the same time able to exhibit with natural force the operation of incidents upon character, and to make a direct and mesistible appeal to the passions that move all men, and are felt by generation after generation. Herein lies the great difference between him and the authors of Gorboduc, not will be, because of the ridicule which was his recompense from some of those to whom he had helped to point the way, be refused the tribute due to original power.

CHRISTOPHER or Kit, MARLOWE 3, the son of John Christopher Marlowe, shoemaker, 'clerk' of St Mary's, and of his wife Marlowe (1564-93)

Marlowe

dramatist

1 See the chapter on Shakspere ² The Spanish Tragedy, act v

³ The Works of Christopher Marlowe With some Account of the Author, and Notes. By the Rev Alexander Dyc., 1850 and 1870 — The Works of Marlowe Edited by A H Bullen, 3 vols , 1885 — The Works of Christopher Marlowe, edited with Notes and Introduction, by Lt.-Col Francis Cuningham, 1870 - Christopher Marlowe Edited by Havelock Ellis, with a General Introduction, &c., by J. A Symonds, 1887, see also chap xv (Marlowe) of the same writer's Shakespere's Predecessors An edition of Marlowe's plays by H Breymann and A. Wagner is now in course of publication at Heilbronn, and several have already been published -Arts. on Marlowe by A C Swinburne in wol. xv. of the Encyclopaedia Britannica,

.s Life

Catherine, apparently the daughter of Christopher Arthur. rector of St Peter's, Canterbury, was born in that city in February, 1564 He received his early education at the Kin,g's School in his native city, and proceeded thence early in 1581 to Bene't (Corpus Christi) College, Cambridge. where he graduated BA and MA in 1589 and 1587 He was probably intended for the Church, or at all events for one of the learned professions, of his classical training so far as the usual Latin classics are concerned, there is evidence enoughes the quotations freely introduced by him into his dramatic works, more especially The Few of Malta, Edward II, and, as a mat er of course, Dido His translation of Ovid's Amores (the blunders in which have met with severe censure) seems to date from his Cambridge days, and shows that they were not devoted to close or accurate classical studies

It must have been at an early date, and before the nominal completion of lis University career, that Marlowe became seized by a passion for the stage. Possibly, as has been conjecture on grounds in themselves inadequate, he may in the stormy years immediately preceding 1587, have seved in the Netherlands, as to his anti-Spanish and anti-satholic sentiments, at least, there can be no doubt. But it sems most likely that before 1582 he went up to London fin Cambridge, where, possibly under other influences besides that of his own fermenting genius, he had come to abadon the notion of entering the clerical or any other regular profession. In London he at once began to write for the fage, the supposition that he combined

⁹th ed., 1883, and by Sidn Lee, in vol xxxvi of the Dictionary of National Biography, 1893—Cf Collie ii 487 seqq, Fleay, English Drama, ii 57 seqq, and Life and Work of Likspere, and History of the Stage, passim, Ulrici, Shakspere's Dramatic A Sec 1 and art Christopher Marlowe u. Shakspere's Verhaltinss su ihm uShakspere-Jahrbuch, vol 1 (1865). For editions of particular plays see sequent notes. An elaborate analysis of Marlowe's diction will be foly in O. Fischer's dissertation Zur Charakteristik der Dramen Marlowe Munich, 1889)

¹ The supposition that Marlowe in hed the theological views of Francis Kett, a fellow and tutor of his college ho was burnt for heresy at Norwich in 1589, presumes, what must be colleged doubtful, that Marlowe had any theological views at all. However legraduate and even postgraduate, minds are easily encouraged to 'give up heology.

with the playwright's occupation that of the player, rests on the evidence of a ballad called *The Atherst's Tragedy*, in which he is said during a performance at the Curtain in Shoreditch to have broken his leg

'in one lewd scene When in his early age'

But the genuineness of these veises is open to the gravest He appears to have attached himself as a playwright to the Lord Admiral's company, by which most of his plays were produced, with Edward Alleyn as the principal actor, and he is supposed towards the end of his life to have transferred his services to Lord Strange's company, and thus to have entered into direct co-operation with Shakspere 2. That he was in close personal connexion with all the chief theatrical writers of his age, is in any case obvious, even were the fact not attested by the passages to be immediately cited, containing cordial tributes from several of them to his genius, his familiar relations with at least one eminent personage whose literary efforts were only part of his public activity are proved by an almost unique monument of literary association3. He was not without other friends and patrons of high social standing, in the Dedication of his posthumous poem of Hero and Leander, Marlowe's publisher speaks of Sir Thomas Walsingham of Chiselhurst (the son of Sir Francis, connected by marriage with a Canterbury family to which Marlowe certainly entertained sentiments of attachment) as 'one who had bestowed upon the author many kind favours', and Walsingham's house was indicated as a place where Marlowe might be found in the warrant issued against him shortly before his death.

It would, however, be idle to shut our eyes to the

¹ See the late Dr Ingleby's trenchant letter to *The Academy*, April 1, 1876; and cf Mr. Lee's statement, with which Mr Bullen is in accord, that ¹ the ballad is in all probability one of Mr. Collier's forgenes,' and Mr. Fleay's contemptuous silence with regard to it

² Fleay, History of the Stage, p 74

³ The famous lyric by Marlowe, The Passionate Shepherd to his Love, which called forth Sir Walter Raleigh's Reply (as well as 'Another of the same Nature'), is quoted by Marlowe himself in a comic speech in The Jew of Malta, act iv. sc 4.

general bearing of the evidence as to Marlowe's personal ways of life and thought during his career as a playwright and man of letters in London It is manifest that, during the short six years of that career, he reached a very high point of popularity on the stage, where his Tamburlaine and Doctor Faustus at all events were extraordinarily successful It is also obvious that this popularity, and the personal admiration called forth among his brother-wits by his extraoidinary powers, cannot have failed to affect the moral balance of so young a man When the intellectual agitations of the times in which he lived and the specially overcharged atmosphere in which he worked are taken into account, it seems only in the nature of things that he should have demeaned himself as a rebel Very manifestly he led a loose life, and in all probability it tickled his fancy, as it has that of others who have not proved weaklings in the end, to let self-indulgence wear the semblance of intellectual revolt. His published works-Doctor Faustus included—contain no evidence of a personal struggle between doubt and faith When Robert Greene died in want and misery in September 1592, he left behind him the celebrated tract (to which frequent references will have to be made in these pages) entitled A Groats-worth of Wit bought with a Million of Repentance. This pamphlet contained a violent overt invective against Marlowe's professed atheism, with a warning to him to repent ere it was too late Henry Chettle, who published Greene's tract, immediately after his death, thought it well, in the preface to his tract of Kind Hart's Dream, to disclaim any personal acquaintance with Marlowe, while professing a reverence for his learning, and stating that he had thought it well to omit passages of Greene's attack 1 We are, of course, anything but constrained to place reliance upon accusations forming part of the lees of such a life as Greene's, who, moreover, was probably actuated by bitter jealousy as a playwright We are still less called upon to accept the

¹ The side-issue as to Nashe's supposed authorship of A Groatsworth of Wit, and Gabriel Harvey's charge against him of disloyalty to Marlowe among other friends, may be neglected here See Bullen's Introduction, pp lxi-lxi.

farrago of charges concerning Marlowe's opinions on religion put forward against him by one Richard Bame (possibly the person who was hanged in the following year), which led to the institution of inquisitorial proceedings, involving among others Thomas Kyd and Sir Walter Raleigh there are sufficient other indications that he had made himself notorious by licentious talk as well as by loose living, and the closing scene of his life, which followed while a warrant of the Pivy Council was actually out against him, cannot be detached from the rest of the circumstantial evidence On June 1, 1593, he was stabbed to-death in a tavern brawl at Deptford, the revolting détails of which may be fitly passed by, especially as their truth or falsehood, or the nature of the mixture in them of both, is not to be ascertained 1

Of Marlowe's contemporaries—or of writers belonging to Tributes a generation by which the personal features of his career from his contempowere still freshly remembered—not a few mention him with ranes sincere and generous admination for his genius So Peele, in the Prologue to the Honour of the Garter, published in the year of Marlowe's death, addresses him as

'Unhappy in thine end, Marley, the Muse's darling for thy verse, Fit to write passions for the souls below, If any wretched souls in passion speak.'

Drayton, in his epistle To my dear friend Henry Reynolds of Poets and Poesy (1627), speaks of him in lines of singular beauty, recalling in their final turn of thought a wellknown Shaksperean passage —

¹ The entry in the burial-register of St Nicholas' Church, Deptford, merely states that he was 'slain by Francis Archer' on the date mentioned Gabriel Harvey was unfortunate enough to be without information, and concluded that Marlowe had died of the plague (See Bullen on the Glosse at the end of Harvey's Newe Letter of Notable Contests, 1893, u s lxvi-lxvii; for versions of the actual catastrophe, including the Puritan Beard's (1597) and Meres' reference in Palladis Tamia (1598), see ib lx111-lxv)-A remarkable specimen of anecdotical mendacity is to be found in Aubrev's assertion (quoted by Gifford) that 'Ben Jonson killed Mr Marlowe the poet, coming from the Green Curtain playhouse' This invention may have arisen out of a mistaken remembrance of the fact that Ben Jonson killed in a duel 'Gabriel,' a member of Henslowe's company of players, in Hoxton Fields. This, to be sure, was in 1598. (See Menious of E. Alleyn, p. 50.)

'Next Marlowe, bathèd in the Thespian springs, Had in him those brave translunary things That the first poets had, his raptures were All air and fire, which made his verses clere, For that fine madnes still he did retaine, Which rightly should possess a poet's braine',

and this tribute is doubly noteworthy as proceeding from a poet whose own life was well-ordered, and free from the 'Bohemianism' which, in later days than those of Queen Elisabeth, many excellent people have deemed inseparable from the successful puisuit of literature. Ben Jonson, in his verses To the Memory of Shakspere (in which I for one confess myself unable to discover any trace of irony), reckons Marlowe among those peers of Shakspere who were by him surpassed and, in a phrase which has become immortal, refers to 'Marlowe's mighty line. The Cambridge author of Part II of The Returne from Parnassus (printed 1606, but acted some years earlier) describes Marlowe as

'happy in his buskin'd Muse,'

although

'unhappy in his life and end, Pity it is that wit so ill should dwell,— Wit lent from Heaven, but vices sent from Hell'

The two poets who, with very different pretensions, took upon themselves to continue Marlowe's Hero and Leanaer, both apostrophised their predecessor,—Petowe at the close of a long set of doggerel lines hailing him as 'the prince of poetrie,' Chapman interrupting the first section of the

1 'He wants,' says the author of Part II of The Returns from Parnassus, referring to Drayton, 'one true note of a poet of our own times, and that is this He cannot swagger it well in a tavern, or domineer in a pot-house' 'Jonson is, however, thought by Gifford to indicate Marlowe among others in speaking, in the Induction to Cynthia's Revels, of poets who are 'promoters of other men's jests, and way-lay all the stale apophthegms, or other books, they can hear of, in print or otherwise to farce their scenes withal' The late Mr Halpin (Oberon's Vision, &c) says that Ben Jonson decried Marlowe in his Poetaster as well as in his Cynthia's Revels I should doubt both these assertions In the Poetaster (act 1 sc 1) Jonson certainly borrowed, with certain modifications, Marlowe's version of one of Ovid's Elegies (Amor. bk 1 el xv), though Gifford tried to turn the tables on Marlowe. (See Cuningham's Jonson, 1 210, note, and of Fleay, English Drama, 1, 367.

2 Cf 1b

poem written by himself in order to depict his desire of being in full accord with

'His free soul, whose living subject stood Up to the chin in the Pierian flood'

Nashe, who completed Marlowe's tragedy of *Dido*, prefixed to the first edition (1594) an elegy full of praise, which is unfortunately lost² Thomas Heywood, in his *Hierarchie of the Blessed Angels* (1634), speaks of Marlowe as

'renown'd for his rare art and wit,'

making special reference to his *Hero and Leander* Lastly, Shakspeie has a brief but kindly allusion to his deceased fellow-poet in the passage in *As You Like It* (act iii. sc 5), which introduces a line from *Hero and Leander*

'Dead shepherd' now I find thy saw of might
"Who ever lov'd, that lov'd not at first sight"?"

For us, unable as we are to penetrate through the foul mists that obscured the career of this mighty genius, it remains only to lament the loss to the world's literature of a maturity, whose mere promise excels the achievements of any other but one among all our Elisabethan poets. A poet of our own times has met a challenge thrown out by Hartley Coleridge, in finding a poetic form for the tragedy of Marlowe's death. The late Mr. R. H. Horne's Death of Marlowe', a piece conceived and executed with genuine power, closes with the exquisite lines from the poet's own Doctor Faustus

'Cut is the branch that might have grown full straight, And wither'd is Apollo's laurel bough'

It is not Art which is guilty of the fall of such victims as this,—not genius which is chargeable with a share in such

¹ Hero and Leander, Third Sestiad For Petowe's effort, of Dyce. Some Account, &c, p xlit, and Bullen's Introduction, pp lxx-lxxi. See 16 as to the reference in a poem by 'J M' (1600) to 'Kynde Kit Marloe.'

³ There is no evidence that the references to the story of *Hero and Leander* in *The Two Gentlemen of Verona* were due to Marlowe's poem. See Delius' *Shakspere*, i 41, note 7, and cf below as to the date of this comedy

^{*} This one-act play, first published in 1837, was reprinted in 1875

a catastrophe And, while drawing from it a homely moral, yet one such as Thackeray might not have disdained to draw, we may, at the same time, bow before the blessed healthfulness of spirit that enabled Shakspere to come forth unscathed from the temptations with which his time, his life, and his surroundings, as it were resistlessly, overwhelmed Marlowe

Marlowe s non dra matic works

Besides the unfinished tragedy Dido, Queen of Carthage (of which below), Marlowe left behind him certain translations and epigrams, and so much as he had written of the paraphrase (for such it is rather than a translation) of Musaeus' Hero and Leander It is beyond my purpose to dwell on the beauties of both the descriptive and the passionate parts of this work of Marlowe's. The tributes to his powers cited above have sufficiently illustrated the fact that, in the eyes of his own generation, his poetic fame largely, if not principally, rested on this achievement Indeed, even in a Prologue to a posthumous reproduction of one of his plays, Marlowe is said to have gained 'a lasting memory' by his English version of Musaeus' epopoeia, while his plays and their renown are chiefly associated with that of a popular actor 1 Yet, since a comparison between Marlowe and Shakspere, in so far as their careers ran more or less parallel in dates, is legitimate, the fact cannot be overlooked that, so far as Marlowe's share in it is concerned, Hero and Leander is as superior to Venus and Adonis in general poetic effect as it is in that special force of sensuous passion which dries up critical comment. In the matter of luxurious Renascence foliage, who could claim the preference for either youthful aitist? In the same connexion, a reference cannot be omitted to Marlowe's famous lyric, The Passionate Shepherd to his Love, first published in a collection of poems (The Passionate Pilgrim, 1599), purporting to be wholly

¹ See Dyce's note, p 142, to the Prologue to the Stage, at the Cock-Pit, prefixed to the Jew of Malta, in special compliment to Edward Alleyn, the representative of 'the Jew'—Hero and Leander is quoted as a popular work in Greene's Tu Quoque, printed in 1614—the year in which Jonson burlesqued the myth in the puppet-show of his Bartholomew Fair In Middleton's A Mad World, my Masters (1 2), Harebrain couples Hero and Leander and Venus and Adons as 'wanton pamphlets' Hero and Leander is also alluded to in Middleton's The Family of Love (in 2).

Shakspere's The first stanza of Raleigh's reply was likewise printed in this collection 1. Other English lyric poets have, more or less consciously, imitated a masterpiece which suffices to prove Marlowe's raie endowment for a species of composition which he only exceptionally essayed

Marlowe's earliest play, there is every reason for assuming, Tamburwas the tragedy of Tamburlaine the Great, in two Parts, laine the Great each of five acts². His authorship of this work cannot (1587) underlie a moment's doubt, although the only external evidence of a direct kind attesting it is to be found in a sonnet, and the 'glosse' accompanying it, already noticed as published by Gabriel Harvey in 1593 'crude notion' of Malone that not Marlowe, but Nashe, was the author of Tamburlaine, is refuted by the fact that in the Epistle to the Gentlemen Students of Both Universities prefixed by Nashe to Greene's Menaphon (1589, or possibly as early as 1587) he inveighs, in obvious allusion to the defiance in the Prologue to Tamburlaine, against the endeavour of 'idiote art-masters' to 'outbrave better pens with the swelling bumbast of a bragging blank veise' This passage, taken together with another attack upon the introduction of blank verse, which is accompanied by an express reference to 'that atheist Tamburlan' in the address 'To the Gentlemen Readers,' prefixed by Greene to his Perzmedes the Blacke-Smith, further proves that Tamburlaine was brought on the stage as early as 1588, probably it was first acted by the Lord Admiral's company, as Mr. Fleay says, 'on stages in the City of London as early as 1587.' It was printed in 15903.

1 Dyce, u.s, xlv. Marlowe himself alludes to 'Come live with me' in a comic speech in The Jew of Malia, act iv.

* See Bullen's Introduction, pp. xv-xviii Cf. Colher, 11, 491-4. Colher VOL. I.

² Besides the English editions, reference should be made to that of A Wagner, in the series already mentioned (Heilbronn, 1885) -The full title of the 4to edition of 1590 may be worth citing. 'Tamburlaine the Great. Who, from a Scythan Shephearde by his rare and wonderfull Conquests, became a most pussant and mightye Monarque And (for his tyranny, and terrour in Warre) was tearmed, The Scourge of God' Nothing but the title-page - left of this edition The full title of Part II in the 8vo edition of both Parts, bearing the same date, of which a copy is in the Bodleian, runs . ' The Second Part of The bloody Conqueste of Tamburlaine With his impassionate fury, for the death of his Lady and love faire Zenocrate; his fourme of exhirtagion and discipline to his three sons, and the maner of his own death' (Dyce)

The sources of this play have been detected by its most 1ecent Editor and Di C H Herford, who have shown 1 that a Spanish account of Timour the Tartar conqueror, by Pedro Mexia, in a Silva of which one among many versions was an English translation known as Fortescue's The Foreste. and printed in 1571, may be concluded to have among various more or less contemporary narratives suggested to Marlowe the theme of his tragedy They further show that his general airangement of the aigument of his ten acts seems to have resulted from his use, together with Mexia's biography, of the Latin life of Timour published at Florence by the Italian scholar Perondinus in 1551, to which are due, among a number of incidental details, some of those making up the authentic portiait of the heio2 The question as to the authenticity of the statements in these narratives, or in others at which his eye may have glanced⁸, cannot be supposed to have exercised Marlowe

adduces two other supposed proofs of Marlowe's authorship of Tamburlaine But the first of these, viz the entry in Henslowe's Diary of two payments to 'Thomas Dickers' (Dekker) on December 20, 1597, for 'adycyons' to Doctor Faustus and 'a prolog to Marloes Tamberlen' is unhappily discredited The second is a passage in the Prologue written by Thomas Heywood for the performance of The Jew of Malta at the Cock-pit in 1633. which Collier misunderstood, although it may be held to suggest, by association, that Tamburlaine was written by Mailowe See Dyce's note to this Prologue -As to the date of the composition of Tamburlaine, the simile of the almond-tree in Pait II act iv sc 4, was certainly not only suggested by, but in part copied from, The Faerie Queene, I vii 32, and since the first three books of Spenser's poem were not published till the beginning of 1590, the passage must have been seen by Marlowe in MS, possibly Raleigh may have acted as intermediary -If Marlowe obtained his knowledge of a passage in the Orlando Furioso (see below as to the episode of Olympia's death) from Sir John Harington's translation, he must have seen this also in MS, as it was not published till 1591 (Collier, ii 497)

¹ See their letter on *The Sources of Marlowe's Tambuslaine* in *The Academy*, October 20, 1883 It may be worth mentioning that the story of Tamerlane was dramatically treated by the Spaniard Lius Velez de Guevara (1570–1644) in his *La nueva era de Dios*, y Tamerlan de Persia See Klein, 3, 125 note

* See the speech of Menaphon, Part I. act 11 sc. 1

Of stature tall, and straightly fashioned, &c

These cannot have included the Abbé du Bec's Histoire du Grand Tamerian, which (for the first time largely utilising Arabic sources) sought to humanise and rationalise the conduct of the hero. This book, which Warton supposes to have introduced the story of Tameriane into English literature, was not published till 1595, or translated into English till 1597.

severely; and I perceive no proof in or apait from his sonorous but as a rule perfunctory references to ancient names and places that he was possessed of the illustrative resources of true classical scholarship As a matter of course, any sceptical hesitation with regard to the statements which he found in his sources was still less to be expected from Tamburlaine neither called itself a History (as the Elisabethan dramatists applied the word 2), nor is it in any but the vaguest sense of the term to be described as a historical drama Strict historical propriety would of course in no case have been expected in it, and even the passage in which Tamburlaine imparts to his sons a notion of the science of military engineering, odd as it may seem in the mouth of a warrior whose opportunities of technical training had been so limited, calls for no exceptional comment⁸ But there is no attempt to furnish that 'poetical image of historical truth4' which Shakspere kept in view in the midst of constant violations of historical accuracy; and it is on purely internal grounds that the poet's free and fantastic treatment of his theme is called upon to vindicate itself

Now, it would be idle to deny that the appalling—or should I say 'sensational'—nature of some of the situations in this play constitutes a more salient feature in it than the measure of power exhibited by its general method of construction Bajazeth, brought out of his cage to serve as his conqueror's footstool⁵, the same ex-potentate, and afterwards

'the way to fortify your men;
In champion grounds, what figure serves you best
For which the quinque angle is meet,
Because the corners there may fall more flat,
Whereas the fort may fittest be assailed,
And sharpest where the assault is desperate, &c &c

I cannot say how far they provoked, or justified the caveat of Sir Thomas Browne (Vulgar Errors, Bk II ch 16) 'That Tamerlane was a Scythian shepherd, we have reason to deny.'

² The two Parts are called 'Tragicall Discourses' on the title pages of the editions of both 1590 and 1592

³ Among the things he would have them learn is

Artillery effects are more than once alluded to in the play.

⁴ Ulrıcı

³ Part I act w scene 2. In the 'cage' itself there was nothing specially Oriental. Unless my memory deceives me, I have myself seen

his wife, 'biaining' themselves against his piison-bars1, Tambuilaine cutting his aim in order to show his hopeful sons that a 'wound is nothing,' although he restiains the most aspiring among them from immediately imitating his example², and, above all, his famous entry in his chariot drawn by captive kings 3,—in the piesence of such effects as these it is indeed difficult to admit any other impressions Yet, considering the general nature of the action, which resembles an avalanche proceeding on its irresistible course, some skill must be allowed to be shown in its conduct. The movement of the action, notwithstanding its essential sameness and its extension over ten successive acts, lises instead of falling off, and its climax is marked not only by the entrance on the scene of the pampered jades, but also by the magnificent defiance hurled by the conqueror at Mahomet, the reputed assessor of the Almighty 4. Opportunity is moreover found

swinging from the Cathedral tower at Munster, the cage to which some of the Anabaptist leaders were consigned a D 1536 The story of Bajazet's cage, and of his treatment by Timour in general, is critically examined in ch lxiv of Gibbon's Decline and Fall—The governor of Babylon, Part II act v scene 1, is merely hung up in chains on his own walls to be shot to death by the victorious soldiery

- Part I act v sc 2
- Part II act in sc a
- 'Enter Tamburlaine drawn in his chariot by the Kings of Trebizond and Sona, with bits in their mouths, reins in his left hand, and in his right hand a whip, with which he scourgeth them . Kings of Natoha and Jerusalem' [they are afterwards termed the 'two spare Kings'] 'led by five or six common Soldiers'—This famous passage, with Tamburlaine's 'Holla, ye pampered Jades of Asia,' which Shakspere ridiculed in 2 Henry IV, act ii so 4, is also derided by a host of other writers, including Beaumont and Fletcher (The Coxcomb, act ii so a) and Chapman and his associates (Easiward Hoe, act ii), also in Edward Sharpham's The Fleire, a play first printed in 1607 (Collier, ii 502 note) It was however imitated by Lodge in his Wounds of Civil War (cf. 10 iii 37)

'The conclusion of this speech does not to my mind warrant Greene's denunciatory phrase of 'daring God out of heaven with that atheist Tamburlan':

'Well, soldiers, Mahomet remains in hell, He cannot hear the voice of Tamburlaine; Seek out another Godhead to adore,— The God that sits in heaven, if any God, For he is God alone, and none but he.'

(Act v sc I.)

Mariowe was doubtless thinking of St. Matthew, iv. 10

for a few love-scenes not devoid of a certain rough chaim, there is genuine passion, though defaced by extravagance, in Tamburlaine's lament over Zenociate, and true pathos in the appeal of the viigins of Damascus on behalf of their menaced city The episode of Olympia's death, although, to be sure, not original but borrowed from Arrosto I, cannot have left many readers unmoved, and if the scenes in which Tambuilaine's boys take part are not specially pleasing, they at least help to vary the progress of the drama. It should be added that the play was not printed as it was acted, many omissions of 'fond and fitvolous gestures' having been made by its first editoi-passages, it has been conjectured, comprising the buffoonery of the clown, whose absence from the printed tragedy is certainly no matter for 1egret 2

Of even greater importance, however, than the substance The blank of this tragedy is its form. The proposition indeed that verse and Marlowe was the first to introduce blank verse upon the of Tam-English stage will not bear examination, and cannot be burlance sustained even in the sense that most of the plays before Tamburlaine in which blank veise was employed were intended for performance at Court, like Gorboduc, or at all events before select and cultivated audiences. The innovation lies rather in the quality of the verse, which harmonised with the vigorous movement of the action, the stir of life in the characters, and the exuberant passion of the diction '. To meet such a demand as this—to suit his metic to the tragic themes and the tragic treatment commending themselves to his genius-Mailowe had to give the go-by to rime, to which the popular diama, even where it did not indulge in the seven-foot metre or in stanza-forms, had on the whole continued to adhere. Rimed stanzas were, except as lyncal intermezzos, doomed as a metre of the English drama so soon

the diction

¹ Cf. ante, p 322 note In Book xxix. of the Orlando Furioso Isabella defeats the desires of Rodomonte by precisely the same stratagem as that employed by Olympia against Théridamas.

Traces of these fond features remain in the fragments of prose scattered through the piece See e.g. Part II. act in sc 4

^{3 &#}x27;It is,' says Mr Swinburne, 'the first poem ever written in English blank verse, as distinguished from mere rhymeless decasyllabics'

as the latter became a living representation of human action. The case was not so clear with rimed couplets, but except where the practice of running-in (enjambement) is adopted and the natural effect of the couplet is accordingly taken away, this kind of verse both lends itself to, and in return encourages, an artificial arrangement of thoughts, while interfering with the continuity which is part of the naturalness of dramatic movement 1 Perceiving this, Marlowe so to speak at once and completely threw in his lot with blank verse, but though his lines from the first had the same combination of strength, ease and majesty which remained characteristic of them to the last 2, yet the metrification of Tamburlaine still shows some signs of uncertainty To begin with, the occurrence of rime, in the middle as well as at the end of speeches, is not at all uncommon³, double-endings, on the other hand, are only very occasionally admitted, though they became more common in Marlowe's later plays. Prose. as has been seen, is not entirely banished from this buskined tragedy. But more noteworthy is the fact that, half doubtful of the inheient power of the blank verse which came forth from his hands, the author of Tamburlaine thought it well to compensate his hearers for the loss of rime by providing them with unprecedented effects of diction4 Hence, though not solely hence, the 'high-astounding terms' for which Tamburlaine became proverbial. They comprised much bombast, but with it also much new material (if I may use the phrase) of poetic diction that, though not always inspired by a genius such as Marlowe's, became part and parcel of the endowment of a whole generation of

² A Miltonic delight in the subjugation of magnificent proper names— 'Usumcasane and Theridamas'—is likewise largely perceptible

¹ This of course is not the case where special emphasis is required, as above all at the close of a speech of greater length

In Part I there are fifteen (possibly more) instances of rime, in Part II twenty-six or thereabouts, with at least two cases of triplets in addition
From jugging veins of rhyming mother-wits.

And such conceits as clownage keeps in pay,
We'll lead you to the stately tent of war,
Where you shall hear the Scythian Tamburlaine
Threatening the world with high astounding terms,' &c.
(Prologue.)

dramatists Nowhere else, however, do we find all the elements of out-of-the-way effect mixed and stirred up together in a diction so recklessly and yet of set purpose extravagant as is that of Tamburlaine The accumulation of strange personal and local appellatives is the most superficial among these ingredients, but not necessarily that in which the author took the smallest amount of pride 1 Of more consequence is the boundless fury of the invective rhetoric which victor and vanquished bandy to and fro, without respite or iemorse, and always in the same key of supreme but sustained excitement² And I cannot but add a reference to the excessive use of ornate similes drawn from a limited range of classical mythology more or less at haphazard, although among them are to be found already in this play some of the choicest gems of Marlowe's poetry 3 In course of time, no doubt, as an examination of the works subsequently produced by Marlowe during the very brief limits of his career as a playwright will show, practice brought home to him the supreme excellence of the instrument of versification he had chosen—which is no other than its incomparable flexibility, so that, while adhering to the preference for single-syllable endings which was a characteristic of his carlier blank verse, that of his later plays is far more varied in thythm and cadence 4 Upon his contemporaries the example set by him had the effect of

¹ If Liminsphaltis, Zona Mundi, &c , were terms derived from the author's researches, this fact is not likely to have diminished his zest in employing them

² Mr Swinburne's description of the diction of *Tamburlame* is classical,—
⁵ the stormy monotony of Titanic truculence which blusters like a Simoom through the noisy course of its ten fierce acts.

³ I need only mention the famous apostrophe to Zenocrate (Part I act v. sc 1) which contains the immortal lines on 'Beauty, mother to the Muses.'—How uncontrollably these similes ran from the poet's pen, may be seen from the passage (Part II act iii. sc 4) introducing Cynthia and Thetis, which, unless it be supposed that Cynthia is meant for Queen Elisabeth, contains a curious involution of comparisons

It is not easy to say whether we should apply to the substance or to the form of Marlowe's plays the remark said to have been often made by Ben Jonson, that 'Marlowe's mighty lines were examples fitter for admiration than for parallel.' (R. C.'s Address to the Reader prefixed to William Bosworth's Chast and Lost Lovers, &c. (1651), a poem partly based on Hero and Leander.)

the beacon which lights up the chain of flame, and the establishment of blank verse as the metre of English tragedy was not less rapid than its endurance has proved secure

Of the commanding popularity of *Tamburlaine* the evidence is overpowering, being made up of the factors of recognition, censure, reminiscence, and parody¹. Of its enduring influence the one fact is the criterion, that it created the style of Elisabethan tragedy

Mailowe's second play, as may without hesitation be

¹ In Peele's Battle of Alcazar, act 1 sc 2, we have a recognition of the Napoleonic type represented by the hero —

'Convey Tamburlaine into our Afric here, To chastise and to menace lawful kings Tamburlaine, triumph not, for thou must die, As Philip did, Cæsar, and Cæsar's peers'

Per contra, Greene, in his Menaphon, sneeringly guesses that 'mightie Tamburlaine after his wife Zenocrate (the world's fair eye) past out of the Theater of this mortall life'—avait des maitresses Tamerlane is twice mentioned as a proverbial bugbear in the same author's Tu Quoque In his Discoveries, Jonson reprobates language which flies 'from all humanity, with the Tamerlanes and Tamer-Chams, which had nothing in them but the scenical strutting and furious vociferation to warrant them to the ignorant gapers.'—Of imitations of the play as a whole it would lead me too far to speak, Tamburlaine is twice mentioned in the play of The Tragicall Raigne of Selimus, somtime Emperour of the Turkes (pr 1594), in which Mr Fleay (English Drama, it 315) is convinced that Greene had a hand, although he supposes the greater part of it to have been written by Lodge. The First Part of this play concludes by holding out the promise that

'If this First Part, Gentles, do like you well, The Second Part shall greater murthers tell'

(Halliwell's Dictionary, &c., p 223) The parodistic allusions to the 'pampered jades of Asia' have already been noticed, another phrase which lent itself to quotation was 'Awake, ye men of Memphis' at the opening of act iv. of Part I - Among reminiscences (as distinct from parodies) of passages in Tamburlaine to be found in Shakspere by far the most striking is the famous description of Death in Richard II, act iii. sc 2 ('There the antic sits,' &c , cf Tamburlaine, Part I act v sc I, the 'antic Death' occurs also in Henry VI, Part I act iv sc 7, which has been ascribed to Of less moment is the resemblance between Macbeth, act v. sc. 5 ('Hang out our banners,' &c) and Tamburlaine, Part I. act iv. sc 4 (one of the several passages in which Marlowe makes effective use of the story of Tamburlame's use of white, vermilion and black standards with graduated signuficance), and that between King John, act m sc r ('Nature and Fortune join'd to make you great') and Tamburlaine, Part I act u. sc I -Mr. Bullen, pl. xxu, has noted some later references to Tamburlains, and its revival on the stage about 1650 As to Rowe's Tamerlane, and the currous contrast between this play and Marlowe's, see below

alike undeniable Indeed, there are passages in the earlier part of the play in which the exquisite beauty of Mailowe's verse, heightened by that imaginative use of classical similes which was peculiarly his own, overcomes every other impression ¹. How far the grosser portions of the last three acts are due to later insertions by other hands—perhaps by that of the facile playwright who edited the play for its first known appearance in print (1633)²,—I cannot pretend to decide

The Prologue to the play is spoken by Machiavel 9 Of

1 Eg act 1 sc 1

'One sole daughter, whom I hold as dear As Agamemnon did his Iphigene And all I have is hers'

² In the scenes with Bellamira and Pilia Borza there is a good deal not by Marlowe This is not due to original collaboration, but to alteration by Heywood, c 1632 Fleay, English Drama, ii 61, where the resemblance to Heywood's Captives, which had struck me independently, is also noted

The interest taken in Macchiavelli by English writers was curiously great, if we may judge from the numerous references made to him and his writings, in and out of season Very possibly it had been fed by the publication in English (in 1537) of the Vindication (see Harlman Miscellany, vol 1) Apart from the circumstances, that a play called by him 'Matchavell' was produced by Henslowe in 1591, that in 1613 Robert Daborne was in treaty with him for a revival of this with additions, or for a new play, under the name of Machavell and the Devil (Henslowe's Diary, ed Collier, p 22 and note), and that in 1507 a Latin drama by D Wiburne called Machiavellus, of which the hero was a Jew, was acted at Cambridge (a transcript of this is in the Bodleian, see S Lee, us, p 147, and cf Halliwell's Dictionary), I have traced the recurrence of allusions to Macchiavelli through a large number of our dramatists Proverbial use is made of his name in plays treating of events which happened before his time, see Henry VI, Part I, act v sc 4 'Alençon! that notorious Machiavel', and cf Steevens' note citing a passage from The Valiant Welchman (1615, ascribed to Armin), where Caradoc (Caractacus) is rather unreasonably bidden 'read Machiavel', also Henry VI, Part III, act m. sc. 3, where 'Machiavel' is substituted for 'Catiline' He is referred to in The Merry Wives, act in sc 2; in Greene's James IV, where 'annotations upon Machiavel' are found in the pocket of the villain Ateukin, in Nashe's Summer's Last Will and Testament, where it is declared that the art of murder Machiavel hath penn'd', in Jonson's Every Man out of his Humour (act 11, sc. a), and in his Magnetic Lady (act 1, sc 1) Jonson, as a passage in his Discoveries proves, had read the author whose name his age was so fond of evoking. While it is interesting to observe with what tenacity popular literature clings to personified conceptions, we may be glad that Englishmen have done something for the memory of the great Italian besides helping to keep alive an oblique view of it, the English visitor to Florence learns with pride that the monument to Macchiavelli in the Church of Sta Croce was raised by a subscription set on foot (in 1787) by an course this personage (the historical Machiavel had died in 1527), as the allusion to his having inhabited the body of the Guise 'now dead' shows, is intended to bear a typical significance only 'Machiavel' introduces the Jew of Malta as one whose wealth had not been amassed 'without my means'. In other words, the villain with whom the play is conceined is no common villain, but a politic schemer acting on a well-considered system, and Barabas fully redeems the promise thus made on his behalf, one at least of his speeches (act v line 117 seqq) has something like the true ring of the Principe itself, by which Macchiavelli's name was chiefly known to the foreign world

This play is so noteworthy, both on its own account and because of the comparison which inevitably suggests itself with Shakspeie's Merchant of Venice, that it may be well to indicate briefly the nature of its plot Barabas is discovered at the outset counting his wealth, when at the height of his prosperity as a merchant of Malta But the rulers of the islands, the Knights of St John, being suddenly called upon by a Turkish force to pay a heavy outstanding tribute, the expedient occurs to them of making the rich Jews pay the money, and thus free the island from the danger threatening Every Jew is to surrender half his wealth, if he refuses, he is straight to become a Christian, and if he declines. conversion, he is to lose the whole of his property Baiabas having refused both the first and the second demand, is sentenced to the ultimate penalty and apparently reduced to beggary, his house being at the same time turned into a nunnery As, however, he has in this house concealed a large part of his wealth, he instructs his daughter Abigail to ask admission into the nunnery, feigning heiself a Christian convert, so that she may secure for him his secret hoard. The device succeeds, but a complication arises from the

Englishman (Earl Cowper) It is noticeable that already in 1734 (in The Craftsman, No. 431) Macchiavelli is introduced as the writer of 'a letter from the dead' in his true character as a 'friend to the Cause of Liberty.' It is curious that Goethe in his Egmont should have thought fit to give the name of Macchiavelli to Margaret of Parma's secretary,—of course a palpable anachronism, had not Goethe in his turn intended simply to indicate a type of the policy represented by the character in question.

circumstance of two young nobles of the island being enamoured of Abigail, who returns the love of one of them, the governor's son Barabas persuades her to inveigle her other admirer by pretending to return his passion, and by sending forged challenges to the rivals as from each to each, he stirs up a quarrel between them which ends in their killing one another Filled with anguish and remorse, Abigail confesses to a finar her connivance in her father's murderous scheme, and dies Barabas hereupon contrives to rid himself both of the inconvenient confessor, and of another friar, by pretending a desire to become a Christian He invites both the figures into his house, kills the one and makes the other believe himself guilty of the deed Having again become rich, he seems likely to reap the reward of his ingenuity, when he is betrayed by the accomplice of his misdeeds, a rascally Turkish slave, whose services he had secured on the strength of his evil looks and antecedents. This Ithamore having betrayed everything to a courtesan, who reveals the villanies of Barabas to the governor, the Jew (not, however, before he has managed to take vengeance by poison on those who had ruined him) is thrown over the walls as But his career is not yet at an end. The a dead man Turks are again besieging Malta, and Baiabas (for he had erely feigned death) becomes their guide into the fortress, after having been promised the governorship in case of success The citadel is taken, governor and people are in his hands, and he is master of the situation But his politic cunning now suggests to him the necessity of making friends with his former foes; he therefore proposes to entertain the departing Turks at a farewell banquet, in the course of which he will contrive to put them all to death he will assure to himself the gratitude of the Christians, remain governor, and be master of the future as well as of the present. The Christians pretend to fall in with this Macchiavellian scheme,-but only in order to catch the Jew in his own trap, of which he has revealed the secret. Thus, instead of the Turkish leaders being crushed by the fall of the banquettingroom, Barabas alone is precipitated into a cauldron of fire held in readiness beneath: and, foiled at last, expires with a curse, of which it is sufficient to state that it very adequately marks the conclusion of the play

It has not escaped the observation of critics, that in this work the first two acts are greatly superior in execution to the remainder Not that the play in the slightest degree abates either in rapidity of dramatic movement or in vigour of language in its latter part, but the colouring grows much coarser, the human element in the character of Barabas is altogether lost sight of, and if the story becomes more striking, its execution becomes less pleasing whether the extraordinary dialogue in which Barabas secures the services of Ithamore, by giving him an insight into his own character and intentions, is to be taken to imply that Barabas really has been all he says he has been-in a word, a very fiend But he certainly acts up to this self-drawn sketch in what follows, and masmuch as he is no longer sinned against as well as sinning, we lose all those elements of sympathy with him which the earlier part of the play had allowed to operate. Of the remaining characters, Ithamore, though very coarsely drawn, is a most effective picture of the basest kind of villain¹, the finars are satirical pictures of monkish selfishness and debauchery, at which it is easy for us to shake our heads,—but we should remember how the passions and prejudices of the age persistently encouraged their reflexion in whatever kind of literature was, or desired to be, in accord with popular sentiment 2

¹ Ithamore bears some resemblance to the very effective figure of the Moor in Schiller's *Fiesco*

If the Middle Ages, no doubt, had shown little or no compunction in illustrating human frailty by examples drawn (often with a successful concealment of the a fortion intention) from the lives of the regular clergy. But the Reformation age imported an unprecedented acrimony into the use to which it put ecclesiastical figures of this sort in its literature. I have given some examples of this in my edition of Marlowe's Doctor Faustus, where Mephistophilis first appears in the habit of a monk. Without touching on other instances here, I may remind readers of Spenser that in The Faere Queens Idleness appears as a monk (I iv 19) and the Devil himself as a hermit (I. 1, 29). Schlegel has pointed out how Shakspere, when he has occasion to bring monks on the scene, prefers to dwell on the nobler aspects of their lives and duties. A corresponding sentiment may have induced him to omit in King John the ribald scene in The Troublesome Rangne, descriptive of the looting of Swineshead Abbey.

Malta and The Mer For chant of

The special interest attaching to the chief character in The Jew of this play is not solely or even mainly due to the resemblances which it presents to Shakspere's Shylock, masmuch as Barabas certainly preceded Shylock on the stage, it is the former character which more directly suggests the question, how and why it came to pass that a Iew should be presented there as a type intended to excite popular antipathy, at a time when, whether or not Jews were to be found in England 1, their presence could hardly have been regarded or apprehended as a religious, political, or social grievance. For it may be well to piemise that, whatever may have been the effect originally produced by the character of Shylock (although I am convinced that the sympathy aroused by this character is merely the result of the unconscious tact with which it was incidentally humanised by Shakspeie), Barabas² was assuredly never intended to secure either the respect or (sit venia verbo!) the sneaking kindness of a single spectator To be sure, just as Shakspere, in working out the relations between character and action, could not fail on occasion to imply his consciousness of counter-arguments ad Christianos, so Marlowe puts into the mouth of Barabas the following specious plea in defence of his own practice

> 'It's no sin to deceive a Christian, For they themselves hold it a principle Faith is not to be kept with heretics-But all are heretics that are not Jews This follows well 87

Apart, moreover, from the much grosser developement of

¹ There can be no doubt but that Mr S Lee has proved in his admirable paper on Elizabethan England and the Jews, in New Shakspere Society's Transactions, 1888, that this question should be answered in the affirmative

I cannot remember any instance in the old mystery-drama in which the figure of Barabbas has comic touches such as are said to have been given to it at one time in the Oberammergau passion-play (they had been removed when I witnessed its performance in 1871). But the name was at all events the most odious that could have been chosen by Marlowe for his Jew -By the bye it is odd (though in the style of the mysteries) that Barabas, who is learned enough to quote Terence ('Ego minimet sum semper proximus'), should forget himself into a Christian oath (Corpo di Dio)

Act II sc. 3

the evil tendencies of the character (whether or not 'racial' or personal, the frequenters of Rose or Cockpit would be haidly expected to distinguish), there are passages in The Few of Malta 1 proving that in external appearance, too. Barabas was intended to be held up to the ridicule as well as to the disgust of the pensive public. It cannot, of course. be for a moment supposed that any traditional conception of the Iew, such as afterwards dominated the drama of more than one nation, had thus early definitely formed itself on the English stage, and was accepted accordingly by Marlowe and by Shakspere after him Of the early play of The Few, commended by Stephen Gosson 2 at as early a date as 1579, we know indeed that its argument included 'the bloody minds of usurers', and if, as seems extremely probable, a playful passage in a letter written in the same year by Spenser to Gabriel Harvey contains an allusion to this play, we may further conjecture that it already contained the story of a bond 3 But in his next appearance on the stage, in an episode introduced into Robert Wilson's late moiality, The Three Ladies of London (printed in 1500) 4, the Jew, Gerontus, plays a highly honourable part, preferring to be cheated of the debt due to him than to approve of the Christian Mercatore's interested conversion, and the commendation of the Jew's conduct uttered by the judge upon the stage must be supposed to have been echoed by the audience. Why then should Mailowe have fallen upon such a type as Barabas, who cannot be called in any sense a study of the Jewish nature, mind, or character.

¹ Eg act 11 sc. 3 (Ithanos to Barabas) 'O brave! master, I worship your nose for this' The character was rendered grotesque and hideous on the stage by means of a false nose, which (as Dyce and Bullen point out) is referred to in Samuel Rowley's Search for Money (1609) as 'the artificiall Jewe of Maltaes nose'

² Ante, p 209

is In this letter, printed in Harvey's Letter-book (printed for the Camden Society, 1884), Spenser signs himself 'he that is fast bownde to the in more obligations than any marchant of Italy to any Jew there' Cf Lee, u.s., p. 148

^{*}Ct the notice of play and episode, ante, p 140, note See also Dr H Fernow's dissertation The Three Lords and Three Ladies of London (Hamburg, 1885), where the significance of the episode between Geronius and Mercatore is admirably elucidated.

but who was conceived in so resolute a spirit of Anti-Semitism as to call forth a whole line of successors ¹ It is indeed evident from incidental allusions to Jews in the Elisabethan drama, both that when mentioned they were mentioned with contempt and dislike, and that they were commonly connected in the popular mind with the practice of usury But there is nothing in these allusions to warrant such a conception as that of Marlowe's Barabas, and whatever may be the case with Shylock, his predecessor on the stage can have nothing to do with Roderigo Lopez, the Portuguese physician who in 1594 was, on evidence which seems more than doubtful, hanged for a supposed design upon the life of Queen Elisabeth ²

Baiabas, the Jew of Malta, is then to all intents and purposes the child of Marlowe's imagination, although it is not to be denied that certain suggestions were ready to his hand that could be easily used to heighten the odiousness of his monstrous conception. To Marlowe's mind a Jew was fair game, his diabolical hatied of everything Christian a matter of course, and his love of money an axiom. He was wholly innocent of any design of producing a typical study of Judaism—least of all by introducing into the character the one softening element of paternal affection.

The resemblances of detail between The Few of Malta and The Merchant of Venice, of which it may be worth while

¹ Among these, apart from Shylock, Mr Lee notes Abiaham 'a cunning Jew' and a physician well seen in poisons, in the tragedy of Selmus mentioned above, p 328, note i, as an imitation of Tamburlame, and below among the plays attributed to Greene, the Cambridge Machavellus, 'Mammon the Usurer with a great nose' in Jacke Drum's Entertainment (1601), Zanph in Day's Travels of the Three English Brothers (Shirley) (1607), Zabulon in Beaumont and Fletcher's Custom of the Country (1622), and the chief figures in two lost plays by Dekker and by Brome.

² See below as to Shylock. There is an allusion to 'Dr Lopus' in *Doctor Faustus*, so xi, which cannot have been from the hand of Marlowe, who died in 1593 It is conceivable that the long-continued popularity of *The Jew of Malta* may have owed something to the effect of the trial and execution of Lopez But Dr Honigmann's conjecture (in an article on the character of Shylock in *Jahrbuch*, vol. xvii, 1882) that Marlowe, who he says exhibits in the diction of Barabas, interspersed as it is with bits of Spanish and Italian, a close acquaintance with the Jewish jargon, had studied it in the speech of London Jews, *perhaps even in that of Dr Lopes* himself, must be respectfully dismissed.

to note some, without pretending to exhaust their number 1, are such as to leave no doubt with regard to the debt owing by the later to the earlier play 2 As it seems to me, they prove conclusively that Mailowe's Few of Malta was present to

Jew of Malta

Act I Sc 1

First appearance of B He enumerates his argosies

77

'These are the blessings promised to the Jews,

And herein was old Abraham's happiness,' &c

Acr I Sc 2

'You have my goods, my money, and my wealth, &c you can request no more' (Unless you wish to take my life)

77

'What, bring you Scriptures to confirm your wrongs?'

Acr II Sc 1

'Oh my girl, My gold, my fortune, my felicity

Oh, girl, oh, gold, oh, beauty, oh, my bliss?

ACT II Sc 2

Barabas and Slave (against hearty feeders in general)

Merchant of Venice

Act I Sc 3

First appearance of S He enume rates the argosies of Antonio

T

Passage about Jacob, with a reference to Abraham, ending

'This was a way to thrive, and he was bless'd,

And thrift is blessing, if men steal it not'

Act IV Sc 1

Greatly improved in Shylock's speech

'Nay take my life and all,' &c

Act I Sc 3

'The devil can cite Scripture for his purpose'

Act II Sc. 8

'My daughter!—O my ducats!—O my daughter!

Justice! the law! my ducats, and my daughter *!'

Acr II Sc 5

Shylock and Launcelot Gobbo

- * There is a strong resemblance to both these passages in Ben Jonson's The Case is Altered, act v sc 2
- ² See several others (some not very striking) in Waldron's edition of Ben Jonson's Sad Shepherd, Appendix, p 209 seqq, among them the following speech of Barabas, to which I need not supply the Shaksperean parallel.

'I learn'd in Florence how to kiss my hand, Heave up my shoulders when they call me dog, And duck as low as any barefoot friar'

It may be added that the passage in the Jew of Malta,

'What sight is this? my Lodovico slain!
These arms of mine shall be thy sepulchre,'

Shakspeie's mind when he wrote his Merchant of Venice Yet the transforming power of his genius is evident in this as in almost every instance where he made use of the labours of his piedecessors The artistic difference between the plays needs no comment The psychological distinction in the treatment of the two principal characters lies, not in the nature of the ingredients of which they are compounded-avarice, cruelty, revengefulness, with no mitigating element but that of paternal love, and this only till it is quenched in the sense of a daughter's desertion—but in the way in which these elements are fused The art of Shakspere is immeasurably superior to that of Marlowe in allowing neither avaince nor lust of vengeance to attain to such a pitch in his Jew as to take the character out of the range of human nature. In contrast with the unrelieved blackness of Baiabas, Shylock remains both truly human and within the limits of dramatic probability A comparison of the last three with the first two acts of the Few of Malta may indeed suggest that haste of execution was the chief cause which prevented Marlowe from achieving a character instead of a caricatuie, but it remains not the less certain that he failed in this instance, as in those of the heroes of Tamburlaine and of Doctor Faustus, to achieve in-actual literary presentment the highest part of the diamatist's task.

Marlowe unmistakeably attained to his highest point as Edward II a dramatist in The Troublesome Raigne and Lamentable (1590-1) Death of Edward the Second, King of England 1

doubtless suggested one in Henry VI, Part III, act ii sc 5, and the beautiful sımıle.

'But stay what star shines yonder in the east? The loadstar of my life, if Abigail,' &c.

cannot have been far from Shakspere's memory when he wrote the still more beautiful passage in Rongeo and Juliet, act ii sc 2. These two similarities are pointed out by Dyce

1 The full title of the quarto of 1598 continues. ' With the tragical fall of proud Mortimer and also the life and death of Peirs Gaueston, the great Earle of Cornewall, and mighty favourite of King Edward the second' A copy of an edition of Edward II dated 1594 was discovered some years ago by R Genee in the State Library of the Museum Fridericianum at Cassel, its presence there he thought might be explained by a visit of English comedians to the court of Cassel towards the end of the sixteenth century.

from the high poetic merits of its diction and verse, which place it on a level with the finest creations of his genius. while no other of his plays in the form in which we possess it is so sustained and (if I may use the expression) so equal to itself, Edward II marks a distinct progress in the developement of an entire species of our dramatic literature is probable, Marlowe's play was preceded in date of performance by Peele's Famous Chronicle History of Edward I. which was printed in 1593, it must be acknowledged that a considerable advance had here already been effected in the direction of freeing the historical drama from the relation of absolute dependence and complete subserviency in which it had hitherto stood towards the chronicles Even so, however, the process of self-emancipation was carried further by Mailowe, and by the authors of the two old plays from which the Second and Third Parts of Henry VI were elaborated. and of that now called The First Part of Henry VI The question as to the authorship of The First Part of the Contention betwixt the two famous Houses of York and Lancaster. of The True Tragedie of Richard Duke of York, of the two Parts of Henry VI founded upon them, and of The First Part of Henry VI, will be most conveniently discussed in a later passage of this volume, where it is desirable to avoid unnecessary repetitions, but whatever may have been the share of Marlowe in the composition of these works, the similarity between a number of passages in Henry VI, more especially in Parts II and III of the trilogy as it is now printed, and a corresponding series in Edward II must be noted at once Ulrici, who rejected the hypothesis of Marlowe's authorship of The Contention and The True Tragedie, was content to assume that in his Edward II he freely borrowed from the plays in question But there can be no doubt that this solution at least may be unhesitatingly rejected. It assumes the priority in date of production of

This earlier edition wants a scene occurring in that of 1598, but the other differences between the two editions are merely matters of spelling or stage-directing. See *The Examiner*, November 25, 1876—Recent' separate editions of *Edward II* are those of the late Dr W Wagner (Hamburg, 1871); of Mr Fleay (London and Glasgow, 1877), and of Mr O. W. Tancock (Oxford, 1887); and I see announced yet another by Professor E. T. McLaughlin of Yale.

the two plays of disputed authorship, although they unmistakeably represent in some respects, more especially in the treatment of the humorous element, an advance which had not been reached in *Edward II* And it contradicts the ordinary practice of a diamatic poet who cannot in any of his acknowledged works be convicted of having borrowed from his fellows, while he certainly on occasion repeats phrases or similes of his own. Whatever conclusion may be formed as to the authorship of the other plays referred to, the originality of the vexed passages in *Edward II* is practically beyond cavil ¹

Marlowe based his tragedy, so far as can be ascertained, upon no single chronicle or annalistic history, although he seems to have made special use of the nariative of Sir Thomas de la Mooi, which was probably written in the reign of Edward III and shows much sympathy for his unfortunate father ² He had, however, before him Robert Fabyan's Chronicle or Concordance of Histories, written some time within the years 1485 to 1490, in which, according to the author's fashion, was inserted a verse Complaint of Edward II (translated from a Latin poem, probably by

1 Ulrici, Shakespeare's Diamatic Art, &c., pp 69 seqq.—The list of parallel passages cited by Dyce in his Introduction has been enlarged by Fleay, in the Introduction to his edition, pp 15 seqq, where he further adds (what does not immediately concern us here) a number of instances of uses of words peculiar to Edward II and Henry VI, and not occurring in any other play attributed to Shakspere except, in one or two instances, in The Taming of the Shrew and Titus Andromuus—One of the most remarkable of the parallel passages had already been pointed out by Halliwell-Philips; see (Old) Shakespeare Society's Papers, vol 1. pp 5-7.—The indebtedness of Shakspere, in plays of which his sole authorship is undisputed, has already been abundantly illustrated. The famous passage in Romeo and Juhet, act in sc 2 'Gallop apace, ye fiery-footed steeds,

And bring in cloudy night immediately,' can hardly have been suggested by that in Edward II, act iv sc. 3
'Gallop, apace, bright Phœbus, through the sky,
And dusky night in rusty iron car,' &c.

(where, by the way, both Cunningham and Wagner print the epithet of night as 'dusty') Other reminiscences of Edward II in Shakspere are pointed out by Mr Bullen in his edition

² See Pauli, Geschichte von England, vol iv. p. 721. Sir Thomas de la Moor, who was an eyewitness of Edward II's resignation, appears to have been Marlowe's authority for the story of the oracularly ambiguous Edwardum occidere nolite timere bonum est? See 16 p. 303.

William of Worcestei), in which the unhappy king, after the fashion of the personages in Boccaccio's Falls of Illustrious Men, followed by the authors of The Mirror for Magistrates, recites his own misfortunes (Other early poems on the same subject were extant; in one of them 1 the corruption of the law-courts is attacked together with the morals of the clergy, the Chancellor of the time was the Robert de Baldock who plays a part in Marlowe's tiagedy.) But the worthy Fabyan, whose work in general has the stiffness and steadiness of the municipal dignity he held, cannot be shown to have been directly used by Marlowe even for the main conduct of his action, which owes more to Stow's Annals and Holinshed's Chronicles, although neither of these was its exclusive source 2 In fact, neither in the last act, of which the actual source has not been ascertained. nor in the preceding part of the play, has Marlowe slavishly followed any authorities known to us, nor was he so unconscious as has been sometimes thought of the necessity of assigning diamatic motives—causes, that is to say, by which the diamatist in the course of the action itself explains its successive incidents, and the part taken in them by his personages, to the spectator Thus, the idea of the passage in act i sc 4, where, in order to gratify Queen Isabel, Young Mortimer consents to bring about the return of his enemy Gaveston, seems to be Marlowe's own inventiona felicitous one, since it accounts at the same time for Gaveston's return and for the growth of the Queen's guilty passion for Moitimer This is a well-devised addition; elsewhere compression is not less successfully applied, Altogether, the subject must be allowed to have been as skilfully treated as it was fortunately chosen 3

¹ In Peterhouse Library, Cambridge, and edited by the late Archdeacon Hardwick for the Percy Society (*Publications*, vol xxvin). It may be regarded as in some sense a precursor of the *Vision concerning Purs Planman*

See the extracts from Fabyan, Stow and Holinshed, ap. Fleay, pp. 18-44.—I have not verified the statement of another writer, that one passage of Marlowe's play is directly based on Capgrave—I presume on his Chronicle.

To the impression created by Marlowe's Edward II may perhaps be traceable the passage in Peele's Order of the Garter (1593), referring to

The dramatic ments, then, as well as the poetic beauties of Edward II are extremely great The construction of the play is upon the whole very clear, infinitely superior eg to that of Peele's Edward I The two divisions into which the reign of Edward II naturally falls, viz the period of the ascendancy of Gaveston and that of the ascendancy of the Spensers, are skilfully interwoven, and after the catastrophe of the fourth act (the victory of the King's adversaries and his capture) the interest in an issue that can no longer be regarded as uncertain, viz the ultimate fate of the King, is most powerfully sustained The characters too are mostly well drawn, there is no ignobility about the King, whose passionate love for his favourites is itself traced to a generous motive 1, he is not without courage and spirit in the face of danger, but his weakness is his doom. Misfortune utterly breaks him, and never have the 'drowsmess of woe' (to use Charles Lamb's expression), and, after a last struggle between pride and necessity, the lingering expectation of a certain doom, been painted with more tragic power. The scene in act iv, where the King seeks refuge with the monks of Neath Abbey, possesses singular pathos, but it is perhaps even more remarkable how in the last scene of all the unutterable horror of the situation is depicted without arousing the sense of the loathsome, and how pity and terror are mingled in a degree to which Shakspere himself only on occasion attains2. For the combined power and delicacy of treatment, the murder of Edward II may be compared to the murder of Desdemona in Othello, for the fearful suspense in which the spectator is kept, I know no parallel except that

Edward's 'tragic cry' I think that allusions to Marlowe's play are also recognisable in the brief History of Edward II by the first Lord Falkland, not printed till long after its author's death (1693) in 1680, apparently with the design of injuring the Government and containing some very judicious reflexions on Edward II's downfall Gaveston is here spoken of as 'the Ganymede of the King's affections,' and the image of a fallen cedar is applied to the dismissed favourite, perhaps in loose remembrance of the passage in act ii. sc. 2

Y M Why should you love him whom the world hates so? Edw Because he loves me more than all the world.'

² 'The death scene of Marlowe's King moves pity and terror beyond any scene, ancient or modern, with which I am acquainted'—Charles Lamb.

which precedes the catastrophe of Aeschylus' Agamemnon But even here the effort is inferior, since in the Greek play the suspense and the appiehension of its inevitable termination are not imposed upon the spectator in the presence of the sufferer on the stage. On the other characters I will not dwell, but they are not mere figures from the Chionicle It may be worth while to note the skill with which the character of young Edward (afterwards Edward III) is drawn, and how our good-will is preserved for him, even though his name is put forward by his father's enemies, till in the closing scene he proves himself every inch a King. Gaveston's insolence is admirably reproduced, he is a Frenchman, full of brightness and resource 1, and preserves an air of lightheartedness to the last, when he expresses his indifference as to the precise manner of his death

'I thank you all, my lords then I perceive That heading's one, and hanging is the other, And death is all 2'

The imperious haughtiness of Young Mortimer—a Hotspur in germ—is equally well depicted, in the character of the Queen alone I miss any indication of the transition from her faithful but despairing attachment to the King to a guilty love for Mortimer—The dignity of the tragedy remains unmarred by any comic scenes,—which is well, for humour was not Marlowe's strong point, but there is some wit in the sketch of Baldock as an unscrupulous upstart,—albeit of University 'culture' 3—who fawns upon the great, and gains

¹ See, in the opening scene, his brilliant *programme* of the system by means of which he will sustain himself as a favourite. The courts of Elisabeth and Henry III seem to revive in this luxurious passage.

^{&#}x27;Unlike both 'the Spanish malefactor who claimed the privilege of a Roman,' and was accordingly 'executed by the command of Galba on a fairer and more lofty cross' (Gibbon, xliv), and the nobleman who requested George III to allow him to be hanged in a gilt chain, the sovereign however replying that it should be done in the usual way' In the play of Sir John Oldcastle there is an Irishman who insists upon being hanged in the Irish way Ulrici oddly censures this speech of Gaveston's as 'the answer of a condemned robber or murderer, but not of the favourite, however unworthy, of a king'

^{*} He presents himself to the King, act it so 2, with typical humbleness:

^{&#}x27;My name is Baldock, and my gentry
I fetch from Oxford, not from heraldry.'

influence by means of his ability to find for everything reasons, or, as his interlocutor terms them, Quandoquidems.

The play is written in blank verse, of a flowing as well as vigorous description, rimes only occasionally occur, and there is no piose Marlowe's love of classical allusions is as active as ever and suggests passages of singular charmin the present instance haimonising with the general treatment of the subject, although we may be rather overwhelmed by meeting, besides Leander and Ganymede, who from different reasons were naturally in the poet's mind, with Circe, the Cyclops, Proteus, Danae, Helen, Atlas, Pluto, Chaion, and Tisiphone as well as with Catiline and other historical parallels Seneca and Pliny's Natural History are cited, it is, in short, as if the poet had poured all the resources of his training as well as of his genius into the cup.

In conclusion, there seems no necessity for dwelling on the Edward obvious resemblance between this tragedy and Shakspere's II and Richard II Richard II, except in so fai as to suggest the narrowness of the limits to which this resemblance, after all, reduces itself Charles Lamb observes that the 'reluctant pangs of ab licating royalty in Edward furnished hints which Shakspere scarcely improved in his Richard II', and if this observation be taken cum grano, it must be allowed to furnish a sufficient summary of the relation between the two tragedies. We may, however, remember that while Marlowe's play covers nearly the whole reign of Edward II, Shakspere treats of little more than the last two years of Richard II But although Shakspere is thus far less tied down by the mere historical facts than Marlowe, he cannot be said in this instance to have drawn his characters with greater fullness and detail than his piedecessor, it is rather in the elaboration of sentiment and reflexion that he has allowed himself ampler latitude in this, the most eloquent of all his tragedies. On the other hand, Marlowe's subject was in

When a lonely fugitive in act iv sc 6, the king thus addresses Baldock 'Come, Baldock, come, sit down by me, Make trial now of that philosophy, That in our famous nurseries of arts Thou suck dst from Plato and from Aristotle.'

some respects the more promising, for the favourites of Edward II, or at all events Pierce Gaveston, have a distinct individuality, such as cannot be ascribed to Green. Bushv. Again, while Mailowe was under no necessity of reconciling with other considerations the rebellious arrogance of Young Mortimer, Shakspeie was obliged to deal tenderly with his rebel-in-chief and usurper, Bolingbroke, as the progenitor of the Lancaster and Tudor sovereigns · Thus his play is more elaborate,—as e g in the striking death-bed scene of John of Gaunt and in the prison scenes of the King,-but can hardly be teimed more effective than Marlowe's, and with regard to the essential point in the comparison, viz the character and conduct of the two kings. it is not easy to decide which of the two poets has the advantage Shakspere's Ruchard is certainly more of a piece than Marlowe's Edward,-more fundamentally and persistently a man prone to hopeless lapses into desultory self-comment and futile meditation, and therefore more mani-But, then, Shakspeie's unavowed festly unfit for action but unmistakeable purpose was to represent Richard's downfall as a more or less inevitable result of the defects of character in the King himself, whereas in Marlowe's case it was permissible for the tragic poet to assert his prerogative right, and to exhibit in Edward's doom a calamity terrible and pitiful enough to redeem the blind folly of his past In the closing scenes, Marlowe, without ever approaching the grandeur and abundance of the associations concentrated by Shakspere upon the situation and its central figure, compels the emotions of horror and compassion with far more potent directness, and the death of the victim, which in Shakspere is swiftly consummated, in Marlowe seems gradually to stifle and stamp down our sobs with those of the expiring King. I know of no second scene like this in tragedy.

The Massacre at Paris (1593) Of the Massacre at Paris it is unnecessary to say much. It appears to have been produced as a new play in January, 1593; but the one printed early edition, which bears no date, is not merely corrupt, but defective in a measure of which we are fortunately enabled to form an estimate by

the evidence of a particular truncated passage 1 Few critics, however, will be found to deny that, after making every allowance for the condition in which it has come down to us, this must be pronounced to be among Marlowe's dramas the least worthy of his genius. Its chief interest for us may be said to consist in considerations of historical rather than literally interest. It certainly shows what an English Protestant of Marlowe's fervid type thought-even when the lapse of ten years or so had cooled down the first gloss of indignant wrath excited by the event-of the Massacre, its authors and abettors, and the principal personages of French and European political life whom it concerned, or, at least, it shows what view on these matters he thought would be acceptable to an English popular audience 2. Sober historians may form a more considerate or composite judgment of Catherine de' Medici than that presented by Marlowe, on the other hand they may be slower in displaying sympathy with the fate of Henry III, perhaps the most wretched member of a wretched brood, but, it must not be forgotten, a prince who at one time had been Queen Elisabeth's suitor Marlowe accordingly makes him send his dying salutations to England's Queen, and King Henry's death, it will be remembered, had happened as late as 1589, and was therefore still fresh in the remembrance of men. There is no disputing the diamatic capabilities of the theme, which were fully recognised by Elisabethan and later playwrights3. Marlowe's argument, had opportunity or patience

¹ See Colher, iii 510-2, where it is shown that three fourths of a verse tirade, besides much of a prose speech, recovered in MS, have been omitted in the print. The MS turns 'Mugeron,' the name of one of the characters, into the familiar 'Mimon', but 'Mugeron' seems to be a corruption of 'Maugiron' whom the dramatist confused with Saint Megrim, another of the king's minions

² It would of course be diametrically opposite to that favoured at Madrid, where the Massacre was, by command of King Philip II, celebrated by the performance of a festival play called *The Trumph of Fath* (K Hase, *Muncle Plays and Sacred Dramas* (Engl Tr), p 60)

³ Webster's (non-extant) play of *The Guise* is held by Colher (ii 482) to be identical with that mentioned elsewhere as *The Masaker of France.* A *Duke of Guise* was entered on the Stationers' books in 1653 in the name of Henry Shirley, and in the Restoration age Lee contributed to the political play of *The Duke of Guise* (1682) by Dryden and himself some scenes and passages of an earlier unfinished play by himself on the Massacre.—In M J, de Chémer's

been given to him for working it out with care, might have proved productive of a very powerful effect, resembling in its developement that of an Aeschylean trilogy. For it should be observed that the consequences of the Massacie, rather than the Massacie itself (which occupies the first act, and is thus merely the starting-point of the play), constitute the real subject of the action. Its central figure is the Guise, with the queen-mother in the background. Marlowe, who loved to paint black in black, was unlikely to forego the opportunity of presenting on the English stage a monster of the deepest hue. From the beginning, where Guise procures from an 'apothecary' a pair of perfumed gloves, with which to poison the old Queen of Navarre, down to his dying exclamation,

'Vive la Messe' perish Huguenots!

Thus Caesar did go forth, and thus he dies'—

there is no redeeming feature about him, indeed, in one passage ('Religion' O Diabole,' &c) it is suggested that he is a hypocrite as well as a fanatic. But, though there is force, and in one instance imaginative afflatus, in Guise's speeches, Marlowe again fails in motivation of character, and fails to account psychologically, as Shakspere in Richard III at least sought to do, for the deadly determination of his hero. Even as conceived by the author, the hurried succession of scenes could have left no room for any such attempt in this breathless play 2

Dido Queen of Carthage (pr 1594) In The Tragedy of Dido Queen of Carthage (printed 1594), Marlowe was assisted, or his unfinished work was completed, by Thomas Nashe, with whom he was on friendly terms in the latter part of his career. I am inclined to think that so far as Dido was written by Marlowe, it must be regarded as a juvenile work, very probably

tragedy of Charles IX, ou L'École des Ross (1789), Talma achieved his first great success A notable agitation was caused by the withdrawal of this play from the stage of the Comédie Française—In 1878 was published (posthumously) Charles de Rémusat's drama, Saint-Barthélemy.

^{*} The application of the term 'Puritans' to the French Protestants, which occurs more than once in this tragedy, may perhaps be worth noticing.

composed before he left Cambridge 1 On the other hand, in the absence of any proof that Marlowe and Nashe were in co-operation at so early a date, or that this play was ever acted in the lifetime of the former, it seems most likely that this unfinished juvenile work was completed by Nashe not long before its publication, which may itself in all probability be attributed to the interest excited by Mailowe's death in the previous year. While the play raiely, if at all, rises to the passionate force which is so characteristic of his tragic genius in the brief period of its maturity, and although we are only now and then in its course thrilled by an exquisite epithet or an inimitable cadence, the work must be allowed to show no signs of incompleteness, and few of what can properly be called uneven-- ness It is a very chaiming version of the oft-told tale of Dido's unhappy passion for Aeneas, which follows Veigil with remarkable fidelity, even quoting, in salient passages, lines from him in the original Latin. But so infinite are the opportunities in this immortal story for the depiction of strong human emotions, that the two English writers could, without going much out of their way to elaborate or vary the details of their subject, treat it anew in a dramatic poem which it is impossible to read without Sympathetic interest In all that concerns the relations between the characters, the construction of this tragedy is neat and firm Anna loves Iaibas, and Iarbas Dido, Dido loves Aeneas, Aeneas loves glory, or, it would be more correct to say, his duty to his destiny, better than he loves

¹ Mr. Fleay, English Drama, 11 147, suggests that Marlowe and Nashe's tragedy was possibly founded on the Latin Dido by Edward Halliwell (whose namesake in the Dictionary however supposes it to have been by John Rightwise), which was acted before Queen Elisabeth at King's College, Cambridge, in 1564, and that their production was intended in rivalry to William Gager's Dido, presented in magnificent style in the hall of Christ Church, Oxford, in 1583, before the Polish Prince Palatine. Alberting Alasco—Besides an unprinted Didone by Alessandro de' Pazzi, a nephew of Pope Leo X, there were two early Italian tragedies on the subject, by Giraldi Cintio (Klein, v 350) and by Ludovico Dolce (10 pp 399 segq) Jodelle's Didon se sacrifiant was written by 1558 (it is printed in Ainen Theatre Français, vol 1v) As to the Elisa Dido of Cristoval de Virues (printed 1579-1581), see Ticknor, ii, 65.—The best-known later Dido is Metastasio's.

The intervention of the gods is very successfully. and so to speak naturally, managed, Juno and Venus only interfere at critical moments, at the beginning of the play a sensuous but finely-written scene accounts for Juno's lealousy of Jupiter, and near the close Hermes appears as the deus ex machina to cut the knot of a difficulty which The comic character of the Nuise. admits of no solution touched like her betters by the dart of Cupid, whom she has unconsciously been tending under the shape of Ascanius 1, irresistibly recalls Shakspere's more elaborately comic Nurse in Romeo and Juliet, and there are one or two other passages that remind us of Shakspeie² It is impossible to determine how much of this tragedy is Marlowe's, although it is tolerably easy to lay one's finger on what must be Nashe's The vein of tenderness, although undoubtedly of a sensuous cast, which runs through the play (see in particular the moving scene in the cave) is that of the poet of Hero and Leander, not is there any female figure in the rest of Marlowe's tragedies who may claim to approach so nearly to the heroine of that lovely poem 3.

Plays attributed to Marlowe The question as to Mailowe's supposed authorship of the two old plays on which Parts II and III of Henry VI were founded, and of those Paits themselves as containing passages that have been held attributable to him, but are wanting in the Contention and the True Tragedie re-

¹ How charming is her description of the orchard and garden to which she thinks she is luring the boy away!

² So Dido's gallery of rejected suitors (act iii sc 1) recalls Portia's Such reviews seem to have been popular, perhaps it was usual to apply them to Queen Elisabeth and her rejected suitors, and the parallel of Dido would be particularly appropriate to the Virgin Queen -With all deference to Mr Bullen, I cannot persuade myself that Shakspere in Hamlet, act 11 sc 2, 'burlesqued' passages in the narrative of Aeneas in our play (act is so 1)—by means of what would have been neither a parody nor a caricature, but merely a sort of rival version. It seems more likely that he had some other play in his mind-perhaps (if this was not merely a revision of Marlowe and Nashe's) the Dido and Aeneas mentioned by Henslowe in 1597 Hamlet's preliminary praise, which could not be applied except in irony to such fustian as that which follows, would have suited our Dido well enough, as a production which would not have 'pleased the million, and which would have been 'cavaire to the general'—The closing line of Dido falls on the ear like the last line of Juliet's speech after drinking the potion, ³ Cf. W Wagner in Jahrbuch, vol xi, (1876), p 75

spectively, and, finally, as to his share, if any, in the First Part of Henry VI, must be reserved for discussion in the next chapter of this book. I may there prove unable to summon strength enough for subscribing to Mr Swinburne's conclusion 1 that 'it is nearly as certain as anything can be which depends chiefly upon cumulative and collateral evidence, that the better part of what is best in the serious scenes of Henry VI is from the hand of Marlowe', but I shall not lightly set my judgment against the consensus of authority which attributes to Marlowe a large share in the Second or Third Part, whether in their earlier or later Of other plays within the now but slightly reverenced Shaksperean canon, Titus Andronicus has with some show of reason been attributed to Marlowe². The evidence consists in resemblances of diction, which to my mind are by no means absolutely convincing, and in the powerfulness of both the conception and the execution of the character of Aaron, which certainly is not in the manner of any known dramatist of Marlowe's age besides himself The supposition, on the other hand, that he was the author of the old Taming of a Shrew, remodelled by Shakspere, rests on the entuely fallacious evidence of the plagiarisms from Marlowe which it undoubtedly contains, the comic humour which this play possesses in a singularly marked degree was beyond all dispute foreign to the bent of Marlowe's genius He has been similarly supposed, on the strength of one or two coincident passages, and of a reference in the Prologue to Tamburlaine, which however is obviously intended to imply the author's wish to supplant the Scythian Shepherd's popularity by his own presentment of a Christian Englishman, to have written the Troublesome Raigne of King John, the early Chronicle History of which mention has already In a different connexion, which will be more been made 3 suitably brought under examination in my chapter on Shakspere, Mr. Fleay holds that the basis of the play of

¹ In his article on Marlowe in the Encyclopaedia Britannica, already cited

² See Bullen, *Introduction*, pp lxxvi, seqq. Mr. Fleay, for whose ingemous conjectures as to the history of this play see English Drama, ii 299-300, 'fears it is Marlowe's'

¹ Ante, p. 223.

Edward III was supplied by Mailowe to its conjectural author Shakspere 1, who incurred a similar debt to his contempolary in the case of the tragedy of Richard III2 Marlowe has also been charged with the authorship of Locrine and of Lust's Dominion, the former imputation must be left to destroy itself, the latter is satisfactorily refuted by the circumstance that the King Philip who dies in act 1 is Philip II of Spain, whose decease took place five years after Marlowe's own 3 He is likewise stated to have 'had a hand' in the Alarum for London, or Siege of Antwerp, the modern editor of which 4 play considers that Shakspere may have exercised some general superintendence over its composition. which he believes to have been the work of Marston lost comedy of The Marden's Holiday was entered on the Stationers' Registers in 1654 as by Marlowe and Day Finally, Mr Fleay 5 has suggested as the obvious interpretation of an ill-natured query by Gabriel Harvey in the course of his expectoration, already cited, on receiving the news of Mailowe's death, that he was the author of a tragedy called The True History of George Scanderbage—an early version of a theme repeatedly treated in later days on the English stage—which was entered on the Stationers' Registers in 1601, and doubtless performed before that date

Marlowe's services to our dramatic literature The services of Marlowe to our diamatic literature are in the main twofold. To the author whose example gave to blank verse its not indeed unassailed, but in point of fact unassailable position as the chosen metre of the English

¹ The design at least of a play on this subject may well have been present to the mind of the author of the last act of *Edward II* See above, p. 352

² See section v of Mr Fleay's Life of Shakspere, entitled The Marlowe Group of Plays, to which I propose to recur He observes, p 281 'Mr Dyce has warned us against attributing too many plays to the short career of Marlowe, but he did not consider that Marlowe probably wrote two plays a year from 1587-1593, and that we have-at present only seven acknowledged as his.'

See the note in Dodsley's Old Plays, vol in p 311 Several passages in the play are here shown to be founded on a tract descriptive of Philip's death, published in London in 1599 Colher, Henslowe's Diary, p 165 note, thinks, this play was very probably identical with The Spanish Moor's Tragsdy, for which payments were made in February, 1600, to Dekker, Haughton and Day Cf Dekker's Dramatic Works, vol. 1, Introduction, p. xii note. '\' The late Mr R Simpson.

6 English Drama, ii. 64-5

drama, that drama owed an mestimable debt The experi- The estabment on which Surrey had ventured nearly half a century before in his translation of the Second and Fourth Books blank verse of the Aenerd (1557), had a few years later been applied by the authors of Gorboduc to their English version, intended dramatic for lettered ears, of Seneca's Latin tragedy But though attempts had hereupon been repeatedly made in the same metre by writers for the popular stage, it was Marlowe who first vindicated to blank verse the sovereignty which it has since retained among English dramatic metres, together with the ascendancy which it has acquired among metres employed in other branches of English poetic composition This he achieved with a rapidity and completeness to which it would be difficult, if not impossible, to find a parallel in literary history Brief as was his career, it was long enough to demonstrate the flexibility as well as the force of his chosen metre, and to establish its ascendancy among the whole body of dramatists contemporary with him 1 English drama never returned to rime, except in a transitory phase of its history which must be regarded as a conscious aberiation from its national and natural course; and it soon afterwards relinquished an endeavour forced upon it by extraneous influences lightly adopted, to be before long as lightly cast off, by the foremost of the English writers of the age 2. But Marlowe established the commanding position in question, not only for blank verse, but for the kind of blank verse of which he and he alone was the originator 'He first, and he alone,' says the greatest modern master of English metre, referring to Marlowe's literary achievements as a whole, 'guided Shakspere into the right way of work, his music, in which there is no echo of any man's before him, found its own echo in the more prolonged and hardly more exalted harmony of Milton's . . . Before him there was neither genuine blank verse nor a genuine tragedy in

lishinent by him of English

'(And not long since) there was a time Strong lines were not looked after, but if rime, Oh then 'twas excellent!'

¹ Of course there were at first oscillations, such as that referred to by Thomas Heywood in the Prologue to his Royal King and Loyal Subject (1600).

See below, the remarks on Dryden's views and practice on this head.

our language After his arrival the way was prepared, the paths were made straight, for Skakspere 1' To the force and chaim of Marlowe's metre—so entirely had it become part of him as a poet—the wondrous graces of his diction, aided by the resources of his slender but select classical learning, were subservient, his often wondrously beautiful similes themselves are but so many jewels ornamenting the royal robe of his verse

The infusion of passion into dramatic composition

But Marlowe's second service to the progress of our dramatic literature, adverted to in the above quotation, although it may perhaps not admit of being stated with precision like the other, was of even more commanding importance. His genius, as it displays itself in the few works which, on the most liberal computation, have come down to us as the undoubted products of his bijef career as a dramatic author. fails to satisfy all the demands of his art. In dramatic construction, although by no means unskilful and at times signally successful, he is as a rule careless; the condition in which some of his plays have come down to us must however in some degree be taken into account in this particular It is but rarely that he applies himself to the gradual unfolding of character; even in the Few of Malta his patience proves unequal to carrying out an admirable con-It is not just to say of the author of Edward II or on a lower plane of the joint author of Dido—that he never draws a picture of any dramatic conflicts save those between human impatience of all control and of all limits, and that necessity of control and limits which the conditions of human life impose It is not just to deny that he is capable of moving the springs of pity as well as those of terror, or that he can paint other and gentler passions besides those of boundless ambition, hunger for knowledge of all things and power over all things, insatiable greed of gold, and cruelty that hardens its heart against God and man. But during his brief labours he had not compassed the art of showing, except now and then, or as it were incidentally, how other human motives of action co-operate and mingle their influence with those on which his ardent spirit loved to dwell, while of the divine gift

of humour which lies so close to that of pathos, of which he was not devoid, he exhibits at the most only occasional signs The element in which as a poet he lived was passion, and it was he who first inspired with true poetic passion the form of literature to which his chief efforts were conseciated with few and faint exceptions this element had hitherto been strange to English tragedy, and where our tragic drama seemed to have been touched by the divine fire, this was only borrowed heat from Seneca or some of the Italians After Mailowe had written, the days of cold horrors and soulless declaration had alike been left behind, the stage was peopled with living men and women, full of hatied and love, of desire and remoise, of aspiration and despair, whose language was the confession of their souls 'His laptures were all avre and fire'; and it is this gift of passion, which filled our drama full of it, even to the brim, that in intimate conjunction with his services to the outward form of the drama, whereby it was first enabled to find beautiful expression for beautiful things, places Marlowe at the head of Shakspere's predecessors and proclaim him the earliest of our great English diamatists

GEORGE PEELL 1, who was boin about 1558, a few years George before Marlowe, and outlived him by a rather shorter space $\frac{Peele}{(x_{558}e^{-})}$ of time, occupies a lower, but still very important position, 1597 () among our Elisabethan dramatists. The family from which he sprang is supposed to have been of Devonshire origin, but his father was clerk of Chust's Hospital in London, where George Peele received his early education At Oxford, where he was successively a member of Broadgates Hall (now Pembroke College) and Christ Church, he took the usual degrees, and is said to have been noted for his poetical productions. These included, besides perhaps his Tale of

¹ The Dramatic Works of George Peele, with Life, by A Dyce 3 vols, 1829-1839 The Dramatic Works of R. Greene and G. Peele, by the same editor, 1861. The Works of Peele, edited by A. H. Bullen, 2 vols, 1895 -Laemmerhirt, Georg Peele, Untersuchungen über sem Leben und some Werke, Rostock, 1882 For as complete a list of Peele's writings as it was in my power to compile, see my article on him in vol. xliv of the Dictionary of National Biography, 1895.

Troy, a version of one of the Iphigenias of Euripides, which was performed in Christ Church hall The governors of Christ's Hospital, to whose bounty he had been indebted. having seen reason to turn the young Master of Arts out of their precincts, he became dependent on his wits, and though he chiefly lived in London, found his way back, at least on one occasion, to Oxford, where in June, 1558, he aided in the production of Dr William Gagei's Latin comedy Rivales and tragedy Dido 1 He was, like Marlowe, well read in classical poetry, to the phiases and subjects of which he makes constant reference in his works, while his Latin quotations are likewise frequent, although perhaps not in quite the same measure as those of his brother-author. He made the most of the ciedentials of his Oxford career, and the 'Master of Aits' is duly appended to his name at the close of many of his publications But his life was in the main that of a reckless London wit, alternating between labour and dissipation, and though he married early, and even seems to have acquired some land in his wife's right. he seems at no time to have settled down to regular ways There is good reason to conclude that sooner or later he became a player as well as a playwright, and belonged in succession to the Loid Admiral's and Queen's companies. Among his private patrons were the Earl of Northumberland, the 'Maecenas' to whom he addressed the Prologus to The Honour of the Garter (1593), and the great Lord Buighlev himself, in whose employ he composed certain verses for the Oueen's visit to Theobalds in 1591, and to whom in 1596 he sent his Tale of Troy, a poem which he had already printed in 1589 and which he is supposed to have written when at Oxford He was the author of a variety of gratulatory and occasional verse, among which his spirited Farewell to Sir John Norris and Sir Francis Drake, &c. (1589) deserves special notice as a characteristic memorial of Elisabethan enthusiam, and in addition to his labours as a playwright proper, which probably began with The Arraignment of Paris in 1581, he was from 1585 onwards employed on the devising and composition of pageants

¹ Fleay, English Drama, u. 171, and cf. 16 1 236 and ante, 357, note,

The less decorous aspects of Peele's life call for little comment, although they became unusually notorious So much it seems not unfair to conclude from the fact that a collection of disreputable practical jokes and loose adventures, repeatedly reprinted after its first known publication in 1607, was connected with his name under the title of the Merry concerted Jests of George Peele, sometime a Student in Oxford¹, but he may be acquitted of any personal share in most of the escapades narrated in this collection, which after its kind largely consists of warmed-up anecdotes of more or less ancient origin, although here and there a personal touch suggests a real connexion with the hero of the whole Unfortunately, other evidence remains as to his ways of life Peele was one of the associates of Robert Greene, whom the latter in his Groatsworth of Wit (1592) admonished to turn from the vicious courses which had brought him low, and in Dekker's tract, A Knight's Conjuring (1606), Peele appears with Greene and Marlowe under the suggestive 'shadow of a large vyne' A more pleasing testimony to this companionship is furnished by Pecle's tribute to the dead Marlowe already cited, on the other hand, he cannot be shown to have taken any direct part in the bitter literary feuds which occupied some of his fellow-drama'ists, although Nashe, the most combative of them all, wrote of him with special warmth of praise2. Whatever may have been the course of Peele's life, his touching confession in his poem of The Honour of the Garter (1593) shows how it had filled his soul with weariness

> 'I laid me down, laden with many cares, My bed-fellows almost these twenty years';

and in 1596, when supplicating Burghley's patronage, he described himself as enfeebled by long sickness. In 1598

¹ Reprinted by Dyce and Bullen, and in the Publications of the Percy Society. One of the Jests was dramatised in the comedy of The Purstan, or The Widow of Walling Street, absurdly attributed to Shakspere, of which the hero is George Pyeboard, i.e. George Peele,—'peel signifying a board with a long handle, with which bakers put things in and out of the oven' (Dyce) Collier and Fleay have also supposed that Peele is the 'humorous George' of the Prologue to Wily Beginled, a play probably performed several years before its first known publication in 1606.

^{&#}x27; See his Address, prefixed to Greene's Menathon (1589)

Francis Meres in his *Palladis Tamia* spoke of him as dead of disease due to vice

The Arrangement of Paris (1581-4)

It was to Peele's first known dramatic work, The Arraignment of Paris, that Nashe specially pointed, when applying to its author, some years after its publication and probably even at a greater distance of time from the date of its first performance, the sonorous designations of 'the Atlas of Poetrie and primus verborum artifex' This court entertainment, which was performed before the Queen by the Children of her Chapel, probably as early as 1581, and certainly not later than 1584, and which thus entered into direct competition with the earlier plays of Lyly, is certainly not the least attractive of its author's works. After the earlier part of the piece has treated the Ovidian story of Paris and Oenone, and of the shepherd prince's judgment between the three contending goddesses, its novelty begins with the airaignment of Paris before Jupiter and the tribunal of Olympus for having adjudged the apple of Ate to Venus Inasmuch as the act was committed in the vicinity of a place sacred to Diana, the final judgment is committed to her hands, and she solves the problem by awarding the apple to none of the rivals, but to a gracious nymph 'whose name Eliza is,' and whom Pallas with appropriate readiness of wit recognises to be the same as she 'whom some Zabeta call' This turn of fancy, which both convicts Paris of an error of judgment and corrects this error in an unanswerable way, is uncommonly ingenious, although probably not altogether original, the nucleus of it may perhaps be traceable to a masque contributed by Gascoigne to the Princely Pleasures of Kenilworth2. The passage3 in which Diana celebrates, and the other goddesses echo, the praises of the Queen, may be taken to represent the non plus ultra of Elisabethan flattery, while it is at the same time remarkably smooth and even delicate in form. The diction of The Arraignment of Paris in general already shows that mixture of affectation and audacity, and that romantic (or perhaps I might venture

1 Heroides, Epp v and xvi

¹ Cf. ante, p 155 See F E Schelling in Modern Language Notes, Baltimore, April, 1893. The form 'Zabeta' was doubtless suggested by Gascolgue's effort.

³ In act v sc I

to say, rococo) classicism which were characteristic of Peele. A still more noticeable feature of this pretty play is the extraordinary versatility of its metrification. While all considerations of correct or even of tolerable riming are ignored, the management of the blank verse, of which about a quarter of the text consists, at least occasionally shows considerable skill or power The undeniable effectiveness of the entire composition is all the more striking, since it is an example of one of the most artificial of literary species, we may ascribe the result in part to the lusciousness of the language, and in part to the general verve or dash of the style Some of the lyrics in the Arraignment of Paris became popular, and one of them, 'Faii and fair, and twice so fair 1,' is eulogised by Charles Lamb Malone thought that in the episode between Colin and the cruel shepherdess, Peele referred to the Rosalynde whose identity has puzzled so many commentators, and her lover, and supposed Spenser to have taken his revenge by stigmatising the envious Peele as Palin in his Colin Clout's Come Home Again² Mr Fleay, who has discovered additional allegorical meanings in the play, concludes Colin and Hobbinol to stand as a matter of course for Spenser and Haivey, and Thestylis to be Spenser's Rosalynde³ I mention these interpretations, chiefly because the fact that Peele's works contain more than one reminiscence to his great contemporary furnish a notable testimony to his own poetic taste, more especially as his personal friendships and partisanship associated him with very different literary companions 4.

Of another pastoral drama by Peele, licensed under the

1 Act 1 sc. 2

2 'There eke is Palin, worthie of great praise, Albe he cavil at my rustick quill'

But this is doubted by Todd, and has not, I think, been accepted by later commentators

² English Drama, 11, 152.

See Dyce's note on the passage in the *Prologus* to the *Honour of the Garter*. Why thither speed not Hobbin and his feres,—

Great Hobbinol, on whom our shepherds gaze',

also the passage in David and Bethsabe, so 7, traced by Colher, in. 26-7, to The Facre Queene, bk 1 canto v. st. 2; also the Spenserian figure of Magnanimity, occupying the place of honour in the Pageant borne before Woolstone Dixi.

title of The Hunting of Cupid in 1591, only a few fragments. chiefly lyrical and dispersed through the Elisabethan anthologies, remain One may regret that, so far as can be ascertained. Peele made no further literary attempts in a direction which the peculiar admixture of light and serious elements in his genius might have naturally induced him to follow His labours in the service of pure pageantry and show are less closely related to dramatic literature, but no doubt brought with them consolations of their own of his pageants for Lord Mayor's Day are preserved to us The earlier of them, which is at the same time the first known literary specimen of its kind, is The Device of the Pageant borne before Woolstone Dixie-who became Lord Mayor on October 29, 1585 In this pageant the praises of 'lovely London,' otherwise 'New Troy,' are coupled with tributes of Oueen Elisabeth. The other pageant, Descensus Astraeae, was written for the mayoralty of William Webbe, which dated from 1501 Astraea is Queen Elisabeth, while Superstition and Ignorance figure under monastic disguises Some special political significance may underlie this fantastic device, but the noble passage in honour of London, which shows Astraea confounding her enemies, makes a less evanescent appeal to patriotic memories Peele's other extant efforts as a writer or director of pageants or shows, of the kind celebrated by him in his poem Polyhymnia, call for no further notice here 1.

Without pretending to determine the relative pilority in date of the two historical dramas indisputably assignable to Peele, I am disposed to think that there are sufficient reasons for concluding The Battle of Alcazar to have been the earlier play of the pair. But The Chronicle of Edward I occupies so signal a position in the progress of our national historical drama, marking with unparallelled distinctness the transition from the Chronicle History, still fettered by the traditions of the Morality, to the 'true' dramatisation which, in the hands of Shakspere and his

¹ The Device of the Pageant for Martin Calthorpe, Mayor, entered on the Stationers' Registers in October, 1588, under Peele's name as author, is not preserved. Cf. Fleay, English Drama, 1154.

fellow-dramatists, became the 'History' proper, that its traditional precedence need not be here disturbed Although not printed, so fai as we know, till 1503, this play, there is good reason for believing, may have been acted two or three years earlier 1 In any case, its relation to the iest of Peele's dramatic works is wholly different from that borne by Marlowe's Edward II to the other undisputed plays of its For once, there is in this case much in a name, and no designation could better describe the method of composition adopted by Peele in this play than its compound title of The Famous Chronicle of Edward I, sirnamed Edward Longshanks, with his returne from the holy land. Also the life of Lleuellen rebell in Wales Lastly, the sinking of Queen Elinor, who sunck at Charing-crosse, and rose againe at Potters-hith, now named Queenshith In fact, this Chronicle History, calling itself by a name which we are in the habit of assigning to a whole species or series among the products of our national historical drama, although obviously a gap separates Edward I from Edward II not less wide than that which intervenes between Kyng Johan and Edward I, is little more than a series of scenes or episodes, derived mainly from Holinshed, and strung together without either connecting care or assimilating art Foi the large admixture of prose, especially in the Welsh scenes, which are insufferably tedious and trivial, the author or the stage which he served must be held responsible But while these scenes are calculated to make the judicious grieve, the author has incurred more serious blame by defacing the material part of his work through a teckless introduction of scandal—of the blackest and most mendacious sort. It concerns the good Queen Eleanor, of Castilian birth-unluckily for her reputation in the later Tudor age, whether we are to conclude the doggrel ballad from which Peele derived his lying charges to have been a production of the guess of Oueen Mary's reign, or an oblique reflexion of Elisabethan pseudopatriotism² The poetical merits of the play are half

¹ Mr Fleay's argument, that several lines in this play are also to be found in *Polyhymma* (1590), is not in itself convincing. But one is anxious to believe *Edward I* to have been a relatively early production of its author's.

The ballad is printed by both Dyce and Bullen.—The incident of the

buried by these obstructions, they have been justly sought more especially in its first, which is also its finest, portion. The return of King Edward from the Holy Land is a striking incident strikingly represented, but this entry, which vaguely recalls that of the Aeschylean Agamemnon, has no similar dignified sequel. The King's speech at the close of the play possesses intrinsic dignity, in addition to the local interests to which it appropriately makes appeal 1, but, taken as a whole, while interesting by reason of its peculiar position in our dramatic history, this play, notwithstanding the ornamentation of both classical and Italian lore bestowed upon it by the author, is not only singularly unequal, but devoid of intrinsic value.

The Battle of Alcazar (1592 or ante) The Battle of Alcazar, printed in 1594, was acted at all events as early as 1592, if we accept the haidly avoidable conclusion identifying it with the popular play designated by various permutations of the name of Muley surnamed Abdelmilech². The incidents of the play, of which the central one belongs to the year 1578 (August 4th), no doubt acquired a living popular interest from the attempt made in 1589, and celebrated at its outset in Peele's Farewell³, to place Don Antonio on the throne left empty by

King, in company with his brother, taking his wife's confession in friar's disguise, was very probably copied from some Italian novel —The curious legend about the 'sinking' of Queen Eleanor is referred to in Middleton's The Witch (act i. sc 1)

Amsterdam swallow thee up for a puritan,
And Geneva cast thee up again! like she that sunk
At Charing Cross, and rose again at Queenhithe'

Cf also Anything for a Quiet Life, act v sc 3

- ¹ Viz those associated with Queen Eleanor's crosses Cf Professor Tout's Edward I (in Macmillan's Twelve English Statesmen series, 1893), pp 176-7, where reference is made to 'the chroniclers' who 'celebrate her piety, her modesty, her pitifulness, and above all her love for all good Englishmen, and her complete sympathy with the ways of her adopted country' Milton in his Animadversions upon the Remonstrants' Defence against Smeetymnuus (sec. v.) ridicules 'the old wife's tale of a certain*queen of Lingland that sunk at Charing cross, and rose up at Queenhithe' The phraseology of the allusion is currous, see below as to The Old Wives' Tale
 - * See Henslowe's Diary, ed Collier, pp 21 et post
- ² Cf. ante, p 364. The lines, which will have again to be referred to, are the following.

Bid theatres and proud tragedians, Bid Mahomet's Pow, and mighty Tamburlaine, ш

Don Sebastian, and it has been consequently supposed that the play mentioned in that poem under the name of Tom Stukely is no other than The Battle of Alcazar itself This would no doubt add freshness to the allusion to the fate of the Great Armada which the play is supposed to contain 1 As to Peele's authorship of The Battle of Alcazar, although it is corroborated by no external evidence earlier in date than 1600², no reasonable doubt can be entertained We can hardly err in concluding him to have seized upon a subject, commending itself to him both by the popularity of its associations and perhaps by the Devonian origin of the hero, in order to rival Marlowe's Tamburlaine in its own vein⁸ The central personage of Peele's play, who began his career as a cadet of an ancient family settled near Ilfracombe, and ended it by dying on the battle-field of Alcazar, in the company of three kings, had certainly a very different kind of interest for Englishmen than that which could be evoked by the 'Scythian Shepherd' The events of Stukeley's career are vivaciously set forth in this drama, though it is put together in a more antique, not to say clumsy, fashion than Marlowe's much longer tragedy, and the moral which it is made to teach is obvious enough, while the praises of Oueen Elisabeth and of loyalty have in this instance a real pregnancy of meaning 4 A Presenter speaks a by no means superfluous prologue to each act, and a series of dumb-shows further elucidates the conduct

King Charlemagne, Tom Stukely, and the rest Adieu. To arms, to arms, to glorious arms!

A later play, The Famous History of the Life and Death of Captain Thomas Stukely (pr 1605), was reprinted by the late Mr Richard Simpson in vol. 1 of his School of Shakspere (1878) As to the Latin Historia de Bello Africano (Nuremberg, 1580), whence Peele derived part of the materials of his play, see Dr. Brinsley Nicholson's note ap Bullen, 1 221 seqq—Stukely and the battle of Alcazar are mentioned in Beaumont and Fletcher's Wit at several Weapons, act 1 sc. 2 In Greene's Tu Quoque Stukely is mentioned as a type of martial spirit and liberality

1 See act m sc I

² When it was assigned to him in England's Parnassus

⁸ Ancient Pistol addresses to Mistress Doll a parody on the Moor's

'Hold thee, Calipolis, feed, and faint no more'
(Act ii sc. 3) The Battle of Alcazar is also ridiculed, together wi

(Act 11 sc. 3) The Battle of Alcazar 1s also reduced, together with other early plays, in Jonson's Poetaster, act 11. sc. 1.

* A savage sarcasm against Philip II occurs in act in sc 2

of the action It abounds with life, at all events from the first appearance of the hero, intent upon bearding the Portugals in their own capital, the battle-scenes in especial are full of stii¹, and the hero's dying speech, if not quite true to its promise—

'Short be my tale, because my life is short,'-

for in point of fact, it gives a summary of his biography—is not without a touch of pathos. But we are still in the infancy of the drama, and, while the diction is manifestly. Peele's, this play is in construction and characterisation one of the least ambitious of his efforts, inasmuch as the accumulation of striking incidents, dramatically reproduced in forcible speech, seems to satisfy the author's conception of his task

I he Old Wives' Tale (bifore 1595)

The Old Wives. Tale, printed 1595, was acted very possibly several years earlier, although it contains no evidence of animosity against Gabriel Harvey sufficient to suggest any connexion between it and the much-complicated quarrel between the latter and Nashe This play might be passed by with a brief commendation of the homely humour of its exordium, contrasting as it does with the labyrinthine but manifestly undesigned intricacy of its main scenes, were it not for the fact of its connexion in subject with one of the loftiest productions of English poetical literature. A glance at Peele's farce, or interlude—for it is difficult to decide which name to assign to it-places this connexion beyond doubt, and it may be noted that Milton's literary acquaintance with Peele seems not to have been confined to this play? The Old Wives' Tale begins with the entrance upon the scene of three merry companions, Antick, Frolick, and Fantastick, who in their wanderings in the woods have lost

'The Moor Villain, a horse!

Boy. O, my lord, if you return, you lie!

The Moor Villain, I say, give me a horse to fly,
To swim the river, villain, and to fly!

¹ Cf especially a passage which the author of Ruchard III may be supposed to have remembered

⁽Act v sc 1.)

* Cf. the allusions to The Old Wives' Tale and to Edward I in Animadversions upon the Remonstrants' Defence against Smeetymnuus. (Todd.)

their way, without at the same time losing their good spirits. They are conducted by an old man (who appears with a 'lanthoin and candle,' and announces himself as 'Clunch the Smith') to his hut, where they are made welcome by the good-wife She sends one of them to bed with her husband, and undertakes to entertain the two others with a merry winter's tale 'to drive away the time trimly 1' The whole of this introduction is written with much natural freshness and humour, as indeed is the opening of the old wife's tale, which, like the beginnings of many another narrative, is neither very clear nor very concise. So soon as the old woman has involved herself and her hearers in a maze between what she remembers and what she forgets, her story is interrupted by the appearance of 'some that come to tell her tale for her' In other words, from this point the 'tale' is no longer told but acted, the two Brothers, Sacrapant the conjuror (the son of the witch Meroe 2), Delia the enchanted lady, and numerous other personages appearing in a swift and not always very perspicuously connected succession of scenes A variety of comic characters are also introduced, among them Huanebango, who quotes Gabriel Haivey and iidicules his hexameters3, and the hero who makes an end of Saciapant is Jack, the namesake and rival of the immortal Giant-Killer Now, that Sacrapant, The Old Delia, her Brothers, and Jack became in Milton's hands Comus, the Lady, her Brothers, and the Attendant Spirit Millon's is open to no doubt, although the author of Comus also derived suggestions from Ariosto, and probably likewise from Apuleius and other classical sources. The difference

Tale and

One of the ensuing lines is actually taken from Harvey's Encomium Lauri, where it occurs as the second in the following exquisite couplet

¹ Cf Lyly's Sapho and Phao, act 11 sc r

² 'Sacrapant King of Libia' appears in Greene's Perimedes the Blacksmith (1588), where by the bye is also to be found an old wife who tells stories (See Greene's Works, ed Grossart, vii 83)

⁵ Phylyda, phylerydos, pamphylyda, floryda, flortos,

Dub dub a dub, bounce quoth the guns, with a sulphurous huff snuff,' &c

^{&#}x27;Faine wod I crave, might I so presume, some further acquaintance O that I might? but I may not . woe to my destine therefore ' As to Harvey's quarrel with Greene, vide infra

between the play of Peele and the poem of Milton is that between a farcical extravaganza, not devoid of occasional touches of a time poetic fancy, and one of the loftiest, most sustained, and most refined of moral allegories in poetic literature But masmuch as Milton was beyond doubt a reader of Peele, I cannot think that the expression. 'coincidences as regards the plan, the characters, and the imagery,' used by Mr Masson 1 in discussing the origin of Comus, adequately represents the relation between Milton's sublime poem and Peele's fanciful creation For the rest. the fresh and spaikling induction of the piece, together with the irresistible flow of high spirits that pervades it as a whole and atones for the considerable admixture of romance dissolved in nonsense, ought to suffice to make it delightful to readers open to the charms of desipience in season

Plays attributed to Pieli The Old Wives' Tale is the last of Peele's plays that was ascertainably published in his lifetime. It may be regarded as indisputable that he wrote many plays now lost, but their catalogue is not easy of construction². The list may possibly include The Turkish Mahomet and Hiren the Fair Greek, which may be the play referred to in the celebrated passage in Peele's Farewell already cited, and which has also been thought identifiable with a play designated as Mahomet in 1594. His possible share in the First and Second Parts of Henry VI must be left open for the nonce, of the other plays which have been supposed in whole or in part to be the product of his pen, none can be connected with his name by any but hazardous conjecture except the comedy of Wily Beginled (not known to have been printed before 1606,

¹ Life of Milton, 1 586

² I should be the last to impugn tests of phraseology which carry conviction to a scholar imbued with the study to which he has devoted his powers. Mr Fleay thinks the expression 'sandy plain' Peele's sign-manual, but like Wouverman's white horse, the property seems to me to be one liable to falling into different hands

¹ See Henslowe's Diary, p 39—The authority on which The Turkish Mahomet and Firen the faire Greek is ascribed to Peele, is that of The Jests of George Peele (see How George read a Play book to a Gentleman). Collier, if 411, suggests that the play in question was possibly only an adaptation of an earlier play. The History of a Greek Maid.

although an earlier version had been probably produced some years before) If Peele was the 'humorous George' of the Prologue to the later version of this play, he may very probably have been author at least in part of it in its original form 1 On the other hand, I have no hesitation Sir in subscribing to the opinion of both Fleay and Bullen, in $\frac{Clyomon}{and S_D}$ refusing to burden Peele's reputation with the authorship of Clamvdes Sir Clyomon and Sir Clamydes, ascribed to him by Dyce (pr 1599) on wholly unsatisfactory evidence 2 This semi-epical production, notwithstanding a tediousness emphasised by the logtrot 'common metie' in which it is composed, presents certain points of interest to the student of our early drama,more especially the comic character of Subtle-Shift, unsavoury though his talk is from the moment when he first tumbles on the stage, as out of a ditch, and then runs off to look for one of his legs, which he fancies he has left behind him with the corresponding boot He is, of course, no other than the Vice, nor could any more instructive illustration be suggested of the transition from the Vice of the old moralities to the Fools of Shakspere This is, too, one of the earliest play wherein a lady appears in the since timehonoured disguise of a page, and a certain resemblance suggests itself between the pathetic situation of Neronis and that of Viola 3 The play as a whole is based on some unknown romance—one of those queer tales of chivalry in which ancient and mediaeval times are wildly jumbled together, the two heroes of the play, for instance, the sons respectively of the King of Denmark and the King of Swabia, meet at the court of Alexander the Great

The play which I follow Dyce in thinking beyond all David and question Peele's masterpiece, was not printed till after his

Bethsabe

¹ Fleay, English Drama, 11 158. See below

² Viz. that of a MS note in a very old hand on the title-page of a copy Laemmerhirt's list of parallel phrases in plays undoubtedly by Peele cannot in my judgment be regarded as evidence to the contrary See as to this play, Colher, 11 425 segg, and Fleay, English Drama, 11 295-7, where it is attributed to the author of the old Appus and Virginia (ante, p 204)

² See the lines 'How can the tree but wither'd be.' The name of the cowardly knight Brian Sansfoy in this play suggests a derivation from Spenser, with whose Faerre Queene Peele was familiar, but too much should not be made of this

death, in the year 1599 The date of its composition is unknown-Mr Fleay, who very unnecessarily, so far as I can perceive, suspects an allegorical purpose in it which would suit the date, places it as fai back as 15881 method of construction this play, as is indicated by its full title, The Love of King David and Fair Bethsabe With the Tragedie (1 e tragic fate) of Absalom, resembles Edward I It is, in fact, composed in the manner of a Chronicle History, although the original text is, of course, that of Holy Wiit, or perhaps of some version of its narrative with which Peele had met in an old religious play unknown to us Collier conjectured that Peele's play was printed in order to disarm the strictures which had in the year 1599 been put forth against the morality of stage-plays 2 This hypothesis seems far-fetched, but it must be allowed that Peele not only succeeded in assimilating (so to speak) the true spirit of the Old Testament³, but also managed to treat most of the thorny passages of his subject without indelicacy 4, and the whole of it with force There is nothing that is really offensive in this play, and much that comes home to both heart and conscience Peele's was not, in my judgment, a sensuous genius, and I recognise in this work, in its earlier part in especial, a sincerity of moral feeling to which many of his diamatic contemporaries were strangers. On the other hand it must be granted that David and Bethsabe exhibits little evidence of power of dramatic characterisation, and still less of any endeavour to balance or co-ordinate dramatic effect. A great error of dramatic feeling (if I may use the

¹ See English Drama, ii 153, where, to be sure, Mr Fleay does not go further than saying that 'the situations in the play are strikingly suggestive of Elisabeth and Leicester as David and Bathsheba, Uriah as Leicester's first wife, and Absalom as Mary Queen of Scots' The play appears to have been reproduced in 1602 (I do not suggest, with a fresh allegorical intention)

² Colher, in 26 In 1599 Dr Rainolds published his Overthrow of Stageplays As Colher points out, Piele was dead at the time, so that he at least cannot be credited with a design which would have some resemblance to that of Racine's endeavour to meet by means of his religious plays the late awakening of his royal master, under the influence of Mme. de Maintenon.

³ Cf. Bullen, 1 II

It is true that the enumeration of the dramatis personus bears some resemblance to a list of the offspring of Charles II. Perhaps the same thing occurred to Dryden.

expression) is committed by the introduction of the scene in which David steals Urias' wits with the aid of wine. Not that the scene, the resemblance between which and a well-known episode in Othello must strike every reader, is in itself coarse in treatment, but a character for whom the strong sympathies of the audience had been engaged should not have been subjected to needless degradation

The diction of the play, while generally pleasing and suggestive of mature workmanship, here and there rises to an impressiveness of form rare in a diamatist, who with all his merits is of secondary rank. The aid of a scriptural (or Oriental) tendency to parable may possibly have contributed to this occasionally remarkable effect. The blank verse. although labouring under the defect of a rather monotonous cadence, is on the whole fluent and agreeable

Of Peele's pageants there are preserved to us The Device Pageants of the Pagcant borne before Woolston Dixie 2 (Sir Woolston (1585 and Dixie became Lord Mayor on October 29, 1585), the earliest extant city pageant 'Lovely London' herself appears under the designation of New Troy, accompanied by other allegorical figures, of which the first is named Magnanimity In the Descensus Astracae (in honour of the accession to the Loid Mayoralty in 1591 of Sir William Webbe) Queen Elisabeth herself is celebrated as Astraea, and since Superstition confionts her as a first and Ignorance as a monk, a more special meaning may be supposed to underlie this fantastic device, in which the passage in plaise of London possesses considerable beauty, while the most is made of the opportunity offered by the Lord Mayor's patronymic I need not return to Peele's other contributions to this species of literature. As is shown by the multiplicity of non-dramatic productions of which he was the author, as well as by the variety of the dramatic species to which he set his ready hand, he shiank from no kind

² Edited by Fairholt in the Percy Society's Publications (1843)

¹ See the famous passage in the Chorus after sc 3 (with the simile of the Raven), and another in sc 15 (with the simile of the Eagle) Cf also David's simile of the Roe in sc 1. Collier has pointed out that the fine comparison of David to the Sun coming forth like a bridegroom (sc 7) was borrowed directly from Spenser (Fëarte Queene, I v. 2)

of literary labour which offered itself to him, and doubtless he dissipated much of his creative energy in the process

Peele's position among our aramalists

At the same time he thus became one of the most prominent figures among the writers here classed as Shakspere's predecessors, and it is unavoidable that Shakspeie's own achievements should be more particularly compared with those of a writer whose career, although relatively brief was not cut so short as that of Marlowe Undoubtedly Peele was born eleven years before Shakspere, and this slight chronological difference should count for much in a literary period of so unparalleled a rapidity of developement It seems of slight significance to set against this the fact of the literary training of which Peele availed, or might have availed, himself The University culture to which he like other gentlemen scholars of light equipment attached so much importance—for he well remembered that he was a Master of Arts-can scarcely be thought to count for much in the substance of his qualities as a dramatist. He was able both in and out of season, to introduce into his writings classical allusions from a limited range of studies, and to supplement them by illustrations of his familiarity with the derived fragrance of Italian literature His use of such aids as these was, it must be allowed, too liberal and frequently too felicitous to admit of its being set down as essentially pedantic Peele's method of literary workmanship as a whole was assimilative rather than dependent, and it may be more than a coincidence that the greatest of literary assimilators -Milton-seems to have entertained a predilection for his works. In any case, the difference between this predecessor of Shakspere and Shakspere himself remains almost unmeasurable, from whatever aspect of the dramatic poet's art it be viewed In the metrical manipulation of the English language Peele was skilful and occasionally highly successful 1; his blank verse, as has been said above, rises now and then to grandeur and power, and scattered through his plays

¹ Peele's diction, as well as his versification, has been examined at some length by Laemmerhirt, u s., but the critic concludes that his author's diction presents no features distinguishing it individually from that of his contemporaries.

and pastorals we meet with a lyric or two of imperishable charm. He had hardly mastered the treatment of rime in its connexion with metre—though in truth, more especially in view of the utter corruptness of so much of the text of the plays indisputably his this is a question on which it would be unsafe to generalise. In constructive power, so far as these plays are concerned, he made no perceptible advance upon the dramatists who had preceded him or who were his contemporaries 1 His shortcomings, due to lack of example perhaps rather than to want of ability, in the delineation and developement of character, have been already noticed Even so, however, the vivacity of his fancy and the variety of his imagery entitle him to an honourable position among our Elisabethan dramatists, while the veisatility of his genius, attempered by patriotic sentiment and steadied by enduring moral conviction, gives him his distinctive place in our literature at large If on the whole (though by no means universally 2) his merits have been overrated, it may perhaps be urged on his behalf, that, neither in life nor letters was he ever (a slight infirmity of academical pretensions apait) desirous of presenting himself for more than he was worth, so that a just estimate of his merits is unlikely to wane even beneath the blaze of inevitable comparison

ROBERT GREENE³, the most widely productive writer and Robert in certain respects the most notable dramatist among those

Greene (1560 ¢-1592)

¹ It is chiefly in this sense that his influence upon the progress of our drama has been rightly stated to have been inferior not only to Marlowe's, but even to Lyly's or Greene's See Symonds, Shakspere's Predecessors, 564.

² Charles Lamb is an eminent exception

³ The Dramatic Works of Robert Greene With some Account of the Author, and Notes By Alexander Dyce 2 vols, 1831 -The Dramatic Works of R. Greene and G Peele By the same Editor, 1861 -The Life and Complete Works in Prose and Verse of Robert Greene Edited by Dr A B Grosart (Huth Library), 15 vols, 1881-6 (Vols x111-x1v of this edition comprise the plays; vol 1 contains a Translation of Professor Storojenko's Life of Greene) Fleay, English Drama, 1 250-266 -R Simpson, Account of Robert Greene, his Prose Works and his Quarrel with Shakspere, in vol. ii of The School of Shakspere (1878). - Jusserand's account of Greene's prose-tracts in The English Novel (Engl Tr, 1890), pp 167-192 -Dr C H Herford, On Greene's Romances and Shakspere (Shakspere Society's Transactions, 1888) -W Bernhardt, Robert Greene's Leben und Schriften (Leipzig, 1874.)-J M Brown, An Early Rival of Shakspere (Auckland, 1877) -H. Conrad, Robert Greene

His life

grouped in this chapter as Shakspere's predecessors, was born in Norwich about the year 1560 The period of his birth can only be calculated from the dates of his academical career He matriculated in 1575 at St John's College, Cambridge, towards which famous foundation, the nursing mother of so many of the wits of his age and circle, he continued to cherish a combative piety 1 But after taking his BA. degree from this College in 1578-9, he migrated to Clare Hall, whence he proceeded M A in 1583 In 1588 he was incorporated at Oxford, thus acquiring the rather specious privilege, of which he availed himself in not a few of his title-pages, of styling himself 'utriusque Academiae in Artibus Magister' Although at the end of one of his publications he further calls himself a 'Student in Phisicke,' he does not appear to have proceeded to a medical degree After the termination of his undergraduate course he appears to have for some time travelled abroad, and to have indulged freely in the opportunities of dissipation which came in his way 3 His travels extended to Spain and Italy, and probably also, besides France and Germany, to Denmark and Poland, and it seems most likely that he went abroad on more than a single occasion There is no sufficient reason for supposing that on his return from, or in an interval between these journeys, he took Holy Orders, he cannot well have been the Robert

als Dramatiker (Shakespeare Jahrbuch, vol xxix 1894) — Art Robert Greene, by A H Bullen, in Dictionary of National Biography (vol xxiii, 1890).

¹ See the passage in the letter *To the Gentlemen Students of both Universities*, prefixed to *Menaphon*, in which he celebrates St John's, of which Trimty 'was called by the University Orator a mere colonia diducta'

^{&#}x27;Planetomachia (1585) This Euphuistic composition 'printed in vol v. of Grosart's edition) may be regarded as a crude effort, which went beyond the versatile powers of its author, at flavouring fiction with 'science' A brief apology for the Sacred Science of Astronomy prefaces a discourse in the Decameronic manner among the Planets, interspersed with 'tragedies,' i e narrative episodes of serious interest

^{*} This as well as other statements in the brief biographical sketch in my text, which I think will be generally accepted, rest on the assumption that the experiences of Philador in the Mourning Garment (1590), and more especially those of Francesco in Never too Late (1590), and of Roberto in the Greatsworth of Wit (licensed 1590), are autobiographical reminiscences of Greene himself Further personal traits occur in A Notable Discovery of Cosenage (1591), and in other of his tracts.

Greene who in 1576 was one of the Queen's Chaplains, and was presented to the rectory of Walkington in Yorkshire, nor can he be surely identified with another namesake who ın 1584-5 was vicar, for one year only, of Tollesbuiy in Essex On the other hand, it is certain that in 1580 he at least contemplated a literary venture in the shape of the First Part of his Mamillia, a Mirror or Looking-Glass for the Ladies of England, which was entered in the Stationers' Register of that year (It is not known to have been published before 1583, the Second Part, licensed in that vear, is not known to have been printed till ten years later) Inasmuch as he manifestly maintained some sort of connexion with Cambridge till he proceeded MA, the conjecture seems justifiable that the unhappy experiences of his early marriage life, which unmistakeably connect themselves with the Eastern counties, began before his taking to London life more or less definitively (for what definitiveness attaches to the movements of a rolling stone?). He left a wife and a child to shift for themselves at a distance. while he after some brief attempts at conducting himself respectably in London soon sank more and more deeply into the mire 1 Without insisting on the accuracy of every detail recorded by himself or by his adversaries as to his personal life, we may safely describe it as signally disreputable But the strange thing is that as the fever of his existence continued, dissipation and debauchery intermingling with literary labours both varied in character and considerable in amount, he should have so steadily accumulated the fund of repentance upon which he drew liberally as a writer 2. For, happily or otherwise, he was

I married a gentleman's daughter of good account, with whom I hued for a while, but forasmuch as she would perswade me from my wilfull wickednes, after I had a child by her, I cast her off, having spent vp the marriage-money which I obtained by her. Then left I her at six or seuen, who went into Lincolneshire, and I to London, where in short space I fell into favor with such as were of honorable and good calling. But heere note, that though I knew how to get a friend, yet I had not the gift or reason how to keepe a friend; for hee that was my dearest friend, I would bee sure so to behaue my selfe towards him, that he shoulde euer after professe to bee my viter enemie, or else vowe neuer after to come in my company' The Reprinance of Robert Greene (Grosart, xii 177)

gifted in a measure which leaves the sentimentalists of later ages fai behind, with the power of utilising for literaly purposes emotions which he had not the moral strength to bring to bear upon the conduct of his life And this practice the more readily became a sort of second nature to him, since (to his credit be it said) he differed from many other imaginative writers, both old and new, in that though his life was 'jocund,' his Muse was chaste, and could thus lend herself, without palpable inappropriateness, to his copious moralisings On the other hand, he plunged with hot eagerness into the professional rivalues between the theatrical companies for which he wrote and those with whose plays his own competed 1, pretending to maintain himself on a superior level because of the academical status by which he set so much store, and finally forswearing the making of plays in the very pamphlet wherein his uncontiollable jealousy caused him to assail a fellow playwright2 in terms that posterity has been unable to forgive. There is, I may add, no satisfactory proof that Greene was himself an actor³ The closing scenes of his career, with the

Greene's prose-works, of which the first editions are unknown, but whether or not Greene's Mourning Garment, licensed in 1590, had been already published as early as 1587, it was at least written before the publication of Greene's Farewell to Folly, which was registered in 1587 and published in 1591. These, and Greene's Never too late, with the continuation Francesco's Fortunes, published in 1590, constitute, together with the posthumous tracts, his chief penitential issues Cf R Simpson, us, 344-350

¹ On this head see Fleay, u s, 257 seqq, and Life of Shakespeare, 96 seqq The particular conclusions arrived at by Mr Fleay it would carry me out of my depth to discuss

Whether or not the well-known passage in A Groatsworth of Wit as to 'the upstart crow, beautified with our feathers,' refers to Shakspere both as a playwright and as a player, it is manifest that the 'bombasting out a blank verse' and the rest of the sarcasms, reveal author's jealousy of author. This is well put by Mr Fleay, us, piro

* Gabriel Harvey, in his Foure Letters (1592), has some allusions implying that Greene acted on the stage, and in one passage calls him a player. And see the note on George a Greene, the Pinner of Wakefield, infra—The John Green who was famous in clowns' parts and who gave his name to the play of Greene's Tu Quoque, in which he acted the part of Bubble, was of course a different person. A poet of the name of Thomas Greene, author of A Poet's Vision and a Prince's Glorie, is likewise to be distinguished from the dramatist. In the passage in the Groatsworth of Wit, where Roberto describes his town-life and speaks of himself as 'famoused for an arch-playmaking poet,' there is no mention of his having been a player.

depths of degradation and misery which they reveal, illustrate far more effectively than the declamations addressed by him shortly before his death to the associates of his labours and of his dissipations, or than the posthumous secords of his conversion to a better mind 1, the fatal weakness and corroding vice that had overcome his earlier impulses towards self-amendment. What imagination can fail to be powerfully affected by the account of his last days, given it is true by a hostile writer2, but bearing on it the unmistakeable signature of truth? In an illness brought on by a crapulous surfeit of 'Rhenish and ted herrings,' he was deserted by all his friends 3 Lingering out the remnant of his days with the compassionate aid of a shoemaker and his wife, he lay in their house (in Dowgate) unvisited except by two women—one of them the mother of his bastard son 4 Shortly before the end, having given a bond to his host for ten pounds due to him, the dying man wrote beneath it the following words, addressed to his deserted wife 5 'Doll, I charge thee by the love of our youth, and by my soules rest, that thou wilte see this man paide, for if hee and his wife had not succoured me. I had died in the streets' The

² Gabriel Harvey, in his Foure Letters, and certaine Sonnets especially touching Robert Greene and other parties, by him abused, &c (1502)

¹ Viz Greene's Groatsworth of Wit, bought with a Million of Repentance, The Repentance of Robert Greene, which I agree with Mr Bullen in concluding to have been 'edited,' and Greene's Vision, which if genuine was probably made up from some earlier materials

^{*} Nashe, who admitted having been present at the banquet, which took place a month before Greene's death, protested in his Strange Newes, dc, that 'Greene surfeted not of pickeld hearing, but of an exceeding feare of his' [Harvey's] 'familiar epistles' Nashe was not anxious to leave the impression that Greene and he had been very intimate—I need not here enter into the question, whether Nashe or Lodge was intended by the 'young Juvenal' of the vexed passage in the Groatsworth of Wit For the arguments on both sides, see Fleay, English Drama, 1 260-1.

^{*} This was the sister of the notorious bully 'Cutting' Ball The passage in the Groatsworth of Wit referred to in a preceding note contains an unmistakable allusion to both brother and sister—The child was buried in 1593, under the name of Fortunatus Greene

⁵ This letter appears in Gabriel Harvey's pamphlet, and, in a rather different form, in *The Repentance of Robert Greene* A more elaborate (indeed over-elaborate and doubtless genuine) epistle from Greene to his wife was appended to A Groatsworth of Wit.

narrator adds, that Greene's dead body was, in accordance with his own request, crowned by his hostess1 with a garland His posthumous confessions, of which more immediately could not sensibly alter the impression made upon all fair-judging minds by the all too open record of A violent assault was at once delivered upon his memory by Gabriel Harvey, whom in his lifetime he had attacked in his Quip for an Upstart Courtier, and wounded to the quick by calling him the son of a 10pemaker, and who now was able to take a full revenge 2. 'As Achilles,' says Meres in his Palladis Tamia, 'tortured the dead body of Hector, and as Antonius and his wife Fulvia tormented the lifeless corpse of Cicero, so Gabriel Harvey hath shewn the same inhumanity to Greene, who now lies full low in his grave' Among the taunts launched by Harvey against Greene was that of having written for In reply to his assailants Greene's filends had his living little to say-or at all events said little-on his behalf, the ablest advocate among his fellow-dramatists, Nashe, made the attempt 3, but seems to have faltered in making it Yet there is wisdom in the question which he puts to the poet's enemy, and with which this reference to a sickening picture of sin and its punishment may be fitly concluded, 'Why should Art answer for the infirmities of maners?' Were it not that this question implies an indisputable though frequently overlooked truth, we should indeed be well-advised if we turned away from the chapter of our literature which contains, side by side with the works, the biographies of such men as Greene and Marlowe

The date of Greene's death was September 3, 1592; he was buried in the New Churchyard, near Bethlehem Hospital. He was still young—at the most thirty-three or thirty-four years of age—when he succumbed to the

¹ The good soul's name, Isam, has been preserved by Gabriel Harvey.

In his Foure Letters, &c., already cited —Greene's pamphlet against Harvey was, as Mr Collier showed, taken in substance from the old Debate between Pride and Loneliness (by W. Francis Thynn). See Introduction to Debate, printed in (Old) Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1841:

² In his Strange News, &c (1592), afterwards reprinted as The Apologic of Pierre Pennilesse, or Strange News, &c (1593).

consequences of his moral weakness For we must not interpret literally his declaration in the year before his decease, that 'many yeeres had bitten him with experience, and age was growing on 1' As in the case of two at least of the companions whom in his posthumous exhortation? he warned against yielding any longer to temptation-Marlowe and Peele-the antic Death might scoff at the strength of his manhood

Greene's fame in English literature rests at least as much His nonon his prose-tracts as on his dramas, indeed in one of his dramatic posthumous publications he describes it as having originated and their simultaneously in the popularity of his early efforts in both species of composition³ But while, as will be seen, the progress number of the plays which can be with certainty ascribed of the English to him remains comparatively small, he was a most prolific dramu producer of prose-writings which, taken as a whole, assure to him an unequalled pre-eminence in the early history of the English novel Of the thirty (or possibly thirty-one 4) tracts of which his authorship may be regarded as established, considerably more than half may be classified as romantic novels or, as we might nowadays call some of them, novilettes, their interest being distributed between incident, character, and style, and centring in the sovereign motive of love Hence it is manifest upon which sex Greene could as a novelist most assuredly count as the upholders and promoters of his popularity, and we may accept the conjecture that it was he whom in the days of his early success his contemporary and associate Nashe designated

writings, 1 ifluence upon the

¹ Too much importance need not be attached to a poet's mention of his age Thus Dekker speaks of himself as an old man when he can hardly have been more than fifty (See Memoir, prefixed to vol 1 of his Works, p viii) Gervinus has adduced similar instances from Shakspere's Sonnets (lxxiii et al) In Coleridge's touching lines, Youth and Age, the poet, though then in truth only thirty-eight years of age, speaks of himself as an old man, Chaucer has been supposed to have wilfully told a falsehold in an opposite direction about his age, but the supposition is absurd

² A Groatsworth of Wit

³ See the often-quoted passage in The Repentance of Robert Greene (Grosart, xii 172-3) . 'I became an Author of Playes, and a penner of Loue Pamphlets, so that I soone grew famous in that qualite, that who for that trade growne so ordinary about London as Robin Greene'

^{*} If Greene's Vision be reckoned in the number

with genial extravagance as 'the Homer of women' style he was a follower or 'legatee' of the author of Euphues, whom in certain peculiarities of diction he imitated to the very last 2, and whom on occasion he contrived to excel in the saliency of biological allusions that will no doubt be verified as the specialisation of this branch of studies continues to progress In one of his earliest works. Euphues his Censure to Philautus (1587), he was contented to appear as a novice gleaning in the rear of the car of established success, hoping that these loose papers of Euphues might 'for Euphues' sake' prove acceptable 3 His Menaphon (1589), from several points of view one of the most interesting of his romances, bore the sub-title of Camillas Alarum to Slumbering Euphues in his Melancholie Cell at Silexedra But the circumstance that this very work was reprinted in later editions (posthumous, no doubt) under the title of Greene's Arcadia, or Menaphon, illustrates the fact that, both as a novelist and as a dramatist, Greene's literary talent was not of that subordinate kind which binds itself in articles to a single master Except in the way of an occasional tour de force, he never fell into complete subserviency to the mannerisms either of Euphues or of the Arcadia, and, as compared with Lyly in particular, he vindicated his claim to a popularity of his own by rarely failing to command an interest beyond that excited by the predecessor whose mantle he more or less conspicuously His long series of tales, although generally artificial in manner and not unfrequently in sentiment are the reverse of wearisome, even if subjected to an ordeal of consecutive perusal such as these tracts were certainly not intended to undergo His earliest prose fiction, Mamilha 4 (licensed

¹ See the passage cited from *The Anatomie of Absurditie, ap* Jusserand, 169, note 2

See for instance the alliterative cadences in The Repentance of Robert Greene

³ In point of fact, this piece is a series of four tales, strung together in Decameronic fashion on the device of a Sophomachia, or philosophical word-combat held during a thirty days' truce in the siege of Troy between Hector and Achilles, accompanied each by some of the chief Trojan and Greek lords and ladies

⁴ Grosart, vol. n.

1580, but not known to have been printed before 1583, Part II, though not known to have been printed before 1503 must have been completed much earlier), was originally modelled on Euphues in construction as well as in style, but it possesses some intrinsic interest as a story, and the transition from the First to the Second Part, in which the constancy of the heroine is splendidly vindicated, is managed with a touch of Chaucer's half-ironical manner 1 The story of Gwydonius, the Carde of Fancie (licensed and printed in 15842) of which the style with its alliteration and 'natural history' similes is thoroughly Euphuistic, the plot with its Rustem and Sohrab dénouement, is both lucid and telling, although interspersed with a great amount of incidental love-making, and contains an element of personal interest in the reference to the jeunesse orageuse of the hero3 Arbasto (licensed 1584)4, in style extremely Euphuistic, is in construction clear and effective Only a very few characters divide among them the interest of this tale of a hopeless conflict between a love which springs from passion, and an attachment suggested by self-interest and gratitude 5

- ¹ The supplementary Anatomic of Lovers Flatteries (ib, pp 253 scqq.), while attesting the popularity of the work, reminds the reader of those reviews of suitors of which, perhaps in allusion to an august analogy, the Elisabethans were so fond, and of which the scene between Poitia and Nerissa furnishes the most familiar example. In Sylandra's case the Englishman, a gentleman of great wit but very small wealth, wins the day. Greene, as a literary patriot, was quite up to Lyly's mark
 - ² Grosart, vol. iv
- * Cleophontes' advice to his son, when about to travel, recalls after a fashion the admonitions of Polonius to Laertes. This novel, curiously enough, contains an exhortation of a not very dissimilar kind from King Orlanio to an honoured old Widdowe, named Madam Melytta, whom he entrusts with the supervision of his daughter Castania
 - * Grosart, vol m
- ⁵ The 'monstrous and mercilesse slaughter' of all but the whole of Arbasto's army, fifty thousand strong, is merely a passing incident—I have not thought it necessary to refer to Morando, the Tritameron of Love (two parts, 1584–7), because it really contains nothing but a series of discourses (deadly dull to a modern reader) on favourite problems concerning Love and Friendship, although an attempt is made to introduce a personal interest by means of a love-affair between two of the interlocutors. Nor need I speak of The Princelv Mirrour of Peerles Modeste (1584), which though narrative, is merely a long drawn-out version of the Scriptural story of Susanna and the Elders. Both these pieces are reprinted in Grosart, vol in.

Planetomachia (1585)1 is made up of a framework of elaborate futility, and two tragedies, i e stories ending unhappily-the one, told by Venus, a rather clumsy tale of a feud of the Capulet-and-Montague type, the other, related by Saturn, a more effective and better told treatment of the Hippolytus-and-Phaedra motive, the scene being here laid ın Egypt² In Penelope's Web (1587)³, a light but giaceful device (Penelope endeavours to keep her maids awake by discourse, while, heiself sleepless, she sits at her web), knits together three ancient instances showing obedience, chastity, and silence to be the cardinal virtues of a wife 4 Euphues his Censure to Philautus (1587)⁵ is, as has been already seen, constructed on similar lines, but in Perimedes the Black-Smith (1588), to which is prefixed an introductory Salutation to the Gentlemen Readers containing the reference already noticed to 'the Atheist Tamburlan',' the manner of the fiamework is pleasantly varied, and the three lovestories are narrated by a simple blacksmith of Memphis and his old wife Delia, who has declined to pass the evening over a pair of cards 7 The first of these stories concerns a very melancholy Mariana, to whom however her children are restored at the last A still greater interest attaches to Pandosto, the Triumph of Time (licensed in 1588)8, of which the later editions bore the

³ Elisabethan Egypt, for, after King Psammetichus has summoned a parliament to proclaim Rhodope his Queen, prince Philarkos falls in love with his stepmother after watching her at barriers.

³ Grosart, vol v

¹ Grosart, vol v

^{*} The foliage of historical precedents, illustrations, and comparisons in these tales overshadows the stories themselves, though they are intrinsically not uninteresting, and Penelope shows herself well acquainted with Roman history in particular

Grosart, vol vi.—Dr Herford, u s, 186 seqq, has some suggestive remarks on the possible, or probable, influence of the Trojan framework of this tract upon Shakspere's (shall we say) modern treatment of the story of Troilus and Cressida

Grosart, vol vi.—Dr Herford, u s, 186 seqq, has some suggestive remarks on the possible, or probable, influence of the Trojan framework of this tract upon Shakspere's (shall we say) modern treatment of the story of Troilus and Cressida

⁷ Cf ante, p. 373, note 2. The occurrence in this tract of the names Delia and Sacrapant is curious, inasmuch as the framework has a certain resemblance to that of *The Old Wives' Tale*. See also below.

^{*}Gresart, vol 1v — Dyce reprinted the story in his Introduction, and it has been since reprinted in Collier's Shakespeare's Library. The tale was many times reprinted in the seventeenth and in the early part of the eighteenth century, and was twice translated into French.

running title of Dorastus and Fawnia In this novel as is well known, Shakspere found the substance of the plot, together with the suggestion of the principal characters of his Winter's Tale 1 There is no reason to doubt that the story, the ingenuity of which is admirable, was due to Greene's own inventive power, and though the contrast between 'modelling the clay' and 'adding the soul2' may be warranted in itself, the labour of the earlier writer was not all mechanical The pastoral fragrance of the loves of Perdita and Florizel is, to be suie, wholly wanting in the novel-although in one of his own dramas Greene was to prove himself capable of imparting to a not dissimilar episode something of the same chaim³, and he unfortunately introduces into this part of his tale an unpleasant motive 4 But the story is far less lengthy than are the majority of Greene's prose fictions, its character is essentially narrative, and the rhetorical element is kept under 5. Its extraordinary popularity was thus in my judgment by no means only due to the exquisite fruit which it bore in the shape of its imperishable dramatic adaptation

Passing by Aliida, Greene's Metamorphosis (licensed in 1588), the component stories of which are linked together as the confidences concerning herself and hei daughters of a stranded old lady 6, we come to Menaphon, of which the

¹ The dénoument of the living statue, so charmingly imagined by Shakspere, is wanting in the novel, where the injured Queen dies on receipt of the false news of her son's decease, just when her innocence has been established, and her husband is seeking to obtain her forgiveness—The characters of Paulina and Autolycus are absent from the novel and the humour of the old shepherd's visit to Court can hardly be said to be even faintly suggested in it.

² Jusserand, # s , p 179

³ See below as to Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay

^{*} The passion of King Egistus for his own daughter, when unknown to him as such. There can be less objection to King Pandosto's, equitably enough, 'falling into a melancholic fit, and to close up the Comedie with a Tragicall stratageme, slaying himself.'—Egistus' discovery that the maiden is loved by his son, is called a 'comicall' event

⁵ Except in the cup-bearer Franion's Euphuistic discussion of the case of conscience, whether he shall poison his sovereign's guest or enrage his sovereign by refusing to meet his wishes.

Grosart, vol ix - Greene's Metamorphosis, it may be noted, has nothing

earliest extant edition bears date 1589, and which was republished in several later editions under the first title of Greene's Arcadia 1 Apart from the curious literary allusions, noticed elsewhere, contained in Nashe's Letter to the Gentlemen Students of both Universities prefixed to this novel, it possesses a twofold special interest for students of Greene's literary career In the first place, it represents the deliberate invasion of Arcadia by this facile worker, to whom Sidney's occupation of a new literary territory seemed neither to be prohibitive of competition nor to require a more than allusive acknowledgment². Secondly, the lyrics introduced into the text of this story form a feature which though not absent from his previous prose fictions, had not been prominent in them, these lyrics include Samela's chaiming lullaby to her infant 3 Although the plot of the tale is obscured, not by any intricacy in itself, but by the rather inverted order in which it is worked out. the narrative is on the whole fresher in manner than most of Greene's productions, and the work is entitled to rank high among English pastoral romances4. In the style neither of this piece, nor of its successoi Ciceronis Amor. Tullies Love⁵, which first appeared in 1589 and was likewise frequently reprinted, is the Euphuistic element particularly prominent. Tullies Love, by the apposite longwindedness of its manner and the excessive nobility of its sentiments, seems almost to carry us beyond the Arcadian type of romance into the Grand Cyrus style of a later generation, to which no doubt Greene would have been found ready to adapt himself Few of his compositions exhibit him in a more flexible mood 6. It cannot be said that he surpassed

to do with Greene's Metanora, the tales end with actual metamorphoses, more or less symbolical, but perfunctory

¹ Grosart, vol vi

² The name of Samela must have been intended as a reminiscence of Pamela.

[&]quot; 'Weep not my wanton, smile vpon my knee; When thou art olde, ther's grief mough for thee.'

^{*} The late Mr R. Simpson's attempt (n. s.) to identify the shepherd Doros in this tale with Shakspere is inadmissible Mr. Fleay is clear that the person satirised was Kyd

* Grosart, vol vn

In the earlier part of the story Lentulus' love-making to Terentia is

himself in *Orpharion* (apparently published in 1590¹), the framework of which places the author and his readers among the gods and goddesses of Olympus, whom Oipheus and Oiion entertain with tales of no humanly attractive sort ² The 'Venetian fiction' of *Philomela*³, on the other hand, which Greene published in the year of his death with a dedication to Lady Fitzwater (hence its second title *Lady Fitzwaters Nightingale*), seems to have been composed at an earliei date. This tale of a husband's insane jealousy and a wife's heroic constancy, unless it was derived directly from an Italian source, was modelled on Italian examples, not is the southern hardness of the harrowing narrative redeemed by any tender touch of unconscious pathos

A word must be added as to the much smaller, but specially interesting, group of Greene's prose-writings, in which his own experiences are put to a more or less direct literary use, more especially as they too in their way distinctly contributed to the early progress of the English novel. To this group belong Greene's Mourning Garment (thought to have been published in 1590, the year in which it was licensed), and, more markedly, his Neuer too late, or a Powder of Experience (1590), of which the Second Part, describing Francesco's return to his faithful wife Isabella, is in a double sense of the term fiction, and the posthumous Groatsworth of Wit bought with a Million of Repentance (1592), with the story of Roberto, whose life, says the author, 'in most part agreeing with mine, found one selfe punishment as I have done 4.' It need not be held to include those tracts,

carried on both in verse and in prose, and in Latin as well as in English The gallant soldier asks the accomplished orator to write his love letters for him, with disastrous consequences, which he magnanimously accepts, to his own suit

¹ Grosart, vol xif

² In the earlier, a cruel lady starves her valuant lover to death, in the second, a kinder heroine exposes her adorer to a similar trial, but ends it 'comically'

^a Grosart, vol. xi.

^{4 &#}x27;The Groatsworth of Wit was published in 1592, after Greene's death, by Henry Chettle It is reprinted in Shakspere Allusion Books, Part i, edited for the New Shakspere Society by the late Dr Ingleby, 1874. To

in which Greene chose to hold himself up in his own person as an instructive or warning example of folly and vice, the Farewell to Folly (15911), obviously furbished up from a manuscript of less retrospective days, might, except for this later 'gloss,' have been frankly included among the compositions modelled on the Decameronic scheme special interest attaches to the framework of this piece, as including 'wit-combats' of very probably undying suggestiveness². The Repentance of Robert Greene, on the other hand, is in substance as well as in profession didactic In the Vision Gowei and Chaucei each contribute a tale: but the genumeness of the framework is open to serious I pass by, as of quite secondary importance for our purpose, those among Greene's non-dramatic publications which are merely pamphlets on topics of political interest or of contemporary social scandal 8 But Greene's infusion of a personal, and therefore strongly realistic, thread into the texture of his fictions is not to be neglected in estimating the sources of their effectiveness I should not have gone out of my way (as it may seem) to notice them in this place, were it not that, in the words of Dr C H. Herford, they 'were for his English-speaking contemporaries the most considerable body of English narrative which the language yet contained,' and together with the contemporary prose fiction of Lyly and Sydney, Lodge and (in one notable work) Nashe 4, either actually formed, or indicated in kind, a considerable part of the material of the Elisabethan They thus rendered to English dramatic literature

the passage in this tract concerning Shakspere, and to Chettle's vindication of the latter in the same year from the aspersions he had thus helped to cast upon him, I shall have repeated occasions for returning. The Groatsworth long continued notorious. See Jonson's Epicoene, iv 2

¹ Grosart, vol 1x

² See *Herford*, u s, 183, as to Benedict (in *Much Ado about Nothing*), whom I do not think it is at all 'going too far to attempt to attach' to Benedetto in Greene's tract

^{*} The Spanish Masquerado (1589) was generated by the afflatus that was supposed to have dissipated the Spanish Armada. The 'Conny-catching' series (1591-2), in so far as it can be brought home to Greene, concerns students of his writings chiefly from a biographical point of view, which cannot be further pursued here.

[.] The Unfertunate Traveller (v. mfra).

the mestimable service of bringing it into living contact, not only with many of the chief interests oi, as we should nowadays call them, 'problems' of the times, but also with the presentments of these by quickly impressionable agents in literary forms even more readily responsive and reflexive Greene's services to the progress of our than its own drama would therefore be very imperfectly measured by his own dramatic writings, of which I now proceed to add a rapid survey

The chronological sequence of Greene's plays cannot be Alphonsus, accurately determined, and we are therefore at liberty to King of follow Mr Fleay in mentioning first among them The (before Comicall History of Alphonsus, King of Arragon both as a diamatist and as a novelist, was a man of many styles, yet it was not less characteristic of him that he could as a rule keep well within the manner imitated, and refrain from exaggerating what, to be sure, often required no exaggeration In Alphonsus, King of Arragon, he unmistakeably set himself the task of rivalling, in all probability on the stage of the same theatrical company, the Tamburlaine of Marlowe, known to have been produced in 15871. If in addition we accept the ingenious conjecture which supposes this very play of Greene's to be alluded to by Peele, in direct association with Tamburlaine, in a popular set of verses which appeared in 15892, the uncertainty surrounding the early date of Alphonsus will be much reduced Greene's play resembles Tamburlaine in subject

Arragon

Bid theatres and proud tragedians, Bid Mahomet's Poo and mighty Tamburlaine, King Charlemagne, Tom Stukely, and the rest, Adieu.'

Mahomet's 'Poo' or 'Pow' is supposed by Fleay and others to refer to Mahomet's head which, as is noted in the text, plays a part in Alphonsus The conjecture is not absolutely convincing, though decidedly better than Milford's reading 'Mahomet, Scipio' (A Sapio Africanus was acted at Whitehall by the children of St Paul's in the year 1580, and there may of course have been other plays of the name.)

¹ See Fleay's Life of Shakespeare, 96-7 - The supposition that Alphonsus preceded Tambuslanie can hardly be maintained in earnest

² In the celebrated Fareuell addressed To the famous and fortunate Generalls of our English forces, Sir John Norris and Sir Francis Drake, Knights, and all ther braze and resolute followers, he appeals to them to

as well as in treatment, being in fact a stirring dramatic rehearsal of a series of conquering successes, in this case unbroken by catastrophe Hence it is called 'comical' 1 e ending happily, we learn, however, from the concluding speech of Venus², that, as in the case of Tamburlaine. there was to have been a Second Part of the play within comparatively restricted limits, however, Gieene ran his model close, thus, the famous yoke of captive kings is fairly matched by Alphonsus in his chair, distributing crowns like so many baubles 3 Regarded as a work of which the accumulated interest is epical rather than dramatic, King Alphonsus cannot be described as other than effective, and the progress of the action is so managed as to rise gradually in interest with the magnitude or difficulty of the deeds of It presents a noble confusion of the associations its hero of different religious systems, subjugated by a free use of allusions derived from Graeco-Roman mythology, and the charms of a pseudo-classical Medea are grotesquely intermingled with the oracles of Mahomet, convey (no doubt with a remembrance of the popular tradition of Friai Bacon) through a brazen head, while the prologue and the connecting choruses are spoken by Venus, who both at the beginning and at the end of the play holds converse with The stage-directions are numerous, and the Muses. incidentally instructive as to the simplicity of the arrangements which rendered possible a succession of such scenes of combat as make up the staple of this play, at the close we find. 'Exit Venus, or, if you can conveniently, let a chair down from the top of the stage and draw her up' Childish

¹ I presume the achievements of Alfonso I of Aragon and Navarre, surnamed 'the Battler,' to have formed the substance of Greene's tragedy, doubtless through the medium of some (translated) chronicle which I am not prepared to specify 'Alphonsus, the Prince of Aragon,' is mentioned at the outset of the Dedication of The Carde of Fance (1587),—an additional indication that Greene was about that time interested in the subject of the King's exploits

Meantime, dear Muses, wander you not far Forth of the path of high Parnassus' hill; That, when I come to finish up his life, You may be ready to succour me.'

^{*} Act III.

as is the whole process of the action, partly in consequence of the very variations of movement which the fancy of the author has introduced into it, yet the effect produced is not altogether inadequate to the design of impressing the audience by the strangeness and grandeur of the subject

The Historie of Orlando Furioso, one of the Twelve Peeres Orlando of France was acted previously to the date of the earliest Furioso (before known impression of the play in the shape in which it was 1591). performed before the Queen (1594), very probably it was produced even earlier than 1591 1 It is, of course, founded on Ariosto's famous poem, the first edition of Sir John Harington's version of which bears date 1501, but the diamatic adaptation deals very freely with the iomantic epos that served as its original. Collier, who, no doubt correctly, considers the play to have been 'if not the first, one of Greene's earliest dramatic productions,' rather contemptuously describes the object of its author as having been 'to compound a diama, which should exhibit an unusual variety of characters in the dresses of Europeans, Asiatics, and Africans, and to mix them up with as much rivalship, love, jealousy and fighting as could be brought within the compass of five acts' He allows that the impression may inadequately represent the author's copy; but even so I am not sure that the description quoted conveys a fair estimate either of the character or of the purpose of the play. For the action of its lightly-strung succession of scenes is after all arranged with sufficient perspicuity, nor, speaking comparatively, is there any excess of extravagance in the details of the composition-save in certain passages, such as the dying speech of the wicked Sacrapant, whose false devices prove the cause of Orlando's madness². The opening scene, in which the several suitors of fair Angelica declare their love and elaborately establish their claims, has a certain effective richness; but the more

² The name of Sacrapant recurs in Peele's The Old Wives' Tale, which, as both Dyce and Fleay have pointed out, contains ampler reminiscences of Greene's Historie. Cf also ante, p 388, note 7

¹ See Fleay, English Drama, 1 263, and cf. Collier, 11 529 -I cannot attach much value to the supposed identification of this play with the Charlemagne referred to by Peele in the passage cited ante, p 393, note 2

important incident of the madness of Oilando is presented without the requisite power of exposition. The diction of the play is oinamented with the usual redundance of imagery, and the versification, though under the control of no master-hand, is by no means so irredeemably obnoxious to the charges of 'tameness, lameness, and sameness' as Collier would have us to suppose. Latin as well as Italian quotations wantonly intermingle with the English text 1.

Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay (1589)

A far more noticeable production than the foregoing is The Honourable History of Friar Bacon and Friar Bungay, which may with a reasonable degree of certainty be concluded to have been produced subsequently to the two plays previously noticed, and to date from the year 15892 The internal evidence is strong, though not irresistible, that the composition of this play was due to the success achieved by Mailowe's Doctor Faustus, and this conclusion is corroborated, although not raised to certainty, by the occurrence of hostile allusions to Marlowe in prose tracts by Greene assignable to the very period in question3. It would however be a mistake to regard Greene's play as a deliberate endeavour to outvie Marlowe's on its own ground Supposing Friar Bacon to have been produced in close sequence upon Doctor Faustus, we may rather look upon it in the light of an attempt, made in conformity with the flexible and facile talent of its author, without loss of time to follow up a vein that had proved its popular effectiveness,

¹ In the Appendix to his Memorrs of Edward Alleyn (Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1841) Collier printed a large portion of the original part of Orlando, supposed to have been transcribed by the copyist of the theatre for the original actor (Alleyn), with the 'cues' regularly marked, according to the practice observed by theatrical transcribers down to the present day

² See Mr. Fleay's Appendix B to the Introduction to my edition of this play and Marlowe's Doctor Faustus, second and third editions, Oxford, 1886 and 1892

² Ct. Permedes the Black Smith (1588)—see the preliminary Address To the Gentlemen Readers, and Menaphon (1589), the very title of which is taken out of Tamburlaine, while the text contains an allusion to Mariowe's parentage and native city. Passages in Nashe's address To the Gentlemen Students of both Universities are likewise directed against Mariowe's play.

and to take the opportunity of dealing a lively hit or two at the work of his predecessor that might enhance the success of his own There is no question here of parody, or even of plagiarism, but Greene was, I think, desirous of showing that just as his English magician was capable of checkmating mere German professors of his art on their own ground, so an honest English story of the Black Ait could hold its own against imported German tales of devilry In any case, it should be remembered that the magic of Filar Bacon and his brother-practitioner with the Suffolk patronymic, but likewise of historic Oxford fame, are hardly to be regarded as constituting the essential subject of the plot of Greene's play, in the sense in which the figure of Doctor Faustus absorbs in itself the interest of Mailowe's tragedy. So far as this part of Greene's Historie is concerned, it is founded on a prose-tract of his own age, entitled The Famous Historie of frier Bacon containing the wonderful things that he did in his life also the Manner of his death, with the Lives and Deaths of the two Conjurers, Bungye and Vandermast¹ The writer of this book was probably no stranger to the German popular story-book of Doctor Faustus or its English version, but his materials were in main drawn from the native traditions which made up the popular conception-or misconception-of Roger Bacon's interesting personality These are quite uncritically transferred into the play, towards the close of which Friai Bacon breaks his magic glass and announces his intention to withdraw into the penitential retreat in which, according to the story-book, he spent the last two years of his life The more attractive part of the action, however, is that concerned with the love of Edward Prince of Wales (afterwards King Edward I) for Margaret, 'the fair Maid of

¹ Reprinted in vol. ii of Thoms' Early Prose Romances, and elsewhere—The extremely pleasing Friar Bakon's Prophesie. a Satire on the Degeneracy of the Times (printed 1604, and edited for the Percy Society by the late Mr Halliwell-Phillips, 1844) has no connexion with the story of the Friar and his Brazen Head except in its title, which was doubtless only adopted in order to give popularity to the poem. The old story-book must have long retained its reputation, 'Bungy's dog' is mentioned in Ben Jonson's Tale of a Tub (1633', ii I

Fressingfield 1, and daughter of the keeper there garet's affections are captured by Edward's proxy wooer. the Earl of Lincoln-a notion familiar to Elisabethan as well as to more recent poetry² The scenes in the Suffolk village are written with a loving hand, they are pervaded by a delightful air of country freshness, not to be found in the works of any of Greene's fellow-dramatists save one, and there is much idyllic beauty in the picture of the maid, so 'lovely in her country-weeds' From 'the country's sweet content' we are transplanted amidst the academic perturbations of Oxford, and are introduced to the magic studies of Final Bacon in his cell at Biasenose The description of Oxford has been often quoted, its earlier lines exemplify the poetic license habitual to Greene, who in matters of illustrative statement, analy ignored mere questions of fact.

'Emperor Trust me, Plantagenet, these Oxford schools
Are 11chly seated near the river side
The mountains full of fat and fallow deer,
The battling pastures laid with kine and flocks,
The town gorgeous with high-built Colleges,
And scholars seemly in their grave attire,
Learned in searching principles of art
What is thy judgment, Jacques Vandermast?'

To which Vandermast, a German philosopher whose name was probably invented by Greene without much thought of High and Low German distinctions³, and whom we are to suppose the Emperor to have brought with him to Oxford with the intent of confounding the wisdom and the self-

3 The nonenclature of Greene's prose fictions often has the same casual character.

¹ This pretty title is appended to her name in a stage-direction of the edition of 1599 Compare 'the Fair Maid of Manchester,' the heroine of Fair Em

It occurs in I Henry VI, where Suffolk woos Margaret for the King—and for himself, in Favre Em, where Lubeck finds himself in a similar dilemma, but prefers the claims of friendship to those of love, in A Knack to know a Knaw (printed 1594), and in Lord Orrery's The History of Henry V, where again Owen Tudor loyally renounces his passion for the Princess Catherine in the interests of his sovereign—In later literature, Long-fellow's treatment of the theme in his poem The Couriship of Miles Standish will be readily remembered

conceit of the great English University, replies with the sceptical irreverence of 'the Belgic schools'

'That lordly are the dwellings of the town, Spacious the rooms, and full of pleasant walks, But for the doctors, how that they be learned, It may be meanly, for aught I can hear'

However, his exotic arrogance, which in disputation and experiment completely overpowers Friar Bungay, proves no match for Friar Bacon, whose magic art finally carries off the insolent German by means of one of the ghostly apparitions conjured up by his own charms diverting comic character is supplied in the person of Bacon's servant Miles, a late type of the Vice in the old moralitics, his drolleries, it may be remarked, are fai more closely connected with the action of the piece than are the buffooneries of the clown in Doctor Faustus Miles plays the fool unabashed either by crowned monarchs or by supernatural phenomena, and in the end cheerfully consents to be carried off by a devil, on being given to understand that in the quarters for which he is bound he will find a lusty fire. a pot of good ale a 'pan' of cards, and other requisites for a comfortable life The underplot of the play has in the meantime moved on, or rather been extended by a series of complications—Lucy's trial of Margaret's faith (a variation on the Patient Grissil motive), and the fatal enmity between the two Suffolk squires, which Greene derived from the same source as the story of Friar Bacon himself, but ingeniously linked with the Fair Maid's story by constituting a rival passion for her the cause of the quariel The play ends with a most gracefully conceived and truly poetic compliment, delivered prophetically by the great magician himself, to Queen Elisabeth, under the symbol of a flower which shall overshadow Albion with its leaves. mtil

> 'Apollo's heliotrope shall stoop, And Venus' hyacinth shall vail her top; Juno shall shut her gilliflowers up, And Pallas' bay shall 'bash her brightest green,

Ceres' carnation in consort with those Shall stoop and wonder at Diana's rose 1'

The whole of this play forms to my mind one of the most fascinating products of our old diamatic literature, in spite of its being put together without great pains, while its ornamentation resembles that of a rustic board covered with a tumbling profusion of flowers. As for the moral lessons which its subject is suited to enforce, it avoids them, or at least applies them with slight strenuousness or skill ²

James IV, &c (1590 c)

Another very notable play, and in execution, I think, one of the happiest of its author's dramatic works, is The Scottish Historie of James IV, slaine at Flodden mixed with a pleasant Comedie, presented by Oboram King of Faveries (printed 1598) The title is deceptive, since the fatal field of Flodden is not included in the action, which ends happily by the reconciliation of King James with his Queen Dorothea Indeed, the plot of the play has no historical foundation, James IV's consoit, though of course an English princess, as she is in the play, was named Margaret, not Dorothea, and King Henry VII never undertook an expedition to avenge misdeeds committed against her by her husband. But although the play is founded on fiction, such as we may be astonished to find to have been invented or accepted with regard to a historical period anything but remote from the writer, it is very interesting, and, besides being symmetrically constructed, contains passages full of vigour and of pathos The story turns on the passion of King James for Ida daughter of the Countess of Arran, to obtain whose hand he, at the suggestion of a villain called Ateukin 4,-

¹ 'Dian's bud' in A Midsummer Night's Dream (act iv sc x), if it refers to Queen Elisabeth, may have been borrowed from Greene's image Cf Halpin, Obe. on's Vision, u s, pp. 12-13

It should however be noted that, in the words of Dr. Herford, 'the repentance-scene' of Friar Bacon 'in the play is of altogether a more solemn cast than that of the story-book' (Studies, &c., p 191) Here, again, the influence of Faustus may be traceable

^{*} The King of England is in the play called Arius, an appellation which, but for Greene's many vagaries of this description, might excite some curiously as to its origin.

^{*} From one or two passages it would appear that Greene hesitated as to naming this personage thus, or by the Terentian name Gnatho I cannot

a well-drawn character. - endeavours to make away with his Oueen. Wounded by the dagger of the Frenchman Jaques, she however escapes, and assuming the disguise of a squire, remains for a time in concealment, attended only by her dwarf Nano To avenge her wrongs, her father makes war upon her husband, whose design upon Ida has been frustrated by her marriage, and whose nobles and people have deserted him 1 Oueen Dorothea intervenes to reconcile her father and her husband, whom she forgives, so that, as observed, all ends happily Thus, the play, besides being very well written throughout, is perspicuously and neatly constructed, and full advantage is taken of the opportunities offered by the plot for the introduction of naturally drawn characters as well as of genuinely powerful and effective situations The fine character of the chaste lady, Ida, recalls that of the Countess of Salisbury in Edward III, a play in which I cannot help thinking that Shakspere had a hand.

But though the Scottish History of James IV is both effective in its serious and amusing in its comic scenes ('Slipper' is an excellent clown), Greene seems to have thought it necessary to furnish it with an adventitious attraction which can only be described as superfluous or futile. The title of the play describes it as 'intermixed with a pleasant comedy presented by Oboram King of Fairies', but the 'pleasant comedy' in point of fact consists of nothing but a brief prelude, in which Oberon and a misanthropical Scotchman named Bohan introduce the ensuing playas a story of this Bohan's writing, together with dances and antics by the fairies between the acts, which are again perfectly supererogatory intermezzos. The 'history,' or body of the play itself, is represented by a set of players, 'guid fellows of Bohan's countrymen, before Aster Oberon, -the same personage as he who figures in the Midsummer Night's Dream, though very differently drawn, if indeed he can be said to be 'drawn' at all 2.

follow Mr Fleay in supposing this to indicate that a second author (he conjectures Lodge) had a hand in the play

A curious dialogue on the sins of the times between the Merchant, the Lawyer, and the Divine in act v should be noted. This, Mr Fleay thinks, was written by Lodge

^{*} The Midsummer Night's Dream was probably not written till after VOL. I. D d

Greene and Lodge's Looking Glasse for London and Eng land (by 1592) In A Looking Glasse for London and England (not known to have been printed before 1594), Greene certainly had the co-operation of Thomas Lodge This play, which would interest us if only as a specimen of a peculiar Elisabethan variation on the manner of the old religious drama, begins with a picture of Rasni, King of Nineveh, in the fulness of his pride after the overthrow of Jeroboam, King of Israel At an early point in its progress, an angel brings on the stage the prophet 'Oseas,' whose mission is to note the sins of Nineveh in order to preach from them a warning lesson to Jerusalem But this warning addresses itself not to Jerusalem only, but, as already the title of the play has apprised us 1, to London also

'London, look on, this matter nips thee near, I eave off thy riot, pride, and sumptuous cheer, Spend less at board, and spare not at the door, But aid the infant, and relieve the poor,

Greene's death, but in any case the borrowing of this solitary feather can hardly have anything to do with the much-vexed accusation in A Groatsworth of Wit

1 Compare the frequent use of the term 'Murrous' as the title of a book, especially among the old French writers (See Warton's History of English Poetry, sect xlvui, on The Mirrours for Magistrates The sub-title of Greene's Manullia is A Mirror or Looking-Glasse for the Ladies of England) Cf also Euphues' Glasse for Europe in Euphues and his England Nashe, in his prose-tract Christ's Teares over Jerusalem, says (Nashe's Works, ed Grosart, v 120) 'Now to London must I turn. Whatsoever of Jerusalem I haue written, was but to lende her a Looking glasse' The first title of the old play The Seige of Antwerp is (in a rather different sense) A Larum for London - The special comparison of Nineveh with London is at least as old as Latimer's Sermons. 'What then? Sin must be rebuked, sin must be plainly spoken against And when should Jonas have preached against Ninive, if he should have forborne for the respects of the times, or the place, or the state of things there? For what was Ninive? A noble, rich and wealthy city What is London to Ninive? Like a village, as Islington, or such another, in comparison to London,' &c -Cf Bartholomew Fair, act v sc, I 'Jerusalem was a stately thing, and so was Nineveh, and the City of Norwich, and Sodom and Gomorrah,' Giffoid says (in a note to Every Man in his Humour, act iii so 2) that there is no puppet-show of which our old writers make so frequent mention as that of Nineveh the passages cited in Nares, s v Nineveh.) See also Marston, The Dutch Courseses, act in. sc. r Hence the term 'Ninevitical motions,' I e. puppetshows. The suggestiveness of the comparison caused its endurance into the times of the Puritan ascendancy, when (in 1657) T. Reeve published God's Plea for Minevel, or Landon's Precedent for Mercy,

Else seeking mercy, being merciless, Thou be adjudg'd to endless heaviness'

Usury 1 (a vice of which Greene, as has been seen had some personal experience), lust, and judicial corruption are exemplified, as well as directly commented upon. Then the Angel summons the prophet 'Jonas' to repair to Nineveh His attempt to fly to Tarsus gives Hosea an opportunity for moralising on the presumption of prophets 'new inspired' and 'men of ait' But Jonah, after being thrown overboard in the storm, and swallowed and cast up by the whale, appears at Nineveh to preach repentance, Hosea applying the moral to London At the close King Rasni accepts the warning, and the play ends with a final address by Jonah to London, and a fulsome compliment to Queen Elisabeth, whose prayers are said alone to defer the plague which otherwise would fall This dramatic apologue, after the fashion of the moralities, with which as already observed it invites a suggestive comparison, exhibits a pecuhar mixture of serious and comic elements There is much life in the comic scenes in which Adam, the clown of the piece, is conspicuous, while the verse of the dialogue is distinguished by considerable fire and by copiousness of imagery, apart from the solemn directness of the passages delivered by Hosea, who, as taking no direct part in the action, may be described as the chorus of the play

Various other plays have been thought due, in whole Plays ator in part, to Greene's authorship. Among these, the temptation is great to claim for it, although the external whole or evidence is tufling2, the delightful comedy of George-a-

tributed to Greene in ın part

1 I borrowed of you forty pounds, whereof I had ten pounds in money and thirty pounds in lute strings' This substitution of 'commodities' for cash, of which Thackeray used to make grim fun, is described by Ben Jonson in The Alchemist (iii. 2), and elsewhere Cf also Dekker, in the Seuen Deadly Sinner of London 'Vsurers who for a little money, and a greate deal of trash (as Fire-Shouels, browne paper, moticy cloake-bags, &c) bring Yong Novices into a fooles Paradice till they have sealed the Morgage of their lands, and then like Pedlers, goe they (or some Familiar Spirit for them raized by the Vsurers) vp and downe to cry Commodities, which scarce yeeld the third part of the sum for which they take them up'

2 A copy exists with two MS notes in different hands 'Ed Juby [a player] saith it was made by Ro. Greene,' and 'Written by . . a minister. who acted the pinner's part in it himself. Teste W Shakespeare.' See

George a-Greene, the Punner of Wakefield (by 1593).

Greene, the Priner of Wakefield, acted in 15931, but not known to have been printed before 1599 For it has one of Greene's most attractive notes-a native English freshness of colouring It breathes the very spirit of the old ballads of the Robin Hood cycle, and is indeed founded partly on one of these 2, partly and mainly however on the old prosehistory of George-a-Greene, for there is no leason to suppose an inversion in this case of the usual relation between popular romance and popular drama³ The dramatist, however, shifts the period in which the story plays from the reign of Richard I to that of Edward—I presume Edward III The hero of his play is the valiant yeoman who gives to it his name, and whose figure is to be found in the Robin Hood legends down to their latest notable English dramatic adaptation 4 He is the keeper of the pinfolds (or penfolds 5) belonging to the common lands about Wakefield in the West Riding, and the strongest and bravest man in England We witness how by his valour and craft he quells single-handed the rebellion of the Earl of Kendal, and makes the Earl himself and his companions prisoners, how

Fleay, English Drama, 1 264, cf ante, 382 and note This statement, if authentic, would establish the twofold fact that Greene was a clergyman, and afterwards an actor I am again unable to follow Mr Fleay in his conclusion that the piece was written by two authors—he thinks, Greene and Peele

'Henslowe's Diary, pp 31 seqq The pieces entered by him as Gorge a Gren and as The Piner of Wiackefelld must be supposed to be one and the same, but it is noticeable that Munday in his Downfall of Robert Earl of Huntington (act in sc 1) mentions George-a-Greene and 'wanton Wakefield's Pinner' as two distinct personages Cf. Collier's note, Five Old Plays, p 49

² The ballad of Robin Hood and the Pinder of Wakefield, of which Bishop Percy in his Reliques (in the prefatory note to Sir Lancelot of the Lake) quotes the first stanza, adding 'that ballad may be found on every stall, and therefore is not here reprinted.' This would appear to be the ballad, with

a passage from which-

'And Robin Hood, Scarlet and John,'-

Master Silence 'confronts the Helicons' (a Henry IV, act v sc 3) Cf R. Sachs, George Green the Punner of Wakefield, in Jahrbuch, vol. xxvii (189a), pp. 192 seqq

* Cf. Dyce's Introduction

* He appears as one of Robin Hood's merry men in Jonson's Sad Skipherd.

* The 'Piacer's' office, according to Nares (s. v.) was 'to look after stray animals and put them into the pound, and to prevent trespassers."

he then proves himself stronger than Robin Hood and his three merry men, and how in the end he refuses all reward from the King, save a royal good word with the father of his sweetheart Bettris. The later part of the piece plays at Bradford, and much fun is made out of the local custom obliging every man who passes to vail his staff to the shoemakers. To this custom the King himself, who with his royal Scottish prisoner (of immortal poetic fame) visits Bradford in disguise to see George-a-Greene, is fain to submit. This charming play, very national in spirit and singularly bright in manner, was at one time attributed to Shakspere, nor was dishonour done to him by this untenable supposition.

The First Part of the Tragicall Raigne of Selimus (first The First printed, so fai as is known, in 1594) is included in the Part of the Tragical! Huth Library edition of Greene's Works2, and the external Rangue of evidence advanced by Di Grosart in favour of Greene's (pr 1594) authorship is certainly striking. In England's Parnassus, a poetical anthology printed in 1600 with a Dedication and Address signed 'R A'-in all probability the publisher Robert Allott—not less than thirty-five passages cited are attributed to Greene Of these all but ten appear to have been traced to this author's known works, six remain untraced, two belong to Spenser, and two are to be found in Selimus 3. Allott was an assiduous collector, although perhaps not unusually discriminating as to the authorship of all that he collected 4, and no attempt has been made to bring home the two passages in question to any other author 5 The play itself, when it appeared in a second edition in 1638, was said to be by 'T G', but the blunder which explained these letters to signify Thomas Goffe stands self-exposed 6. The internal evidence in the play

¹ Tieck, who suggested or entertained this notion, afterwards assigned the play to Greene (cf. Sachs, u s)

Vol xiv, of the editor's observations in vol 1 pp lxxi-lxxvii

⁵ Viz the lines on 'Delaie' (Grosart, p 211), and those alluding to the story of Dionysius and Damocles (tb p 224)

⁴ Cf Mr A H Bullen's notice of him in vol 1 of The Dictionary of National Biography (1885).

⁵ Moreover, Thos Creede, who published Selmus, also printed Jemes IV and Alphonsus

⁶ Thomas Goffe, the author of The Raging Turk, or Bajazit the Second, was born in 1592. (Cf Fleay, English Drama, vol 1 p 247)

TVOL. I.

itself, however, in my judgment, fails to furnish adequate support for Dr Giosait's theory He is inclined to regard Selumus as fulfilling, after a fashion, Greene's half-promise of producing a Second Part to Alphonsus 1, but this interpretation seems forced, all the more so that Selmus is itself only a First Part Neither can I detect in the supposed autobiographical—or quasi-autobiographical—passages that personal flavour which Greene, when he entered upon any attempt of the sort, was wont to impart to it, while the parallelism between the lines concerning 'the sweet content' of country life and a passage in Greene's Farewell to Follie admits of a more obvious explanation. As to the coincidences of words and phrases in Selimus and in undoubted productions of Greene's, I am obliged to confess that they leave me unconvinced, on the other hand, it must be allowed that there is a certain analogy between Selimus and Alphonsus in the intermixture of rime and blank verse in both plays, but where in Alphonsus, or in any other of Greene's plays, are to be found the old-fashioned stanzaforms of the opening of Selimus? In sum, the place which Dr Grosart has sought to vindicate to Greene is certainly unoccupied by any other claimant, but for myself, I am still inclined to adhere to the supposition of an author belonging to a school less advanced than Greene's The play, in any case, seems hardly to have been written, like Alphonsus, in direct rivalry of Marlowe's Tamburlaine 2. and it is noticeable that, while at least one passage contains a direct imitation of one of the most peculiar features of Euphuism, the style and diction of Selimus are modelled to a very remarkable degree on those of the Senecan tragedies, one passage of the dialogue being indeed directly borrowed from the Threstes 3.

^{&#}x27;See the concluding speech of Venus in Alphonsus
'That, when I come to finish up his life, &c'

Schmus is rather a sort of Machiavel.

^{*} See Cunliffe, us, pp 62-6—In the character of the Jewish physician and poisoner Abraham an allusion has been sought to Lopez, the date of whose trial (1594) would thus affect the chronology of the play, but the circumstances of the poisoning of Bajazet II by his Jewish physician are historical. See Zinkeisen, Gsschichte des Osmanischen Reiches, vol. ii. p. 565 and note.

A History of Fobe was entered as by Robert Gicene in the Stationers' Registers in 1594, but is not known to have been printed Mi Fleav also claims for him a share in The Troublesome Raigne of King John 1, and in the First and Second Part of Henry VI, but any comments on the latter supposition I reserve for the present

The unusually violent oscillations which the reputation of Greene as a dramatist has undergone, and may be destined vet to undergo, are more easily explained than reconciled with one another With the pedantic champion of the selfsatisfied clique who looked down with contempt upon such writers as himself he was at war, and the rancour of his adversary pursued him even beyond the grave But he was Greene as also at different times at issue with the most distinguished a victim of of his fellow-playwrights, and as it were with his dying breath asserted that one of them had committed (for so I think we are bound to understand his words) literary robbery upon him and his fellows The charge that he had suffered by such appropriations is echoed by his panegyrist 'R B,' who wrote of him after his death with an obvious reference to his own complaint

plagiansin

'Greene is the pleasing object of an eie Greene pleasde the eies of all that lookt vppon him Greene is the ground of everie Painters die . Greene gave the ground to all that wrote vpon him Nay more the men that so Echost his fame Purloynd his Plumes, can they deny the same?"

To the melancholy lesson which is taught by his personal life there is no necessity for returning, but the remembrance His ments of its errors should the less affect the judgment of posterity as a diaupon his genius as a diamatist, since its productions are wholly, and we can scarcely doubt intentionally, free from wantonness. His felicity in the choice, and inventiveness in the treatment, of his dramatic themes are alike remarkable,

mair.t

¹ Ante, p 223

² Jonson's famous quip seems merely to point to the fact that Greene's prose fictions as a whole had rapidly fallen out of fashion See Every Man out of his Humour, act ii so I She does use as choice figures in her ordinary conferences, as any be in the Arcadia' Carlo . 'Or rather in Greene's works, whence she may steal with more security'

he deals with a great variety of materials in a spirit of rare buoyancy and freedom, and of that audacity which becomes a poet sure of himself Thus, notwithstanding that, as has been pointed out by Mr Fleay, all those plays for which Greene assumed the sole responsibility, are called by the old name of Histories-implying dependence on extant narrative materials-his freedom and originality of treatment entitle his plays to a high rank in the early English romantic drama In legard to all that may be comprised under the word style, it is less easy to estimate the merits of an author who in his plays as in his more abundant nondramatic writings was so ready to mould his manner upon that of other authors, if they had shown themselves capable of commanding success His versification never reached Marlowe's majestic level, or even that of Peele when at his best-in moments of tragic inspiration such as never visited Greene His diction often shone with ornament, but this was rather of the accepted Painassian sort, and rarely comprised images prompted by an inspiration of adaptation humorous passages his large practice as a writer of prose enabled him to move with perfect ease, while the experiences of which he was periodically repentant imparted a certain variation of colour to his desipience. He cannot, without hyperbole, be said in respect to his dramatic works to deserve the tribute paid to his writings at large by a French sonneteer, of having been a raffineur de l'Anglois 1, but as a dramatist hardly less than as a novelist, he rendered a distinct service to the growth of English prose Apart, therefore, from the important productivity displayed by him in other fields of literary composition, Robert Greene stands high among the predecessors of Shakspere in dramatic literature itself. And although we may be indifferent as well as sceptical as to the nature of the debt with which he sought to burden the fame of Shakspere, yet we may allow that a different kind of debt was assuredly owed to the elder by the younger and infinitely

¹ Greene et Lylls tous deux raffineurs de l'Anglois. See the sounet prefixed to Perimedes the Blacksmith, by J Eliote. It is curious that this admirer should have caught the temper of Greene himself, in exhorting him to 'mépriser des chiens et chathuans [chats-huants, screech-owls] la rage.'

In a greater measure perhaps than any greater dramatist poet before Shakspere. Greene helped to wing the feet of the English diamatic Muse, by giving liberty and lightness to her movements, and more than one of his plays breathe in some degree that undescribable freshness, that air blown from over English homesteads and over English meads. which we recognise as a Shaksperean characteristic, and which belongs to none but a wholly and truly national art

THOMAS LODGE 1, born at West Ham in Essex about the year 1558, was the son of a London Lord Mayor of substantial wealth and ancient family He was educated at 1625) Trinity College, Oxford, where (since there is on this head no doubt as to identity) he may be stated to have taken his labours degrees in due course It is perhaps hardly fair to conclude from the experience which he shows of youth led astray by usurers that the personal difficulties of his own life began at Lincoln's Inn, where he was admitted in 1578, although he dwells on the temptations incident to the life of a young student of the law But it seems suspicious that his mother, when on her death in 1579 she left him part of her property, attached to his inheritance of other parts of it on her husband's death the condition that he should have remained what 'a good student ought to be', and that, when the time came (in 1584), Lodge, although or because he had married a year or more previously, was left out of his father's will

In any case, he must from a very early date have renounced legal studies in favour of literary pursuits 1580 he came forward as a champion of the liberal arts of poetry, music, and the drama, against their aspersor Stephen Gosson, whose Schoole of Abuse had been published in the previous year, with a dedication (which met with no gracious acceptance) to Sir Philip Sidney. Lodge was not the first

Thomas Lodge (1558 c -His life and literary

² All the extant works of Lodge, with the exception of his translations of Seneca, Josephus and Du Bartas, have been edited for the Hunterian Club, Glasgow, 1878-82, by Mr. Edmund Gosse, with an introductory essay, since reprinted by him in his Seventeenth Century Studies (1883) See also D Laing's Introduction to Lodge's Defence of Poetry, Music and Stage-plays (Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1853), and cf. Mr S Lee's article on Lodge in vol XXXIV of the Dictionary of National Biography (1893).

to enter the lists against Gosson, and his pamphlet entitled A Defence of Poetry, Music, and Stage-plays 1 is not particularly interesting, being in fact rather commonplace in matter and academically pedantic in treatment. Perhaps the interest which it aroused was increased by the fact that it had been refused a licence, at all events, when the tract reached Gosson's hands, he deemed it of sufficient importance to answer it in his Playes confuted in Five Actions (1582). To this Lodge afterwards replied in the preface to his Alarum against Usurers (1584)2, a tract of which the title explains itself, and which is also dedicated to Sidney

How far the charges of loose living, launched against Lodge by Gosson in his Playes Confuted, &c, were warranted by fact, need not be discussed, the censor, who appears some time before to have withdrawn from town life, shows no knowledge of his adversary's private history 8 Gosson does not state in this pamphlet, as he was by the late Mr Collier asserted to have done, that Lodge had actually appeared on the stage as a player, and the attempt made, with the aid not only of misquotation, but also of a grave falsification of documentary evidence, to substantiate the supposed statement, has, although dying hard, met with the ultimate fate of all such manœuvres 4 On the other hand, the language of Gosson in Playes Confuted leaves no doubt as to the fact that before the publication of this pamphlet Lodge had become a 'playmaker,'-an occupation which his assailant readily couples with terms of the blackest

¹ See the edition already cited, and cf Collier in Shakespeare Society's Papers, in 162 seqq

^{*} Edited for the Shakespeare Society, with the Defence, &c, by D Laing

* Dr. Ingleby, in the tract cited below, points out that Gosson, when he wrote his Apologie of the Schole of Abuse (1579), did not know for certain who his opponent was, and that in Playes Confuted, &c, he misnames him William Lodge.

^{*} I sincerely regret that, in the first edition of this book, I should have been misled into repeating this fiction, not being at the time acquainted with the complete exposure of it by the late Dr C. M Ingleby in his pamphlet, Was Thomas Lodge an Actor? An Exposition touching the Social Status of the Playunght in the time of Elizabeth (1868), and by Dr. Furnivall in subsequent publications. Cf. as to the history of this fraud and its exposure, Ingleby * General Introduction to Shakspere Allusion Books, Part I. (New Shakspere Somety's Publications, 1874), p. 1v, note.

infamy 1 Of his earlier plays, however, none remain will be shown immediately, The Wounds of Civil War, the only play of which the sole authorship is with certainty to be attributed to Lodge, was probably produced about the year 1587, in The Looking Glasse for London, and possibly in other plays, he co-operated with Greene, who died in 1502, when Lodge had been for some months absent from England, the majority of the remaining diamas in which he is supposed, on more or less specious grounds, to have had a hand have (though in the same conjectural fashion2) likewise been assigned to the last few years of the ninth decade of the century But his connexion with the stage as a playwright was, on the most liberal assumption, of a transitory nature only His literary début fell in the heyday of Euphuism, and the tractate against which he fleshed his youthful rapier (The Schoole of Abuse) was itself a specimen of the Euphuistic manner What wonder that, instead of confining his imitation of the style in fashion to didactic pamphlets, he should himself have ventured into the contiguous realm of fiction whither the master's example was pointing the way? The Delectable History of Forbonius and Prisceria³ (1584) is, however, a very ordinary lovepamphlet which could not pretend to enter into competition with the efforts already made by Lodge's literary associate, Robert Greene, in the same direction. In the very year of its publication, Lodge, to use his own phiase, fell 'from bookes to armes,' and accompanied Captain Clarke in a patriotic investigation of the islands of Teicera and the Canaries. It was to beguile the tedium of this voyage that, according to his own account, Lodge composed by far the most famous of his literary works, the prose-tale of Rosalynde, Euphues' Golden Legacie, found in his cell at Silexdra 4 Written in the fashionable style, wherever the author thought

^{&#}x27; 'No Lodge, no playmaker, no Epicure, no Atheiste, shall make you to surfette with these delightes' (Playes Confuted, &c., ad fm)

² I refer to those enumerated by Mr Fleay, English Drama, 11 49 seqq ³ Edited for the Shakespeare Society with the Defence, &c, by D Laing

^{*} Of this celebrated novel there are several reprints, including one in vol in of Collicr's Shakespeare Library (1843 and 1875) and another in Cassell's National Library (1886).

it incumbent upon him to take particular pains, this story secured to itself an exceptional vitality by the more certain means of an interesting plot full of situations best described by the French term piquant Shakspere in adapting it for his comedy of As You Like It, added something besides the characters of the melancholy Jacques and (in his mellower phases, at all events) of the nobly desipient Touchstone, but of this below. Lodge's novel is a felicitous example of the transition towards life and action which was accomplishing itself in English prose fiction in the hands of Lyly's followers, while in their artificiality of description, illustration, and phraseology, they jingled their gilded fetters with a persistency almost equal to his own It will not be overlooked that this book contains some very pleasing attractive lyrics.

Rosalynde was published in 1590, on his return from his sea-voyage in the previous year Lodge had put forth a volume of verse entitled Scillaes Metamorphosis, enterlaced with the unfortunate love of Glaucus² We need not, in this place at all events, concern ourselves with the question as to the relations between this poem and Shakspere's Venus and Adonis, its significance for our purpose is rather that Lodge seized the opportunity of his first presenting himself in the full-fledged dignity of a 'poet' to renounce his literary connexion with the stage, of which he had not long since come forward as the defender. At the close of this poem he announces that he has been now bound by oath—

'To write no more of that whence shame doth grow Or tie my pen to penny-knaues delight, But liue with fame, and so for fame to write³'

Whether or not some similar feeling may have in passing

¹ See the criticism of Rosalynde, ap Jusserand, u. s., 204; and cf Delius, Lodge's Rosalynde and Shakspere's As You Like It, in Jahrbuch, vol. vi (1872).—How far or in what sense the novel in its turn is to be described as original, is a question which cannot occupy us here

^{*} Its later and better-known title is The Most Pithie and Pleasant Historie of Glaucus and Salla (1610). Reprinted, with preface by Singer, 1819.

² Cf. Ingleby, Introduction to Shakspere Allusion Books, u. s. To the significance of the passage cited by him from Shakspere's Sonnet Luxu I may return below.

taken hold of Shakspere himself, when reflecting on the degradation which a personal connexion with the theatre seemed to involve or imply, we at all events know that he was not permanently mastered by it Lodge, on the other hand, appears at this time to have, in his own case, put an end to this connexion, so that in point of fact the remainder of his long career falls outside the history of dramatic literature If the conjecture which identifies Lodge with the 'young Juvenal' of Greene's Groats-worth of Wit could be maintained 1 we might attribute an influence upon his resolution. or rather upon his steady observance of it, to Greene's In a very different literary sphere, Lodge's abandonment of play-writing for poetry was encouraged or applauded,-if we are to accept Malone's ingenious but not very safe interpretation of one of the many ambiguous allusions in Spenser's Colin Clouts Come Home Againe 2.

At the time of his unhappy associate's decease, Lodge was at sea again, having accompanied the famous navigator Cavendish on a long and ill-starred voyage. Before setting forth he had printed a species of historical romance, The History of Robert, second Duke of Normandy, surnamed (as Lodge says, 'for his youthful imperfections') Robin the Divell (1591), his Euphnes' Shadow the battaile of the sences, of which the scene is laid in the days of Octavianus Augustus, and in which Lodge, as Mi Gosse thinks, comes nearest to 'his great precursor' Lyly, was published for his absent friend by Gieene (1592) On his return from his troubled travels, in which, however, he had carried himself

Lodge is supposed to have repaid the compliment in his *Phillis* See Collier, *Memoirs of Alleyn*, p. 40—A personage in *A Looking Glasse* is called Alcon, but, although one pretty lyric is placed in his mouth, it would hardly have been complimentary to name after him one of the authors of the play.

¹ This view, held by Malone and a series of Shaksperean scholars after him, still finds a champion in Mr Fleay See, however, Ingleby, Supplement to General Introduction, u s, and cf R Simpson, The School of Shakspere, 11, 382-3.—The person addressed as 'young Juvenal' by Greene is stated by him to have 'lastly with him together writ a comedy' Mr Fleay not very convincingly argues that this was A Looking Glasse for London (English Drama, 11, 53-4)

And there is pleasing Alcon, could be raise His tone from laies to matter of more skill?

with credit, Lodge printed in 1593, besides another 'historical' romance, The Life and Death of William Longbeard, the most famous and witty English Traitor, borne in the Citty of London, his Phillis, one of the most notable early Elisabethan books of sonnets, his two dramatic works, The Tragedy of the Wounds of Civil War and the Looking-glass (1594), and his Fig for Momus, a volume of verse comprising epistles addressed to distinguished friends, including Drayton, satiles and cologues, one of which is dedicated to Daniel (1595) It is by leason of this production that Bishop Hall's claim—

'I first adventure, follow me who list, And be the second English saturist'—

seems to admit of being challenged on behalf of Lodge ¹ His last contribution to imaginative literature was the highly ornate iomance of A Margarite (i e pearl) of America (i 596) which the writer professes to have discovered in its original Spanish in a Jesuit library visited by him on his expedition with Cavendish, and to have translated on shipboard in the Magellan Straits ²

After this, Lodge betook himself to intellectual labours of a different cast. Possibly he had exhausted his original, and more especially his lyric, vein³. Possibly the licence of imaginative composition failed to suit itself easily to the discipline to which he now seems to have subjected himself as a convert to the Church of Rome ⁴, and his second wife, herself a Roman Catholic, may have influenced him in the

Reprinted by Hailiwell-Phillips (1859)

¹ See Singer's preface to his edition of the Saures of Joseph Hall (1824)

³ He contributed, however, to the poetical miscellany, *England's Helicon*, published in 1600 (Gosse, u s, p 56). But these may have been verses written at an earlier date

^{*} He is supposed to have been the author of 'Prosopopea, containing the Teares of the holy, blessed and sanctified Marie, the Mother of God' (1596), to which the initials 'T. L' are attached (Reprinted by Collier in Shakespear's Library) Dr Ingleby thinks that the self-accusation in the following passage in the preliminary epistle can only refer to his plays. 'Some will condemn me, and that justly, for a Galba (who begat foile children by night, and made faire children by daie,) to whom I answere, that I paint things in the light of my meditation, who begot the foule fore-

same direction He had too long, he says in the Preface to his Seneca, 'surfeited upon time-pleasing', and he now settled down to professional work in London, though usually residing on or rear some family property at Low Leyton Some little time before the close of the century he graduated as Doctor of Physic at Avignon, and was incorporated with this degree at Oxford in 1602 He rapidly attained to a high reputation as a physician, but his personal difficulties were not altogether at an end, and for some time before 1619 he resided abroad, practising at Malines and probably elsewhere in the Spanish Netherlands His works during this later period of his life were of a sober cast including, together with a Treatise of the Plague and a popular manual of medicine called The Poor Man's Talent, translations of Josephus and Seneca, and of 'a learned Summary upon the famous Poeme' of Du Bartas He died in Old Fish Street, London, in 1625

The literary career of Lodge is full of interest, and taken as a whole may be said to illustrate with a unique sort of completeness the literary history of the score of years covered by the period of his youth and earlier manhood. He had, says a contemporary critic who usually hits the mark, 'his oare in every paper boate', and even in a writer who combined with a classical training of some solidity a very remarkable productive power, such versatility would call for admitation. But he was by no means an imitator only, or chiefly, if he followed Lyly, he cannot for a moment be set down as having followed him in the wake of Greene, and in more than one branch of poetic composition the credit of its origination may be successfully disputed in his favour,—in one instance, even against Shakspere himself.

passed progenie of my thoughts in the night of mine error' (Was Thomas Lodge an Actor? p 15) This does not, however, seem to me quite so clear

Lodge for his oare in every paper boate, He that turnes over Galen every day, To sit and simper Euphues legacy'

The Second Part of the Returns from Parnassus, in which these lines occur, was written for representation at Christmas in one of the years 1598-1600—the very years in which Lodge was effecting his transition from romance to respectability.

His lyrical gifts, moreover, are of a quality raie even among the English poets of his age ¹ We are, however, directly concerned only with his contributions to our dramatic literature, which, in so far as they can be with certainty assigned to his authorship, cannot be said to constitute a noteworthy part of his achievements

Lodge's plays The Wounds of Cwel War (1587 the pr 1594)

The Wounds of Civil War, lively set forth in the True Tragedies of Marius and Sylla², first printed in 1594, was in all probability produced several years previously to this Apart from the evidence of the author's motto, there is in this play a manifest imitation of the celebrated entry of Tamburlaine, Sylla comes on the stage 'in triumph in his chair triumphant of gold, drawn by four Moors, before the chariot, his colours, his crest, his captains, his prisoners 3, This points to a date of production not far distant from that of Marlowe stragedy (1587), and Mr Fleay pertinently observes that no year could have been more suitable than this4 in which to enforce a warning against the evils of 'civil war' Founded upon North's Lives from Plutarch, though as a competent scholar the author may very possibly have had recourse to their original, the play appears to have been put together chiefly with a view to producing a piolonged succession of stirring scenes, nor can the author be said to have fallen short of his intent. Many of the speeches are full of vigour, especially Sylla's address to his flying soldiery 5. The piece,

¹ See, e g., the charming lines from the poem in commendation of a solitary life, ap Laing, u s p t, and the charming erotic which relieves the tedium of *Forbonus and Priscena*, reprinted in the same volume

² Reprinted in Dodsley's Old Plays, vol viii, and in Hazliti's Dodsley, vol. vii According to the Biographia Diamatica, this play was by Winstanley ascribed to Lodovick Carlell

^{*} At the commencement of act w, according to one of the divisions in the quarto Cf. Collier, ni. 37

^{*} The year of the execution of Mary Queen of Scots, followed by apprehensions of the Spanish Armada

b Act 1. ad fin In this address we catch a tone of Shakspere's Roman plays, Caesar, of course, in particular The stage-direction is suggestive of the simple materials out of which our old dramatists could construct powerful effects. A great alarum. Let young Marius chase Pomper over the stage, and old Marius chase Lucretius Then let enter three or four Soldiers, and his ancient with his colours, and Sylla after them with his hat in his hand: they offer to fly away.

in the versification of which an abundance of rimed lines serves to vary a rather rigid form of blank verse, is enlivened here and there by a farcical intermixture, an anecdote in Plutarch is made use of to introduce a clown who in his drunkenness betrays his master, 'old Anthony', while the author's own inventive fancy must be held responsible for the broken French talked by the Gaul 1 commissioned to slay 'old Marius' in prison When terrified by the glance of the captive conqueror of the Cimbri, he cries out, 'Me no dare kill Marius, adreu Messieurs; me be dead si ie touche Marius', and finally runs off the stage shricking forth a Christian oath2 Equally incongruous with the historic dignity of the theme, although quite in harmony with the artificialities of contemporary composition, is the purely fanciful treatment of one of the most effective situations in the course of the action—the isolation of the fugitive Marius among the 'Numidian mountains' The playwright seizes upon the opportunity in order to make Marius utter his complaint to Echo, who answers him by repeating the last word—or a pun upon it—in the several lines of his lament The device (or trick) here reproduced is not of Euphuistic origin, for the neatest and wittiest example of it is to be found in the Colloguia of Erasmus 3

Of a Looking Glasse for London, &c, written by Lodge

and to the modern French poet Pannard's imitation of the same fashion In a subsequent section (ii. 229 seqq) he recurs to the subject of Anagrams and Echo Verses, which he thinks to be at times capable of reflecting the ingenity of their authors—an assertion not holding good as to acrosics, and cites a copy of Echo Verses against the Roundheads from an academical play presented before Charles I at Trunty College, Cambridge, in March, 1641 I owe these references, both to the Colloquia Familiana and to The Curosites of Literature, to a criticism by the late Dr W Wagner

¹ He is called 'Pedro'

² Marius est un diable Jesu Maria, sava moy 'The striking anecdote of which this scene is a version is of course in Plutarch

² See the (prose) dialogue between Juvenis and Echo, carried on by the latter entirely by means of echoes, laigely of a punning nature, and playing with Greek as well as Latin vocables —Disraeli, in his Currosites of Literature (ed 1865, 1 297, section Literary Follies), refers to the practice of Echo Verses, affected by old French bards in the age of Marot, to Butler's ridicule of this in Hudibras (bk. 1 canto in .

^{&#}x27;Quoth he, "O whither, wicked Bruin, Art thou fled to my"—Echo, Rum'),

in conjunction with Greene, some account has already been given among the diamat c works of that author. Mr Fleav believes Lodge to have likewise collaborated with Greene in James IV and in George-a-Greene as well as in the Second Part of Henry VI He is further inclined to assign to him the authorship of Mucedorus, The True Chronicle History of King Leir, and The Troublesome Raigne of King John 1. I see no sufficient reason for noticing other conjectural attributions to Lodge of compositions usually assigned to dates that hardly fall within the period of his ascertained dramatic activity—including portions of The True Tragedie of Richard III2 and A Warning for Faire Women. The temptation is no doubt great to suppose so facile a workmanship to have adapted itself to the demands of very different dramatic styles, but the ascertained share of Lodge in the progress of that branch of our literature with which we are alone directly concerned cannot be described as other than relatively unimportant and exiguous.

Thomas Vashe 1567-1601) His life and nondramatic writings, The name of THOMAS NASHE ⁸ is so intimately connected with those of the dramatists previously mentioned in the present chapter, that some notice of him seems in its turn called for here, although his dramatic writings can in no case have formed more than a very slight part of his extraordinary literary activity. Born at Lowestoft in 1567, as the son of a 'minister' of Herefordshire descent, he became at a very early age a member of 'thrice fruitfull' St. John's College, Cambridge, 'which is and ever was the sweetest

¹ English Diama, n 49 seqq Mr Fleay is much impressed by the use in all these pieces and in The True Tragedie of Richard III of the phrase 'a cooling card,' which he supposes the medically disposed author of The Wounds of Civil War to have adopted as a kind of 'trade-mark' Perhaps the learning of scholars blinds them in some cases to the probability that a phrase was appropriated for no reason but because it seemed telling

² Ib, 315-7 —Mr Fleay is careful, in the instance of two 'doubtful' plays, to describe his own supposition of Lodge's authorship to be essentially conjectural

The Complete Works of Thomas Nashe. Edited by Dr A B. Grosart (Huth Library), 6 vols, 1883-5, cf Fleay, English Drama, ii, 122-149, and Mr. S. Lee's article on Nashe in vol xl. of The Dictionary of National Bugmphy (1894).

nurse of knowledge in all that Vniversity1' Here he resided for nearly seven years, but he seems to have left College when of third year's standing as BA, having according to his subsequent chief enemy's account made himself too prominent in the production of a jeu d'esprit offensive to the authorities 2 He is concluded to have paid a rapid visit to France and Italy before beginning his literary life in London ın 1588

Here he at once attached himself to the rising celebrity, Robert Greene, prefacing his Menaphon (1589) by an Epistle in which he took occasion while not very affably reviewing contemporary literature in general to pour special contempt upon a playwright who is with extreme probability held to be identifiable with Kyd 1. His first independent literary venture, The Anatomie of Absurditie (1589), boic a title which may have been imitated either from Greene, or from Greene's own exemplar 4 In any case. Nashe had borrowed his methods of diction from neither Lyly nor Greene, having as a born pamphleteer (or as we should say journalist) made bold to set up a good plain, strong and abusive prose-style of his own.

Fortunately (as the world goes) for the peculiar bent of contro-Nashe's genius, the year in which he was fairly launched upon his life as a man of letters in London was also that in which the turbulent sea of the Mar-Prelate controversy was at full tide As a matter of course he immediately engaged in it, and with so much effect that he was both at the time and afterwards (when Nashe's ghost' was repeatedly appealed to as having settled the affair of the Martinists) regarded as a protagonist in the struggle Probably, however, his direct share in this war of pamphlets has been considerably exaggerated. Anonymity—or pseudonymity,

¹ See Nashe's Lerten Stuffe (Grosart, v 241). Cf Strange Newes, &c , and the famous passage in praise of St. John's in the Epistle to the Gentlemen Students of both Universities prefixed by Nashe to Greene's Menaphon

² According to the supposition of Gabriel Harvey (The Trimming of Thomas Nashe), he played the 'varlet of clubs' in a show called Terminus et non Terminus

⁹ See Fleay, u s , p 124 The evidence is practically irresistible

^{*} Dr Furnivall, however, thinks that it was imitated from the title of Stubbs' Anatomie of Abuses

a more convenient form of the same device-was an indispensable condition of the fray, there can at the same time be little doubt that the 'Pasquil' of the contention was Nashe, from whose hand the celebrated Returne of the renowned Cavalerro Pasquil (1589) in particular indisputably proceeded His authorship of An Almond for a Parratt (1590), dedicated to the actor William Kemp, has notwithstanding some supposed biographical allusions, been doubted in several quarters ¹ The course of the controversy, while establishing the reputation of Nashe as a professed satirist—a 'Young Juvenal,' if (as can hardly be doubted) he earned this valedictory epithet from Greene 2 as a reward and encouragement of his exertions,-involved him in a personal quarrel of exceptional virulence Of this he sounded a loud note in one of the most notable of his tracts, Pierce Pennilesse his Supplication to the Divell (1502), interesting both as a defence of poetry and plays, and as a picture of the miseries of authorship attack upon the brothers Harvey contained in this publication was taken up by Gabiiel Harvey, whose traditional eminence as the type of the scholar-pedant living near the rose-nay in a rose-garden of associations ancient and modern—but unable thence to perfume his native vinegar-has not been lowered by recent opportunities of closer acquaintance 4 The most characteristic of Nashe's appearances in this on the whole not very edifying series of bouts is the last, his tract of Haue with you to Saffron Walden, or Gabriel Harvey's Hunt is Vp (1596), which is in dialogue-form, and full of allusions of interest to the student of the minutiae of the history of our early dramatic literature 5. Gabriel Harvey retorted with the Trimming of Thomas Nashe (1597), his adversary being at the time

2 A Groatsworth of Wit. Cf below, the reference to Meres

* It opens with a very facetious dedication to Dick Litchfield, the Trinity College barber.

¹ See Grosart, 1 xlix, and cf Fleay, 126-7

² Edited by Collier for the (Old) Shakespeare Society, 1842 It is full of references of interest for the history of our drama—of comedy in particular

^{*} Dr. Grosart's edition of his Works (Huth Library), 6 vols., 1883-5, and Gabriel Harvey's Letter Book (1573-80), edited for the Camden Society by Mr. E J. L. Scott (1884).

a prisonei in the Fleet, and two years afterwards the combatants were silenced by archiepiscopal authority, and 'all the books' of each ordered to be suppressed

During the seven years (more or less) through which this and nonwar of libels had 1aged, Nashe's pen had been unceasingly busy with compositions not falling under the description of controversial, and, as has already been indicated, some of his controversial pamphlets themselves may at the same time be regarded as general satires and descriptive essays Thus, in a more marked degree than those of any of his contemporaries, his writings were preparatory of some of the earlier efforts of the English novel, just as certain famous papers in The Tatler and the Spectator led up to some of its later developments. His social satires- of which Pierce Pennilesse and Lenten Stuffe may serve as types—display together with a great deal of queer learning a great deal of queer knowledge of life, and while crammed with anecdotes and witticisms of all kinds, are manifestly the work of a man of letters who was a keen observer of the At the same time he became master of world around him an effective style, because from the first he allowed his own style to be formed by his matter, and scorned imitation, except to the innocuous extent of proving himself as good a scholar as his fellow-authors 1. This freedom from affectation and mannerism distinguishes his way of writing even in pieces put together, like the two works just named, with an obvious purpose of creating an effect by eccentricity, it is only in the earlier and didactive portion of his solemnlymeant Christ's Teares over Ferusalem (1593) that he rather strains his style (though even here not unbearably), lest he

^{1 &#}x27;Wherin haue I borrowed from Greene or Tarlton, that I should thanke them for all I have? Is my stile like Greenes, or my leasts like Tarltons? . . . This I will proudly boast . that the vame which I have . is of my own begetting, and cals no man father in England but my silte, neyther Euphnes, nor Tarlton, nor Greene Not Tarlton nor Greene but have beene contented to let my simple judgement overrule them in some matters of wit Euphues I readd when I was a little ape at Cambridge, and I then thought it was Ipse ille, it may be excellent good still, for ought I know, for I lookt not on it this ten yeare but to imitate it I abhorre, otherwise than it unitates Plutarch, Oud and the choicest Latine Authors' (Foure Letters Confuted, Grosart, 11, 267)

should fall short of being impressive¹ The natural power of his style stood him in good stead in the most notable of all his works, The Unfortunate Traveller 2 (1594), in which we may unhesitatingly recognise the first English example of the novela picaresca—the novel of odd adventure—which was to attain to so notable a development in the works of our eighteenth-century masters of fiction To a novelist of Nashe's type no kind of adventure came amiss, and his hero is in turn practical jokei, poet's confidant, and actor in a real drama of murderous intrigue Historical personages, from Martin Luther to Pietro Aretino, are freely brought in to fill the canvas, and incident abounds so continually that we do not care to ask for a plot The author boldly disclaims any intention of hidden allusions, his novel contains no cipher and icquires no key, he can promise nothing but 'some reasonable conveyance of historie, and varietie of muth' Irregular and haphazard as it might seem in form, the product was racy of the soil whence it sprang, and not unworthy of the most famous of its successors

Impression left by him upon his age

While it cannot be pretended that either in this novel or in any other of his works Nashe is a writer to whom genius of a high order should be ascribed, yet hardly anything remains from his hand unmarked by the fresh and vigorous vitality so conspicuous in The Unfortunate Traveller. Such was the impression left by him as a writer upon his contemporaries, after in 1601 his brief life of less than thirty-four years had come to a close His personal career had been full of troubles of all sorts, a MS, epitaph states that he 'never in his life paid shoemaker or tailor'; Henslowe had to make him advances both when at large and when (as will be seen immediately) in prison; nor is there any reason for supposing that the storms had calmed when he sank beneath the waters. But although, as his own confessions would suffice to show, in frequent straits, and never out of a fray when he could be in the midst of one, he was so far as it is

As to the general theme of this tract, cf. ante, p 40a, note—In the address To the Reader prefixed to this tract, Nashe notices objections that have been made to his style as inflated and defaced by 'the often coyning of Italiotiate verbs which all end in Ize, as mummanize, tympanize, tirannize' Edited by Mr. Gosse in Chisunk Press Reprints (1892).

possible to discern an honest partisan and a staunch friend, and one who in his writings at least was not wont to play fast and loose with truth and virtue His' ghost,' as already observed, did active work as a pamphleteei against the Martinists and their descendants long after his death, but his associates and contemporaries, while they naturally recalled the sharpness and bitterness of his satirical wit as his most salient characteristic, cherished a kindly remembrance of the most eager and effective combatant of an unquict age 1.

Nashe is only known with certainty to have composed His dia two plays, besides co-operating in, or completing, Marlowe's matter Dido Queen of Carthage² The earlier of these was his 'pleasant comedie' of Summer's Last Will and Testament's, which was privately acted in 1592 at or near Croydon but not printed till 1600 It is something between a morality and a 'show'; but besides the seasons and other mythological figures, a real personage is by the easy expedient of an obvious pun upon his name introduced on the scene in the shape of Will Summer (Summers, or Somers), the celebrated jester of King Henry VIII4. This worthy sits

1 See the tributes collected by Mr Lee in his admirable biographical article, and more especially the passage in The Returne from Pernassus (part ii act i sc 2), which it is pleasant to think of as spoken within the walls of St John's College -

'I et all his faultes sleepe with his mouinfull chest, And [there] for cuer with his ashes rest His style was wittie, though [it] had some gal, Something he might have mended,—so may all Yet this I say, that for a mother witt, Few men have euer seene the like of it.

2 Ante, pp 356-8

Printed in Dodsley's Old Plays, vol ix, and in Hazhtt's Dodsley, vol viii , also in vol vi. of Dr Grosart's edition of Nashe's Works, which likewise contains Dido Dr Grosart's volume contains an excessively ingenious series of conjectures by Dr Brinsley Nicholson, as to when, where, by whom and on what occasion, the play was performed. The most interesting of these argumentations is that concerning the supposed locality of the performance -the archiepiscopal palace at Croydon. As to the date (1592, not 1593, as given by Dr. Grosart), see Fleay, History of the Stage, p 78, and English Drama, 11 148-9 Mr Lee, u s, says that the play was acted at Buddington near Croydon, the house of Sir George Carey, to whose wife and daughter respectively Nashe dedicated his Christes Teares over Jerusalem and his Terrors of the Night (1594)

* As to Will Summers, see R Armin's Nest of Numes, Old) Stakespeare Society's Publications, 1842, pp 41 seqq, and Collier's Introduction and Notes. as chorus,' and, as he says, 'flouts the actors' after a fashion which Ben Jonson's Every Man out of his Humour and other Elisabethan plays bequeathed to The Rehearsal, The Critic, and to a host of later more or less successful appropriations of an all too seductive device For the rest. there is but little plot in Nashe's piece, where 'because the plague reigns in most places in this latter end of Summer, Summer must come in sick, yield his throne to Autumn, make Winter his executor 'Summer' calls before him the other Seasons, with their offspring and companions, such as Orion, Bacchus, Harvest, Chiistmas, 'Backwinter,' and others; and in the dialogues consequently arising abundant opportunity occurs for both description and satire. command of language characteristic of Nashe is admirably illustrated by a variety of passages; while at times his writing rises above mere ingenuity Thus, Orion's praise of the Dog will commend itself to observers, and is very humorous to boot, while Ver's plaise of poverty and Winter's assault upon Contemplation and the Liberal Arts deserve the ciedit of telling efforts of sophistry A certain poetical charm will be allowed to attach to Sol's apology, and the song or litany prefacing the death of Summer in its epigrammatic melancholy mingles Ralegh's with an earlier Renascence manner 1. The elaborate, if not always accurate erudition which this production displays, would probably have rendered it unsuitable for a 'common stage', but if as 1b, pp xix and 63-5 He is several times referred to in John Heywood's Play of the Wether (cf ante, p. 248), and his antics are mentioned proverbially in The Death and Buriall of Martin Mar-Prelate, a pamphlet (probably erroneously) attributed to Nashe. 'For first, like Wil Sommers, when you knowe not who bobd you, you strike him that first comes in your foolish head' (Grosart, 1 202).—In Gabriel Harvey's Pierce's Supererogation (1593) the following varieties are enumerated 'Scoggin the Ioviall foole, or Skelton the Malancholy foole, or Elderton the bibbing foole, or Will Sommer the chollericke foole."

Beauty is but a flower
Which wrinkles will devour
Brightness falls from the air,
Queens have died young and fair.
Dust hath clos'd Helen's eye.
I am sick, I must die
Lord have mercy on us!

has been supposed Queen Elisabeth's own presence graced the performance, her learned tastes were assuredly never provided with a more cunningly seasoned banquet

The Isle of Dogs, which has a very special interest for The Isle Nashe's biography, was never printed It appears from Henslowe's Diary 1 that in the spring of 1507 Nashe was printed) engaged upon the composition of this piece when in circumstances of distress which the manager was fain to relieve, yet according to Nashe's own account2, when the play was actually produced, his own share in it, something like that of Sackville in The Mirror for Magistrates, comprised only the Induction and the first Act But the offence given by the piece was such that the license of the lord admiral's company was withdrawn for some weeks, and that Nashe, as the reputed author of the whole, was for an even longer period confined in the Fleet prison. The incident, the effect of which was heightened by the suggestive title of the play, long remained a favourite reminiscence in connexion with Nashe's name3; but we know nothing concerning the

> Hast therefore each degree To welcome destiny Heaven is our heritage. Earth but a player's stage Mount we unto the sky, I am sick, I must die Lord have mercy on us!'-

By the bye, the unexplained 'Domingo' in the song of Bacchus' companions-'Monsieur Mingo for quaffing doth surpass'-

of which the last two lines are quoted in Henry IV, Part II, act v sc 2, may owe its origin to the type of Mingo Revulgo (i.e Domingo Vulgus) in the famous Spanish Coplas See Ticknor, History of Spanish Literature, 1. 232-3, and cf aute, p 231 - In Nashe's Lenten Stuffe, 'Domingo Rufus' appears as an alter ego of Master Redherring, the hero of the tract.

Collier's edition, p 94

² See Nashe's Lenten Stuffe (Grosart, v 200) 'That infortunate Embrion (an imperfit Embrion I may well call it, for I having begun but the induction and first act of it, the other foure acts, without my consent, or the least guesse of my drift or scope, by the players were supplied, which bred both their trouble and mine to) of my idle houres, the He of Dogs before mentioned, breeding vnto me such bitter throwes in the teaming as it did I was so terrifyed with my own encrease . that it was no sooner borne but I was glad to runne from it'

It is referred to both by Meres in his Palladis Tanna, where he apostrophises Nashe as 'gallant young Juvenal,' and in The Returne from Pernassus

of Dogs

piece, although we may safely suspect it to have had a special savour of the Thames and of 'lovely' London

Nashe s genirs not essentially diamatic

The discursive element in Nashe's genius, although it undoubtedly contributed to the attractiveness of his lost as it does to that of his extant dramatic work, is in itself the reverse of a diamatic quality Whether or not, as has been sympathetically suggested1, he was the particular writer pictured under the character of Ingenioso by the author or authors of the Pernassus Plays, to whose charming personal tribute to himself I have already referred, he was the very incarnation of reckless wit—'academical' even in the special sense of the epithet that denotes the detachment of efforts like his from the immediate and what are very generally considered the serious purposes of life It does not follow, however, that either human life or its mirroi the diama would be anything but the poorer for the absence of such sallies as those by which he diversified their regular course of operations

Henry Chettle (1564– 1607 or ante) HENRY CHETTLE (1564-1607 or ante) should be mentioned here, as a writer closely connected with one at least of the above-mentioned dramatists, and thus placed in a peculiarly direct relation towards the early reputation of Shakspere himself. Having as editor of the posthumous publication of Greene's Groatsworth of Wit fallen under the suspicion (not, however, confined to himself) of manipulation of his text, Chettle published in self-defence his tract of Kind-Hart's Dreame (1593, or quite at the end of 1592)2. In this pamphlet he repudiated any such insinuation and took occasion to offer a very handsome testimonial to the playwright—unmistakeably Shakspere—whom the deceased author of the Groatsworth had gone out of his way to vihify Chettle, who seems to have been in business as a printer before he contributed matter of his own to the press, claimed

¹ See articles by Professor Hales in *The Academy*, March 19, and in *Macmillan's Magazine*, May, 1887

[.] Reprinted in Part I of Shakspere Allusion Books, edited by Dr C H ingleby for the (New) Shakspere Society, 1874 See, in the Introduction, Dr. Ingleby's argument as to Shakspere having been the person to whom Chetile's applicate this tractate was addressed

to have done good service in his earlier craft both to Nashe and to other 'advanced' scholars, and the extraordinary multiplicity of his own dramatic labours brought him into direct association with a large number of the playwights contempolary with himself To him are attributed the sole or joint authorship of plays amounting in numbers to a total of two-score-and-nine, of which something like one-fifth purport to have been of his own unassisted making 1 Such a record, however, possesses no very solid statistical Chettle's tract entitled Englandi's Mourning Garment 2 (an elaborate tribute which, from its design, must have been published very soon after the death of Queen Elisabeth) has a more general literary interest as furnishing his estimate of the chief literary influences acknowledged in his carlier days-although the names of several of the writers are veiled under fictitious appellations. His own life was full of troubles, and few of Henslowe's most regular supporters seem to have required more systematic relief3

No play attributed to Chettle's single authorship has Hoffman been prescived, with the exception of the sanguinary but (acted not as a whole powerful tragedy of Hoffman, or A Revenge 1631) for a Father (acted 1602, printed 16314) It would be futile to pictend to judge the dramatic talent of the author from this particular example of his work, more especially since Meies, in his Palladis Tamia, signals him out as 'one of the best for comedy', on the other hand, so far as one can judge from the titles of the plays with which he is said to have been connected, his bent must be supposed to have lain towards tragedy. It is difficult to escape the conclusion, supported by the cucumstance that in the summer of 1.392 Chettle had in view for Henslowe

¹ For the various computations, see Colher, in 51, Flcay, English Drama, 1 66 segq, and Mr Bullen's article on Chettle in vol x of the Dictionary of National Biography (1887)

Likewise reprinted by Dr Ingleby, u s

³ See Henslowe's Diary 126, 141 151

¹ Edited with an Introduction, by 'H B I ' (1852) The Introduction contains a list of sixteen original plays attributed to Chettle, and of thirtyone (twenty-seven of these being lost) in which he is stated to have collaborated -Mr Fleay considers Thomas Heywood to have had a share in Hoffman See English Drama, 1 70-71; 291

the composition of a play called by the latter a Danish tragedye 1, that the author of Hoffman was acquainted with the theme of Hamlet, which was entered in the Stationers' Registers in this very year 1602 under the title of The Revenge of Hamlet Prince of Denmark² Whether from this we are to conclude Hoffman to have been designed as a rival play to the production of a lival company, is a question on which it is unnecessary to pronounce 3 If so. it was by coarser means that the 'Henslowe' tragedy sought to compass a more complete effect. The first act. notwithstanding its ghastliness, is perhaps the best portion of this play, the hero of which-noi vainly-boasts that the tragedy wreaked by him 'shall surpass those of Thyestes, Terens, Jocasta, or Medea' The course of the action suggests either the determination of the author to lose sight of no suggestion of dramatic horror, or his use of some undiscovered local narrative source But, although the strange jumble of German names and titles might favour the latter supposition, no such source has so much as been conjectured, and the tragedy remains, so far as we can see, a mass of theatrical motives of tragic effect rudely worked out

Patient Grissil (pr 1603) Among the plays in which Chettle collaborated with other writers, it is pardonable to single out *The Pleasant Comedie of Patient Grissil*⁴, in the composition of which Dekker and Haughton shared with him⁵ The special

¹ Henslowe's Diary, p 224

² Stationers' Registers, ed Weber, vol 111 p 84 b. The 'booke' is entered 'as yt was latelie Acted by the Lord Chamberlayne his servantes'

³ See Delius' article Chettle's Hoffman and Shakespeare's Hamlet in Jahrbuch, &c, vol ix. (1874)

⁴ Edited for the (Old) Shakespeare Society by the late Mr Collier (1841)
⁵ As to Dekker, see below —Of William Haughton personally very little is known, except that an attempt has been made to identify him with a namesake who, after graduating M A at Oxford, was incorporated at Cambridge in 1604. (See Mr Bullen's notice in vol xxv of the Dictionary of National Biography, 1891) His name is frequently mentioned in Henslowe's Diary, as concerned in all kinds of dramatic work, from a revision of Ferrex and Parrex to plays appealing directly to the tastes or interests of the day. On one occasion Henslowe records a loan to Haughton of 'x' to releace him own of the clyncke' the Clink prison in Southwark). His Englishmen for my Money, or A Woman will have her Will (reprinted in vol. x, of Hazlit's Dockby), entered in 1598 by Henslowe under the second of the above titles, but not extent in an earlier edition than that of 1616, appears to have been

history of the theme treated in this play covers a wider ground than can here be surveyed, suffice it therefore to say that the story, for which Chaucer considered himself indebted to Petiarch, although it had been previously probably not for the first time-treated by Boccaccio, at a very early period commended itself to the stage. It furnished the plot of one of the few French mysteries known to have dealt with a semi-secular subject 1 In the later Renascence age (1546) Hans Sachs produced a 'comedi' on the story of Griselda, in which according to his wont the concluding moral was not stinted 2. The subject has, in various forms, continued to attract dramatic writers down to our own day? As to the play by Chettle and his coadjutors, it was probably founded in the first instance upon the prose tract reproducing this favourite story, from which we may suppose the ballads on the same theme to have been derived 4. No immediate influence of Chaucer is recognisable in the composition of the play under notice. Indeed, the obvious necessity of compressing the limits of time gives to the action of this drama a greater measure

a very popular play It is a merry, bustling comedy of London life, showing how the three daughters of a 'Portingal' usurer and their three English lovers carried the day over their avaricous sire (whose nose, like that of Barabas, betokens his style of business) and the three benighted foreigners favoured by him—a Frenchman, an Italian, and a Dutchman Anthony, an intriguing schoolmaster, and Frisco, a bungling clown, help to carry on the action, which is extremely animated—The Spanish Moor's Tragedy, by Chettle, Day, and Dekker (1500), is thought by Mr Fleay, English Diama, 1. 272, to be identical with Lust's Dominion published in 1657 as Marlowe's—The play of Jane Shore, by Chettle and Day, was probably much earlier in date of composition than 1602, when it was acted, with alterations, by Lord Worcester's company (Halliwell's Dictionary, &c., 132)

¹ See Collier's Introduction, u s, p vi, and Ebert, Entwicklung sgeschichte, dc., p 33 The date is given by Collier as 1393, by Ebert as 1395

² See Goedeke and Tittmann's Dichtungen von Hans Sachs, in 48 seqq Hans Sachs mentions Boccaccio as his original

³ 'Friedrich's Halm's' Griseldis was produced at Vienna in 1835; MM Silvestre and Morand's Griselidis at the Comédie Française in 1891, and Mr. H A Jones' Patient Grisele (I think) in 1893

* The History of Patient Grassi Two early tracts in black letter With an Introduction and Notes (by J P Collier), Percy Society's Publications, 1342—William Forrest's poem The Second Greeyld (completed in 1558), a narrative in verse of the divorce of Queen Catherine of Aragon, testifies to the popularity of the story (See Dictionary of National Biography, vol xx. p. 5.)

of probability than can be attributed to that of The Clerke's Tale, extending as it does over a long series of years And although even the spectators of the play may have found some difficulty in reconciling the proceedings of the 'thoughtful markis' with the demands of common sense. yet the playwrights must be allowed to have contrived with considerable skill to humanise his inhuman trial of his wise's obedience Patient Grissil which moreover contains two chaiming lyiics 1, appears to me to be a both effective and pleasing work The character of the faithful Babulo. the clown of the piece, mingles with its broad fun some touches of true pathos² On the other hand, the humour of the Welsh Sir Owen (whose shrewish chaimei Gwenthyan is intended as a comic antitype to the patient heroine) has a stagey flavour, but the Tudoi public seems never to have wearied of gibes against the Welsh compatriots of the founder of the reigning dynasty, and the union of Wales and England seems to have been deemed a standing popular joke long after it had been consummated as a political act Shakspere, with his usual felicity, was able to give a sympathetic turn even to a national piejudice 3

Among the dramatic authors with whom Chettle collaborated were, besides those already mentioned, John

1 The song 'Art thou poor, yet hast thou golden slumbers' (act 1 sc 1), and the lullaby (act iv sc a) have been ascribed to Dekker, by reason of his acknowledged lyrical gifts But I know of nothing undoubtedly his that could be described as equal to the former of these two songs

² See act iv sc 2 'Enter Babulo, with a bundle of osiers in one arm, and a child in another, Grissil after him with another' (she has been expelled with her twins from her husband's house, and driven to seek refuge with her father) Babulo's speech offers an admirable opportunity for that mixture of low comedy and pathos which rarely misses its effect in the hands of a suitably gifted actor 'A fig for care! old master, but now old grandsire, take this little Pope Innocent · we'll give over basket-making, and turn nurses She has uncled Laureo It's no matter, you shall go make a fire Grandsire, you shall daudle them. Grissil shall go make pap, and I li lick the skillet, but first I'll fetch a candle. It's a sign'tis not a dear year, when they come by two at once Here's a couple, quoth jackdaw Art thou there! Sing grandsire.'

* Possibly Chettle took the same line in his play, in which Drayton was his collaborator, 'wherein is a part of a Welchman,' which has been supposed to be identical with The Valiani Welchman (Caradoc the Great) printed in

1015 as by 'R. A.,' and consequently attributed to Armia.

Munday (1553-1633) // life and labou, s

Day, of whom it seems more appropriate to speak in Anthony a later chapter, and ANTHONY MUNDAY 1 Munday's long life (he was born in 1553) extended to 1633, but the most characteristic phases of his extraordinary literary activity proclaim his special partnership in the likings and labours of the age with which this chapter is more immediately The non-literary aspects of his life are not of a nature to secure our sympathy In his early manhood he visited Rome in what seems to have been the secret capacity of a Piotestant spy, commissioned by two enterprising publishers, upon the English Jesuit College there (His experiences are described in The English Romaine Life, in a style of which the literature of tracts furnishes only too many examples 2) Three years later he thrust himself forward by means of a series of tracts purporting to clear up the circumstances of the betrayal of Edmund Campion into the hands of the Government, and discrediting the Jesuits to the best of his ability. His reward seems to have been the post of messenger of the Oueen's chamber. This may have rendered it unnecessary for him to return to the actor's profession, in which he seems to have previously engaged (perhaps even before his Italian journey), but from 1584 onwards to about the close of the reign he appears to have been most actively employed in diamatic composition. Commencing with Fidele and Fortunio, or The Two Italian Gentlemen, a translation or adaptation seemingly never brought on the stage, but containing a character, Captain Crackstone, which achieved a passing celebrity³, these plays would seem to have chiefly treated themes derived from historical or other 10mance To his translations of popular French and Spanish romances, including Amadis de Gaule and the Palmerin family, Munday probably owed

² Printed in 1582, and reprinted in vol 11 of The Harleian Miscellany

¹ See Collier's Introduction to his Fire Old Plays, in which The Downfall of Robert Earl of Huntingdon is reprinted, and to his edition of John a Keni and John a Cumbre (Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1851), and Mr J Seccombe's article on Munday in vol. xxxix of The Dutionary of National Biography (1894)

² It is alluded to in Nashe's Haue with you to Saffron Walden Cf Fleay, u. 113.

the chief part of his reputation. But he increased it by his plays, by his prose-tracts of various contents, and more especially by his ballads, fitted to popular tunes. In his later years, mindful of his own origin as 'a citizen and a draper,' and probably conscious of a personal agreement with the spirit of the times (so far at least as the City was concerned), he devoted himself largely to the composition of City Pageants. Both on account of his labours in this line of authorship, and as a writer of ballads, he incurred the ridicule of Ben Jonson, who made fun of him in the character of Antonio Balladino, and at the same time cast in Munday's teeth a compliment that had recently been paid to his constructive powers as a dramatist by a less exacting critic¹.

His plays

Munday's lively comedy of John a Kent and John a Cumber (of which the MS bears date 1595) exists only in an imperfect state. It is said to be founded upon an old ballad, and its chief characters are two wizards of popular renown resembling the Friars Bacon and Bungay of Greene's play, likewise founded upon popular traditions, the rustic orator Turnop is also amusing

But a superior interest attaches to *The Downfall*, and to its sequel, *The Death*, of *Robert Earl of Huntington*, whom the title of the earlier play describes as 'afterwards called *Robin Hoode of merrie Sherwodde*².' Both these plays were produced in 1598, and printed in 1601, the former, as we possess it, comprises the alterations introduced by Chettle into Munday's original play with a view to its performance at Court, the latter seems to have been a collaboration between the two writers, to whom it is less easy to assign their respective shares ³

Munday's Downfall of Robert Earl of Neither taken individually nor viewed in conjunction do these plays bear out Munday's claim to have been 'the best plotter' of his age. Indeed, nothing could be looser

Fleny, English Drama, i. 114-6,

¹ See The Case is Altered (1598-9), act 1 sc 1 'You are not pageant poet to the city of Milan, sir, are you?' and (in allusion to the praise of Munday in Meres' Palladis Tanna, 1598),' You are in print already for the best plotter.'—Munday is supposed to have taken part in the Marprelate controversy on the side of the Bishops, but whether as a ballad-writer or as a playwright is tinknown.

^{*} Both plays are printed in Five Old Plays, and in Hazlitt's Dodsley, vol. viii.

than the construction of these pieces The Downfall begins Huntingwith an Induction, in which the principal part is taken by Skelton, who accompanies with an explanatory comment a dumb-show shadowing forth the argument of the play Its subject is the overthiow from his high estate of the Earl of Huntington, otherwise 'the poor man's pation, Robin Hood,' by the violence of Prince John, the villainy of the Earl's enemies, and the faithlessness of his steward Warman, who afterwards becomes sheriff of Nottingham. Prince John is enamoured of Marian or Matilda, daughter to Earl Fitzwater, and betiothed to Robin, and Oucen Elmor is enamoured of Robin himself. The wiles of his foes force Robin to betake himself once more to an outlaw's life with his merry men in Sherwood Forest, but in the end King Richard arrives as a deus ex machina, and restores the hero and his friends to honourable estate

The play however announces itself as incomplete, and Chettle and Skelton (who, after playing the part of Friar Tuck, and Death of being allowed 'a word or two besides the play' in act iv, Rolet again comes forward as stage-manager and Epilogus at the Huntimeclose) promises the continuation of the subject in another ton (acted tragedy In the first act of the Death the hero is accordingly killed by poison, and the remainder of the tragedy is chiefly occupied with King John's attempts to secure the love of Matilda, Robin's viigin widow She cludes him by seeking refuge in an abbey, but being pursued even there, willingly takes poison from the hands of the agent of the baffled tyrant. King John's remorse, aided by an insurrection against his rule, induces him at the end of the play to promise an amendment of his ways.

In all this there is of course neither historical truth nor even a faithful adherence to popular tradition as well as in the general management of the action the author or authors might easily be convicted of carelessness, and upon the whole these plays are as hurriedly written as they are put together. They abound (especially the Downfall) in rimes, often of an indifferent kind, quatrains are largely interspersed, and apart from the Skeltonical verse (by no means good of its kind), the metre is varied F f

Munday s 1598)

by short lines Yet both plays contain passages of considerable vigour and spirit, and nothing but care was needed in order to weld good materials into a satisfactory whole 1

Munday and others' First Part of Sir John Oldcastle (1597– 1600)

Munday was also joint author, with Michael Drayton. R Wilson, and R Hathwaye, of the First Part of Sir Fohn Oldcastle², a play which, having been published in 1600 with the name of Shakspere on the title-page (though this would seem to have been afterwards removed), has naturally occupied the attention of sanguine critics already Malone placed its real authorship beyond doubt 8. and its merits must be discussed without reference to any supposed Shaksperean origin. Schlegel spoke of it as a model of the biographical drama, Hazlitt, on the other hand, considered it a very indifferent composition latter opinion seems to me the nearer to the truth Whether or not the lost Second Part may have been able to make the hero as interesting on the stage as he is in history, the First in my opinion fails to attain to this end Oldcastle here appears as nothing more than an injured

¹ The speeches of Leicester, Downfall, iv I, are very effective, the references to the bear were doubtless acceptable at court. In Bruce's speech, Death, v 2, there is even a touch of imaginative descriptive power. The scene, immediately following, in which Maid Marian's dead body, clad in white, is borne on the stage, must have been very touching, and may remind the modern reader of a beautiful passage in the Idylls of the King. Warman's attempt at suicide (Downfall, v I), although an obvious reminiscence of the end of Judas in the mysteries, is very vigorous in its way. On the other hand, King John's vision, Death, I 2, introduces abstract figures, as if the authors had remembered Bishop Bale's Chronicle History. I am convinced that Shakspere was acquainted with these plays Mr Colher has pointed out the resemblance between a famous line in Macbeth and one in The Death

'The multitudes of seas dyed red with blood'

The masque in it 2 did not of course suggest that in *Henry VIII*, which Shakspere took from Cavendish, but the resemblance (with a difference) in the situations is striking. The song of Friar Tuck, when disguised as a pedlar (*Downfall* in 1), should also be compared with that of Autolycus in *The Winter's Tale* (iv. 3)—As to earlier dramatic treatments of the Robin Hood legends, see anie, p 144, and 16, note (as to Skelton's allusion to Friar Tuck).

* Printed in the Ancient British Drama, vol i.

Inquiry, p 293. Its relation in subject to the First Part of Henry IV will be touched upon below A passage in the Prologue, and two references to the Shakaperean Falstaff in iil, 4, prove Henry IV to have preceded the First Part of Sir John Oldessile.

innocent. But the play is very stirring in its action, and contains both situations and characters of a very vivacious humour, such as the scene in which the servant of Sir John forces the summoner to eat his writ, and the characters of this servant, the faithful but irrepressible Harpool, and the Irishman, who on being taken to the gallows to suffer for his misdeeds, entreats the 'lord shudge' to let him be 'hang'd in a wyth after his own country, the Irish fashion' Nor should I pass by the very ungodly Sir John, the Parson of Wrotham,—a character which, had it been drawn by Shakspere, might indeed furnish us with a very distinct clue as to the poet's opinions concerning the Church authorities of his day But it was not drawn by Shakspere, and Anthony Munday's views on the subject are more easily gauged

The ROBERT WILSON, stated to have collaboratored Robert with Munday in the last-mentioned play, and with Chettle younger?), and others in several dramatic productions belonging to the # 1598 same period, should possibly be distinguished from the namesake who has been previously mentioned as the author of works connecting themselves with an earlier phase in the developement of our drama 1, and who was an actor first in Lord Leicester's, and then in the Queen's, service. If so, we must suppose it to have been the younger Robert Wilson that was praised by Meres, although on what grounds we are hardly in a position to estimate, as 'for learning and extemporal wit, without compare or compeer 2.

ROBERT ARMIN 3, although the more settled part of his Robert career both as player and as playwright falls in the reign of

Armin (1570 c.-1610 ()

- 1 Cf ante, 140 note, and see Fleay, English Drama, 11 278 and 283 segg Mr Fleay attributes to the elder Wilson the authorship of Fair Em (see below)
- ² Palladis Tama Cf. Collier's Introduction, reprinted in vol vi of Hazlitt's Dodsley, where the non-identity of the two Robert Wilsons is already suggested
- 2 See Colher, an 411-21; and cf Fleay, 1, 24 segg., and the notice by the late Mr Dutton Cook in vol. ii of The Dictionary of National Biography (1885). The authority as to his relations with Tarlton is the collection called Tarlton's Jests, of which the earliest extant edition bears date 1611 Gabriel Harvey described Armin in 1593 as one of 'the common pamphleteers of London', but his best-known tract, A Nest of Numies, edited by Colher for the (Old) Shakespeare Society, 1842, was not published till 1608 The probable date of his death is 1611 -As to Tariton and the 'Jests,' see below

King James I, belongs by his training as a stage humoui ist and by his activity as a pamphleteer to the group of which I have noted the most prominent figures. He is said to have been apprenticed to the famous Richard Tarlton, who trained him to become his successor in the clown's parts by which he had earned the chief part of his popular renown. There is some doubt as to the origin of the only play by Armin which has been pieserved, viz the 'Chronicle History' of *The Vahant Welshman*¹

Nobody and Somebody (1603 c) Another drama, by an unknown author, describing itself as of this species is Nobody and Somebody With the True Chronicle History of Elydure who was fortunately three severall times crowned King of England The 'historical' portion of this piece, which in the method of its satire follows the model of the old moralities, is borrowed from an episode in Geoffrey of Monmouth which was known to Spenser It seems to have revived early in the reign of James I, and to have been one of the plays which found its way to Germany, where a translation of it was published in 1620²

Michael Drayton (1563-1631) I close these gleanings among the records of half or wholly forgotten writers by the mention of one distinguished name, to which, however, its connexion with the history of the Elisabethan drama adds no special lustre³. It has been

1 Cf ante, p 430, note 3

² Cf Meissner, Die Englischen Comoedianten, &c., in Oesterreich (1884), pp 96-7 et al. Trinculo in The Tempest (act iii sc. 2) is supposed to allude to the engraving of the two principal characters prefixed to the printed play. This is the tune of our catch, played by the picture of Nobody'—The play is reprinted with an Introduction in vol. 1 of the late Mr. Simpson's School of Shakspere. 'Nobody,' unlike the Obris of the Odyssey, is the virtuous man who bears all the blame of 'Somebody's' misdeeds, and does all the good himself, without receiving any reward until the close of the piece.

I do not here refer to Samuel Daniel, who has a notable place of his own in the history of our dramatic literature, and will be spoken of later—although his Cleopatra was printed in 1594, and written a few years earlier, as a companion-piece to the Tragedy of Antonie, by Mary Countess of Pembroke,—'Urama, sister unto Astrofell'—printed 1592, and written in 1590, which only professes to be 'done into English from the French' All the principal speeches of Antonie are in blank verse,—a notably early attempt in this metre (Collier, in 73)—Like Daniel's Cleopatra, Samuel Brandon's The Virtuous Octavia (printed 1598) is interesting, if Collier, in 74-5, is correct in suggesting from the point of view of form that its compound epithets are

well observed 1 that the epical treatment of themes, partly mythical, connected with English history after the Norman Conquest which were usually termed Legends, 'form a kind of little affluent to the Mirror' for Magistrates and the literature associated with it, of which Warner's Albion's England (1586) is a late popular example, 'and the chronicle play, and the whole body of historic narrative verse must be regarded as a defeated rival of the chronicle play, equally popular perhaps for a while, but in true achievement far beyond it' Although of these Legends the earliest entered for publication was David's Complaint of Rosamond (1502), it was MICHAEL DRAYTON who, after printing his Legend of Piers Gaveston in 1593 (the year in which Mailowe's Edward II was entered on the Stationers' Registers), treated this and cognate themes both in separate Legends and in the two most important of his earlier poetic productions, the Mortimeriados (1506), republished with large alterations under the title of The Barrons Wars (1603) and in his Heroicall Epistles (1597) But Drayton was also directly connected with the theatre, whose methods he thus attempted to rival by his own Henslowe's Diary proves him to have been actively engaged as a playwight from about the close of the year 1597 to 1603, and to have had a share in the His plays authorship of at least nineteen plays 2. In the earlier part of this period he co-operated with writers whose names have been already mentioned in this chapter, in the later also with Middleton and Webster Several of these plays were of the nature of chronicle histories, or at all events treated historical themes of patriotic interest, there is, at the same time, no reason for doubting that Drayton readily put his hand to whatever kind of work was imposed upon him by his employer³ The solitary play of which so far

either modelled on those of Chapman's Seven Books of the Iliad and Shield of Achilles (printed in the same year), or were Brandon's own stylistic invention

I regret not to see my way at present to accepting either Mr Fleay's

By Mr Oliver Elton, in his admirable monograph on Michael Drayton, printed for the Spenser Society, 1893, p 15, where he refers to Mr Flcay's interesting list, 1. 141-2, illustrating the connexion between Drayton's Heroicall Epistles and other poems and plays

² Cf Elton, u s, 26-7, and Mr. Bullen's notice of Drayton in vol xvi of The Dictionary of National Biography (1888). See also Fleay, u s

as we know Drayton was the unassisted author, William Longsword (1599), is unfortunately not extant. In point of fact, his contributions to the drama count for nothing in the records of his literary achievements, which in the latter part of his career he was to crown by the publication of the Poly-But it is pleasant to be able to associate with a branch of our literature that was on the eve of becoming one of its chief glories a name so dear to all lovers of the land, whose past and present were alike cherished by his 1efined but generous Muse And this association is the more gratifying, because, as there is ample concurrent testimony to show, he was both respected and beloved by his contemporaries, of whom one of the most critically exacting honoured him with an epitaph which is in itself 'a lasting monument of his glory 2'

The term
'Shakspere's Predecessors'
defined

I have spoken of the writers whose diamatic works, so far as they can be with more or less of certainty ascribed to them, have been briefly described in this chapter, under the general designation of the Predecessors of Shakspere this term, as a comparison of the dates furnished in the progress of this chapter will show, nothing is of course intended to be implied beyond the fact that these writers had as dramatists come before the public previously to the time when Shakspere himself may be concluded to have begun to work as an original dramatic author. This time, as will be shown more at length below, cannot be fixed with There can, however, be no reasonable absolute certainty doubt but that Shakspere's connexion with the London stage had begun some few years before his first appearance as a dramatic author in his own right. This first appearance theory as to Drayton's authorship of a series of plays by 'W S,' which were in consequence attributed to Shakspere, or the supposition, which

constitutes one of the arguments for this theory, that he was the author of The Merry Devil of Edmonton

1 Henslowe's Diary, p 95 (Drayton's receipt) In another entry, p. 142,

the play—if it be the same—is called William Longbeard, the title of a novel sublished a few years previously by Lodge

published a few years previously by Lodge.

* See the noble Epitaph on Michael Drayton in Jonson's Underwoods, and at ib. A Vision on the Muses of his Friend, Michael Drayton.—In The Returns from Persussus a very marked tribute is paid to the sober, dignity of Drayton's personal life.

we may with tolerable safety assume to have taken place not later than the year 1590. Of the dramatic works noted (unless incidentally) in the present chapter, the earliest can hardly have been composed at dates falling much more than a decade—or a year or two beyond—before that year, the majority of the dates range from slightly later years onwards into a period when Shakspere was undoubtedly active as an original dramatic writer While therefore the influence of Shakspere's productions may, and indeed must, have affected the dramatic labours of all-or virtually allthese writers, it may be asserted that they all-or virtually all-began their careers as dramatic writers before he began his own, while of some the activity as dramatists was nearing its close when his was only setting in

Keeping these considerations of chronology (as to which precision is manifestly out of the question) generally in view, we may, before passing to the most consummate achievements of the Elisabethan drama—the works of Shakspere himself—pause for a moment, in order to consider whe had been accomplished by Shakspere's more immediate predecessors, and under what circumstances their labours had been carried on.

The last decennium but one of the sixteenth century is, Historical in our political history, the most critical as well as the most glorious period of Elisabeth's reign It was in the middle of Shak of this decennium—in the years 1584, 1585, and 1586, that three conspiracies were discovered, the combined result of which was at last to determine the Queen to consign her rival to the scaffold. In 1587 the unhappy Queen of decided Scots, 'the daughter of Debate,' as Elisabeth called her, fell a victim, less to the accumulated apprehensions of the past, than to the actual perils of the present, which had at last reached the sticking-point. In 1588 the avenging Armada was dissipated by England's allies, the winds and the waves, and by the efforts of her own sons who had learnt in distant waters how to overthrow Spanish invincibility. Already in 1589 the shores of the Pyrenean peninsula were visited by an English expedition and from this time forth England

aspects of the period The great European no longer stood on the defensive in the great struggle, and the efforts of her riper statesmen were directed rather to curbing than to urging forward the national enthusiasm for In its two chief phases on the European its continuance continent, that great struggle was in this same period virtually settled against the predominance of Spain and Spanish policy The year 1590 may be regarded as a turning-point both in the struggle of the Netherlands for independence, and in the attempt of the League to make itself the master of France. English aid had been but scantily given either to the United Provinces of to the Huguenots, the expedition of Leicester had been worse than useless, and the English volunteers who fought for Henry of Navarre had been few in number sympathies of the bulk of the English people had supported the general bent of English policy, and the steady progress of Maurice of Nassau, as well as the accession to the French throne of Henry IV, left no doubt but that the issue of the great European struggle was viitually Those Englishmen who had taken a personal decided part in the contest formed indeed no considerable proportion of the nation, but the sea-rovers who had become national heroes had pointed the way to glory as well as to gold, and the adventurous youth of the nation knew no more stirring ambition than that of extending and multiplying the enterprises to which, across narrow or broad seas, the enterprise of their predecessors had pointed the The volunteers and other soldiers who returned from the Netherlands were thought by saturical observers to be perhaps more numerous than those who had proceeded thither, but noble patriotic memories associated themselves with the battle-fields of the Continent as well as with the naval enterprises of the Channel and of the far Western waters.

The Queen the incarnation of the national cause If the blood of the nation had thus been stirred by an era of unprecedented significance in the relations between the country and foreign powers, at home the change which had come over the aspect of things had been not less momentous. Queen Elisabeth had now become in very truth the incarnation of the national cause. The season of

views of this description But as the movement assumed a wider scope, its significance became a totally new one; and, ruthlessly suppressed in its outward manifestations, it doggedly nursed for the future the seeds of a democratic revolution in Church and State ¹.

General movement in litei atui e

It was in times thus widely and strangely stirred that our Elisabethan literature really began its glorious course. The most cursory glance will serve to recall the fact that not in the drama alone, but in a wide variety of other fields of literary productivity, the years of which I am speaking were full of exuberant life In these years Spenser, with Ralegh by his side, was writing his great epic, the most magnificent monument of the aspirations as well as of the achievements of the age 2 In them Sidney's prose-romance was received as a bequest by a mounning nation 3 The earliest publications of Daniel, of Warner, of Drayton, of Davies and Constable are spanned by the same brief series of years Hall was about to publish his Satires, which in date of composition had already been preceded by Donne's. Stowe was systematising the national annals, and the translation of Sir Thomas North was opening to English readers of history the great treasure-house of ancient examples Hakluyt was describing the voyages and discoveries of Englishmen, and Ralegh was putting forth his narrative of the most marvellous 'Discoverie' of all.

Classical and Italian influences still operating.

Some of these efforts merely amounted to a continuation of previous literary tendencies, and by their side the circulation increased of an abundant popular literature of novels and tales from foreign sources, and of controversial and social tracts called forth by the multifarious activity of the national life. The worthy critics like George Puttenham who at this time 3 took stock of the

¹ The aggressors in the Mar-Prelate Controversy (see below), which forms so strange a pendant to the campaign against the Armada, may at first have found sympathisers among courtiers who cared more for Church property than for the Church, but before the contention was at an end, the strength of the attack had been proved to be in a very different quarter.

The first three books of The Facus Queens were published in 1590.

He fell in 1586; The Arcadia was published in 1590

^{*} His drie of English Posse was published in 1589. Puttenham, by the bye, was himself a dramatist, but his plays, none of which are preserved, seem

achievements of our national poetical literature, failed to realise in its dimensions or in its scope the mighty change which was in progress 1 A very few years only passed, and the selections of modern criticism seem already to be anticipated by a diligent observer of contemporary effort 2. For in truth a literature such as this had, if the expression be permissible, justified itself of itself. It had outgrown the trammels of mere fashion under which it had begun its course,—even of a fashion imposed by a Court whose centre was a sovereign sure of hei learning and far from distrustful of her powers of judgment The tastes of the Tudor Court remained true to the traditions of the Renascence ancient classical models, or rather the half-accidental list of them which had secured a species of literary preiogative, together with the examples derived from the nation to which the revival of those models was primarily due,—the Italian, accordingly long remained on their pedestal of pre-eminence The learning of the Universities largely reflected the same The euphusm of Lyly and his successors, though primarily derived from Spanish models, accommodated itself easily to the adaptation of Italian and French materials, while the subjects of their dramas, and still more the ornaments of their diction, continued to display a fond belief in the inexhaustible resources of classic lore. Gabriel Haivey sought to reform 'English versifying' on un-English principles, and Daniel had to break a lance against Sidney himself in defence of our English heritage of rime. The unnatural vitality of Euphuistic, Arcadian, and other affectations-'nothing,' says Ben Jonson's, 'is

to have been mostly of an earlier type They included, besides a comedy entitled Ginecocratia, two 'enterlides,' Lusty London and Woer (the latter 'yielding a specimen of female pertness'), and a series of Triumphals in honour of Queen Elisabeth See Haslewood, Ancient Critical Essays, 1 xiii note

Discoveries (De vere arguits).

¹ See the well-known passage at the end of Bk 1.

² 'The English tongue,' says Meres in his Palladis Tamia (1598), 'is mightily enriched, and gorgeouslie inuested in rare ornaments and resplendent abiliments by sir Philip Sidney, Spencer, Daniel, Draylon, Warner, Shakespeare, Marlowe, and Chapman'—a judicious choice of names for any survey of the poetical literature of the age. It is interesting to compare with this list of English poets that suggested by Drayton in his Epistle to H. Reynolds (1618), cf. Fleay, 1. 141.

fashionable till it be deformed '-supplies the best proof of the power which belonged to the tastes of the Court writers who addressed themselves directly or primarily to courtly ears, Sidney himself among them, were all more or less emphatically artificial It was by the imitation of classical models, or by efforts savouring of the 'Italianated' taste of the Court, that great writers as well as smalla Spenser, a Marlowe, a Peele, and a Shakspere-sought in the first instance to commend themselves to the favour of high personal patronage Other dramatists, or their admirers on their behalf, appealed to their classical epopees and their 'sugared sonnets' as their titles to literary reputa-The author of Doctor Faustus was remembered for his Hero and Leander, Shakspere's first offering to his patron was Venus and Adonis, and Meres cannot compare our poets, in life or in death, to any parallels but Classical or Italian predecessois

The drama the main agent in nationalising Elisabethan literature But our literature was fast broadening beyond such bounds by dint of its fertility, diversity, and power. That it swept these bounds away altogether, and in the end compassed a range of achievement unprecedented and unsurpassed in grandeur and breadth, was due in a signal degree to the growth, wholly without parallel, of one among its branches. And the branch in question was no other than the drama.

To later generations this has, I think, become an incontestable fact. That the age which witnessed it should but partially and gradually have become conscious of the extraordinary literary importance of the advance of the English drama, may require some explanation; but there seems little difficulty in suggesting reasons for the slowness of the process of recognition. The importunity of secondary aspects is, in the nature of things, apt for a time to preclude a broad face-to-face estimate of the greatest issues of literary, as of all other kinds of history.

The greatness of the Elisabethan drama not due to paironage.

In the first place, then, the glories of the Elisabethan drama were not essentially due to patronage,—often a necessary nurse of literary success, but not indispensable for the preservation of the vitality of genius.

'Poets,' says one of them 1 who was sustained from more enduring sources, 'should walk with princes' Without having so broadly formulated her conceptions either of her royal dignity or its 'rewaidfulness' to poets (dramatic or other), Oueen Elisabeth most assuredly had a most genuine The favour and enduring love of the drama. But it is obvious—and it of Queen must have been so even to the generations which exulted in the glamour of the Cynthian light—that neither was the impulse to the maivellous progress achieved by our dramatic literature in her leigh of her giving, nor was it her favour that really sustained the growth upon which she smiled to All but insatiable as she was in her fondness for plays, expending sums which must be called considerable upon theatrical and musical entertainments at Court from the very commencement of her reign³, and willing to be welcomed with such diversions at the houses of her nobles. at the colleges in the Universities, and at the Inns of Court, -she formed no exception to the rule, that the habitual playgoer is the most catholic of pleasure-seekers in his or her own line of amusement. It would prove difficult to discover any signs of personal discrimination in the best of plays recorded or supposed to have been performed in her presence. Her way was to see before she judged, and to preface by ambiguous utterances her ultimate censure. Morcover, one may take leave to doubt whether the most vehement of her appetites—the love of flattery—could ever have been gratified more completely than by the attempts made in the earlier dramatic productions of her reign to meet its demands, seasoned as they almost uniformly were by the classical imagery on which as a true child of the I udor Renascence she had herself been nurtured

³ Schiller

² At as late a data as December 20, 1601, Dudley Carleton mentions the presence of the Queen 'with all her candidae auditnees at a dramatic pertormance at Blackfriars. (Calendar of State Papers, Domestic Series, Elisabeth, 1601-3, p 130)

Sec Collier, 1 173 segg Collier cites, adding the requisite qualifications, the assertion of George Chalmers (Apology for the Behevers in the Shakspeare Papers, 1797, p. 353) that 'the persecutions of preceding governments had left Elisabeth without a theatre, without dramas, and without players.'

Among the great nobles of Queen Elisabeth's reign many-including the Endymion who lingered out to the last such rays as he could catch from his Diana-kept companies of players, and the fashion unmistakeably contributed to the refinement of dramatic production in both matter and manner In each of these directions, the process of selection must have continued, as some of these players were drafted off into the royal service 1 But, in the midst of all the researches which have been devoted to this period of dramaturgic effort, it is not easy to discover any evidence of a patronage, such as has been thought discernible in the case of Shakspere's own career, of a patronage directing itself to the consistent encouragement of literary merit in the productions of the stage, as apart from incidental personal 'protection' In other words, such aristocratic patronage as was enjoyed by the writers who have been discussed in this chapter was incidental or fitful, and to all appearance unproductive. The association between the progress of our theatre and such names as Southampton and Pembroke was at the most beginning, while the days were yet distant when in the elite of the younger nobility of which Essex was at once the type and the leader, a genuine love became perceptible, not of the stage only, but of dramatic literature

The requirements of the public and of the times Except, then, in the particular instances noted above, from Lyly downwards, in which our dramatists directly accommodated themselves to the known demands of Queen and Court, and of the circles of society following their tastes, the dramatic writers rather led their patrons than were directed by them. If the adventurous volunteers

¹ See the passage from Stow's Annals, cited by Hallrwell-Philips in his Introduction to Tariton's Jests, Ac (Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1844), p x note: 'Comedians and stage-players were very poore and ignorant in respect of those of this time, but being more growne very skilfull and exquisite actors for all matters, they were entertained into the service of divers great Lords, out of which companies there were all, of the best chosen, and at the request of Sir Francis Walsingham, they were aworn the Queenes servants, and were allowed wages and liveries as groomes of the chamber, and until this yeere, 1583, the Queene had no players.' Cl. infra.

apostrophised by Peele found it difficult to tear themselves from 'Mahomet's Pow and mighty Tamburlaine,' they left other audiences behind them to applaud these 'pagan vaunts1' Dramatists, pations, and public shared the influence of their times. A stirring age called for stirring themes, and these in their turn for a corresponding vigour of treatment If 'the style is the man,' so the style is also the age, and the general tension of men's minds manifested itself in every branch of the form of art which most easily and quickly reproduced it Neatness and symmetry of construction were neglected for fulness and variety of matter Novelty and grandeur of subject seemed suited by a swelling amplitude and even reckless extravagance of diction balance of rimed couplets gave way to the forward march of a remodelled blank-verse, as if from an inner necessity; 'strong lines' were as inevitably called for as strong situations and strong characters Individuality determined the degree in which, either in form or in matter, the several writers were subject to such influences. A Greene could not rise to the passion of a Marlowe, nor a Marlowe imitate the flexible vivacity of a Greene; but the stamp of the age was impressed upon them all, and no less powerful an influence than this could have marked them all, while severally distinct in their poetic individualities, as forming a homogeneous group of national writers.

But it would have been impossible for these dramatists Peculiar thus to give full expression to the spirit of the age to which conditions they belonged, had not the outward conditions of their of these lives cast them into the very midst of the current, instead of leaving them to lounge as bystanders on its banks, to note and speculate on its phenomena, or to indite letters 'touching the earthquake in April last, and our English reformed versifying.'

I have narrated the lives of these dramatists very briefly, but without seeking to cast a veil over their errors any more than over their misfortunes. On these errors I need not superfluously dwell. To suppose that at any time the

of the lives dramatists experience of folly and vice constitutes a necessary progymnasium of intellectual labours, is to invert the rational system of human progress, in which all intellectual achievements must find their legitimate place Genius must have its years of journeying, as it must have its years of apprenticeship, but misfortune only, not the operation of any inevitable law, so often causes those years of journeying to include a sojourn in the tangled woods of Bohemia Not, however, in all periods of literary effort is it calmly carried on under the cheerful encouragement of the clear light of common day, and the lives of these men were beset by dangers and difficulties, as well as stimulated by opportunities, of an exceptional character dangers and difficulties sprang from the condition in which the dramatists found the very sphere of their endeavours, the stage

The professions of playwright and actor in close contact

To minds exalted and animated by an active imagination, and fed by the varied experience of men and books which we know these writers to have undergone at an early period of their lives, the literature of the drama offered the most obvious and the most promising outlet But this particular literature of the diama had already so thoroughly established its natural union with the stage, and the possibility of gaining a livelihood as a playwright without entering into a personal connexion with the stage was so infinitesimal, that all the dramatic authors of whom this chapter has treated identified themselves at particular times of their lives with particular theatrical companies The learned Lyly might pine for the dignified office of superintendent of the dramatic entertainments of the Court; Peele might eke out his rougher earnings by the dues received by him as managing factotism of royal and noblemen's entertainments, Munday might satisfy his aspirations in catering for the city, reasons of one kind or another might prevail with Lodge and Drayton to put an end to their dependence upon 'pennie-knaves' and the purveyors of their pleasures. But, permanently or temporarily, all these predecessors of Shakspere were the servants of the stage and its immediate public, and not a few of them-probably including Peele

the con

ditions of

himself-were actors This connexion, while, in ways on which there is no necessity for dwelling further, it affected the course of the personal lives of the diamatists, and the estimation in which they were held by their contemporaries, at the same time directly influenced the character of their dramatic works. It taught with incomparable certainty a Results of keen insight into the laws of dramatic cause and effect, and imparted warm vitality to a dramatic literature produced, production as the phrase is, for immediate consumption On the other plays them. hand, it as inevitably constituted rapidity of workmanship selves an indispensable element in the qualifications of a successful playwight. Marvellous as was the productivity of many of these dramatists, and still more marvellous as it would appear were we awaie of all they wrote, the very nature of the case sufficies to account for it How a play was produced, what number of hands had been at work upon it, what loans and what spoliations had occurred in the process, must ordinarily have seemed of less moment than whether it was produced, and whether it succeeded. Not literary criticism, but the veidict of popular applause, was in the first instance challenged. Plays were written to be acted, and they were acted to please dramatist to say of himself that he 'knew his art and not his trade' would have struck his fellow-actors and authors as a more than doubtful vaunt. The play was the property of the company, and exposed to any alterations and 'additions, which, while they 'made' it on the stage, might 'mar' it, as in the case of Faustus, for all future ages This simple consideration accounts at once for many of the merits, and for many of the faults, common to a large proportion of the dramatic works discussed in this chapter 1.

1 The same considerations will of course, to a very large extent, have to be borne in mind in considering the dramatic work of Shakspere, Ben Jonson, and many of the later Elisabethans.-Analogics from the history of Greek dramatic literature are always fascinating, and it might thus be noticed here that the comic dramatist Plato, probably one of the most brilliant competitors of Aristophanes, described himself as having laboured for others, like an Arcadian mercenary It is not however certain whether he meant that he was (sit venue verbo) 'sweated,' or that he began by representing his plays anonymously, like Aristophanes himself and Ameipsias. See Donaldson, Theatre of the Greeks (7th edition, 1860), p. 174

VOL. I.

Summary
of the
history of
the stage
on the
earlier
Elisabethan
period

It therefore becomes necessary to recall, however briefly. the conditions of the English stage in this period of our In the course of this period the theatre dramatic literature had, in the fullest sense of the term, become a popular institution This, however, by no means implies either a simultaneous rise of the stage in the esteem of classes and sections of the population whose interests and sentiments had little or no direct concern with literature or ait, or a corresponding advance of the labours of playwight and player towards due recognition in those literary and artistic circles of which they in truth themselves formed part. It must be remembered that up to the time when the first dramas of Marlowe and his fellows were produced there had been no example of men of University education (in those days far more exclusively than afterwards the representatives of higher intellectual training) addressing themselves to the composition of plays intended to be performed in a public theatre, and to profit those interested in its affairs1. I may notice, although not wishing to insist too much on the coincidence of dates, that the careers of the two most renowned tragic actors of this age, Edward Alleyn and Richard Burbage, seem to have begun very much about this time². Which, if any, of the University playwrights themselves trod the boards, must, in the case of the more illustrious among them, remain a matter of pure supposition 3.

Influence of the patronage of the Queen, Queen Elisabeth's fondness for diamatic performances, which had shown itself already before her accession to the throne and from that date onwards a steadily affected its

¹ This is of course the sense of Mr Fleay's saying, History of the Stage, p 72, that 'until 1587 educated men who made it the business of their lives to promote the interest of the stage by their plays or their playing were unknown.'

² Alleyn's name first occurs in a list of Lord Worcester's players in 1586; Richard Burbage had made himself some sort of theatrical reputation by 1588 As to his sobriquet 'Roscio' and the association of him with Shakspere by contemporary writers, see Ingleby, Shakspeare's Centurie of Prayse (New Shakspere Society's Publications, 1879, pp 27, 58 et al.) Richard Burbage was of course stage-born and bred, as to Alleyn, this is not so certain See Mr J T Warner's notice of Alleyn in vol 1. of The Dictionary of National Biography (1885)

^{*} Cf. ante, pp. 315, 382, 410

^{*} Ante, p. 153. The proclamation of April, 1559, there noticed, was

strength, at first exercised no strongly perceptible influence upon the history of the theatre The diamatic entertainments at court and on the royal progresses continued in accordance with the practice of Queen Elisabeth's piedecessois, indeed, not a few of these performances seem to have been revivals of interludes which the Queen had applauded in the days of her brother King Edward VI, and one or more of the old players in which drew their Court pensions till late into her own reign 1 Her own interlude players, who continued to perform during the earlier years of that reign, cannot have exercised much more influence than these veterans upon the advance of the drama?

But as year after year witnessed a continuance and an increase of the national confidence (focs and factions notwithstanding) in the stability of her 16gime, and as her liking for dramatic entertainments underwent no abatement, her position as supreme and general patron of the English drama became more and more fully established In a sense all the writers or performers of plays, in the earlier half of the reign at all events, openly wore her colours and were eager to lay themselves at her feet3

From the beginning of the new reign onwards, the chief and of the noblemen and gentlemen connected with the Court-or perhaps it would be more correct to say, the more favoured and enterprising among their number-maintained companies of actors to which the privilege was allowed of performing plays in various counties 4, although it was not until 1574

obviously due to political considerations Cf T F Ordish, Early London Theatres (1894), p 28

1 Fleay, History of the Stage, 42-4

5 These expressions are suggested by De Silva's account to King Philip II. July, 1564, how after a comedy at Court there was 'a masque of certain gentlemen, who entered dressed in black and white, which the Queen told me were her colours, and after dancing awhile, one of them approached and handed the Queen a sonnet in English, praising her' Calendar of Spanish State Papers (Elisabeth, vol 1 (1892), p 368

Fleay, History of the Stage, 34-5, distinguishes four stocks (1) I ord Robert Dudley's (afterwards Earl of Leicester), (2, Sir Robert Richs, succeeded by Sir Robert Lane's, and then by the company formed by the Duttons for the Earl of Oxford, and succeeded in its turn by the company of Henry Carey, Lord Hunsdon; (3, Lord Clinton's, succeeded by the Earl of Sussex' (Lord Chamberlain, 1576); on his death probably transferred to the that the earliest of these companies in date of establishment (the Earl of Leicester's) obtained a patent for performances in every part of the kingdom, including therefore the City of London, in 1574, but of the significance of this immediately. At the same time, whichsoever among the efforts of these several companies attained to a conspicuous success, were, as a matter of course, reproduced before the Queen herself at her Court, Christmas of Shrovetide revels. On such occasions the actors called themselves the Queen's players, and we may well suppose masters as well as servants to have eagerly sought these opportunities of distinction. It was not, as will be seen immediately, until 1583, that a permanent company of Queen's Players was selected for appointment.

Academical and scholastic uses

In much the same way, the two Universities and the Inns of Court, as loyal corporations delighting in the visitations of the royal presence, were ready to gratify the Queen by dramatic performances specially suited to the scholarly tastes and attainments which she could nowhere else so The chief London schools, so far as their appropriately air relatively slender means extended, were fain to offer similar dramatic exhibitions More continuously, and with the aid of a training of which the steadiness must have gone some way towards making up for the immaturity of the acting material, the choristers of the Queen's own Chapels Royal, and of the cathedral and collegiate churches in or near London 1, were on select occasions able to present before the Queen plays more or less suitable for juvenile impersonation.

service of the Earl of Oxford, (4) Lord Charles Howard's (the Lord Admiral), succeeded by the Earl of Derby's, who in their turn were succeeded by the Earl of Arundel's (Philip Howard) These companies, according to the results of Mr Fleay's researches, cover a period extending from 1559 to about 1584—As to Dutton's company of actors, see the curious satirical lines reflecting on their desertion of the service of the Earl of Warwick for that of the Earl of Oxford—they 'wrot themselves his Comoedians, which certayne gentlemen altered and made Camoelions'—in Wright and Halli-well's Reliquias Antiquas (1843), 11. 122 A 'Dutton's play' is mentioned as performed at Court in or about 1574, this mention of plays by the name of the manager of the company is characteristic of Elisabethan straightforwardness. Cf Collier, 1. 226.

1 Mr. Ficay, 11. s 24, enumerates as organised boys' companies in the period 1559-1586, the choirs of St Paul's, the Chapel Royal and Windsor (or Eton), and Merchant Taylors' and Westminster Schools.

These boys enacted many of the plays mentioned in the present or in later chapters of this book, and their competition was much felt by the men actors and at times strongly complained of by their mouthpieces. It would seem that in 1585 a royal warrant was issued for the impressing of children for the choir of St. Paul's anywhere in the kingdom, which implies that this company of 'little eyases' at the time enjoyed a monopoly as juvenile actors?

Thus it is obvious that in the earlier period of Queen Elisabeth's reign there could never have been a lack either of players or of plays to be presented before her, and consequently never a lack of playwrights to furnish forth the materials of her favourite diversion. When, accordingly, in 1583 the time was held to have arrived for selecting a regular company of players to Her Majesty, who henceforth bore

¹ Cf The English Drama and Stage, &c, 1543-1664, illustrated by Documents, Treatises, and Poems (Roxburghe Library, 1869), and Clark and Wright's edition of Hamlet (Clarendon Press), Preface, p. xv.

² Although perhaps anticipating rather too much in date, I may be here allowed in a note to translate a curious passage referring to these performances by children in the Diary of the Duke [Philip Julius] of [Pomeiania-] Stettin, edited by Dr. G. von Bulow, assisted by Mr. Wilfred Powell, for the Royal Historical Society (Transactions, New Series, vol. vi. 1892). The date of his wist to England was 1602, but the general features of the description may be in part held applicable to these performances at a much earlier period of the Queen's reign. 'Thence we proceeded to the Kinderconoedia, which in its plot dealt with a casta vidua, it was a historia of a royal widow in England. Now this is the account of this Kinderconoedia. the Queen maintains many young boys, who are bound to apply themselves with diligence to the art of singing, and to learn how to perform on all instruments, and at the same time to pursue their studies. These boys have their special praceptores in all arts, in especial very good musicos.

'Now in order that they may use courtly manners, they are obliged every week to perform a comoedia, for which purpose the Queen has caused to be built for them a particular theatium, and has supplied them superabundantly with artistic dresses. Whoever desires to be a spectator of such a performance must pay as much as eight sundische Schillinge of our coinage, yet there is always to be found there a large audience including many decent women, because they expect, in accordance with what they heard from others, to have brought before them many interesting argumenta and many noble maxims; everything in the performance being done by candlelight (ba Luchte), which makes a great sensation (Aufschen). For a whole hour previously, one listens to a costly musica instrumentalis of organs, eithers, pandores, mandores fiddles and pipes, on the present occasion, indeed, a boy cum voce tremula sung in so lovely a fashion to a cello (Basgage) that, unless the muses at Milan may have excelled him, we had not heard the like of him on our travels.'

the distinctive name of the Queen's men, although their efforts were by no means confined to performances in her presence, there could be no difficulty in finding a sufficient number of established favourites deserving of the coveted distinction. We know that those chosen included the famous clown Richard Tarlton, together with Robert Wilson, the supposed author of *The Three Lordes and Three Ladies of London*, and other popular favourites ¹

¹ See Fleay, History of the Stage, 54-5 —Of Richard Tarlton a full account will be found in Halliwell(-Phillips') Introduction to his edition of Tarlton's lests, and News out of Purgatory (Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1844) Cf a note to the life of Hall in Chalmers' English Poets, v 254 - Tarlton was a 'prentice in his youth' in the City of London, and is said to have afterwaids earned his living as a 'water-bearer' Later in life he seems to have followed the more suitable avocation of a tavern-keeper On the stage he became famous as a clown, and was above all admired for his extemporal riming to 'Tarletonise' became synonymous with extemporising), and more especially for his 'jigs'-i e ludicrous 'topical' songs, often accompanied by a dance, introduced by the clown and usually invented by him. Of these a good example remains in Tarlton's Jigge of a horse loade of Fooles, printed by Halliwell-Phillips, u s, pp xx-xxvi) - His popularity, fostered by his audacity, knew no bounds Nashe says, with a touch true to human nature, that 'the people began exceedingly to laugh when Tarlton first peept out his head', and Fuller records that' the self same words, spoken by another, would hardly move a merry man to smile, which, uttered by him, would force a sad soul to laughter' Tailton died in 1588, the year of the Spanish Armada A warm tribute is paid to him in The Three Lordes and Ladies of London, a play probably written shortly after his decease and attributed to his fellow-actor (See ante, 140 note, and cf 435 The 'extemporal' wit Robert Wilson attributed to the supposed 'younger' Wilson by Meres, suggests at least a hereditary connexion with Tarlton's associate) Long afterwards the portrait of Tarlton, with drum and fife, of which Mr Halliwell-Phillips gives a facsimile, continued to ornament ale-houses and other places of public resort For references to him, see among others the Induction to Bartholomew Fair (1614), and an epitaph of the year 1617, quoted by Waldron in his edition of The Sad Shepherd, p 167, where he is apostrophised as 'the Lord of Mirth,' while 'all clownes since' are said to have been 'his apes.'-As for the productions that have been attributed to Tarlton, the authenticity of the Jests (of which the first known, but probably not the earliest, edition bears date 1611) is in several instances supported by external evidence, the remarkably ancient and flat flavour of others seems on the whole to add to the probability of their traditional origin. The medley of short stories called Tarleton's News out of Purgatorie was printed in or about 1500, but his name is generally thought to have been attached to this pamphlet merely by way of a catchpenny. He wrote, however, a good deal of verse (including a volume called Tarlton's Toyes), none of which except the above mentioned 'pg' has been preserved. Of more interest for students of our dramatic literature is the statement of Gabriel Harvey (in his Foore Letters, 1592, cited up. Halliwell-Phillips, Introduction, p. xxxiv), that Tarlton

But the efforts of the earlier Elisabethan theatre, although Popular de concentrated in the way indicated upon the service of the Queen, were after all due in their origin to a popular entertain demand for dramatic entertainments which was older than her dynasty or the forms of Church and State under which her government was carried on In former days this demand had attached itself to localities consecrated by tradition to diamatic spectacle, or associated by immemorial usage with diversions of a dramatic character 1 became customary for companies of players attached to the perform households of noblemen and gentlemen to travel from place um vards to place in order to exhibit their performances, they naturally resorted to the inns, more especially in or about London, the boy companies when intent upon profit followed suit, and thus it came to pass that 'in the history of the London stage the immediate predecessor of the play-house was the inn-yard2' From the accession of Queen Elisabeth until the year 1576, when the first London theatre, properly so-called, was built, these inn-yards remained the chosen homes of the popular drama 3 Among the hostelijes known to have been frequented for this purpose were the Cross Keys 4 in Gracious (Gracechurch) Street, the Bull in Bishopsgate Street, the Belle Savage on Ludgate Hill, and others in Whitefriars, in Blackfriars, and clsewhere near St Paul's 5 In this very natural, and under the circumstances practically inevitable process, we may recognise the origin of a long

mand to dramati

As it Dramatu

was the author of the platt or outline of action (to be filled up with words by the performers) of The Seven Deadle Sins, of which the Second Part was found by Malone at Dulwich, and has been printed by Collier Cf ante, p 230, note r - After Tarlton's death, his mantle-or perhaps I should say his cap and bells-fell to William Kempe, of whom a word below -The vogue of Edward Alleyn and Richard Burbage, as has been seen, had hardly begun by 1583; Richard's father James was a member of Leicester's company, probably from a very early period of its existence

1 Cf. ante as to the exhibition of religious plays in churches and chapels, or in their immediate vicinity, and in that of the ancient and sacred wells . and see Mr T Fairman Ordish's Early London Theatres (1894) as to the amphitheatrical constructions for spectacular purposes, both in London and

in other parts of the country

² Ordish, p. 28

3 Fleay, History of the Stage, 35 seqq.

According to Prynne, ap. Fleay, 36, this house was called the Bell

5 See Fleay, u. s., and Ordish, 30.

series of conflicts which affected, together with the history of the London, and therefore of the English stage, the course of our dramatic, and with it that of many other 'rivers of the blood' of our national literature. It will accordingly, I think, best serve the purpose of this subsidiary reference to the annals of the earlier Elisabethan stage, to connect the chief incidents which remain to be noticed in them according to chronological sequence with the most notable data of the struggle in question 1

The City and the stage

Oueen Elisabeth's proclamation of April 7, 1559, issued probably for purely political reasons, had not affected the acknowledged administrative principle that all dramatic performances in the City of London remained under the control of its Lord Mayor and Corporation. increasing number of these performances in the London innvards, fostered by the love of the theatre in which the Oueen was at one with her magnates and with a large proportion of her people, continuously aggravated the aspect of a nuisance in which they presented themselves to the fathers of the Entertainments of the kind in question could not be carried on without noise and disturbance of all sorts, more particularly since, in accordance with the traditions of the mediaeval drama², the performance of a play implied a processus with drums and trumpets to its performance, while within the precincts of the inn-yards, the terribly real peril of spreading infectious disease, and above all the Plaguethe curse of curses in this unsanitary and unscientific agespeedily attained to proportions such as nothing short of sheer blindness could have ignored and neglected under an exceptionally awful visitation of the Plague that in 1563 Archbishop Grindall (Spenser's 'good Algrind'), influenced by his Puritan antipathies against the stage, advised Secretary Cecil to inhibit all plays for one whole year within the City, 'and if it were for ever,' the Primate added, 'it were not amiss³.' We do not know whether his advice was taken; but it was in any case momentous as at the same time pro-

[&]quot;My main guide in this summary is Mr Fleay, whose History of the Singe has superseded all other treatments of the subject. See especially pp. 44 seqq and Ante, p. 44.

testing against plays on religious and on social grounds, and appealing to the competence of the royal authority to exercise a control over their performance within as well as without the City of London

Nine years later—in 1572, as we learn from Harrison's Chronologu 1-plays were actually 'banished for a time out of London, lest the resort unto them should ingender a plague, or rather disperse it, being already begonne' But by whatever authority (doubtless it was that of the City itself) this ordinance was issued, its result was not to check the popularity of dramatic performances did the Queen's high-handed bestowal, in 1574, upon Leicester's players of the privilege of performing plays within as well as without the City limits, whether for her own delectation or for that of her subjects at large, imply a defiance of the claim of the City authorities to manage their own affairs2, but, which was perhaps of even more practical importance, she had been met halfway by the inclinations of the London population, masmuch as the temporary prohibition of plays within the walls was beginning to be evaded by a systematic increase of dramatic performances, both on the Surrey side of the river in Southwark,—a district devoted from of old to popular diversions of all sorts and descriptions,—and to the North of the Walls In 1575 the actors of the several companies interested, assuming the ad captandum designation of 'Her Majesty's poor players,' ventured on a sort of ultimate attempt by petitioning the Privy Council for permissive letters to the Lord Mayor, and the City replied by a statement of its case against them, to

¹ See Extracts (Appendix I to Furnivall's Forewords to Harnson's Description of England, Bks in and in), New Shakspere Society's Publications, 1877, pp liv-liv, cited ap Ordish, p 31. Harrison inveighs against the signs of the times, when players could 'build such houses' as were by this edict empited of their frequenters. But his meaning, as Dr. Furnivall allows, is ambiguous.

² Cf. ante, p. 452—The name of James Burbage heads the list. He may be described as the father of the popular Ehsabethan theatre, but of his own successes as an actor we possess no authentic record. Cf. Mr. S. Lee's notice of him in vol. vii. of *The Dictionary of National Biography* (1886)—For the patent in question, cf. Colher, 1. 203-4, with Fleay's observations, History of the Stage, p. 45

Earhest
permanent
theatres in
London
(from 1576
01 1577)

which the merit of exhaustiveness cannot be denied 1 In 1576 or 1577 a new chapter in the history of the English stage may be said to have begun with the opening of The Theatre in Finsbury Fields, followed immediately afterwards by that of the Curtain hard-by in Shoieditch? The history of the origin and progress of these playhouses 'in the fields,' and of others which sprang up after them in rapid succession, both within and without the City proper. must be left to the chroniclers of the stage,—the theatres in question included the Whitefriars 3, the Fortune in Golden or Golding Lane St Giles, Cripplegate, and from 1506-7 the Blackfriars, a house purchased by James Burbage in 1506: together with, on the Bankside, the Rose (Henslowe's playhouse), the Swan 4, the Globe (from 1500, in the immediate neighbourhood of Paris Garden, which, though mainly a resort for bear-baiting and other sports, was itself also used for diamatic representations), the Hope and Newington Butts 5. In 1583, as has been seen, a single company of Queen's players was constituted, and although the plague appears to have prevented it for a time from performing in London, its formation added a new element of stability to the English stage

Literary attacks upon the theatu Meanwhile, the combination of moral sentiment, religious opinion, and practical grievance which had long sustained the endeavours of the City authorities towards staying, and if possible extinguishing, the activity of the stage, had begun and continued to find eager literary exponents

¹ Cf Fleay, u s, 46-7 The third article of the reply, as there condensed, is sur generis excellent 'To play in plague-time increases the plague by infection, to play out of plague-time calls down the plague from God'

² See Ordish, 32 seqq and 76 seqq—two exhaustive chapters, which render further references superfluous

⁹ See J Greenstreet, *The Whitefran Theatre in the time of Shakspere New Shakspere Society's Transactions*, 1888, founded on information from documents connected with a Chancery suit of the year 1609

^{*} See as to the manuscript and drawing of the Swan Theatre, discovered by Dr Guedertz of Berlin among the papers of John de Witt, Canon of Utrecht, who visited London about the year 1596, Dr. Gaedertz' publication on the subject (Bremen, 1888), and Mr H B Wheatley's paper in Transactions of the New Shakspere Society, 1888

[•] See as to the London Theatres of this period, Fleay, History of the Stage, 147 seqq.

Passing by published pulpit utterances of even earlier dates, we may notice in the first instance a treatise entered for publication in 1577, and printed at all events as early as 1579, by John Northbrooke, a divine whose Orders dated Northfrom the Elisabethan age, under a heading or motto which bronke (1577-9 he adopted for a succession of tracts This was the Treatise wherein Dicing, Dauncing, vaine Playes or Enterluds, with other idle Pastimes, &c., commonly used on the Sabaoth Day, are reproved by the Authoritie of the Word of God and auntient Writers 1 The method of this tract is the exhaustive method proper to Puitan argument down to (and after) the days of Piynne, conceining which it would be rash to assert that it is ill calculated for effect upon the audience with which it is primarily conceined, but, oddly enough, as Collier notices, the argument is conveyed in that dialogueform which is akin to the dramatic, and which has the advantage of anticipating opposition by putting it into as weak as possible a position. Moreover, the drama here figures as a mere adjunct to more enticing phases of popular debauchery In 1579, Stephen Gosson, an Oxonian who Goss i had himself contributed both to dramatic literature and to (1579) its histiionic interpretation, but who was now on his way towards ecclesiastical preferment, found himself moved to put forth The School of Abuse, contening a pleasant inuective against Poets, Pipers, Plaiers, Jestirs and such like Caterpillers of a Commonwealth, which he dedicated to Sidney, and which, after it had been answered by Lodge, he followed up in 1581 of 1582 by a second diatribe entitled Playes Confuted in five Actions 3. The Schoole of Abuse, written in euphuistic style and with an obvious consciousness of the author's academical pretensions, cannot be said to convey the impression that a deep spiritual indignation was the principal motive

¹ Edited by Collier for the Shakspeare Society, with an Introduction, 1843 Cf the biographical notice of Northbrooke by Mr Ronald Bayne in vol xli of The Dictionary of National Biography (1893). The motto of the tract is 'Spiritus est vicarius Christi in terra'

The interlocutors are Youth and Age, of whom the former is an abuses λόγος of remarkably ineffective improbity. As to the literary fashion followed by this tract, of ante, p 234

³ See Collier's edition of The Schoole of Abuse, Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1843 Cf ante, pp 409 segq.

Other pamphlets (1581-2)

Stubbes (1583) of its composition, moveover, it exhibits a certain degree of eclecticism in its censures, describing 'some plays,' including 'a pig of mine owne Sowe 1,' as 'tollerable at some time' It is, in short, on the author's part a note of transition into a camp whose standard did not disdain to adoin itself by literary stieamers In 1580, a pamphlet was entered under the name of Henry Denham 2 by the title of A Second and Third Blast of Retreat from Plays and Theatres3, and I have noted the publication of an anonymous treatise of similar purport in the following year (1581)4 In 1583 the very year in which a special remonstrance from the Lord Mayor against the dangers of promiscuous and infectious assemblies of theatrical spectators had been answered by the license granted to a special body of players as appropriated to Her Majesty's service—Philip Stubbes (over whose personal origin and identity a cloud of mystery still seems to hang) published his poitentous Anatomie of Abuses, a survey of contemporary society and of the remedies needed by it, of which it would be difficult to overrate the interest and significance 5 The general spirit of this work (which curiously enough is again in dialogue-form, besides being for appearance sake veiled beneath a transparent allegory 6) will not be refused the recognition which it deserves, more especially since the force of its invective is proportioned to the gravity of the themes to which it in succession addresses itself 7 Moreover, it frequently becomes

¹ Caulins Conspiracies, cf ante, p 209

² Doubtless the active printer, of whom a short notice, by Mr H R. Tedder, will be found in vol kiv of *The Dictionary of National Biography* (1888)

⁸ Cf Fleay, *History of the Stage*, 51, where is also noticed the license of a ballad under the same telling title, which Mr Fleay considers identifiable with 'a ballad against plays' attributed in 1581 to Antony Munday

⁴ A Treatise of Daunses, wherem it is showed, that they are as it were accessories and dependants (or things annexed) to whoredom; where also by the way is touched and proved, that Playes are somed and knit together in a ranck or rowe with them Anno 1581 (Chatsworth Library Catalogue)

* Reprinted in Publications of the New Shakspere Society, Series VI, 1876-

1882, with Forewords and Notes, by Dr Furnivall

* The abuses consured are allocated to an anagrammatically named country Adjust, and in several instances to its capital Munidual

⁷ The later portions of Part I (The Temporalty) and virtually the whole of Part II (The Spiritualty) are concerned with religious, social and economical

obvious that the author, while pouring out without stint the stores of information gathered by his learning and application, was desirous of guarding himself against the onesidedness which is the bane of such diatribes. Unfortunately, however, the particular section of Part I of this book, which treats Of Stage-Playes and Enterluds, with their wickednes1, while manifesting on the part of the author no very close or varied familiarity with the subject, is conceived in a spirit of uncompromising wrath, and written black in black Religious plays are sacrilegious, profane are devilish, and a divine praemunire of eternal damnation lies against all who bear a part in their maintenance² To the names of Gosson and Stubbes may be Other pain added those of George Whetstone, the author of Promos phlets and Cassandra, and therefore like Gosson a 'repentant dramatist, who in 1584 published his Touchstone for the Time, and of William Rankine, whose Mirror of Monsters appeared in 1587, and who, conversely, is said to have composed plays after inveighing against their production 3

1584-7

In what proportion the Puitan spirit, which inspired all The oppo these publications, was accountable for the opposition to sition to the the theatre so long and so stuidily maintained by the City wholly due authorities, it would be difficult with any degree of accuracy to Puntan feeling to determine For prejudice alone, which is not always on

stage not

problems of the highest importance, and often of great difficulty-and in the treatment of some of these Stubbes shows himself in advance of his age The sections in Part I on Abuses in Trade and on Abuses in Apparel and its Makers are, as is well known, full of curious detail

1 Pp 140 segg, u s Some extracts are given in The English Drama and Stage (Roxburghe Library), cited ante, p 453, note 1

2 Nashe attacked the latter both in his Anatomie of Absurditie (which can hardly be said to have 'plagiarised' Stubbes' title, cf ante, p 419) and (if this tract was his) in An Almond for a Parrat Gabriel Harvey of course took up the cudgels in Stubbes' defence. See the passages ap Furnivall, u. s. pp. 36 seqq.

5 See Collier, Introduction to the Schoole of Abuse, pp. 1x-x - I have passed by minor literary efforts, such as the ballad provoked by the falling of a wooden gallery full of spectators during a Sunday hear-baiting at Paris Garden in January, 1583,—and the tract by 'John Field, Minister of the Word of God,' suggested by the same accident See Collier, 1 243-6, where it is surmised that the result of this occurrence was that the order of the Privy Council against performances on Sundays, which had hitherto applied only to the City of London, was now made general.

one side (as is shown among other instances by the paiticulai contioversy to be immediately touched upon), could pretend to deny that the theatre, as it affected the life of London in the earlier Elisabethan age, had in it the elements of both a social and a moral nuisance of considerable magnitude. The question for its future in England, and implicitly for that of our dramatic literature, was in what degree these elements were essential to its continued existence as a popular institution. Meanwhile the opposition against the stage on the part of the City of London, and of those classes throughout the country of which its citizens were typical, continued, as will be noticed hereafter, throughout the reigns of Elizabeth and her successors, nor has it ever wholly ceased whenever there has been a stage to contend against.

The stage on its defince We have seen from the examples of Lodge and others, that the natural apologists of the stage had not been backward in defending it against these early attacks. The violence of its censors stimulated the boldness of their opponents, until at last the outbreak of a controversy originally unconnected with the stage 1 allowed them with unprecedented outspokenness to assume the offensive, and to identify themselves with the cause of allies whose sympathy with the theatre can at the most have been of but a very limited description

The Mar-PrelateControversy (1588–90) The details of the Mar-Prelate Controversy—the most famous literary quarrel of these libellous times ²—surrounded as they are by an obscurity which laborious investigation is only gradually clearing up, and which in part will probably

¹ Such a charge as that implied in the anecdote told by Martin Marprelate in Hay any work for Cooper (1589), of the priest 'Gliberie of Hawsteade' in Essex (cf Maskell, u: 96-7), should be regarded as merely illustrative. This divine of the old school, who had formerly, 'symple as he now standes,' been 'a vice in a playe for want of a better,' on hearing a morris dance in progress outside the church of which he was occupying the pulpit, cut short his sermon and 'came down' among the dancers

^{2 &#}x27;Do you not see these Pamphlets, Libels, Rhimes, These strange confused Tumults of the Mind, Are grown to be the Sickness of these Times, The great Disease inflicted on Markind 'Damel's Musophilus (1599).

be never altogether removed, cannot occupy us in this place 1 Its immediate motive cause was the sentiment of 'now or never' aroused by Whitgift's policy of repression after his acceptance of the Primacy in 1583, its intellectual parentage may be ascribed to Cartwright, the antipous of Whitgift in the religious history of the reign But nothing is gained by widening until they lose themselves in dimness the circles of an enquiry into a subject bearing upon so wide a variety of connected interests, and the history of the Mar-Pielate controversy, properly so called, is in point of fact comprised within very definite limits. It begins with the publication of the famous Epistle to the terrible Priests of the Confocation house, which professed to be a mere intioduction to a coming refutation of a defence of the Church of England, as it was, recently published by Dr Bridges, Dcan of Salisbury, and which, so far as is known, first intioduced 'Martin Mar-Pielate, gentleman,' into the controversial arena This pamphlet unmistakeably indicates the peculiar method of the controversy, which was that of bringing its issues home to the general public by means of familiar, and if necessary, comic illustration—in a word, the satiric method, never more effectively practised than in the Renascence age, from which exaggeration and misrepresentation are in point of fact inseparable 2 Such was the method which, from

¹ By far the best survey of the Mar-Prelate controversy is, so far as I know, to be found in Professor E Arber's English Scholar's Library. No 8 (An Introductory Sketch to the Martin Mar-Prelate Controversy), 1879, with No 9 (Martin Marphilate, The Epistle), 1880 Previously to this, the only compendious account extant was Maskell's History of the Martin Marphelate Controversy, de. (1845), an interesting book, but manifestly tinged with prejudice. An earlier but discursive account will be found in the elder Disrach's Quarrels of Authors, ii 203-282. See also Neal's History of the Puntans, ii 336 seqq, and the articles on Penry by Mr. S. Lee, and on Barrowe by Dr. Grosart, in vols xhv and ii. of The Dictionary of National Biography (1895 and 1895) — Much information may be gleaned from the collection of Puntan Discipline Tracts, of which the reprinting and the circulation in America were deeply regretted by Mr. Maskell, on the ground that 'poison' should not be sold without its 'antidote.'

² There seems no reason for doubting that the personality of Martin Mar prelate, as first introduced in the Epistle, was to all intents and purposes original. The best summary of the character is that offered in Hay any Work for Cooper (1589); cf Grosart, u. s., No. 8, 12-13. Thomas Cooper, Bishop of Winchester, had attempted a serious reply to the Epistle in a tract entitled An Admonstration to the People of England (1589). As Disraeli points

motives which it is unnecessary here either to extol or to impugn, had been determined upon by a secret clique of writers against a system of church government which they deemed obsolete and rotten, and consequently inimical to the interests of religion. They carried out their design with extiaoidinary resolution and skill, by means of a secret printing-press moved from place to place, and with the support of a popular sympathy of which the measure can only be gauged by impartial historical enquiry Apart from local influences1 and the growth of theological opinion in academical spheres², a national problem—that of the emancipation of a Protestant Church from its derived trammels-lent force and fury to the struggle It ended, with the ready aid of the State, in the martyrdom of its principal agents 3, but the end was the beginning of a movement which transformed the religious life of the nation

In this celebrated controversy, upon the more important aspects of which I must abstain from further dwelling, the railing had not by any means been all on the side of the 'Martinmongers 'Even academically-nurtured scholars, whose sympathies leant to the Puritanising party in the Church, were painfully affected by the onslaught upon ecclesiastical dignitaries credited with the same way of thinking 5. What

out, his name presented the inestimable advantage of lending itself to punning retorts

¹ Above all the feeling to which Penry had already given expression in a previous tract, and which ended by consecrating him 'the father of Welsh non-conformity'

² Penry was of Peterhouse, and Barrowe of Clare Hall

^{&#}x27;Although John Penry was not put to death (1593) on the charge of authorship of any of the Mar-Prelate tracts, his share in them and in their publication seems established. ('Penry, son of Martin Marprelate, was hanged lately' Calendar of State Papers, Domestic Series, Elisabeth, 1891-4, p 353) Henry Barrowe, whom Dr Dexter has sought to prove the author of the chief tracts, was executed in the same year with John Greenwood on a different indictment. These, with John Udall, who died in prison, and the Warwickshire country-gentleman Job Throckmorton, make up the list of the suspected 'Martinist' authors

So they are called in Lyly's tract, A Pappe with an Hatchet

[&]quot;Spenser's attitude to Puritanism, after the fierce paper war of Marprelate and his foes, is palpably changed.—The party of the saintly sufferer Algrind is now represented by the Blatant Beast." C. H. Herford, Introduction to his edition of Spenser's Shepheards Calendar (1895), p. lxxii.—

wonder that the prelates and their cause (the cause of the existing state of things) should have found advocates among writers fully prepared to meet a whole company of 'Martins' on their own ground 1 Lyly and Nashe were drawn into the contioversy by motives which it is unnecessary further to analyse, and the latter took so active a part in it that it long remained customary to father upon him the entire series of the replies to the Martinists But the notion of answering these writers in their own popular satiric vein seems to have originated with Richard Bancioft (afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury), who early in 1589 preached a violent sermon at St Paul's Cross against the Maitinists eo nomine, and it has even been thought not unlikely that he had something to do with the composing of the tracts in question2. Nashe may with certainty be held responsible for at least four of them, including The Returne of the Renowned Caualier Pasquill of England (1589), and Lyly was undoubtedly the author of A Pappe with an Hatchet (1589)3, Munday, too, seems to have taken service on the same side 4 Martin Lastly—and this is what principally concerns us here—the Mar Prestage itself had at an early date in the controversy been made stage use of by the opponents of Maitin Mai-Pielate, and, by (1589) 1589, a play in the nature of a morality had been exhibited in derision of the adversaries of the Establishment 5. The

John Aylmer, Bishop of London (the 'Morrell of the Calender), is the 'dumb John' upon whom the Epistle vents its most personal satire

¹ I use the neutral expression 'company', the Anti-Martinists would have said 'herd,' mindful as they were of the fact that 'Martin,' though the use of the word was doubtless suggested by Luther's baptism'd name, was the popular appellation of the loudest-voiced of domestic animals

Maskell, 107 Cf Mr Mullinger's notice of Bancroft in vol 111. of The

Dictionary of National Biography (1885)

3 See for a list of anti Martinist pamphlets forming an integral part of the controversy, Arber, u s., No 8, pp 197-200, and cf Maskell, 164 segg

At least in An Almond for a Parrat 'Martin is bid 'beware Anthony Munday be not even with you for calling him Iudas, and lay open your false carding to the stage of all men's scorne, (Puritan Discipline Tracts, p 52) Plaine Percevall was, as Maskell shows, a late effort on the Puritan side in favour of quiet, and has been most absurdly attributed to Nashe There seems every likelihood of its having been written, as Nashe asserts in his Strange Newes, by Richard Harvey. See Introduction to Puritan Discipline Tracts.

This piece is thus described by Nashe in his Returne of Pasquill; H h VOL. I.

Prohibitory and restrictive measures (1589) Master of the Revels (Edmund Tylney) having in consequence made an adverse representation to the Lord Treasurer (Burghley), the latter wrote to the Lord Mayor. requiring him to put a stop to all theatrical exhibitions within his jurisdiction. The chief magistrate of the city could only consign two refractory players 'to one of the Compters1' Six days afterwards (November 12, 1589) however, the Privy Council took the necessary measures to put an end to the scandal The Archbishop of Canterbury was required to name 'a person well leained in divinity,' and the Lord Mayor 'a sufficient person learned and of judgment,' who together with the Master of the Revels were to license all plays acted in and about the City From the letters issued by the Privy Council on this occasion, it would appear that 'certen matters of Divinytie and State' had been 'handled' in more than one play of the day The stoppage of stageplays was accordingly only temporary, but the 'comedies' against Martin Mar-Prelate, whether written or in preparation, had to be laid aside, greatly to Lyly's regret, who thought they would have 'decyphered, and so perhaps

^{&#}x27;Methought Vetus Comoedia began to pricke him at London in the right vaine, when shee brought foorth Divinitie with a scratcht face, holding of her hart, as if she were sicke, because Martin would have forced her, but myssing of his purpose, he left the print of his nayles upon her cheekes, and poysoned her with a vomit, which he ministred unto her to make her cast uppe her dignities and promotions' Collier, 1 273. Lyly in the Pappe unth an Hatchet seems to describe the same, or a similar, play when he says (of Martin) 'He shall not be brought in as whilom he was, and yet verie well, with a cocks combe, an apes face, a wolfe's bellie, cats clawes,' &c Quoted by Maskell, p 210 Lyly adds 'If he be showed at Paul's,' 1 e by the Children of Paul's, it will cost you four pence, if at the Theatre two pence, if at St Thomas a Watrings' (the place of execution close to the Theatre), 'nothing' (Cf Fleay, History of the Stage, 92-3) See Collier, i 266-7, where a further passage is cited from the tract A Countercuffe given to Martin Junior, referring to 'the Anatomie lately taken of him, the blood and the humors that were taken from him by lancing and worming him at London upon the common stage.'

As Mr Fleay, Life of Shakespeare, pp. 102-3, puts it, the Anti-Martinist plays being, with the exception of those represented by the Paul's Boys, performed outside the City, could not be silenced by the Lord Mayor, who could only try to stop the Lord Admiral's and Lord Strange's companies; whereupon, when the latter (Shakepere's) company persisted in playing, two of its members were arrested. Mr Fleay thinks that the play acted on this occasion was Lord's Labour's Lost.

discouraged' the enemy. Nor was his playful proposal of a 'Tragedie,' in which 'Maidocheus' should play 'a Bishoppe' and Maitin 'Hamman,' ever carried into execution 1

We may rejoice that an attempt should have been nipped Danger of in the bud to make the popular stage a vehicle of controversial abuse and invective, since the result could not but stage to have been to intensify the influences which were about this testal time tending to coarsen and degrade it. Very shortly after uses the transactions referred to—in 1590—the performances of the Children of Paul's were stopped on account of the personal abuse and scurrility put into the mouths of these vouthful actors, who thus came to be silenced for several years 2. In 1592 'certaine players' are stated to have been 'suffered to scoffe and jeast at' the King of Spain 'upon their common stages,' and to have derided Popery by annexing a verse against it to one of 'the Psalmes of David 3.' In 1503 it was thought desirable, though on what specific grounds we are not informed, to interfere with the exhibition of interludes and plays by strolling performers in both the University towns 4. The evidence of contemporary poets shows a vivid sense of the degradation of a form which even as it was had hitherto been only tentatively admitted into what might be called the inner circle of the literature represented by them Spenser, of whose own early essays in dramatic composition (manifestly of a purely literary kind) no notice is preserved beyond Gabriel Harvey's encomiastic mention⁵, in his Teares of the Muses (printed 1591) adverts to the condition of both the tragic and the comic drama in a spirit of pessimism which may seem too compre-

a digrada tion of the contro

(April 7, 1580.) Quoted by Dr Hales, Introductory Memour to Globe Edition

of Spenser's Works. D XXVII.

¹ See A Pappe with an Hatchet, p 32 and note, pp 47-50, cf Collier, u s 2 Colher, 1 271 segg, cf Fleay, History of the Stage, 93, and see Clark and Wright, u s, p xiv

⁵ Collier, L 279 * Ib 283-4. ³ 'To be plaine, I am voyde of al judgment if your nine Comoedies, whereunto, in imitation of Herodotus, you give the names of the Nine Muses, and (in one man's fansie not unworthily), come not negrer Ariosto's Comoedies, eyther for the fineness of plausible elocation, or the rareness of poetical invention, than that Elvish queen doth to his Orlando Furioso'

hensive to admit of special application, but his characterisation of 'the Comick Stage' can hardly be passed by as a mere expression of contemptuous dislike for its ordinary methods

'All places they with follie have possest, And with vaine toyes the vulgare entertaine, But me¹ have banishèd, with all the rest That whilome wont to wait upon my traine, Fine Counterfesaunce, and unhurtfull Sport, Delight, and Laughter, deckt in seemly sort²'

Hall, again, in his satirical attack upon the contemporary stage, which though the Sixe Bookes Virgidemiarum were not published till 1597, may be supposed to have been composed or conceived at a rather earlier date, dwells upon the vulgar comic mirth—the 'vile russetings'—that alternated with the 'pot-fury' of popular tragedy 3 But neither critical censure nor authoritative restriction could bring about a sudden reformation. In 1595 the Lord Mayor complained of the reopening of the 'old haunts' of 'the Theatre' and the Bankside, and in 1597 the Privy Council made an abortive attempt to stop performances at theatres within three miles of London, in consequence of the disorders and the 'lewd matters handled' there 4 Probably. however, nothing made so steadily, albeit slowly, for improvement, as the gradual consolidation, and reduction in number, of the companies of actors. The subject is too complicated, and the evidence concerning it too fluid, to admit of being dealt with here, but it seems established that from about 1593 onwards, not more than three companies-with an occasional fourth-were regarded as authorised to play in or about the City. These were the

Consolidation of the companies of actors.

* Flexy, History of the Stage, 157-8.

^{1 2} e Thalla.

² To realise the full force of Spenser's invective, it would be necessary to cite the complaints of Melpomene and Thaha in their entirety—I pass by, at all events for the present, the improbable conjecture that the subsequent allusion to the 'death' of 'our pleasant Willy' refers to Shakspere's supposed abstinence at this time from the writing of comedies

^{*} Book 1, Satire in — In the curious Induction to the tragedy called A Warning for Fair Women, which though not printed till 1599 must have been acted several years earlier, Tragedy, Comedy, and History inveigh against one another; but the taunts directed against Comedy possess no very special significance. See Collier, ii. 345-8.

Lord Chamberlain's, the Lord Admiral's, and Lord Derby's (formerly Lord Strange's), which, after his death in 1594, was absorbed in the Lord Chamberlain's The fourth company was Lord Pembroke's, which led a fitful existence till 1600 (In addition, there were the Chapel Children, who occupied the Globe from 1600, and after their reinstatement in that year, the Paul's boys 1) In other words, instead of a more or less indefinite number of migratory companies attached to the households of great nobles, associations of actors were becoming established, which as domesticated in particular places and directed by businesslike and reputable men, acquired the confidence, while they held fast the favour, of their public Gradually the companies and with them the houses with which their performances were more or less identified, began 'to establish a history of their own 2'-Alleyn and Henslowe, Buibage and Shakspere, became names with a solid ring. At the same time the playwrights were required to satisfy a steady demand, and to meet it quickly and under circumstances not always favourable to a very close discrimination of previous claims as to ideas or their presentment better and for worse-and the better had at last secured a basis for its endeavours—the progress of the English drama from the close of the period under discussion onwards connects itself intimately with the annals of the two most long-lived of the companies aforesaid, and Henslowe's Diary³, though of course it contains the records only of the company of which he was joint manager, remains our vademecum for this chapter of our dramatic history.

Among dramatic authors who were, as we have seen, so Mutual intimately connected with the stage and the theatrical profession proper, a kindly sense of mutual good-will must

relations among the playwnghts

¹ Cf Fleay, History of the Stage, 125 seqq, and Shakespeare Manual (1876), 76 segq As to the distribution of the companies in the several London theatres, see History of the Stage, 145

² R Simpson, Introduction to A Larum for London, or The Seige of Antwerp (1872), p 1v

In consequence of the discredit cast upon Collier's well known edition of Henslowe's Duary (Shakespeare Society's Publications, 1845), Mr Fleay has been at the pains of furnishing an abstract of the trustworthy materials contained in it See his History of the Stage, pp 94-116

have perpetually asserted itself in the midst of conditions of eager competition. The utmost allowance should always be made for foibles which are practically inevitable, and when bread and fame were simultaneously involved in the question of comparative success, one might be fain to forgive even Greene's attack upon Shakspere. The general kindliness of tone which prevailed among the rival playwrights is, however, shown by many incidental touches of feeling, and no outward sign displays it more pleasantly than the usage that familiarly obtained among them of abbieviating the Christian names of authors, as well as of managers and actors. Even an eager follower of 'sweete Nedde' (Edward Alleyn), while sneering at 'Rossius Richard' (Burbage), disarms our disapproval of his jealous partisanship when he declares that when Ned acts,

'Willes new playe Shall be rehearst some other daye','--

while at a rather later date, Thomas Heywood, who so chivaliously broke a lance in defence of the actor's art, testified in a score of genial lines to this memorable method of preserving the memory of good fellowship

'Greene, who had in both Academies ta'ne Degree of Master, yet could never gaine To be call'd more than Robin, who, had he Profest aught but the Muse, serv'd and been free After a seven yeares' prenticeship, might have (With credit too) gone Robert to his grave Marlo, renowned for his rare art and wit, Could ne're attaine beyond the name of Kit, Although his Hero and Leander did Merit addition rather Famous Kid Was called but Tom. Tom Watson, though he wrote Able to make Apollo's selfe to dote Upon his Muse, for all that he could strive, Yet never could to his full name arrive. Tom Nash (in his time of no small esteeme) Could not a second syllable redeeme.

t So at least runs 'a paper in verse,' quoted by Collier, Memoirs of Edward Alleya, p. 13,—An to Burbage's sobriquet of Roscio,' of Dr Ingleby's note on Marston's use of it in The Scourge of Villams. (Shakespears's Centuris of Prayse, and ed., p. 27, New Shakespear Society's Publications, 1879.)

Excellent Bewmont, in the forcmost ranke Of the rar'st wits, was never more than Franck Mellifluous Shakespeare, whose enchanting quill Commanded mirth or passion, was but Well, And famous Johnson, though his learned pen Be dipt in Castaly, is still but Ren Fletcher and Webster, of that learned packe None of the mean'st, yet neither was but Jacke Dekker's but Tom, nor May nor Middleton, And he's now but Jacke Foord that once was John 11

Before quitting the subject of the stage, as connected Intercourse with the dramatic literature of this period, I may advert Gengan in passing to a relation which has only recently received and the the attention it merits. Reference has already been incidentally made to the performances of Italian actors in England², and the influence upon our own dramatic literature of that of Italy, Spain, and France, as well as of the prose fiction of those countries, has been or will be illustrated in various passages of this book. Until recently, however, it had been little noticed that in the particular period now under review a lively connexion prevailed between the English drama and the German theatre, which in its turn reacted notably upon the history of the former.

bet veen the Engliel

English actors had visited the Continent in the train of English bishops as early as 1417, when they played before the dignitaries assembled at the Council of Constance, and thus had begun a connexion between the stages and early

1 From T Heywood's Hurarchy of the Blessed Angels, quoted in the Introduction to his Apology for Actors, Shakesp Soc Publ 1841. It is perhaps worth remarking that this use of abbreviations is not necessarily to be understood as implying kind feeling. See Chapman, The Gentleman Usher (HL. I). 'Nor yet call me Lord,

> Nor my whole name Vincentio; but Vince, As they calle Jacke or Will, 'tis now in use, 'Twixt men of no equality or kindnesse.'-

In his Apology (p. 43), Heywood pays a graceful tribute to the chief actors whom he had known and who were now dead, and to Edward Alican who still survived. He adds a wish that 'such as are condemned for their licentiousnesse, might by a generall consent bee quite excluded our

² Ante, p 230 The extempore acting of French and Italian players is described, evidently from personal experience, by Middleton, The Spanish Gipsy (IV 2).

dramatic literatures of England and Germany destined to exercise a very enduring influence In the reign of Elisabeth, it became customary for German and Dutch princes to visit England, and the English stage necessarily attracted much of their attention One of them-in 1596speaks of four play-houses in London, the tutor of another mentions the theatres 'without the city' and their numerous audiences. On the other hand, Germany and the Netherlands were from the middle of the same century visited by English musicians and other entertainers in large numbers, and it is certain that Leicester took with him a company of players when in 1585 he went over to the Netherlands to dazzle their inhabitants by his magnificence, and to disgust them by his weakness In 1586 five Englishmen who had been sent by Leicester to King Frederick II of Denmark transferred their services to the Court of Christian I, Elector of Saxony, they are called 'instrumentalists,' but there were actors among them 2, or they were all actors as well as musicians Finally, a whole company of English actors crossed the seas under the leadership of Robert Browne in 1590, and after visiting Holland, Zealand, and Friesland, repaired to Germany to exercise their profession

We have evidence that English players visited Cologne

¹ They included, besides Thomas Pope and George Bryan, both of whom were afterwards members of Lord Strange's company, Robert Person, whom Mr Fleay (History of the Stage, p. 83) daringly conjectures to have been Robert Greene (cf. ante, pp 382, note 3, and 403, note 2), and 'jesting Wille,' who is with a greater degree of probability supposed to have been the celebrated actor Wilham Kemp As to Kemp, see the notice by Mr S Lee in vol xxx of The Dictionary of National Biography (1892), with Collier's revised account of him, ni 330 seqq, where he shows that Kemp was the original performer of the parts of Dogberry, in Much Ado about Nothing, and of Peter in Romeo and Juliet His celebrated narrative entitled Kemp's Nine Dates Wonder, performed in a Dannee from London to Norwich (1600), was reprinted by Dyce, with a Memoir, for the Camden Society in 1840 In the tract of An Almond for a Parrat, Kemp is addressed as 'Vicegerentgenerall to the Ghost of Dicke Tarlton,' to whose popularity alone his own stood second.-A very remarkable later tribute to his reputation is the introduction of him with Richard Burbage in The Returns from Parnessus, where these two actors as the acknowledged heads of their profession instruct the University students in their art.

Thomas Pope and George Bryan were among them.

in 1592, and reappeared there in different years up to 1612¹. English comedians are also found in the last years of the sixteenth, or the early part of the seventeenth century at Frankfort-on-Maine and at Cassel², in the Austrian dominions³, at Danzig and Konigsberg⁴, as well as in Denmark and Sweden⁵ But the most noteworthy scene of their performances was the Court of the accomplished Duke Henry Julius of Brunswick, himself a dramatic author of repute⁶, before whom they played between the years 1602–1617, and probably earlier In 1617 English comedians entered the service of the Elector of Brandenburg⁷

These facts, established on abundant and indisputable evidence, prove the existence, already in the period here

² Cf Jahrbuch, dc, vol xviii (1883), pp 268-70, and Sybel's Historische Zeitschrift (1884, 3 Heft), pp 537-8

¹ See a series of articles on English Players in Cologne, published by Dr L Ennen in the Stadt-Anzeiger der Kolnischen Zeitung (cf The Academy, February 23, 1878) Cf A Cohn, Englische Komoedianten in Koeln, in Jahrbuch, &c., vol xxi (1886)

⁸ See J Meissner, Die Englischen Comoedianten zur Zeit Shakespeare's in Oesterreich (Vienna, 1884), cf Jahrbuch, vol xviii (1883) Meissner, who found few traces of English comedians at Vienna, and none at Prague before the Thirty Years' War, was extiemely successful in his researches at Graz He prints in an Appendix a German version of The Merchant of Venice, which can be shown to have been performed in the Styrian capital in the lifetime of Shakspere (1608)

^{*} See A Hagen in Jahrbuch, &c, vol xv (1880), pp 325 seqq, referring for the documents to the same writer's Geschichte des Theaters in Preussen

⁶ See Thomas Heywood's Apology for Actors, bk 11 (p 40, Shakespeare Society's edition), cf J Bolte, Englische Comoedianten in Daenemark und Schweden, 11 Jahrbuch, &c., vol. xxiii (1888)

⁶ The Brunswick exchaquer accounts are missing from 1590 to 1601, the reign of Henry Julius extended from 1589 to 1601—A selection of his plays was edited by Julius Titimann for his and Goedeke's admirable series (1880); cf an essay on his plays in Hermann Grimm's Fünfzehn Essays (Neue Folge), 1876

⁷ As to the whole of this notable relation, see A Cohn, Shakespeare in Germany in the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries (1865), also chap 1 of R. Genée's Geschichte der Shakespeare'schen Dramen in Deutschland (Leipzig, 1870), K Elze's Introduction to his edition of Chapman's Alphonsus (Leipzig, 1867), C H Herford, u s, p 218—Dr Herford's studies on the relations between the English and German school drama will be noticed below—Julius Tittmann's edition of a select number of Schauspiele der Englischen Komoedianien in Deutschland (1880) is full of literary interest, it is based on an edition of these plays published in 1620, and republished in 1624.

designated as that of Shakspere's predecessors, of a close intercourse between the German and the English stage This intercourse merely exemplified in a special way the intimate connexion which the political as well as the literary results of the Reformation had brought about between England and Protestant Germany The alliance which Henry VIII had shrunk from drawing closely, had been as a matter of course concluded by the scholars 1, and from them had communicated itself to the peoples The Reformers of Edward's reign and the refugees of Mary's had derived much of their intellectual nourishment from Geiman sources, who would have thought that the poor play-actors were to begin the repayment of the debt 2? Yet so it was, for although the beginnings of a new German dramatic literature were not to prove an enduring national growth, they were productive of noteworthy literary fruits, and after the days of desolation had passed, German literature was to draw strength from ours in the very sphere where Henry Julius of Brunswick and Jacob Ayrer had joined hands with contemporary English dramatists

It is not, however, of the influence of the English drama upon the German that it behoves me here to speak. On the other hand, the counter-influence of German writers and German subjects, brought home with them by the English comedians, or set in motion by means of their travels, was not inconsiderable. We have seen an instance of it in a work of Marlowe's, and we shall have to return to the subject in connexion with Dekker's Fortunatus and with other Elisabethan plays 3 Whatever may be the value of

¹ The White Horse Inn at Cambridge, where in the third decade of the sixteenth century the Reformers held their meetings, became known as 'Germany', and its frequenters were called 'the Germans,' See Mullinger, The University of Cambridge, v. (1893) pp 572-3

² Of Ralph Radchf's tragedy of *The Burning of John Huss*, which might be regarded as directly connecting the German Reformation with the English drams, we neither know whether it was in English or Latin, nor whether it was founded on the German tragedy by J Agricola Radchif flourished under Edward VI, and is mentioned by Bishop Bale in his *Scripti Illusti. Catal* Cf Elze, u.s., pp 16-17

[&]quot; See; generally, chaps w and v of Dr. Herford's work, already repeatedly cited.

the evidence in the case of particular plays, the intercourse adverted to is noticeable as connecting our stage and our dramatic literature in its youthful days with those of a nation akin to our own not only in blood and speech, but in the spirit of its moral and intellectual development

At the close of the period treated in this chapter, The enthe stage, whose fortunes I do not propose further to pursue, ternals of the stage was becoming a fashionable resort of the young nobility and their associates, and more especially of those whose amusements were coloured by literary tastes and tendencies No great significance need, perhaps, be attached to the circumstance that a high-sounding name or two are to be found in the lists of personages ciedited with occasional contributions to our dramatic literature 1 But the composition of its audience, which rarely fails to affect the critical reception of a play, usually exercises an anticipatory influence upon its character. In this age criticism, which in the next was in its cruder forms so deeply to vex a writer who like Ben Jonson knew his purpose—and others who may not have been equally sure of theirs-had not yet passed out of its infancy, but some tonic force must have been derived both from the opinion of the more aristocratic spectators, as they sat upon the stage attended by pages with tobacco and pipes², and even from the 'grounded judgment and grounded capacities' of the much-abused occupants of the roofless and rush-strewn pit. To describe the externals of the Elisabethan stage is no part of my task; and it must suffice to note only one or two circumstances duectly bearing upon the composition of the plays exhibited upon it In the first place, the construction and decorations of the theatre were of so extreme a simplicity that constant 'change of scene' neither required any effort on the part of the manager, nor interfered with the enjoyment of the spectators 3.2 It was effected by drawing up

¹ The Earl of Oxford (1562-1604) wrote plays for his men, and is praised by Meres as one of 'the best for Comedy amongst us' (Fleay, History of the Stage, p 159) I cannot lay my hand upon a similar tradition as to Lord Strange (Earl of Derby, 1593-4)

g Cf. Collier, ni 157

s Cf as to the early methods of indicating locality and 'change of scene,'

and down the curtain, which covered the inner portion of the stage only. In front, it was requisite that all persons, whether dead or alive, should be off the scene before it could be supposed to change; again, no character could be 'discovered' on it in the middle of an act. Hence the dramatists found it necessary, to a degree hardly appreciable by writers for the stage of later days, to make each situation complete in itself from beginning to end. On the other hand, the frequent nominal change of scene constituted no such irritating perpetual interiuption to the progress of the action, as it would seem if imposed upon a modern audience ¹

The imaginative powers of the spectators, consistently kept on the stretch, were thus enfeebled by no adventitious aids worth mentioning. In the second place, as plays were acted in the afternoon, the performance had to be compressed into a short space of time, Shakspere speaks of the 'two hours' traffic of our stage 2,' but probably a rather more liberal measure of time may have been ordinarily

'first doth rise at ten, and at eleven He goes to Gill's where he doth eat till one, Then sees a play till six and sups at seven, And after supper straight to bed is gone, And there till ten next day he doth remain, And then he dines and sees a comedy, And then he sups and goes to bed again, Thus runs he round without variety'—

but also, doubtless, at so lessurely a pace that the timing of his 'movements' need not be taken quite literally—Collier, in 180, concludes that three o'clock was the usual hour for the commencement of a performance. It seems to have been unusual to perform more than one play in a single afternoon; but occasionally the entertainment appears to have been prolonged by a 115—a term defined by C W Dilke (Continuation of Dodsley, 6 vols 1816, vi 326), as signifying 'a dramatic performance in rime, every part of which was sung by the performers, and one which was frequently exhibited on the stage as an Afterpiece, as Farces are at present' Cf ante, p 454, note, as to Tarlton's Jugge of a horse loads of fooles—it seems to have been only on private stages that performances were by candle, or torch-light, the public theatres lay open to the weather (Collier, ili, 141)

R Koppel, Scenen-Eintheilungen und Orts-Angaben in den Shakespeare'schen Dramen in Jahrbuch, &c., vol ix (1874) See also the reference to Haslewood's notes on the subject in the Publications of the Roxburghe Society, in the Journal of Sir Walter Scott (1890), pp 39-40

¹ Cf Freytag, Die Technik des Dramas, pp 157 segq

² In the Prologue to Romeo and Julet In Davies' sonnet In Fuscum (Ellis's Specimens, 11 37) the man of fashion

477

allowed The fact that plays were performed at these hours The of the day is likewise significant as indicating the usual theatrical composition of a theatrical audience, for the busy citizens could hardly have made a practice of deserting their shops, even if they could have waived their principles regular frequenters of the theatre could not but chiefly belong to the idler sections of the population 1 The prices of admission too seem to have been well adapted to the needs of 'habitual' playgoers² Finally, no respectable woman might appear at a playhouse except with her face concealed under a mask,—a circumstance which, were it not for later experience, would help to account in ieturn for the license that pervades so large a proportion of the Elisabethan drama Nor will it be foigotten that women's parts were invariably acted by boys. practice which, strange as it may seem to us, was in intention at least owing to a sense of propriety, implied at the same time a further demand upon the vigour of the imagination of the spectators 3

But these details, and others of the same kind 4, must be left to the historians of the stage. I have only borrowed from them what seemed necessary in order to illustrate the conditions under which the predecessors of Shakspere, and at the beginning of his professional career Shakspere himself, worked. It remains to attempt in conclusion to draw the sum of the literary achievements as dramatists of the writers discussed in this chapter. For the purposes of literary criticism the consideration of external conditions and circumstances of authorship is only of importance in so far as it helps to clear

¹ See *ib* ni 212 seqq, On Audiences at Theatres In private theatres plays were usually performed by candle-light, which was out of the question in public theatres, inasmuch as the latter lay partly open to the weather *Ib* pp 140-1

^{*} See ib iii 146 seqq, Price of Admission to Theatres

³ Freytag, u s, p 159 In the Induction to *The Downfall of Robert, Earl of Huntington*, when the 'boys' come forward among the players, Skelton exclaims 'What' our maid Marian, leaping like a lad!'

Julia's pretty pretence of having been made 'to play the woman's part' in the 'pageants of delight' at Pentecost will be remembered (*Two Gentlemen of Verona*, act iv sc 4)

^{*} See Collier's section, Properties, Apparel, and Furniture, in 158 segg

the ground Only in what holds its place after this piocess has been completed may we find the creations, not of time and place, but of original genius,—the true parent of what is immortal in the works of literary and all other art

The
nicasure
of original
gennis in
Shakspere s
predecessors
Lyly

By no means the whole of the dramatic works of Shakspere's predecessors will bear a scrutiny of this description Lyly, unless a charming lyrical gift be taken into account, has been aptly described as 'a bel esprit, but no poet1', Wit and ingenuity he possessed in abundance, of learning he had acquired a fair share, but even the most characteristic features of the mannerism which made his prose-10mance fashionable and which he could not bring himself to exclude from the dialogue of his diamas, were due to an invention not his own The dexterity with which he trod the 'lavoltas high and swift corantos' of his peculiar style excited the admiration of his age and provoked imitative efforts on the part of some of his contemporaries, but his services to the national diama, as a branch of poetic literature, were limited to the domestication of prose-dialogue on the stage He has no claim to be regarded as occupying such a position towards the great Elisabethan dramatists, as e.g Wieland (to whose literary endowment his own bears a certain resemblance) holds towards the great classics of modern German poetic literature In his treatment of his dramatic themes his innate love of artificiality, coupled with considerations foreign to artistic purpose, led him into an aberration from the true principles of dramatic composition He ciphered personal allegories with so consummate a skill on the background of classical or pseudo-classical mythology, that a supreme enjoyment of his plays must be reserved for the detectives of literary criticism. Where their learning has succeeded in finding something like a key, there are no secrets of genius for it to unlock In this direction Lyly doubtless taught something to the masque-writers of his own

¹ See Ulrici, Shakespeare's Dramatic Art (English Translation), p 36. Exception may, however, be taken to the antithetical oracle which follows, that while Tieck is right in maintaining that the commentators of Shakspeare have much to learn from Lyly, the assertion of Schlegel is equally true, that Shakspeare himself can have learned little or nothing from him?

age as well as of subsequent generations, but nothing that really profited it to the legitimate drama. His influence is traceable in most of his contemporaries, and even in Shakspere himself, but, with the exception noted above, it affected only transitory elements in their creations Happily, the conditions of the poetic ait are such that influences of this kind vanish from sight, as our attention fixes itself upon more vital and more significant characteristics

It was not by exaggerating in the direction of artificiality English the traditions of our earlier diama that the piedecessors dramatic of Shakspere began to make the dramatic branch of our before these literature the greatest glory of its growth They found a diama which, even where populai sources had contributed to its origin, was artificial by reason of its imitation of a limited class of models, and which at the same time was still crude and inadequate in its form had in choice of subjects and in method of construction attached itself to the footsteps of Seneca and his Italian followers, it was essentially epical in its treatment, the lyrical elements remaining organically unconnected with the epical, it occupied itself, so to speak, with the statement of an action rather than with its development out of the characters of the agents Such was the essential nature of most of the tragedies described in my second chapter, from Gorboduc to Tancred and Gismund, from Promos and Cassandra to The Misfortunes of Arthur The hopeful beginnings of the historical diama on national subjects, the Chronicle Histories, or as they were frequently called, the True Tragedies 1, had from the nature of the case even more distinctly exhibited the same characteristics the other hand, their comparative warmth and energy of manner had given them an advantage over plays dissociated in subject from the national consciousness, and moving in the less congenial spheres of Classical history and legend, or of foreign romance Comedy was still hovering between the imitation of a late Classical type, the reproduction of 'Italian devises,' the use of the old mythological and revived pastoral machinery, and the

urrepressible desire to intioduce, with the incidental ease which comedy hardly ever fails to permit, types of existing manners and of the enduring varieties of human character. Where tragedy and comedy had been combined, their union had been of a perfunctory nature, the comic scenes intioduced into the *Chronicle Histories* and cognate plays were manifestly foisted in to gratify inferior tastes, and tragicomedy, or (as Daniel writes it) 'tragic comedy,' was an avowed hybrid, struggling through the mischances which are apt at times to interrupt the orderly evolution of species

Their pie- c ference for heioic tragedy

The genius of the predecessors of Shakspere threw itself with more especial ardour upon the advancement of the The greatness of the times made such tragic stage a preference imperative in poetic capacities of eminent power As the genius of Æschylus was in sympathy with the mighty movement of the great Persian wais, so Marlowe and his fellows, but Mailowe pie-eminently, claimed for tragedy the full grandeur of heroic themes A vast canvas seemed needed for such purposes, and it was spread with no faltering impulse by the authors of Tamburlaine and The Spanish Tragedy, of The Battle of Alcazar and The Wounds of Civil War Nor could subjects of national history fail to commend themselves to a constantly increasing sympathy and to be treated with a new vigour and impetus; in the hands of the author of Edward II, at all events, the Chronicle History made a mighty stride in advance towards historical tragedy, and as to the early Histories ascribed to Shakspere, the world is still in doubt whether they were written by him or by his 'predecessors.' However this may be, in the national historical drama of the English stage there is no gulf, there is hardly a gap, to interrupt its onward course. In this branch of their endeavours, the group of writers under discussion were fully adequate to the progressive demands of their literary task.

Sameness and limitation of their tragic themes. But to return. The choice of great themes, of which Tamburlaine set the example, in the first instance rather favoured than discouraged an epical manner of treatment, which the dramatic reproduction of the Chronicles seemed to make absolutely indispensable. The contemplation of actions

mighty in their dimensions and marvellous in their results overpowered reflexion on their causes, and hindered a patient unfolding of events as the exemplification of moral laws. To will and to achieve seemed the sum of heroic action, to undertake and to fail the full significance of a tragic catastrophe Mailowe's fiery genius inspired in him a poetic sympathy with passionate resolve, with victorious achievement, with fatal failure Life in its historic aspect seemed a struggle of man against fate,-it might be said, against the conditions of human life itself In a less impassioned degree, the view which the other dramatists-Kyd e g and Peele-took of the tragic conflict between heroism and circumstance is of the same kind

the significance of true tragic effect. They knew how to extramark with drastic force the great conditions of the conflict, treatment how to express with overpowering energy the terror of the catastrophe Hence the aberration, of which it is quite needless to cite instances, towards the horrible as a source of effect Marlowe's want of humour made him a prominent offender in this direction 1, Greene was of course anxious to outvie him wherever exaggeration was possible, and Kyd succeeded in establishing for himself a renown for efforts of the same kind which will endure with the history of our stage. But none of the dramatists of this period had learnt two of the great lessons taught by the highest examples of the tragic art They had not learnt that 'vehement passion does not suffice to render a poetic character

Their failure in the former of these respects was the result

dramatic2, or again, that in the relation of the causation of a tragic conflict to its solution lies the really purifying force

Ιi VOL. I.

of its presentment.

Herein they saw but half—and only the smaller half—of Their

Hence Tambustame is not unfairly treated by Hall in his well-known Sature (1, 3) as the type of contemporary tragedy, with its 'huff-cap terms and thundering threats' Melpomene's lament in The Teares of the Muses seems to have the same meaning

But none more tragick matter I can finde Than this, of men bereft of sense and minde'

² I venture thus to apply the fine criticism of Gustav Freytag on Lessing, #. s., p 223

Defective characterisation of an artistic shortcoming Their obtuseness to the second of these truths sprang from a moral, which was at the same time an aitistic, imperfection. The art of diamatic characterisation, in which lies the chief and crowning greatness of Shakspere, was not inherited by him from his predecessors, though in some of them-notably in Mailowe, but also in some measure in Greene and Peele-traces are to be found of its gradual beginnings. The conflict, not between man's power and his will, but between his nature and his will, is the real subject of the noblest dramatic art. Marlowe's Faustus perishes because he attempts more than it is allowed to human skill to attempt, Hamlet, because his will imposes on him a task to which his nature is unequal What Marlowe only vaguely felt,—that his hero was the author of his own catastrophe,-Shakspere clearly perceived and distinctly expressed A close study of character is the indispensable preliminary of its successful depiction as a dramatic reality. Marlowe is too impatient to allow the action of his play to develope itself as a logical result out of the nature of the characters taking part in it Sometimes, as in the Few of Malta, he begins with a powerful endeavour, which the progress of the action fails to sustain, sometimes, as in the Massacre, he eschews all efforts in this direction altogether. Among the other contemporary dramatists. Greene, though his hand is lighter, yet shows a surer touch. The natural bent of his genius, and the kind of training which so discursive a literary life as his had bestowed upon it, favoured anything rather than concentrated effort, but his powers of observation had been quickened by varied experience, and in his plays and in other of his works, as well as in those of certain other contemporary writers inferior to him in literary ability, the elements of real dramatic characterisation are distinctly perceptible.

Imperfect morality. The second chief defect observable in these dramatists I have not scrupled to designate as primarily a moral shortcoming. Yet who can be blind to the truth that in literature—as in the plastic and the pictorial arts, and even in music—ethical laws cannot be ignored if a complete

canon of aesthetics is to be followed? Far from unconscious of the fact that a sequence of moral cause and effect constitutes the most powerful kind of dramatic action--as Edward II, David and Bethsabe, and other examples prove,—these writers had not brought home to themselves, and could not therefore bring home to their audiences, the true relation between fate and human responsibility Revenge, e g, which was not only so to speak the label of a whole series of our early tragedies, but which actually constitutes the main dramatic motive in a large proportion of their number, is habitually treated as an inevitable law, as a necessity of fate 1 Herein ancient classical tragedy might seem to have furnished a misleading precedent, but Attic art, unlike that of Marlowe and his fellows, was able to harmonise the working of fate with the providence of the gods. For the Greeks never abandoned the basis of a continuous body of religious legend, and even within the bounds of a single trilogy (as in the Oedipodean of Sophocles, or the conjectured Promethean of Aeschylus) their great masters were able to make it clear that the tragic consummation is not fear but hope. Victory is the goddess appealed to at the close of more than one Greek tragedy, and none of its extant masterpieces preaches the dull, dead fallacy of the irresistible power of circumstance.

But, apart from the question of such piecedents, a tragedy which is complete in itself can at all times indicate the solution of its conflict, so long as it allows no doubt to remain as to its true causation. The solution lies in the eternal justice of the great moral laws, vindicated by the sufferings which their violation entails and which call forth pity and terioi in the beholder. Who can fail to recognise this solution in Richard III, in Corrolanus, in any of Shakspere's mature tragedies; who but will seek it in vain in most of the works of his predecessors?

I have spoken of some of the main defects of these Summary dramatists as tragedians, but not, I trust, in any spirit of of the depreciation or of futile cavil. The advance was, taken as achieved a whole, enormous which they had made, in choosing great in tragedy

advance

¹ Cf on this head Gervinus, Shakespeare, vol 1 p 91

subjects for tragic treatment, in sustaining and developing the diamatic reproduction of important historical themes. more particularly such as were consecrated by national tradition,-in claiming for passion its right of adequate expression.—in essaying, however tentatively, the ait of diamatic characterisation If we are justified, as later dramatists seem to have instinctively felt themselves justified 1, in regarding the age of Shakspere's predecessors as distinct from that of Shakspere himself, we shall not, I think, regard the former as one of mere crude effort, while recognising the latter as one of perfect consummation Historical parallels are always dangerous, and a comparison between Marlowe and Peele on the one hand, and Klinger and Lenz on the other, in their respective relations to Shakspere and to Goethe, would be delusive in spite of its speciousness The young men of the Sturm und Drang lacked what Marlowe and his fellows possessed in manifest abundance—creative genius

Comedy

In comedy the advance had been less decisive; and in no branch of the drama is Shakspere's originality more marked than in the new spirit which he infused into the English comic diama, amidst difficulties to which his efforts seem to have temporarily succumbed Lyly had done much to facilitate freedom of form, and something (even though in a mistaken direction) to widen the range of subjects, the combination, in such writers as Greene, Lodge, and Nashe, of novelistic and pamphleteering with dramatic productivity, had enlarged the scope of our comic drama to an extent that will perhaps excuse the relative length at which I have dwelt upon the non-dramatic productions of these writers. Yet a superabundance of wit and a keen interest in the more or less transitory 'pioblems' of the times, serviceable as it is at all times to the essayist, and even to the novelist of certain kinds, is a danger and a snare to such writers when they essay the drama Unless the wit and the saturical

Dangers of a redundance of with dialogue,

So Thos. Heywood speaks of Marlowe as 'the best of poets in that age,' seeming, as the late Mr. Colher (Memoirs of E. Alleyn, p. 10) pointed out, to imply a distinction between it and the age of Shakspere, whom he can hardly have intended to rank beneath Marlowe.

exposed

puipose of the author are subordinated to his dramatic intention, his comic characterisation, in which lies the real secret of supreme comic effect, will suffer for the sake of mere brilliancy, or at least scintillation, of dialogue peculiar danger in this respect beset our earlier dramatists The clowns in consequence of the usage allowing full license of comic extravagance to the clown, whose ambition it was to say very much more than was set down for him Tailton and Kemp were not 'hampered,' as a modern comic actor has humorously phrased it, by a prohibition against adding anything of their own 1.

The way out of the difficulty lay in the construction of Beginnings effective plots, for which a full storehouse was prepared in of romantic

the popular traditions preserved in national ballads, and in the growing literature of translated foreign fiction, or of native imitations of it. In the former, Greene at least

Munday's endeavours be overlooked The abertation of the in peril of

To one further point it seems necessary to advert in con- Blank verse In no respect had a greater advance been made by Shakspere's predecessors than in that of the outward form of dramatic composition,—in diction and versification. Here again the most effective impulse had been given by Marlowe, when by his Tamburlaine he established blank

found materials for comic dramatic writing of the highest promise, Peele came perhaps nearest to him, nor should

comic stage at the close of this period, towards an active participation in political and religious controversy, has probably been exaggerated in its significance, but it marked a danger to which comedy is at all times peculiarly

and prose.

verse as our English dramatic metre. Not long before-in his translation of Ariosto's Suppositi-Gascoigne had given

> 'laughs, and grins, and frames his mimic face, And justles straight into the prince's place'-

See a curious paper by Dr. B Nicholson in New Shakspere Society's Transactions (1880) as to the personal relations of Tarlton and Kemp to the play of Hamlet, which philosophises so decisively on the fool's place in the drama. As to the 'ngs,' cf. ante, p 476, note 2

¹ Hall in the Saure already cited dwells with special anger on the antics of the clown, who 'comes leaping in,' and

the first example of the use of prose in comedy 1, and Lyly had by a series of works given permanency to the use The two innovations taken together supplied the adequate formal materials for Shakspere's art So long as rimed couplets (varied by artificial stanza-forms) and a monotonously inflexible kind of blank-verse were the only alternatives, true life was impossible to dramatic Marlowe's original tendency was to let each line stand by itself, marking off the sense with the metre, and it was for this reason that he forged his lines with so redundant a vigoui of expression. But this could only be a transitional phase of blank verse, and varied even in Marlowe's own practice As to the management of the metre. Shakspere surpassed his predecessors in freedom, but this was now merely a question of degree, the process itself had been indicated to him by the greatest of his piedecessors Nor was the free use of prose in comic passages less favourable to the emancipation of the English drama from the trammels of tradition Lyly who used prose in all—or nearly all-his plays, although he tortured his diction, like a rider twisting his hoise when anxious to appear at his best, did good service by establishing the right of 'unbound speech' The great masters of dramatic to be free of the stage comic dialogue, Shakspere and Ben Jonson, knew how to profit by the inheritance

Shakspere's * high individual conerderation

The conclusion of which these brief remarks may help predecessors to illustrate the grounds, will, I think, be regarded as sufficiently established The Elisabethan drama before Shakspere shares with his earliest works many characteristics, and some of them it shares with the masterpieces of his genius No promise was ever followed by so marvellous a consummation; but neither has any other master of his The mighty art ever had predecessors so worthy of him figures of Marlowe and his fellows-whether we call them by the title which has here been assigned by them, or whether we reverence them in their own right-occupy pedestals from which they will never be deposed in the House of Fame.

CHAPTER IV

SHAKSPERE.

WE speak of a Homeric Age, thereby intending to indi- Shakspere cate very much more than merely the age in which the not the Homeric poems were produced, or the age to which their nairative and descriptions relate. By the Homeric Age of particular Greece we mean an entire period in the history of country and people. Homer is to us the representative and the mirror of this period, as fully and thoroughly as Pericles is of another

representative of a

No such tribute has ever been paid by the most enthusiastic of his worshippers to the memory of Shakspere. A sound national instinct has preferred to designate the era of our literary as of our general history, on which his name sheds a brighter light than is reflected from that of any of his contemporaries, by an epithet comprehensive in its very vagueness and opportune in spite of its inaccuracy In speaking of the Elisabethan Age, we think of a period of our national life animated by tendencies common to all its noteworthy forms of expression, and thus forming a whole by itself, though not in consequence cut off from connexion with its predecessors and its successors. spere is not the microcosm of his age,—for this he was in a sense too great, and in another sense imperfectly qualified. On the one hand, a genius such as Shakspere's, be it fearlessly said though for the thousandth time, belongs to no age and to no country exclusively. the other, the circumstances in which he was placed and to which his creative activity readily accommodated itself,

were not of a kind to enable him to enter in every important respect into the full current of national progress, or to reach one hand forward into the phase of national life which was to succeed that of his own days. He was neither a Bacon nor a Ralegh, yet he became more to his nation than either of these. The legacy which he left to that nation was not one of which it could immediately enter into full possession and it was long before the generations which succeeded him became fully or truly conscious of the wealth which he had bequeathed to them

Shakspere as a national poet

And yet, in these latter days at all events, who would deny that Shakspere has become the property of the nation, not less than of the world at large? How many an Englishman has in a wider sense of the phrase done what the eloquent Hungarian patriot is said to have done literally, and taught himself the English language out of Shakspere's pages How many a student, excluded by circumstances from experience of the world, has sought and found in Shakspeie a richer and more varied knowledge of human life and character than could have been gained by long years of familiarity with Court and Senate, with camp and market-place! How many an imagination, in danger of being dulled and emasculated by the influence of a conventional selection of moral, or isolation of æsthetical, rules, has with the aid of Shakspere ranged far beyond and soared far above them! Him at least a wholly exceptional feeling of national reverence has consecrated against proscription; his name is placed on no Index of prudery or prejudice; he at least is allowed to teach our youth what a glorious and manysided thing is life, and how the wings of the mind were not meant to be demurely folded, for the drill-sergeant in the pay of tradition or fashion to examine and approve. Those who have some experience of the ordinary literary studies of Englishmen know that to many of our countrymen Shakspere is, besides the Bible, the only poetic literature worthy of the name which they possess.) This national service at all events he has rendered to us; and were another Somerset to burn our libraries, and another Long Parliament to pull down our theatres, they could not

destroy our poetic literature, because Shakspere at least has struck his roots into the people's heart

But all this has been the work of centuries, it was the Uncertainachievement of Shakspere's genius, not of a Shaksperean age In the period preceding the Elisabethan, there existed dramatic no higher secular literature which was, properly speaking, the possession of the English nation Unacquainted with the beginwhat it possessed, it therefore did not possess it The leading poets were scholars and courtiers, trained on much career Latin and a little Greek, or familiarised by travel or study with certain models of Italian literature Chaucei and his school were mostly forgotten, even when the sources of ballads surviving or arising among the population might be found in their productions Surrey and Wyatt and their successors, Sidney and even Spenser himself, with their sonnets and odes and allegonies in prose and verse, had neither aimed at nor succeeded in popularising higher poetic literature. The chroniclers in prose and their adapters in verse followed the chapmen of more frivolous wares with no very buoyant or frequent step into the homes of the people The stage had at last furnished a field for the growth of a literature which was of its nature essentially popular, while it admitted of the loftiest poetic aims Men of talent, quite recently even men of genius, had begun to awake to so splendid an opportunity But the labours of playwright, actor, and manager were still hopelessly mixed up in form as well as in fact, and the excitement or amusement of the hour still seemed to constitute the main purpose of both authors and audiences In the eyes of the age the drama had not yet made good its claim to be admitted into the domain of literature 1.

When, therefore, Shakspere came up to London as a youth ambitious of trying his fortune, there lay before him

The choice before him

ty of the position of authors at the time of ning of Shakspere's

¹ Of this various illustrations have been already given, a significant one may be found in the fact, noted by Malone, that only thirty-eight (or thirtynine) original plays are extant which were printed in or before 1592 need not exhaust, but probably approaches, the number of plays which either their authors deemed worthy of printing, or publishers thought likely to ensure success as printed works. See Historical Account of the English Stage, p 6.

the choice of entering the old or the new sphere of literary If he desired literary fame, in the circles which regaided themselves and which were regarded by men of letters as its dispensers, he would have to seek it by such compositions as those which perhaps he brought with him in embiyo to London, which at all events were early fruit. and which yet more than equalled in ment most of what poets of acknowledged reputation had produced for the entertainment of lords and ladies, and for the satisfaction of academical critics. How far such pationage and approval might bring bread as well as honour was of course a different question. On the other side there stood the stage. supported as a pastime by a rather different assortment of the same kind of pations, or relying amidst dangers and difficulties upon its popularity among the lower orders Here in return for hard toil, for a willingness and an aptitude to meet the tastes of various kinds of supporters (but nearly all staunch, according to the habit of playgoeis), a prospect opened of modest gain, unaccompanied however by that of a dignified social position, and here too a golden opportunity of displaying the full vigoui of conscious genius awaited him who would not shank from the toils and troubles of an inevitable apprenticeship Shakspere, without by any means abandoning the design of pleasing by literary offerings of the other kind, chose the stage as his career in life, and the diama as his proper field of literary effort. The motives which determined this choice are unknown, but its effect was that Shakspeie at once and for evei associated his genius with the current which popularised and nationalised our poetic literature.

He chooses the stage

Result of this choice

Opinion of Shakspere as a dramatist among his literary contempovaries

The importance of the writer who had begun his labours among the rival playwrights gradually made itself felt among his contemporaries. At first, anxious above all to make his way, anxious therefore from the outset to be at work, he may be assumed to have addressed himself to what lay nearest to his hand; and as a theatrical adapter to have taught himself the secrets of his craft. Success may fairly be supposed to have waited upon his preliminary endeavours, and to have carried him rapidly

forward into the sphere of original dramatic productivity 1. The much-vext supposition—which indeed has with unspeakable persistency been turned round and round like the veriest cabbage—that in this the earliest stage of his activity as a playwight he incurred the charge of having unscrupulously seized upon the intellectual property of others, cannot be held to rest upon convincing proof It has been expanded into conclusions as to Snakspere's ubiquitous activity as writer for the various companies of players then performing in London which find no support whatever in any known facts belonging to the contemporary history of the English stage The notorious accusation pieseired against Shakspere in Greene's Groatsworth of Wit, published in 1592, after the writer's death, is probably, though not quite certainly, the earliest extant contemporary notice of him. No shadow of doubt tests upon the conclusion that Shakspere was the object of this invective, there must be allowed to be less certainty whether it refers to him in his 'quality' as an actor only, an interpretation to which I for one am on the whole inclined to subscribe 2.

¹ The ensuing references to 'opinion upon Shakspere' have been revised with the aid, so far as the range of these collections extends, of the late Dr Ingleby's Shakespeare's Centure of Prayse, second edition, revised, with many additions, by Lucy Toulmin Smith, New Shakspere Society's Publications, 1879, in conjunction with Dr Furnivall's Some 300 Fresh Allusions to Shakspere, from 1594 to 1694 A D , New Shakspere Society's Publications, 1886 See Greene's Groatsworth of Wit (1592), reprinted by Dr Ingleby in Part I of Shakspere Allusion-Books, New Shakspere Society's Publications, 1874. The passage which forms part of the author's warning to his three fellow-playwrights (ante, p 383, note 3) to abandon, as he had done, the composition of plays, runs as follows 'Base minded men al three of you, if by my miserie ye be not warned for vnto none of you 'like me' sought those burres to cleave, those Puppits (I meane) that speake in our mouths, those Articks garmsht in our colours Is it not strange that I, to whom they al haue beene heholding is it not like that you, to whom they all haue beene beholding, shall (were ye in case that I am now) be both at once of them forsaken? Yes, trust them not for there is an vistart Crow, beautified with our feathers, that with his Tygers heart wrapt in a Players hide, supposes he is as well able to bumbast out a blanke verse as the best of you, and being an absolute Iohannes fac totum, is in his owne conceit the onely Shakescene in a countrie' The bearing of the allusion which this passage certainly contains to a line in The True Tragedie of the Duke of Yorke, and the good King Henrie the Sixt, which was transferred into Part III of Henry VI

In the following year (1593), a second contemporary dramatist who had been the agent of the publication of Greene's posthumous charge, proffered a kind of apology for such thoughtlessness as it might seem to imply in his own case, paying a tribute at the same time to the moral character, is reported to him on respectable authority, of the subject of this special libel, as well as to both his histrionic and his literary powers ¹ It thus appears that at a time when Shakspere was at the very beginning of his career as a dramatic writer he had already in this capacity conciliated the regard of estimable personages whom we

(act 1 sc 4), upon the question of the authorship of these plays, will be more appropriately discussed below, here it must suffice to point out that at the most it accentuates, or imparts a subsidiary sting to the general intention of the attack, by implying that the conceited actor had also been guilty of 'conveying' other men's property in his capacity as a playwright For I feel convinced that alike the context of the passage (which for this reason I have been compelled to cite) and his general fashioning of this indictment, and in particular the obvious intention of the word Shake-scene (which Dr Ingleby even, and I confess to my mind very plausibly, regards as a nick name), are directed against the actor, and not against the author

1 See Chettle, Kind-hartes Dreame (Shakspere Allusion Books, Part I. u s, and Percy Society's Publications, vol vi) The Address to the Gentlemen Readers prefixed to this tract is dated December 8, 1502, but it was doubtless not published till early in the ensuing year. The passage referred to in the text runs as follows 'The other, whome at that time I did not so much spare, as since I wish I had, for that as I have moderated the heate of living writers and might have usde my owne discretion (especially in such a case), the Author beeing dead, that I did not, I am as sory, as if the originall fault had beene my fault, because my selfe have seene his demeanor no lesse civili than he exclent in the qualitie he professes Besides, divers of worship have reported his uprightnes of dealing, which argues his honesty, and his facetious grace in writing, that aprooves his Art ' The conclusion that the person thus praised was Shakspere, and not Nashe (as the late Mr. Staunton seems to have held), was I think clearly established by the late Mr R Simpson in a letter to The Academy (April 11, 1874) and may be said to command general assent The term 'qualitie,' it may be added, is that constantly applied distinctively to the actor's profession Hamlet (act ii, sc. 2) invites the players to give him 'a taste of their quality', in Massinger's The Roman Actor (act 1 sc 3) Aretinus accuses 'the quality' of treason in the person of the tragedian Paris, 'the chief of his profession.' See Clark and Wright's note to their edition of Hamlet, p 159, and of among numerous other examples, the address 'To my good Friends and Fellows the City-Actors,' prefixed by Thomas Heywood to his Apology for Actors (1612). Nashe is not known to have ever trod (or 'shaken') the boards, and the fact that he bestowed on Greene's pamphlet the epithets of 'scald, triviall, lying, is not necessarily to the purpose.

493

shall certainly not shrink from describing as competent judges In the following year (1595) at latest, and possibly Spenser already four years earlier, the most illustrious of his poetic (1595?) contemporaries is believed to have paid to him the tribute of a sympathetic allusion The supposition that the reference of .Thalia, in Spensei's Teares of the Muses, to the recent 'death' of 'our pleasant Willy' as contributory to the downfall of the comic stage, may indeed be set aside as discredited 1 But in Colin Clouts come home again (published in 1595, but held to have been written as early as 1591, though in a form afterwards amplified), one of Spenser's most striking personal allusions is couched in phraseology which certainly fits Shakspere better than any other contemporary poet² If it is to him that the lines in question refer, the compliment they convey may however have been occasioned by one or more of his non-dramatic poems, the chief of which were by the year 1594 already before the public or circulating among personal and literary friends 3 The earliest notice that can with tolerable certainty Other early

notices

1 I need not here enter into the question whether, as Mr Fleay thinks is certain, the allusion is to Lyly

> ² 'And there, though last not least is Aeton, A gentler shepheard may no where be found, Whose Muse, full of high thoughts invention, Doth like himselfe Heroically sound'

Mr Halliwell Phillips' remark that 'the lines seem to apply with equal propriety to Warner' does not carry conviction, nor can I subscribe to the late Professor Minto's opinion that a claim may be put in for Drayton, whose assumed poetic name 'Rowland' he thought 'sounded in those days much more heroically than Shakespeare' Mr Fleay supported this hypothesis with the aid of another, founded on an etymology of the word Aetion (atriov), which I humbly conceive to be out of the question. If on the other hand the word is connected with deros and signifies 'eaglet' (as I think Professor Hales has sufficiently established), Mr Fleav thought Marlowe might have been intended But I have no space for entering into the minutiae of this delightful controversy

⁸ See the references to The Rape of Lucrece (printed 1594) in the anonymous verses prefixed to Henry Willobie's Avisa (1594), and in the lines attributed by Sir Egerton Brydges to Sir William Herbert (1594) The allusions to Venus and Adonis (printed 1593), by Robert Southwell (1594 ?), and to Lucrece in Drayton's Legend of Matilda (1594) cannot be convincingly brought home to Shakspere (As to a later praise of Shakspere by Drayton, see below) On the other hand I am inclined to think him the W S of the verse dialogue in the Avisa aforesaid, where (on the strength no doubt of his Sonnets) he appears as an expert in the tender passion, to whose (1598)

be stated to refer to a play undoubtedly Shakspere's belongs to this very year, when a Comedy of Errors was chosen as the chief part of their Christmas entertainment by the members of Gray's Inn¹. We are thus justified in concluding that by this date his genius as a writer had, in one or another branch of his literary activity, inspired with sympathy some of the young and ambitious spirits on whom England's future seemed largely to depend neglecting divers unmistakeable allusions to Shakspere's non-diamatic poems and the almost equally open flattery of manifest imitation from two of his plays in a comedy dating from the interval 2, we look a few years forward, we arrive at the testimony of a literary censor, who whether or not possessed of the gift of nice discrimination, was animated by what in the age to which he belonged was far more rare, viz a wish to express his admiration of what he thought In 1508 Francis Meres who very legitimately admirable Meres applied a method which becomes childish only when employed in the service of piejudice or whim 8, in his Palladis Tamia (Wits Treasury) mentioned Shakspeie both as one of 'our best for Tragedie,' and as one of 'the best for Comedy amongst us,' besides including him in the list of 'the most passionate among us to bewaile and bemoane the perplexities of Love.' It is true that in 'Tragedy' he is here enumerated pari passu with 'the Authour of the Mirrour for Magistrates,' and with nearly all the writers, epical or dramatic, who in the Tudoi age had with a more or less conspicuous success treated themes of a serious nature;

quality as an actor an allusion seems to be conveyed Centurie of Prayse, u, s , I-14

¹ See the account of the performance of 'a Comedy of Errors (like to Plantus his Menaechmus),' at Gray's Inn on the night of Innocents' Day, December 28, 1594, in Henry Helmes' MS Gesta Grayorum, cited in Nichols' Progresses and in Furnivall's Fresh Allusions, u s., I

² See Centurie of Prayse, 15-20—The passages in Wily Beguiled imitated from The Merchant of Venuce and Romeo and Juliet are of importance on the assumption, as to which Mr Fleay (English Drama, ii. 159) entertains no doubt that the original date of this play is 1596-7.

Byron notoriously employed it in this way, but I do not know why he should be blamed for having done so, since he was guiltless of publishing the tables of poetic precedence which he must surely be allowed to have had the right of constructing for his own amusement.

while in 'Comedy' he is made to stand shoulder by shoulder with practitioners from Richard Edwardes down to Anthony Munday But the proof remains that his reputation was at this early date established with a completeness to which it would be difficult to find anything in the nature of an analogy During the progress of his literary career, of which his activity as a playwright was not always so liberally and distinctly acknowledged to form part as it had been in Meres' summary, a series of other writers, considerable or the reverse, supplemented his estimate by more or less perfunctory companisons of then own 1

During his lifetime not a few wholly personal tributes of praise were paid to his eminence in the various branches of his activity as an author. As early as 1599 John Weever Weever printed among his Epigrammes, thought by Dyce 2 to have been written earlier, a set of lines Ad Gulielmum Shakespeare, possessing little or no intrinsic merit, but exhibiting

¹ See for all these the collections cited above Richard Barnefeild (Poems in divers humors, 1598) compares Shakspere with Spenser, Daniel, and Drayton, but makes no allusion to his dramatic writings John Bodenham (Belvedere, or The Garden of the Muses, 1600) asks the attention of his readers to the flowers which he has gathered into his works from a few 'Moderne and extant Poets,' among whom Shakspere finds a place not unworthy of his name —Camden (Remaines, 1604) contents himself with including Shakspere in a not dissimilar list of 'the most pregnant witts of these our times' In a more extensive list, arranged 'according to their' (chronological) 'priorities as neere as I could,' Edmund Howes (Continuation of Stow's Chronicle, 1615), sets down 'M Willi Shakespeare gentleman' between William Warner and Samuel Daniel Drummond of Hawthornden (in a passage in his Works which internal evidence proves to have been written not earlier than 1614) assigned to Shakspere a late place, in more senses than one, among 'the authors he had seen on the subject of Love', but on two earlier occasions (in 1606 and in 1611) he had noted several of Shakspere's plays or poems among books possessed by him See also the Life of Drummond, by Professor Masson, p 104, where it is noted that Drummond was 'one of Shakspere's earliest admirers in Scotland, and had his well fingered copies of Shakespeare's Poems and three of his Plays on his bookshelves' With direct reference to the ments as a dramatist of his great predecessor and contemporary, Webster (Dedication to Vittoria Corombona), 1612) extolled the prolific art, or as he phrased it, 'the right happy and copious industry' of Shakspere in terms equally felicitous and liberal, but made no distinction between his claims on either head, and those of Dekker and Thomas Heywood

2 Life of Shakespeare, p. lxv

a waim admitation for both plays and poems composed by this 'honie-tong'd' author. In similar phrase, Henry Chettle, who in 1593 had been so anxious to set himself with regard to his declared opinion of a rising young actor and writer, in a tract composed on the death of Queen Elisabeth and published in conjunction with an account of her burial (April 28, 1603), lamented that

'The silver tongèd Melicert,'

by whom as the context shows he meant Shakspere, should have as yet dropped 'from his homed muse' no 'sable teare'

'To mourne her death that gracèd his desert And to his laies opend her Royall eare';

During the last few years of Shakspere's life these tributes became more frequent. About 1611, John Davies of Hereford addressed one of the epigrams contained in The Scourge of Folly 2 to 'our English Terence, Mr Will Shakespeare' Alluding, apparently, to his profession as an actor, and (though this may be a mere trick or phiase) to the jealousies excited by his talents, these lines pay a very notable tribute not only to his literary eminence, but to the high character maintained by him in all his dealings, for

'raile as they thinke fit,
Thou hast no rayling, but a raigning Wit;
And honesty thou sow'st, which they do reape'

Freeman (1614) In a collection of epigrams, published in 1614 under the title of Runne and a Great Caste³, Thomas Freeman, in

Reprinted in his Works, edited by Dr Grosart for his Chertsey Worthies

Library, vol. xvni.

¹ Englandes Mourning Garment, quoted by Collier in Introduction to The Death of Robert, Earl of Huntington, u s, p 4, and in Ingleby's Centure of Prayse Dr Hales pointed out long since (in a letter to The Academy, January 10, 1874) that the name Melicert was doubtless applied to Shakspere because of its supposed derivation from μέλι As the late Mr J. A. Symonds reminded the readers of the same Journal (January 24), the name is mentioned by Suidas as having been given to Simonides διά τὰ ἢδύ. Neither Heles nor Symonds, however, had any very satisfactory explanation of the suppress to offer

^{*} Forming, apparently, Part II of Rubbe and a great Caste.

rather leaden-footed verse, lauded Shakspere's facility of poetic composition

'At th' horse-foote fountain thou hast drunk full deepe, Vertues or vices theame to thee all one is',

and asserts that from his plays

'needy new-composers borrow more Then Terence doth from Plantus or Menander'

In the same year a more noted pen, that of Chiistopher Brooke Brooke, paid the following tribute to Shakspere's dramatic (1614) and poetic genius, supposed to be delivered by the hero of one of his most powerful historical tragedies (Ruchard III1)

'To him that impt my fame with Clio's quill, Whose magick rais'd me from oblivion's den, That writ my story on the Muses' hill, And with my actions dignifi'd his pen, He that from Helicon sends many a rill, Whose nectared veines are drunke by thirstie men, Crown'd be his stile with fame, his head with bayes, And none detract, but gratulate his praise'

Of the appreciation conveyed by allusion—occasionally Contemtrenching more or less closely upon imitation or reproduction porary allusions to -enough assuredly reached Shakspere even during his life- Shakspere's time 2 to answer the first cause of so modest a stimulant Cuticism (in the true sense of the term) had scarcely dawned upon his age as a conscious form of intellectual effort, and only a very faint impression could have been made upon him by casual cynicisms, such as those which in 1604 Hamlet suggested to a 'friendly' writer, who anticipated

writings

¹ This poem, entitled The Ghost of Richard III, was reprinted by the late Mr Collier for the Old Shakespeare Society, and by Dr Grosart in his edition of Brooke's Complete Poems (Fuller Worthies' Library, 1872)

² Going over the passages in the authorities cited, one may gather that Shakspere would have been prima face justified in perceiving 'allusions' to his writings in passages contained in plays by Peele, Armin, Munday, Day, Henry Porter, Jonson, Beaumont and Fletcher, Dekker, Chapman, Middleton, Marston, Webster, Thomas Heywood, Lewis Machin, Edward Sharpham, Ludovic Barrey, and Robert Tailor, or in passages of the published writings of authors to be classified so variously (if classified at all) is the following Gabriel Harvey, Robert Tofte, John Lane, Samuel Nicholson, Thomas Rokesley, Nicholas Breton, and Richard Brathwaite (I have omitted in this list names already mentioned in my text of writers who referred to Shakspere in his lifetime, as well as any reference to anonymous allusions.)

The Parnassus plays (1597~ 1601) a very common, and often very shallow, censure of his general method as a tragic dramatist 1 Yet I cannot but think that, whatever may have been Shakspere's personal relations to the author of the Parnassus plays (1597-1601), supposing that they came under his notice, he must have relished the element of true humour in their criticisms of his In Part 11. he has the dubious honour of own productions. being quoted by a fashionable fool as his favourite poet 2. but in Part iii, while in the famous review of poets his nondramatic poems are described as at once irresistible in their charm and censurable because of the effeminacy of their themes 3, the audience of Cambridge students is told a hometruth about his plays and their excellence by 'one who knows'-one of the two famous actors who have come down to the University to instruct them in their art 4

Tributes to Shakspere soon after his death After Shakspeie's death, occasional literary tributes were paid to his achievements by John Taylor, the Water Poet (1620 c), William Basse (1622)⁵, and others, nor would it have been according to human nature had not allusive

¹ I refer to the passage in *The Epistle to the Reader*, prefixed by Anthony Scoloker to his *Daiphantus*, or *The Passion of Love* (1604), a work containing a notable allusion to *Hamlet*, in which, illustrating his observation by the chief personage of that play, the writer refers to 'Friendly Shakespeare's Tragedies, where the Commedian rides, when the Tragedian stands on Tip-loe' (Centurie of Prayse, p. 64).

² After Gallio's first quotation (from *Venus and Adons*), Ingenioso exclaims: 'We shall have nothing but pure Shakspeare and shreds of poetrie that he hath gathered at the theatres' (Act ni. sc r) 'Let this duncified worlde,' says Gallio himself further on, 'esteeme of Spencer and Chaucer, I'le worshipp sweet Mr Shakespeare, and to honoure him will lay his Venus and Adonis under my pillowe,' &c &c. (Act ny sc. 1)

3 'William Shakespeare

Who loues not Adons loue or Lucrece rape? His sweeter verse contains hart-throbbing line Could but a grauer subject him content,

Without loves foolish lazy languishment,"—(Act 1 sc. 2)
The reading of the last two words in the second of the above lines is uncertain.

* 'Kemp. Few of the vinnersity [men] pen plaies well... Why heres our fellow Shakespeare puts them all down, I and Ben Ionson too' (Act iv se. 5). In the same scene Burbage bids one of the amateurs recite the opening lines of Ruchard III.

Basse's elegy is alluded to in the famous lines of Ben Jonson men-

tioned below.

borrowings from his works increased rather than diminished in frequency When in 1623 Shakspere's two fellow-actors, John Heminge and Henry Condell, ensured to themselves an imperishable remembiance 1 by publishing the first collective edition of his plays-the famous First Foliofour of his contemporaries, of whom besides Ben Jonson Leonard Digges² had made himself a literary name, contributed commendatory verses to the volume Ben Jonson's Ben judgment of Shakspere is a question of moment, more Jonson, Leonard especially however as affecting our estimate of Jonson him- Digges, self For the present it will suffice to note the sympathetic and others appreciation pervading the lines,-in my judgment on the whole as just as they are beautiful,—To the Memory of my beloved, the Author Mr William Shakespeare, and what he hath left us, written by Jonson together with the verses On the Portrait of Shakespeare for insertion in the First Folio, and reprinted in his *Underwoods* 3 His criticism, probably written down not long before his own death (1637) and printed in Timber, or Discoveries made upon Men and Matter, as to certain 'defects of excess' in Shakspere's productivity, is not less kindly candid, as for his 'conversational' growls to Drummond (registered in 1619), they must go for what they are worth, which is in truth not very much 4 the personal sentiments entertained towards Shakspere by other of his fellow-dramatists we have few traces, if we

1 Just as I am revising these sheets, I read of the unveiling by the Lord Mayor on Wednesday, July 8, 1896, of a monument to the editors of the First Folio at St Mary the Virgin's, Aldermanbury

² He was an accomplished modern as well as classical scholar, and the translator of several works See the notice of him by Mr S Lee in vol xv of The Dictionary of National Biography (1888) -The remainder of these commendatory poems are signed by Hugh Holland and Z M', the latter signature has been attributed to John Marston, Jasper Mayiie, and James Mabbe-to the last-named with some little show of probability See Centurie of Prayse, u s , p 155

Pope says-and as it seems to me is perfectly justified in saying-' that he cannot for his own part find anything Invidious or Sparing in these verses, but wonders Mr Dryden was of that opinion' (See Preface to Pope's edition of Shakspere.)-Dr. Ing'eby's observations on the nobly symmetrical structure of Jonson's poem (Centurie of Prayse, p 150) should not be overlooked.

As to these passages, and occasional allusions to Shakspere traceable in Ben Jonson's writings, see below, ch v.

Drayton (1627)

Thomas Heywood (1635)

Other contemporary dramatists

except a warm commendation of his genius as a comic dramatist in Diayton's lines to Henry Reynolds, Of Poets and Poesse, written at a time (1627 or rather earlier), when then author's own connexion with the stage had long ceased 1, and Thomas Heywood's graceful tribute. in his Hierarchie of the Blessed Angells (1635), to the 'enchanting' and versatile art of 'mellifluous Shakespeare,' already incidentally quoted 2 On the other hand, his personal relations with Fletcher, the foremost of the younger geneiation of dramatic poets contemporary with himself, are matter of pure hypothesis or conjecture 3 Passages in his plays are freely quoted or alluded to in those of most of these writers,—in none more notably than in Massinger's. whose genius in certain respects bore an affinity to Shak-Shirley, too, who has been called the last of spere's own the Elisabethans, as late as 1640, when the London stage was on the eve of its catastrophe, found occasion for paying a cordial tribute to the most potent of its early masters 4 All these diamatists, and not a few other writers-including the author of the immortal Anatomy of Melancholy 5 -find abundant matter in Shakspere for quotation and

Burton (1624)

> Shakespeare, thou hadst as smooth a Comick vaine, Fitting the socke, and in thy natural braine As strong conception, and as Cleere a rage, As any one that trafiqu'd with the stage'

The half-contemptuous turn of the last line will be noticed

2 Ante, p 471

³ That Laurence Fletcher, the player with whom Shakspere was associated in the Lord Chamberlain's company, was an elder brother of the dramatist, seems an untenable supposition. See Dyce's Introduction to his edition of the Works of Beaumont and Fletcher, p. xvii. The question of Fletcher's supposed collaboration with Shakspere will be discussed below.

* Prologue to the Sisters (1640)

Shakespear whose mirth did once beguile Dull hours and, buskin'd, made even sorrow smile; So lovely were the wounds, that men would say They could endure the bleeding a whole day.

5 Burton here refers to 'Benedict and Belters in the Comedy,' and quotes the concluding couplet of Romeo and Juliet, besides four lines from Venus and Adoms One might have thought that a suggestion would have been made as to the study of plays by Shakspere, or by Ben Jonson, whom Burton likewise quotes, by way of a remedy against melanicholy, partaking neither of the danger of 'overmuch study' of learned works, nor of that of reading 'nothing but Play books, title Poems, and Jests,' such as those mentioned in Part 1 Sec 2. No 4 of the Anatomy.

illustration, setting an example which has been bettered by the generations that have followed them

If the favour which Shakspere's reputation experienced Limits of during or immediately after the close of his life was more the Court patronage, or less exceptional, and in some degree at least due to received by an insight on the part of his contemporaries into the real him greatness of his genius, it remained within limits which it is well to abstain from ignoring A priori, of course, there is everything to attract us in the picture of a great Queen and her successor inciting by their example both Court and nation to hold in honour the greatest of contemporary poets But no proof is at hand of any personal pationage extended to Shakspeie by either Elisabeth or James. In return, it must be allowed that of flattery, the all but inevitable correlative of patronage, his plays exhibit singularly few and faint signs We may accept the usual interpretation of a famous passage in the Midsummer Night's Dream as implying a tribute on the part of the still youthful poet to the Vestal on the throne 1, Portia's review of her suitois may imply an allusive compliment to the much-wooed princess, but the only direct apostrophe to Elisabeth is to be found in the well-known lines towards the close of Henry VIII, which were most assuredly composed after the Queen's death King James appreciated his share of the incense offered in the same peroration (by whomsoever the passage was penned), just as he must have been gratified by the ex-postfacto tribute offered in Macbeth to his accomplishment of the destinies of the line represented in his own person 2.

1 Queen Elisabeth, it would be futile to doubt, liked the kind of incense of which Shakspere was the reverse of profuse When allusions were not forthcoming in plays performed in her presence, she appears to have occasionally prepared to supply them herself In 1564 the Spanish ambassador, de Silva, describes her as interpreting to him the progress of a play, and adds that, as they generally deal with marriage in the comedies,' an opportunity soon presented itself of discussing the proposed marriage of the Queen to Don Carlos (Calendar of State Papers, Simancas, vol 1 (1892) p 368)

² Professor Alfred Stern, in a most kindly criticism of the first edition of this book, directed attention to two passages in the late Mr E Edwards's Lives of the Founders of the British Museum (2 vols 1870), pp 155 and 157, supposed to imply an acquaintance on the King's part with A Midsummer Night's Dream (in 1504 the King forbade the introduction into a court pageant

But the fact that Shakspere now and then was found ready to meet an inclination common to two sovereigns, by no means implies that he was in any sense 'patronised' by either of them A letter ascribed to Southampton stating that several of Shakspere's plays were 'most singularly liked of' Oueen Elisabeth when performed before her at Court is apocryphal. on the other hand, it is probable, though not proved, that King James was a spectator of sundry of the poet's works But of any special or personal marks of goodwill towards Shakspere on the part of 1ther sovereign there is no proof Credulity must be allowed to cling to the tradition that Elisabeth testified her desire to see Falstaff degraded from comedy to farce, or to the equally apocryphal anecdote that James I expressed his thanks for Macbeth in an autograph letter 1. I remember a modern Italian play,—illuminated by the acting of a great artist, the late Madame Ristoil.—in which

of a live lion, 'because it would affright the ladies'), and a remembrance by Shakspere, when writing Polonius' advice to Laertes, of James I's letter on his accession to the English Crown to his son Henry Prince of Wales

¹ See in reference to this Malone's Inquiry, p 95, where he demolishes the possibility of such a letter as that from Queen Elisabeth to Shakspere, which had been forged by the ingenious Mr Ireland Malone incidentally points out that Puttenham, whose Arte of Poesse appeared in 1589, and who was one of the Gentlemen Pensioners, and therefore constantly near the Queen's person, seems never to have heard of Shakspere, although he discusses dramatic poets—The generalities in the lines of Ben Jonson ('those flights upon the banks of Thames, That so did take Eliza and our James') and Chettle appear to me to prove very little See, however, Halliwell-Phillips' Life of Shakespeare, pp 151-3 A ballad called A Mournful Dritie, entituled Elizabeth's Losse, together with a Welcome for King James (1603, printed in Collier's Life of Shakespeare, and reprinted in Centurie of Prayse, p 56), contains the lines

'You Poets all, brave Shakspeare, Johnson, Greene, Bestow your time to write for England's Queene, Lament, lament, &c

The Greene here mentioned is I suppose Thomas Greene, author of A Poet's Vision and a Prince's Glorie (1603)—Reasons will be given below against the supposition that Shakspere was in any way distinguished among his fellow-actors (the King's actors) by James. If he had been a courtly poet, he would have less distinctly remembered the drinking habits of the Danish Court, which on Christian IV's visit to England in 1606 so endeared him to his brother-in-law. Tieck's supposition that in Timon of Athens (iv: 3) Shakspere directly flattered James in the passage where the hero proclaims but one honest man—'and he is a standard' (pronounce Stewart)—is only less absurd than Ulrici's laborious apology (Shakspeare's Dramatic Art, p. 245) for the 'extravagant flattery' in question.

Queen Elisabeth is represented as receiving a petition from Shakspere at the hands of Cecil, and graciously assenting to the player of her faithful poet Other imaginative minds may have pictured to themselves analogous relations between the Queen and the poet, but romance must reckon with its own responsibilities

The nature of the pationage extended to Shakspere by His noble particular noblemen, and gentlemen of high rank, is more patrons open to speculation. His relation, during many years of his life, to Southampton-although the measure of his patron's early munificence has doubtless been exaggerated, while the supposed manifestation of the nobleman's goodwill after the close of the actor's professional career may be regarded as mythical-foims an important chaptei in Shakspere's life, and the dedications of two youthful poems have not more than an incidental significance in its history According to one (nor the least plausible) among many theories intended to explain the genesis of Shakspere's Sonnets, the Earl of Pembroke too must have approached intimacy with the poet 1 Among the later plays of Shakspere one is distinctly to be brought into connexion with speculations in foreign discovery in which both Southampton and Pembioke were interested2, and the conspiracy in which they were to some degree involved undoubtedly occupied the mind of the author of Henry VIII³ The Earl of Montgomery too, Pembroke's brother, seems to have admired and 'favoured' the poet4. But even after this has been said, it must be allowed to amount to very little Among those whose patronage Shakspere sought and found in his early days were some noblemen of note, whose goodwill probably remained to him, and was prized by him, to the close of his theatrical career

As to any appreciation of Shakspere by the master-minds

¹ Possibly Much Ado about Nothing may have some reference to the difficulty of inducing the same young nobleman to 'marry and settle'

² Vide infra as to the subject of The Tempest

³ That it is actually adverted to in Richard II (1 e in the passage added to the third or omitted from the first two editions of that play, iv. 1) is a more doubtful conjecture

^{*} The First Folio was dedicated to both the brothers

of his having been appreciated by Ralegh or Bacon

No evidence of his age, except where, as in Ben Jonson's case, they were more or less his fellows in the same field of work, we are without convincing proofs It is hardly to be supposed that Ralegh was unacquainted with Shakspere, or that Bacon passed him by without notice 1 But no evidence of a conclusive kind exists to show that either the most fai-sighted man of action or the greatest thinker among the Elisabethans was aware of what it was to have, or to have had. a Shakspere by their side

Extent of his general popularity as a dramatist

Lastly, there was the 'general public,' or rather that large section of the public which affected entertainments such as those provided by the genius of Shakspere taken as a whole his plays, as compared with those of his fellow-playwrights, were during his lifetime pre-eminently popular there seems no reason to doubt So much is proved by the ready testimony of his fellow-diamatists and of other contemporary writers—a testimony of which the strength grows almost from day to day with the progress of our acquaintance with Elisabethan literature It is supported by the fact that he wrote so much, though others (Thomas Heywood, e g) wrote more, and by the certainty that he acquired through his interest in theatres to whose popularity his plays largely contributed, a comfortable income, sufficient to enable him to retire in fair case before old age had crippled his powers 2 Lastly, it is borne out

¹ Although I shall be obliged to state on a subsequent page my view of the supposition that Shakspere's plays were written by Bacon, I must here at once express the opinion that the evidence even of Bacon's acquaintance with them is extremely slender. All the learning and ingenuity expended by Mrs Henry Pott upon the illustration by passages from Shakspere of Bacon's Promus of Formularies and Elegancies,—a common place book kept by him somewhere between the years 1594 and 1596,—seems to me to fall short of proving even that the compiler had used for his purpose the knowledge of Shakspere's writings which by that time he might have acquired (What Mrs Pott's publication of this book (1883) intended to prove was, of course, something wholly different) The evidence of a few parallel passages in Bacon's Essays (first edition 1597, second 1612, third 1625) and in Shakspere's plays is, in my judgment, too slender to deserve discussion; while it seems sheer absurdity to found any argument upon supposed resemblances between the action and characters of The Tempest and the parable of Pan in the De Augmentis (1623).

House the amable insinuation of Pope, that Shakspere 'For gain, not glory, wing'd his roving flight. And grew immortal in his own despite,'

by the fact that when the stage fell under a cloud, Shakspere was among those remembered while others were forgotten, and that when its life recommenced, his plays were among the earliest and among the most rapidly successful in recovering possession of their ancient domain

But to what extent was this enduring popularity within Number of the walls of the play-house and among its pations, reflected in the world of readers outside? Of the thirty-seven plays during his in the Shaksperean canon 1, eighteen (or just one more than half) were printed in their author's lifetime, and the average number of impressions extant in each case from this period is between two and three 2. Of course this fails to exhaust the number of quarto editions of single plays of Shakspere printed during his lifetime, but considering the facility of surreptitious printing, and the fieedom from blame enjoyed by the practice except on the part of more sensitive playwrights, the calculation may assist in an enquiry as to the demand for Shakspere's plays existing among contemporary readers It may be added, that of the so-called 'doubtful plays' which have been at any time ascribed to Shakspere, eleven are known to have been printed in his lifetime³ Other reasons have no doubt been suggested for the paucity of the number of plays by Shakspere which appeared in print during his life4, but the demand for them on the part of the public cannot have been in any sense large While the first volume of a collective edition of the works of Ben Jonson was printed in the lifetime of their author 5,

his plays printed lıfetıme

¹ Counting them, as in the list arranged below, and reckoning each Part as a play in the case of Henry VI and in that of Henry IV. The First Folio contains all these plays except Pericles

² See the List of the Early Editions of Shakspeare in Malone's Shakspeare (Boswell's edition of 1821, the edition quoted throughout this Chapter), vol 11 pp 647 seqq, and cf Steevens' observations, 1b pp 643 seqq See also the Table of Quario Editions of Shakespeare's Plays, forming Appendix I to Mr. Fleny's Life of Shakspeare

³ See the list in Malone's Shakspeare, ii 681-2 Eight of these appear in Mr. Fleay's List of Quarto editions of other plays prepared by Shakespeare's company, Appendix II, u s

⁴ The late Mr W Blades, in his Shakspere and Typography (1872), a pamphlet in part intended as a jeu d'esprit, suggested that Shakspere was at one time of his life a printer, and that it may accordingly be plausibly supposed that 'sickened with reading other people's proofs for a livelihood, he shrunk from the same task on his own behalf." ⁵ In 1616.

The First Folio (1623)

Reasons for this hmited Shakspere's works were not collected till seven years after his death (in the First Folio, 1623), and though the editors of this volume speak of 'diverse stolne, and surreptitious copies, maimed and deformed by the frauds and stealthes of injurious impostors,' yet they evidently by no means themselves expected a brisk sale of their folio, which was probably printed in a very limited number of copies 1

Thus, the evidence which we possess on the subject tends to show that the reputation enjoyed by Shakspere in his lifetime was limited to a more or less genial recognition of his merits on the part of a few pations and on that of some of his literary contemporaries,-chiefly fellow-dramatists,—and to what may be termed a general preference for his plays, as compared with those of other writers, on the part of the constituents of the theatiical public although this theatrical public must have largely increased in London during the earlier half of his career 2, the attacks upon the stage recommenced towards the close of the century 3, and indeed the spirit which prompted them had never slept The classes moved by this spirit were those upon whom more than upon any other the future of England depended, and to whose tastes and feelings the progress of a popular literature must always largely accommodate

According to Steevens' conjecture (Malone's Shakspeare, 11 658, note) in not more than 250 A proof of the smallness of the issue may be found in the extreme rarity of the First Folio, not known to exist in more than thirty copies According to Halliwell-Phillips (Shakesperiana, p 43), one copy is in existence bearing the date of 1622

² In 1592, Nashe (in his *Pierce Pennilesse*) spoke of a play as being witnessed by 'ten thousand spectators at least, at several times' Altogether, it may be assumed that the number of visitors to the theatres increased rapidly till near the close of the century Cf Introduction to Gosson's School

of Abuse, p x

^{*} In 1599 was published Th' Overthrow of Stage-playes, by Dr John Rainoldes, of Queen's College, and afterwards President of Corpus Christicollege, Oxford, which was the most important product of the controversy concerning the performance of Latin plays at Oxford between him and Dr William Gager See Lowe's Bibliographical Account, &c., pp. 135, 274. and, Mr. S. Lee's notice of Gager in vol. xx of the Dictionary of National Biography (1889) In the same year King James interfered to protect the English players at Edinburgh, the Session of the Kirk of Scotland having prohibited the faithful from resorting to their performances under pain of the church consumes' (Collier, i. 332)

In a word, the middle classes of the nation, ıtself wherever, as more especially in London, they were brought into contact with the stage, became more and more hostile The interest in dramatic literature could not towards it but suffer accordingly, and the advance of the appreciation of the merits of our greatest national dramatist be retaided Puntanism was gradually assuming a far wider and deeper significance than can attach to a meie view of Church Puntanism government, or to a particular theory of the relations between in Shak spere's later the system of the State and the forms of religious life side with the Puiitans, now implied the acceptance of distinct after his death principles in the conduct of life These principles may perhaps be summarised as an avowed endeavour to regulate the whole of life, in all its aspects and relations, according to fixed laws The consequent certainty, to which all shrinking back or wavering to the right or to the left was impossible, gave for a time to Puritanism, in peace and in war, a resist-But from the same source Puritanism derived the narrowness which remained an unmistakeable feature of the movement To the Puritan nothing could be a greater abomination than the theatre, with the very conditions of whose existence the laws of his life were in conflict; nor could any feature of the stage be so great an abomination in his eyes as the boundlessness with which the genius of our Elisabethans, and that of Shakspere above all, had endowed English dramatic literature. Against the theatre, therefore, Puritanism (as has been seen and as will further appear below) directed its assaults with increasing success, although a transport of zeal may in one instance at least have given use to a temporary reaction in favour of the stage, which communicated itself to others besides its habitual supporters 1. Finally, when

To years, and

1 I refer to Prynne's invective against the Queen on account of her patronage of a dramatic performance at court Cf Masson's Life of Milton, 1 407-8. Prynne's Histrio-Mastix was published in 1632 I shall return to these matters below, at present I am merely attempting to survey the progress, together with the back waves occurring in it, of Shakspere's fame -A passage in Histrio Mastix (cited in Centurie of Prayse, p 195) bitterly reflects on the fact that since the author first undertook his subject, 'some are growne from Quarto into Folio,' and 'are now printed in farre better paper than most Octavo or Quarto Bibles, which hardly finde the party identified with Puritan opinion, although not as yet with its extreme forms, had become possessed of the control of London before the outbreak of the Civil Wai in 1642, the closing of the theatres was one of the inevitable incidents of the revolution which this change ımplied

His reputa tion as a dramatist in the time of Charles I

Sir John Suckling

and others

Under these influences the fame of Shakspere languished, and must have languished even had a careful distinction been drawn in this period between dramatic literature and the literature of the stage As a matter of course, his genius as a dramatist continued to call forth tributes of praise from those whom it had subjected to its spell In this choir dramatic writers could not but hold the most conspicuous place, and of the earlier Caroline diamatists a goodly number honoured Shakspere by direct tributes of admiration as well as by less direct testimony to their familiarity Indutes of with his works Among them I have already mentioned Shirley and others, whose achievements in part connect them with an earlier and more illustrious chapter of our dramatic history, to their names should be added more especially that of Sir John Suckling, who in verse and in prose, by direct commendation as well as by imitation, honoured himself by proving his regard for the memory of 'my Friend Mr William Shakespear 1,' together with those of Jasper Mayne, Thomas Nabbes, Sir William D'Avenant (of whom more below), and others Men of letters unconnected with the stage likewise occasionally attested their appreciation of Shakspere's genius Leaving aside anonymous tributes-although possessed of an intrinsic value of their own-I should regret to leave unnoticed a conversational remark by 'John Hales of Eton,' which at a date probably earlier than 1633 anticipates the free spirit of the best of all 'Shakspere criticism'?.' But apart from such tributes, and

> such vent as they.' Marginal notes refer to the folio editions of Jonson, Shakspere, &c., and to the 'best Crowne paper' used for that of Shakspere ın especial

² After sitting still for some time during a discussion in which Ben

See Centurie of Prayse, pp. 209 segg. His gratitude must certainly have derived strength from a consciousness of 'perpetual plagiarism' on his own part. Cf. Fresh Allusions, p. 113

other incidental illustrations of the popularity of Shakspere's writings 1, it seems undeniable that, in accordance with an ordinary experience, the generation succeeding Shakspere's was not the most ready to acknowledge his claims to pre-eminence. Ben Jonson, indeed, although long the ac- Fluctuaknowledged chief of living diamatic authors, at no time tions of succeeded in producing, as he had on no occasion attempted to preto produce, a belief that he outshone the friend whom he eminence so long survived Still, a second volume of the first collec- chief drative edition of Jonson's works was published (in a succession of fiagments) in the course of his later years and of those following immediately upon the date of his death, and he is repeatedly mentioned by contemporary writers in a way implying that his titles to literary fame were equal to Shakspere's And, to all appearance, the dramatists who in this particular age called forth the most enduring as well as the most ardent literary enthusiasm, were the two companion-writers who were most nearly allied to it by the bent of their genius and the specialities of their tastes The fame of Beaumont and Fletcher had come at least to rival that of Shakspeie, and at times was treated as surpassing it, while again we not unfrequently find the pair ranked side by side with Shakspere and Jonson as pre-eminent among English Jonson and other literary authorities took part, Mr Hales observed, 'That

opinion as among the matists

if Mr Shakespear had not read the Antients, he had likewise not stollen anything from 'em and that if [Ben] would produce any one Topick finely treated by any one of them, he [Hales] would undertake to show something upon the same Subject at least as well written by Shakespear' (Cited from Rowe's introductory Account, &c , 1700, in Centurie of Prayse, p 198)

A curiosum is the wish expressed by Cowley, when a pupil at Westminster School between 1628 and 1631, that a young lawyer who had offended him might

Bee by his Father in his study tooke

At Shakspeare's plays, instead of my Lord Cooke'-(something as Dr Arnold confiscated early numbers of Pickwick which Rugby boys had put too near to their Thucydides) See Centurie of Prayse, p 170 (from A Politicall Revenge in Silva). - In The Guardian (1641) Cowley varied the notion into an injunction to a City maiden (Tabytha) to banish Shakespear and Ben Jonson out of the parlour, and to bring in their rooms Marprelate, and Pryn's Works' In The Cutler of Coleman Street (1663) he altered the name 'Shakespear' to 'Fletcher' (Fresh Allusions. p. 149)

Third, and Fourth Folios (1632, 1663, 1664, and 1685)

dramatists 1 Thirty-six of their plays were published in a collected form in 1647 (they were republished with The Second, seventeen others in 1679), of Shakspere's, the First Folio collection, with a corrected reprint in 1632 (the Second Folio), sufficed till after the Restoration It was reproduced in the Third Folio, published in 1663, and reprinted in 1664 with seven additional plays, all of which (with the exception of *Pericles*) are now usually considered spurious The Fourth Folio (1685) contained nothing new beyond modernisations of spelling

Hıs fame after the Restoration revived with the reopening of the theatres

It is hardly too much to conclude from the above data. that by the time of the Restoration, when a generation had grown up to which the inside of a playhouse was unknown, and when but few libraries could have contained more than a stray copy or two of Shakspere's plays, his popular fame must have stood in some danger of dwindling into a mere tradition² The danger passed away, when the

So, for instance, by Owen Feltham (1637)

'Shakespeare, Beaumont, Johnson, these three shall Make up the Jem in the point Verticall'

of the crown composed for herself by the Stage Further quotations are needless, moreover, a mere turn of phrase may at times be mistaken for a deliberate critical intention. But in exemplification of the preference indicated in the text, the lines in honour of Fletcher by William Cartwright prefixed to the First Folio of Beaumont and Fletcher (1647) are notable (They were quoted by the late Canon Kingsley in his essay On Plays and Puntans) Cartwright (whose own most successful dramatic effort is an obvious imitation of Ben Jonson) places Fletcher's name ''twixt Jonson's grave and Shakspeare's lighter sound,' and tells Fletcher that

> 'Shakspeare to thee was dull, whose best wit lies I' th' ladies questions, and the fool's replies.

Whose wit our nice times would obsceneness call-

Nature was all his art, thy vein was free As his, but without his scurrility'-

a criticism which from the author of The Ordinary is nothing short of ludicrous It may be mentioned that Gifford, in his Memoirs of Ben Jonson, quotes from a tract by J Cooke on Charles I's Trial (1649) the insinuation that 'had King Charles but studied Scripture half so much as he studied Ben Jonson or Shakspeare, &c To the anecdote that Charles I described Shirley's Gamester (of which he himself was believed to have suggested the plot) as 'the best play he had seen for seven years,' no importance need be attached. In general, justice can hardly be said to have been rendered by English writers to the remarkable literary and artistic intelligence of King Charles L. Writing about 1658 from Chicksands, where her regular literary nourish-

Restoration was accomplished and when the theatres were reopened A revival of the popular recognition of Shakspere's greatness as a diamatist inevitably followed the hostility of the Puiitan Revolution had lasting results. and in so far as the fame of Shakspere is inseparably associated with the most immediate sphere of his activity, the effect of that hostility cannot be said even now to have been completely undone.

Whatever may be thought of the relations between the stage Shakspire of Charles I's leign and the sentiments and manners of his and the Restoration Court, the theatre of the leigns of the last two Stuart Kings stage was beyond dispute entirely subject to the influence of the world of court and fashion No section of the lower orders felt itself, as in the days of Elisabeth, vehemently attracted towards the playhouses The masses being, for many an age to come, left to themselves in their choice of pleasures, middle-class respectability shunned the theatie, where every effort was made to affront the accepted principles of morality and decency of life Under the influence of tastes utterly frivolous and vitiated both by the native and by the foreign elements intermingled in them, the whole atmosphere of the theatre in the Restoration age became, in the words of a writer whose knowledge of it is unsurpassed, 'indescribably wicked 1.' Its favourite productions, ushered in by lewd prologues, were either imitations of foreign models, or mere bastards of the Elisabethan drama. Yet to this Restoration stage we owe a revived recognition—in spheres extending widely beyond the section of the public open to the influences of literary criticism-of the genius of Shakspere The number of Shaksperean characters performed by Betterton, the greatest actor of this period, is indeed small compared with

ment consisted of Cleopâtre and Le Grand Cyrus, Dorothy Osborne avowed to her lover that 'all the people that I had ever in my life refused were brought again upon the stage, like Richard the Third's ghosts, to reproach me withal' (Letters, &c, edited by E A. Parry, edition 1888, p 115) This and similar allusions, traceable with more or less probability to direct acquaintance with Shaksperean plays, will hardly be held to contradict the general conclusion in my text

1 See Mr. Robert W. Lowe's Thomas Betterton (Emment Actors Series), 1891, p 57 This unpretending little volume is a mine of first-hand information concerning the theatre of the Restoration.

the extraordinarily large number of his other impersonations. but it amounts to ten (adaptations included), and is not. I think, equalled by that of the characters from any one other diamatist performed by him 1 Of the century and a half (or thereabouts) of plays which Pepys saw acted in the course of eight years and a half (1660-9) over which his Diary extends, about one in fifteen were Shakspere's, while as many as one in six were by Beaumont and Fletcher. or by Fletcher alone² Not less than nine of Shakspere's plays were reserved as the property of the company which under D'Avenant began its performances in November, 1660, and when two-and-twenty years later the two theatrical companies amalgamated, and the great actor Betterton was virtually placed in command of the chief characters of the répertoire of the existing English stage, Biutus, Othello. and Hotspur without delay asserted their claim upon the sympathies of the theatrical public³ These examples sufficiently illustrate the conclusion that certain of Shakspere's plays found their way back to the stage chiefly because of the strong characters and of the striking situations which they contained, -in other words, because they lent themselves so securely to the requirements of theatrical effect. Scant reverence was shown by D'Avenant and Dryden, or by the revivalists who were at work about the close of this period (the turn of the century), in the processes to which they subjected the Shaksperean plays of their choice, but, quite apart from the important services rendered to Shakspere's reputation by Diyden, the greatest of the adapters, in his capacity as a literary critic, he and his fellow-playwrights unmistakeably advanced the fame of their great predecessor upon the stage. More and more distinctly Shakspere's genius isolated in some measure from the immediate outward conditions and circumstances under which its dramatic creations had seen the light, asserted its power in its immediate and proper sphere, even through

¹ See the lists ap Genest, vol. 11 pp 458-462, and Lowe, pp. 188-9.

² The calculation is based on the list given in Mr. H. B. Wheatley's excellent volume Samuel Popys and the World he heed in (1880).

² Lowe, a. s., pp. 75, 129.

the veil of versions which at times very much resembled peiversions, or when under the infliction of alternating species of tortuie, - hacked about by a desperate knife or half-smothered under frivolous or fatuous additions This method of treating Shakspere left its traces on the English stage long after the latter had ceased to be the sole or even the principal means of sustaining and augmenting his fame, but it is only fair to remember that some tribute of the kind is exacted by the theatre from whatever craft enters its sound present connexion it will suffice to mention one or two of the more abnormal of these 'adaptations' of Shakspere 1 In 1662 Measure for Measure and Much Ado about Restoration Nothing were unscrupulously blended by D'Avenant into of Shaka single tragi-comedy called The Law against Lovers It stees was he who appears to have conceived the idea, which the audacity of Dryden afterwards carried into execution, of heightening the effect of The Tempest by a mechanical process of duplication 2 Dryden's All for Love, or The World well Lost (1678) 3 is an effort of a very different description, which rather places itself in competition (nor ignobly so) with Anthony and Cleopatra than adapts Shakspere's treatment of the theme, while the same author's Troilus and Cressida, or Truth found too Late (1678) stands as it were midway between the two above-mentioned plays, the modern dramatist having in it, as he says, undertaken to 'correct' what he opined to have been, 'in all Probability, one of Shakespeare's first Endeavours on the Stage 4'

adaptations

L1

An analytical list of Adaptations and Performances of Shakesperean plays from the death of the poet to the death of Garrick was given by Baron G Vincke in Jahrbuch, &c, vol ix (1874), pp 41-54

² In The Tempest, or The Enchanted Island (for the title itself was doublenecked) a youth who had never set eyes on a woman held the balance to the maiden who had never beheld a man Ariel, too, was provided with a female double (Milcha), and Caliban was supported by Sycorax in the flesh, not to mention that Miranda was furnished with a younger sister, and in some sense a sort of oblique counterpart, called Dorinda See the play, which was acted in 1667 and 1668, in Scott's Dryden, vol in

See 16, vol v.

This, according to his own statement, Dryden effected by new-modelling the plot, throwing out many unnecessary Persons, improving those characters which were begun and left unfinished, as Hector, Troilus, Pandarus and Thersites, and adding that of Andromache' (See Dryden's

Measure for Measure, on which D'Avenant had already tried his hand, was again recast by Gildon, and produced at Lincoln's Inn Fields, in 1700, as a piece 'written by Shakespeare, and now very much alter'd,' with the sub-title of Beauty the Best Advocate D'Urfey, a writer of very low stamp, in 1682 turned Cymbeline into something he entitled The Injured Princess, or The Fatal Wager, while John Lacy, whose diamatic efforts are of no very different type, in 1667 assimilated The Taming of the Shrew, entitling his concoction Sauny the Scot in honour of a 1e-nationalised More noticeable is the hash, prepared and announced in a spirit of convinced superiority, for which The Merchant of Venuce in 1701 supplied 'Granville the Polite' (George Gianville, afterwards Loid Lansdowne) with the principal materials From The Few of Venice the characters of Launcelot Gobbo and his sire are omitted; in retuin, a Masque of Peleus and Thetis is introduced, during the performance of which Shylock, supping at a separate table, drinks the toast of his lady-love Money? Throughout the whole of this period no species of Shakspeie's plays was sacied from these alterations: histories, tragedies and comedies were alike exposed to them, by no means only the necessities of the stage, although these must be conceded to have counted for something, but also the dictates of a supposed advance in literary or theatrical insight were accountable for the fashion John Dennis, of whom as a critic mention will have to be made below, elaborated in 1702 a version of The Merry Wives under the title of The

Preface ap Scott, vol. vi p. 240, and cf., on the whole subject of these efforts of Dryden's, Delius' essay Dryden und Shakespeare in Jahrbuch der deutschen Shakespeare-Gesellschaft, vol iv (1869) Dryden's Troilus still held the stage in 1708-9, when Thersites seems to have been the last Shaksperean character assumed by Betterton.

¹ Genest, vol 1 p. 139 The play does not appear to have been printed till 1608

² As to Granville's play, see 16. vol. 11. pp 243 seqq In the Prologue the Ghost of Shakspere apologuses not for Granville, but for himself.

The first rude sketches Shakspeare's pencil drew; But all the shining master-strokes are new This play ye Critics shall your fury stand, Adorn'd and rescu'd by a faultless hand.'

Connical Gallant, or The Amours of Sir John Falstaff, and in 1720 altered Corrolanus into The Invader of his Country, or The Fatal Resentment Colley Cibber in 1700 gave notable proof of his theatrical tact in his version of Richard III, which in spite of its impieties holds the stage to this day 1 John Sheffield Duke of Buckinghamshire's expansion of Julius Caesar into two tragedies, for one of which (Brutus) Pope wrote a couple of choruses, carries us into the middle of the Augustan age² Among the few Shaksperean plays which appear to have escaped material changes was Hamlet, until Garrick essayed the task of revising it for performance—a circumstance probably due to the stage traditions dating from the performance of the chief character by Betterton, who played it at intervals through the whole of the Restoration age, and with signal success as late as 1700, when he was nearly seventy-five years old 3.

¹ See Genest, vol 11 p 195, and cf Lowe, Betterton, p 167, where Cibber's version 18 described as 'full of villatious clap traps, mixed metaphors, and unmitigated nonsense,' but 'skilfully adapted for stage effect' Cibber in his process of 'contamination' introduced many lines from other Shaksperean plays, and probably some out of his own head Genest suggests that the famous line

'Off with his head—so much for Buckingham!' came 'perhaps from some obscure play, with a slight alteration,' but the 'Off with his head' (Hastings') in Act iv sc 4 should not be overlooked—In Caryl's The English Princess, or The Death of Richard III (cf Pepys' Diary under March 7, 1667), there seems to be nothing borrowed from Shakspere (Halliwell-Phillips' Dictionary, p 85)

² The construction of Shakspere's tragedy must be allowed to have lent some colour to this procedure—Betterton's performance of the character of Brutus, Colley Cibber's striking account of which is cited by Lowe, Betterton, p 129, must have been partly accountable for the special popularity of this among Shakspere's tragedies

's Garrick's alteration of Hamlet (1772), which was never printed, is described by Genest, vol v p 343, and by Vincke, u s, pp. 53-4 Steele's notice of Betterton's performance of Hamlet, only a few months before the great actor's death, is well known (See The Tailer, No 71 (Sept 22, 1709), and of Lowe's Betterton, p 177)—A List of Plays altered from Shakspere is given in Malone's edition (by Boswell), vol. it. pp 683 segg However strongly we may feel bound to reprobate tampering with the text of a great national writer, and however much we may now and then be inclined to applicate Pope's sneer (see the Preface to his edition of Shakspere) that 'Players are just such judges of what is right, as Taylors are of what is graceful,' no candid critic will ignore the special exigences of the theatre, or deny that adaptation is

and their effects So much as to the treatment of Shakspere on and by the stage, during the half-century or thereabouts which followed upon the re-opening of the theatres. The effects of this treatment have not altogether disappeared to our own day, and, taken as a whole, have rendered the popular admiration of his merits less discriminating, without materially diminishing its warmth. As to Dryden and his fellow-playwrights, they no doubt were at certain times and in certain respects influenced by imperfect or mistaken theories of the dramatic art, but candour compels the conclusion that the license wherewith as a body they treated the masterpieces of a greater past was essentially due to the ieckless spirit of their own age, which sought and found in the drama little more than a transitory amusement and a stimulant of sensual passion ¹

a labour in which both reverence and taste have at times most effectively co-operated

A fair example of the spirit in which the society of the Restoration age regarded the drama may be found in Pepys, who though he had no poetry in his soul was not incapable of higher tastes (witness his love of good music), who had his wits about him and was therefore capable of recognising merit, and who moreover confesses (Diary, Dec 10, 1663) that 'his nature was most earnest in books of pleasure, as plays,' and that he was tempted by copies of Shakspere, Beaumont and Jonson at a bookseller's He afterwards (July 7, 1664) actually purchased one of the folio editions of Shakspere, and at a later date added the fourth folio (1685), which is now in the Pepysian Library at Magdalene College, Cambridge (see Wheatley, u s, p 88). Pepys, as has been already noted, mentions the performances of not less than eleven Shaksperean plays as having been witnessed by himself, to some he takes exception, of others he approves, though rarely in terms approaching those in which he commends certain of the plays of Ben Jonson Thus he thought Macbeth 'a pretty good play' (Nov 5, 1664), and 'a most excellent play for variety' (Dec 28, 1666), and, again (here his criticism is more elaborate than usual), 'a most excellent play in all respects, but especially in divertissement, though it be a deep tragedy, which is a strange perfection in a tragedy, it being most proper here and suitable' (Jan 7, 1667) With Hamlet he was 'mightly pleased' (Aug 31, 1668) On the other hand, he considered A Midsummer Night's Dream ' the most insipid, ridiculous play that ever he saw in his life' (Sept. 25, 1662), and The Merry Wives' did not please him at all, no part of it' (Aug. 15, 1667). Othello he had 'ever heretofore' esteemed a mighty good play, but he having so lately read The Adventures of Five Hours, it seemed to him in comparison 'a mean thing' (Aug so, 1666). This, however, was the impression left upon him, not by seeing Othello, but by reading it. In the Diary of Evelyn, a man of genuine iterary taste and training, the only reference to Shakspere as a dramatist

As was indicated above, the general tendency of the Opinion on Shakepere in the one of subserviency to foreign influences Although the Restoration force of this tendency has probably been much exaggerated, age and in the ensuing vet its effects are undeniable Indeed, it would be difficult period to instance any branch of contemporary English literary composition in which the writers of these periods did not in practice largely imitate foreign models, and in theory borrow from foreign dogmatists their conceptions of the rules of their ait The French drama in especial, which in the course of these periods reached the summit of its greatness, was largely, though very far from exclusively, imitated by the writers of English tragedy, and, though by no means to the same degree, by those of comedy also, while not a few of the rules of dramatic art read into' the ancients by French literary criticism, as well as of the methods sanctioned by the usage of the chief French dramatists themselves, were commended by English writers and made more or less familial by English plactice Elisabethans, and Shakspeie above all, did not always fare well at the hands of the English critics of this age, on the other hand, it must not be forgotten that before Dryden literary criticism as applied to the drama was virtually unknown in England, and that, apart from Dryden's noble enthusiasm in favour of genius wherever he recognised it, Shakspere and the Elisabethans could not but gain in reputation far more than they lost, so soon as they began to be criticised at all 1.

is the rather ambiguous notice, under Nov 26, 1661 'I saw Hamlet, Prince of Denmark played, but now the old plays began to disgust this refined age since his Majesties being so long abroad' There is also a mention of a portrait of Shakspere in Evelyn's Correspondence (vol iii p 444, ed 1879) -I may add a reference to two allusions in this period to Falstaff, pointed out to me respectively by Professor Toller and (I think) Mr Leslie Stephen In Repys' Diary (Aug 29, 1666) Sir W. Coventry is mentioned as humorously quoting Falstaff, and in State Trials, x 570, in the curious case of Lady Ivy (1684), Lord Jeffreys says 'If he should swear as long as Sir John Falstaff fought' (i e a long hour by Shrewsbury clock) 'I would never believe him '

¹ This is excellently brought out by Mr. Ernest Walder in the chapter on Dryden which forms part of his History of Shaksperian Criticism, now on the eve of publication I have freely used what I have seen of this book, and Mslton (1630– 1671)

For to Dryden, and to no other writer, belongs the credit of having led the way as a critic of the drama and of its masterpieces in our literature—a claim impaired but little by the mistakes into which he may have been led by the tendencies of his age or by the negligence which was in a soit a defect of one of the most characteristic qualities of his genius-its liberality, if I may so apply the word. Yet it should not be forgotten that in this Restoration agemore than two years indeed after the first and most important of Dryden's critical essays was composed 1—the greatest poet to whom, after Shakspere himself, England had given buth, had published his masterpiece, and that no English writer has ever been better qualified than Milton, both by training and by inborn powers, for a critical appreciation of the achievements of his literary predecessors But the historic current of his earlier days, and the impetus with which he had cast himself into it in obedience to the inesistible dictates of his moral being, were stronger than the student's aesthetic sympathies with ideals out of the reach of his actual grasp In the beautiful twin lyrics, composed at least four years before the outbreak of the great conflict whose essential causes he had already divined. he had referred to the modern stage and its literature, although in some sense he was in contact with both, in terms of very restricted approval 'Gorgeous Tragedy' to his mind found appropriate representatives in the dramatised legends of the Attic poets, or in 'what, though rare,

> Of later age Ennobled hath the buskin'd stage 2'

And, albeit that among the writers for 'the well-trod stage' (a suggestion of disrespect seems to me to lurk in the epithet's) Milton pays a kindly tribute both to Jonson and to

of the same writer's Harness Prize Essay on Shahsperian Criticism, textual and literary, from Dryden to the end of the Eighteenth Century (1895), of which it is an expansion, in revising this section of the present chapter.

¹ Cf Dr. R. Garnett, The Age of Dryden (1895), p. 151

See Il Penseroso.

³ Such is, however, not the opmion of Mr. F T. Palgrave, whose perception in such matters is so singularly fine.

Shakspere, yet the latter and more elaborate allusion suggests that when

—'sweetest Shakespeare, Fancy's child, Warbled his native wood-notes wild,'—

there was lacking in them something,—shall we say the perfect discipline of the Muses¹? The Epitaph on the Admirable Dramatic Poet W Shakespeare (1630) is considerably earlier in date than L'Allegro, its enthusiasm, which there is no reason for depreciating as the enthusiasm of youth, is indisputable, but it contains the germ of the same distinction in the contrast drawn (no doubt favourably to them) between Shakspere's 'easy numbers' and 'slow-endeavouring art' The curious reference in Eikonoklastes (1649) to Shakspere's Richard III as illustrating by a celebrated passage², and 'other stuff of this soit,' which 'may be read throughout the whole tragedy,' the religious hypocrisy of tyrants, and of King Charles I in particular, is really beside the mark, except as showing the writer's familiarity with the source of his illustration's

¹ See L'Allegro The above, I see, is also the opinion of one of the most competent of recent editors of Milton, who holds that 'the couplet in fact is faint praise, and it may be doubted whether Milton had a very keen sense of Shakespeare's greatness' See the exhaustive note on the passage in Mr A W Verity's edition of Milton's Lycidas and other Poems, Pitt Press Series, Cambridge, 1891, pp 91-2, where it is observed that Milton was here probably thinking of A Midsummer Night's Dream and The Tempest, to which two plays there seem to be 'more allusions in his poems than to all the rest of Shakespeare's dramas put together' Mr Verity adds that 'the passages in which Milton can be said to have borrowed from Shakespeare's tragedies are very rare He tells the story of King Lear at considerable length in his History of Britain, but there is no mention of the play '- In the Theatrum Poetarum Anglicanorum, published in 1675, by Edward Phillips, Milton's nephew and pupil (edition of 1820, p 240), we find a criticism of Shakspere in which we may suspect a reminiscence of the passage in L'Allegro 'Though some others may perhaps pretend to a more exact decorum and œconomie, especially in tragedy, never any expressed a more lofty and tragic height, never any represented nature more purely to the life, and where the polishments of art are most wanting, as probably his learning was not extraordinary, he pleaseth with a certain wild and native elegance, and in all his writings hath an unvulgar style, as well in his Venus and Adonis, his Rape of Lucrece, and other various poems, as in his dramatics'

^{2 &#}x27;I do not know that Englishmen alive,' &c (act ii sc r)

^a Cf. Masson's Life of Milion, vol. iv. p 137 note The sucering assertion

In his old age (1670-1), when himself using the tragic form as a vehicle of his sense of isolation and scorn, Milton would hear of no models of tragedy but the ancients and their Italian followers, and reprobated the 'error of intermixing comic stuff with tragic sadness and gravity, or introducing trivial and vulgar persons, which by all judiciers hath been counted absurd, and brought in without discretion corruptly to gratify the people 1'. The author of Hamlet must bear his share of the reproach.

Dryden (1667 eeqq)

But Milton, under this as under other aspects, dwelt apart Dryden not only stood in the midst of the literary activity of the Restoration age, but in his own literally creations. and more especially in those of the dramatic kind by his own confession too often allowed himself to be carried away by the current which at times no other writer showed himself so capable of directing While, however, of his own dramatic work it has been said with truth that the style which he was principally instrumental in introducing into English tragedy was but little in consonance with his own natural genrus², in his dramatic criticism, and more especially in his criticism of Shakspere, the instances are comparatively rare when he failed to think and speak for hımself The general character of this criticism, which will be examined more in detail below, has been frequently misjudged, partly because slight regard has been paid to the order in date of its several phases, and more notably because its minor points have been emphasised rather than its principal issues 3. Dryden was the first English

that Shakspere 'we well know was the closest companion of these his' (the king's) 'solitudes' is unworthy of Milton, but not intended to depreciate Shakspere

Hettner, Literaturgeschichte des 18 Jahrhunderts (2nd edn 1865), vol 1

⁸ The writings of Dryden noticeable under this head are his magnificent dialogue On Dramatic Poesy (originally written in 1665, and published in 1667); the Defence of an Essay on Dramatic Poesy (1668), the short Preface to The Tempest (1669); the essay Of Herox Plays (1672); the Defence of the Epilogue to The Conquest of Granada (1672), the Preface to

¹ See the Preface to Samson Agonstes The interesting circumstance that Milton had himself in his earlier years contemplated the dramatic treatment of the theme of Macbeth will be noticed below

critic who gave adequate and ample expression to the admiration inspired by the greatness and comprehensiveness of Shakspere's genius, and by his truthful representation of human nature in its variety and complexity. In view of this fact, it is of little importance that he could not wholly free himself from the authority of supposed canons of dramatic composition-derived not from Aristotle, but from Corneille's uncanonical interpretation of Aristotlerecognised as insufficient by Dryden himself, while it is of still less moment that he for a time upheld a theory as to diamatic versification at variance with the surei instincts of Elisabethan practice And, from this broader point of view, we may altogether pass by such incidental shortcomings of judgment as appear in criticisms of particular plays with which Dryden was either imperfectly acquainted, or which in a way not uncommon with him he rather negligently remembered, or in the merely fugitive comparison between Shakspere and Fletcher as having 'writ better.' the former 'betwixt man and man,' the latter 'betwixt man and woman¹' In sum, 1 , n apologetic admixture in Dryden's criticism of Shakspeie may be charged to the account of influences which he was in too close an accordance with his times to disown, the secret of Shakspere's greatness was to him no longer a secret, and was through him first unlocked for those who could read with understanding

It was in the nature of the case, that the essence of Dryden's criticism should only slowly communicate itself to

All for Love (1678), and the essay, interpolated in the Preface to Troilus and Cressida, on The Grounds of Criticism in Tragedy (1679), besides the Prologue to The Tempest (1667), described by Sir Walter Scott as 'one of the most masterly tributes ever paid at the shrine of Shakespeare,' the Prologue to Aureng-sche (1675), the Prologue to All for Love (1678), and passages in other Prologues In the Globe edition of Dryden's Poetical Works, p 399 the late Mr. Christie printed a Prologue to Julius Caesar, without committing himself to the belief that it is by Dryden The evidence in favour of the supposition is internal only, and far from strong of its kind Its spirit may be gathered from the couplet

Such artless beauty lies in Shakespeare's wit, 'Twas well in spite of him, whate er he writ'

¹ The sequel of this remark in the essay On the grounds of Criticism in Tragedy should not be overlooked—nor the general comparative estimate which precedes it

Fuller (1661 or ante)

Langhame and Gildon (1691 and 1699) the literary world of his age Writers of the older school still harped upon his 'natural' gifts as contrasted with his lack of culture Fuller, when entolling him among The Worthies of England (published posthumously in 1662). had been careful to point out that 'his Leaining was very little, so that, as Cornish diamonds are not polished by any Lapidary, but are pointed and smoothed even as they are taken out of the Earth, so Nature itself was all the Ait which was used upon him' The conceit is quoted with approval by Langbaine who, in his Account of the Dramatick Poets (1691), 'took the liberty' of testifying to his belief in Shakspere's superiority to the rivals whom Diyden had occasionally seemed to place on an equality with him, or extolled at his expense Langbaine, however, had but a slight foliage of learning to offer as a personal contribution to the fame of 'one of the most emment poets of his time', and the revised edition of his compilation by Gildon-Pope's Gildon, described by his contemporary Boyer as 'a person of great literature, but mean genius1' (1699)-condenses rather than expands this part of the work

Rymer (1693) The nadir of Shakspere-criticism in this, or perhaps in any, age was reached by Thomas Rymer, the author of A Short View of Tragedy, its Original, Excellency and Corruption, with some Reflections on Shakespear, and other Practitioners for the Stage (1693). The Short View, though it went back upon both Aristotle and St Augustine, was, in fact, but the continuation of The Tragedies of the last Age, &c (1678, republished in 1692), where Beaumont and Fletcher had been the main victims of the censor's mauling 2 This time Shakspere's Othello and Julius Caesar were the chosen victims of a critical attempt which, far from erring wholly on the side of scholastic pedantry,

³ Some time before this, in 1673, he had put forth a Preface, in which there is nothing remarkable, to Rapin's Reflections on Aristotle's Poetics—itself by

no means a profound piece of criticism.

¹ See Mr Leslie Stephen's article on Gildon in vol. xxi of The Dictionary of National Biography (1890) I have not seen the Remarks on the Plays and Poems of Shakespeare, by Gildon, included in a volume published by Curll, in 1710, to pass as a seventh volume to Rowe's edition of Shakspere His reply to Rymer is referred to below.

was waged in the much-abused name of 'common-sense'' It is unnecessary to suppose personal motives to have contubuted to Rymer's savagery², but while it is difficult, even in the case of a writer to whom historical students owe the debt due to the editor of the Foedera, to read with patience his self-sufficient diatribes against great diamatic poets both modern and (it should be noted) ancient, he must be allowed to have here and there hit the mark Perhaps the method of criticism followed by him can hardly altogether avoid such incidental success, as a whole, however, it was hopelessly at fault And this, both because he insisted on ruthlessly applying rules instead of perceiving, as even Rapin did, that a valid rule is only nature reduced to method-and still more because he was incapable of reverencing genius. Divden said of Rymer that he blasphemed Shakspere 3, nor can this imputation, though much

1 'And certainly there is not requir'd much Learning, or that a man must be some Anstotle, and Doctor of Subtilities, to form a right judgment in this particular, common sense suffices, and rarely have I known the Women-indges mistake in these points, when they have the patience to think, and (left to their own heads) they decide with their own sense' (The Tragedies of the last Age p 4) Curiously enough Rapin blames the French dramatists for seeking in their choice of themes' to please the Women, who have made themselves Judges of these divertisements, and usurped the right to pass sentence' (Reflections on Anstotle's Book of Poesyin particular, see xx)

² His tragedy called Edgar, which was intended to 'extol monarchical principles' and at the same time to exemplify fidelity to the third unity by compressing the entire action into ten hours, was printed in 1678, and reprinted in 1691 under the title of The English Monarch For an account of it see Genest, 1 223-5, it does not appear to have been performed Addison makes fun of it in The Spectator (No 605), and after him Sir Walter Scott described it as a proof of the fact 'that a drama may be extremely regular and at the same time intolerably dull.' Dryden writes to Jonson (see Scott's Dryden, revised by Saintsbury, vol xviii p 112) that he had received 'an intimation from a friend by letter, that one of the secretaryes, I suppose Trenchard, had informed the Queen, that he had abused her government, and that thereupon she had commanded her historiographer to fall upon his' (Dryden's) 'playes'-Rymer is thought to be specially aimed at in Butler's lines Upon Critics who judge of Modern Plays precisely by the Rules of the Ancients (see R. Bell's edition of The Poems of Samuel Butler, vol m p. 104).

3 'You see what success this learned critick has found in the world, after his blaspheming Shakspeare Almost all the faults which he has discovered are truly there, yet who will read Mr Rymer, or not read Shakspeare? For my own part I reverence Mr Rymer's learning, but I detest his illnature and his arrogance. I indeed, and such as I, have reason to be

grave: than the charge which may be added of his having misinterpreted Aristotle, be held excessive as against a writer who compares the quariel between Brutus and Cassius to 'a tryal of skill in huffing and swaggering between two drunken Hectors for a two-penny reckoning,' and condemns the story of *Othello* as 'a senseless, trifling tale'

Replies to Rymer (Gildon and Dennis)

Rymei's criticism was in this sense serviceable to the growth of Shakspere's fame, that it led to a more careful study of writings which had been censured, not without a certain plausibility in some minor points, after so provo-Among those who felt it incumbent cative a fashion upon them to take up the implied challenge were Charles Gildon 1, who has already been mentioned, and John Dennis², the pair whose 'friendship long confirm'd by age' Pope's malice afterwards depicted as engaged in fiatricidal conflict³ In the present instance they were condially at one in their admiration of the genius of Shakspere, but while Gildon shows himself to all intents and purposes still under the dominion of the restrictions imposed by adherence to the French rules upon a frank acceptance of Shakspere's method, Dennis, who in a later work returned to the general theme, although regretting Shakspeie's want of acquaintance with the ancients, vindicated to him an eminence in tragedy unsurpassed in any age

Jeremy Colher (1698) The purpose of Jeiemy Collier's Short View of the Immorality and Profaneness of the English Stage (1698) was an attack upon its actual condition. His remarks on Shakspere and the Elisabethan drama in general are accordingly, of their kind, incidental, and should be judged as illustrations

afraid of him, but Shakspeare has not' (Dryden to—as it happened—Dennis, Scott's Dryden, revised by Saintsbury, vol xviii p 117) This passage by itself warrants Johnson's declaration (in his 'Life of Dryden,' in the Lives of the Poets) that it is more eligible to go wrong with Dryden 'whose criticism has the majesty of a Queen,' than right with Rymer 'whose criticism has the ferocity of a tyrant' Pope's opinion, according to Spence, that Rymer was 'one of the best critics we ever had,' 'may be accounted for by the relations between him and Dennis.'

¹ Some Reflections on Mr. Rymer's Short View, 1693

* The Impartial Critic, 1693. On the Genius and Writings of Shakespeare, 1712

³ See The Dunciad, Bk. iii vv 173-8 Concerning Gildon and Dennis as critics of Shakspere, see E Walder, History of Shaksperian Criticism, chap. iv.

adduced in furtherance of the author's main object. At the same time Collier shows a cordial appreciation of the essential merits of the Elisabethan drama, observing that its main tendency is moral and (quis negabit?) that Shakspere when he misbehaves gains nothing by his misbehavioui 1

While thus the English stage and its censors—sympa- Progress thetic, supercilious, or hostile—were turning or returning of the popularity to Shakspere as part and parcel of its fortunes and its fame, of Shakhis own reputation had advanced into the broad light of spere day On the stage his ascendency among its older writers, after being at first disputed by one or two other favourites, was gradually passing beyond the range of controversy. Into ordinary libraries a folio edition of his works, or an unauthorised quarto copy of a popular play bearing his name, cannot very often have found its way. Some time was needed for the relations between the supply and what cannot but have been a growing demand to establish themselves on a more convenient footing? Without the impulse given by the critical spirit of the Restoration age, and largely given under the influence of French examples, it may, however, be doubted whether the notion of editing Shakspere would have suggested itself so soon as it did to English men of letters Of even the beginnings of textual criticism, a genuine interest in an author, and a belief in a response to the labour implied in exerting it, as a rule form indispensable conditions.

The first edition of Shakspere published in octavo, and Early appealing to the favour of a wider circle of readers, was editions of Shakspere.

1 Jeremy Colher's remarks on the personage of Falstaff may be commended to commentators (including actors of the character) who, in attempting to purge away the grossness, have done injustice to the human (not to say the moral) significance, of the character.

² The late Mr W Bodham Donne, when near the close of a literary career which I regard with sincere admiration he honoured this book with a notice, took occasion in it to point out how imperfectly Shakspere's works were known in the early years of the eighteenth century 'For example, when passages are cited from them in The Tailer, they are either maccurately given, or they are copied from the prompter's books Addison, who may be said to have introduced Milton's Paradise Lost to multitudes of English readers, seems to have been almost ignorant of Shakspere's existence, though he is not niggardly of praise to several of the Restoration dramatists? See, however, below.

Rowe's edition (1709)

that by Rowe, which bears the date of 17091. Nicholas Rowe, who was poet-laureate, 'sheltered' his edition under the patronage of the Chancelloi of the University of Cam-Himself a dramatist of more than ordinary merit -of course of the French 'classical' school-he was able to supply details of a kind which dramaturgic experience is alone fully qualified for furnishing, he entertained an ardent veneration for the great master of his art, and a love for the man whose biography, with the aid of information gathered at Stratford by the great actor Betterton², he was the first to endeavour to construct But he was neither ambitious of textual criticism nor qualified for it, and unwittingly did ill service to Shakspeie by basing the modernised text of his popular edition, which in its turn became the foundation of the text of all subsequent editions before Capell's, upon that of the corruptest of the Folios (the fourth)3.

Shakspere's literary fame defini twely established in the 'Augustan' age We are now in the reign of Queen Anne, and in the so-called Augustan age of English literature. It was the age in which the policy of William III had at last borne fluits, gathered through the agency of the great general and statesman to whom he had bequeathed his political inheritance, the age, too, in which England stood, more decidedly than at any other time in her history, in the van among the states of Europe, as the representative of progress in almost every field of intellectual life. In those days, if our literary men at times aspired to be statesmen, our statesmen desired with at least equal aidour to be accounted literary men, or at all events to stand forth as the sympathetic friends and patrons of literature. In this period Shakspere's literary fame may be said to have been definitively established

¹ The data in the text as to successive editions of Shakspere are mostly taken from the Preface in the Cambridge Shakespeare, and F. Thimm's Shakspeariana from 1564 to 1864 (2nd edn., 1872). Set also Halliwell's Shakesperiana (1841) and Mr E. Walder's essay

² R. Lowe, Thomas Betterton, p 178

³ Pope used Rowe's text as the basis of his edition, introducing a few readings from the quartos; Theobald, who went back more diligently to the original prints, Hanmer and Warburton, similarly used Pope, and Johnson, who restored some readings of the First Folio, Warburton. See Walder, p. 78.

A large number of editions published in succession, and Pope's edimore or less in rivalry, to one another, attest the growing ton (1725) recognition of his pre-eminent importance and popularity Of these the first after that of Rowe was Pope's He had achieved glory and a competence by his translation of Homer, and the booksellers were sure that he would be able to bestow upon the public that perfect edition of Shakspere for which the time had obviously arrived The work, the result of a labour neither single-minded nor single-handed 1, made its appearance in 1725, in six quarto volumes As has been well remarked², a passage in the Pieface to this edition contains a very fair description of what the editor did not do in it For Pope there observes that 'he has discharg'd the dull duty of an editor to his best judgment, with more labour than he expects thanks, with a religious abhorrence of all innovation, and without any indulgence to his private sense and conjecture' The keynote to Pope's spirit as an editor is the quality best expressed by a word that has the authority of both Shakspere and Pope himself, viz the cocksure His canons of spelling, eg, are so certain and precise that he corrects the loose orthography of the folio followed by Rowe with a schoolmaster's promptitude and rigour, while his confidence in his own power of conjecture is so absolute that he introduces his own emendations into the text with unscrupulous freedom At the same time Pope's ingenuity and quickness of mind asserted themselves, his emendations are frequently surprisingly able, and often undoubtedly amount to an obvious restoration of the true text At other times his omissions are mere corrections, dictated by that superiority of taste to which all texts must yield Yet he was not singular in this conception of textual criticism, and, had he been trained a scholar, his name might have stood at no unmeasurable distance from that of the very Bentley whose 'desperate

¹ Pope was assisted in it by Fenton, who received '30/ 14s for his share in Pope's meagre edition of Shakspere Very little labour was bestowed upon the work, and much of that little was done by Fenton and Gay' See Elwin's Pope, vol vin. p 82, note

² Preface to Cambridge Shakspears, vol 1, p xxix.

hook' he ridiculed The 'awful Aristarch' himself might have done great service to the text of Shakspere, whose text, however, is on the whole to be considered fortunate in having escaped the more than parental supervision which Bentley bestowed on Milton's

Theobald's (1733)

Upon Pope's Shakspere (which had passed with considerable rapidity through three editions, and afterwards 1eached a fourth) followed that of Theobald, in 1733 Lewis Theobald had six years pieviously incurred the wrath of Pope by a too fiee cuticism of the dements of his edition of Shakspere in a pamphlet devoted to the subject 1, and Pope's revenge had been to constitute his critic the original hero of the Dunciad Theobald had some knowledge of the ancient as well as of the modern drama², and some acquaintance with the books which might have been known to the author whom he criticised 3 He had for some time made a special study of Shakspere, on passages of whose works he was in the habit of contributing notes to a weekly paper called Mist's Fournal— 'crucifying Shakspere once a week,' according to a line omitted from the later editions of the Duncial Theobald's reputation as an editor of Shakspeie has, however, survived that of his spiteful predecessor, and justly so. He was, which Pope was not, conscientious, and did his work with care, unlike Pope, again (whose improvements of Rowe were only in a very slight measure due to references to the First Folio and some of the quartos), he

¹ Shakspear Restored, or a Specimen of the many Errors committed as well as unamended by Mr Pope in his late edition of the Poet (1726)

Theobald was a Greek scholar of considerable knowledge, which (as Mr Elwin has sufficiently demonstrated) Pope was not, and published translations of plays of Sophocles and Aristophanes He adapted Richard II for the stage (1720), and published as Shakspere's a play called The Double Falsehood (1728), which is founded on the story of Cardenio in Don Quixote, and is thought to have been very probably written by Shirley See Dyce's edition of Shirley's Works, vol 1 p. lix, and for an account of the play, Genest, in 205 Cf a note by Professor R. Sachs in Jahrbuch d deutschen Shakespeare-Gesellschaft, vol xxvii (1892), p. 195—The Dyce Library at South Kensington also contains The Cave of Poverty, a poem written by Theobald 'in imitation of Shakespeare'

³ Warton calls Theobald the first editor of Shakspere who hit, upon the rational method of correcting his author by reading such books as the author himself had read. Thimm, Shakspearana, p. 5.

corrected the basis of his text-viz Pope's own-by means of a diligent collation of the existing prints, and he added many emendations of his own of real ingenuity and acknowledged merit

Upon the above ensued a series of editions, which it is unne- Hanner's cessary to seek to characterise individually, more especially (1744) as some of them are no longer in ordinary use Sir Thomas Hanmer's, published in 1774 at the Oxford University Press, of which the only excellence seems to have been the beauty of its type, but which called foith a tribute of recognition from the poet Collins¹, was succeeded by Warbuiton's (1747), professing to follow Pope's text, but in Warburreality departing very freely from it, and freely intro- (1747) ducing the emendations of other editors, and above all Warbuiton's own According to Mark Pattison², even Iohnson's Preface could not open Warburton's eyes to the fallacy of his belief in himself as a restorer of Shakspere 3 Next came Hugh Blair's (1753), and Samuel Blair's Johnson's, which was, after a long delay, completed in (1753) 1765 Of this edition the Preface and the brief observa- (1765) tions on the several plays form by far the most valuable portion. For a thorough textual criticism Johnson hardly possessed the necessary qualifications, besides being hampered by the physical difficulty of a defective eyesight. His text is based upon Warbuiton's, but he had examined the First Folio, and the dialectical ingenuity and straightforwardness of his critical intellect, the robustness of his memory, and his considerable acquaintance with as much of our earlier literature as was in his time known to any

Johnson's

VOL. I. M m

¹ See Collins' Epistle addressed to Sir Thomas Hanner, on his edition of Shakespeare's Works. These lines show a warm admiration for Shakspere on the part of Collins, who speaks of him as 'the perfect boast of time' The distinction which he draws between Shakspere and Fletcher is the same as that attempted by Dryden. While Fletcher was a master in the depiction of female passion-

Stronger Shakespear felt for man alone Drawn by his pen, our ruder passions stand The unrivall'd picture of his early hand'

² Essays (1889), vol. ii. p 124

Foote's joke (the best, according to his own judgment, he ever made). about 'Warburton upon Shakspere,' will be remembered

Johnson as a critic of Shakspere

but a few professed antiquaries 1, frequently helped him to conjectures which have since gained general acceptance On the other hand, he brought to the study of Shakspere the full power of a large and, in the best sense, liberal intellect He was indeed still under the influence of the literary tastes of the Augustan age He could not conceive of a poet greater than Pope He could think a felicitouslytoned description in Congreve's Morning Bride superior to any passage to be found in Shakspere. And, moleover, the bent of his mind was not poetical, nor could it be expected that Johnson should exhibit a full appreciation of Shakspere when even Goldsmith was without it 2. Thus, the tone of Johnson's Pieface is cold when compared with the ardour of Dryden's enthusiasm But Johnson was wise and broad-minded enough to reject with scoin the 'minute and slender criticisms of Voltaire,' and his perfect reasonableness made it easy for him to see the truth about the 'unities' which Dryden had failed to grasp 'Whether Shakspeare knew the unities, and rejected them by design. or deviated from them by happy ignorance, it is, I think, impossible to decide, and useless to enquire We may reasonably suppose that, when he rose to notice, he did not want the counsels and admonitions of scholars and critics, and that he at last deliberately persisted in a practice. which he might have begun by chance As nothing is essential to the fable but unity of action, and as the unities of time and place arise evidently from false assumptions, and, by circumscribing the extent of the drama, lessen its variety. I cannot think it to be lamented that they were not known to him, or not observed, nor if such another poet should arise, should I very vehemently reproach him that his first act passed at Venice, and his next in Cyprus 3.

² See, in illustration of this remark, chap x (On the Stage) of Goldsmith's

Inquiry into the Present State of Polite Learning (1750).

¹ In 1753, Johnson wrote a Preface to Mrs. Lennox's Shakespear Illustrated a collection of stories on which his plays are founded

This cavil had already been advanced with much show of wit in Rymer's Shart View 'For the second act, our Poet having dispatcht his affairs at Venice, shews the action next (I know not how many leagues off) in the Island of Cyprus. The audience must be there too; and yet our Bays had it never in his head, to make any provision of Transport ships for them.'

Such violations of rules merely positive become the comprehensive genius of Shakspeaie, and such censures are suitable to the minute and slender criticisms of Voltaire' The passage which I have quoted is written in the true spirit of criticism; for it acknowledges, with a distinctness wanting even to Dryden's protests in the same direction, the paramount claims of creative genius As Lessing justly says 1, the artist of genius contains in himself the test of all rules, while he understands, retains and follows only those among them which express his feeling in words. In other words, as genius varies, so the application of rules must be varied, and it is solely by an endeavour to understand the intellectual life and developement of a great artist (or indeed of any artist whom it is worth while to criticise at all) that the critic can vindicate his right to attention in the capacity of a guide,—for to act as such is the one purpose of his functions, whatever notions he may entertain of them himself²

In addition to this insight into the nature of true literary criticism, Johnson was a faithful and acute observer of human character, and his psychological comments, simple and to the point notwithstanding their grandiloquence of diction, will frequently be found to furnish assistance, where the more ambitious efforts of his successors have a tendency to darken the author's meaning

In subsequent editions (from that of 1773 onwards) Johnson Johnson had the advantage of the co-operation of George and Steevens' Steevens, who had already (in 1766) edited a reprint from edition the Quartos of twenty of Shakspere's plays, and whose learning explained, from the literature contemporary with Shakspere, many passages in him that had previously

² Cf Stahr's G E Lessing, 1 326

² It need not be added that the history of the classical drama in itself suffices to teach the necessity of keeping in view the relation between rules and the rights of creative power Already Ben Jonson very properly says, after touching on the progressive character of the history of Classical Comedy 'We should enjoy the same licence, or free power to illustrate and heighten our invention as they [the ancients] did, and not be tied to those strict and regular forms which the niceness of a few, who are nothing but form, would thrust upon us' See Introduction to Every Man out of his Humour

Farmer's essay (1767)

Edward Capell s edition (1767)

Tohnson also benefited by a variety remained obscure of information and suggestions furnished by Dr. Farmer. Master of Emmanuel College, Cambudge, who enjoyed a high renown as a Shakspeiean scholar His essay On the Learning of Shakspere, which both Johnson and Warton declared to have permanently settled the question at issue 1. had first appeared in 1767 Johnson and Steevens' edition had been preceded by that of Capell (1767), of which the Preface was severely commented on by Johnson, but which the Cambudge editors of our own times have not overpraised in describing it as 'by far the most valuable contribution to Shakespearian criticism that had yet appeared 2. Its distinctive merit lies in the fact that, whereas previous editors had only professed to found their text upon the old copies. Capell had, with infinite labour, really collated them, and critically examined their relative significance and value Moreover, he pointed the way which Steevens so successfully took to a thorough study of Shakspere's sources. and he made a special study of Shakspeiean veisification Capell devoted the better part of a life-time to his labour of love, publishing its results under conditions unfavourable to immediate fame, of which like a true scholar he seems to have been careless 3

Malone's (1790). Johnson and Steevens' edition was republished in 1778, and in 1785 by Isaac Reed, with contributions by Edmund Malone, who in 1780 had brought out a supplementary volume of his own, containing the *Poems*, and in 1790 published his own edition of the *Works* In Steevens' own

¹ Dr Johnson's compliment is, however, deprived of its value by his observation in answer to Colman's query on the same subject, 'What says Farmer to this? what says Johnson?' 'Sir, let Farmer answer for himself' I never engaged in this controversy. I always said that Shakspeare had Latin enough to grammaticise his English' See Langton's Collectanea in Croker's Boswell, vii, 365

² Cambridge Shakespeare, 1 XXXVI

³ See Thumm, Shakspeariana, p 7 The uncouthness of his style interfered with his reputation, Johnson said that if Capell had come to him, he would have endowed his purposes with words, and Warburton pronounced him an idiot, (See Howard Furness' Preface to the New Variorium edition of Machelle, 1873, pp. vi-vii, where an honourable tribute is paid to him. Cf. the full and ligid exposition of Capell's merits, ap. Walder, pp. 125 seqq.

edition, of 1793, he unhappily abandoned safer methods, and while seeking to ridicule Malone forfeited on his own part much of that confidence which was permanently secured by his equally laborious but more faithful rival. The industry of these two rivals supplied the most considerable portion of the learning which fills the great 'Variorum' edition of 1821, Reed's edited by James Boswell from a corrected copy left by (1803-13) and Bos-Malone. (For the so-called first and second Variorums of well's 1803 and 1813 Reed had made himself responsible) The Variorum, twenty-one volumes of the 'third Variorum' remain the fullest storehouse of the English Shakspere-learning of the old school; and it is difficult to believe that they will ever be superseded as the standard edition of ordinary English libraries. Many other editions were published in these Activity of years and in those immediately succeeding, which it would English Shakspere serve no purpose to enumerate here 1 Nor can I touch scholarship upon the critical and controversial tracts which some of the chief editions called forth, and among which the pamphlets of Joseph Ritson on the editions of Steevens and Malone (1783, 1788 and 1789), and those of John Monck Mason (1785, 1798 and 1807) were conspicuous² In every size and in every form, in folio and in miniature, illustrated with ponderous splendour and expurgated by timid prudery, Shakspere was now in the hands of the leading public, and it has been calculated that during the eighteenth century alone as many as 30,000 copies of Shakspere's works were dispersed through England 3.

Thus the greatest of English poets had, through the Influence of spread of his printed works, at last been popularised among taste on the his fellow-countrymen, while the influence of the stage (of criticism of

Shakspere.

¹ It is interesting to learn (see Academy, April 11, 1874) that an edition of Shakspere was contemplated, and actually commenced, by Sir W. Scott Three volumes (not including the introductory, to which Scott's own labours were to be chiefly confined) were printed by 1826, and a copy of them is preserved in the Public Library of Boston, U.S.

² See Cambridge Shakespeare, vol 1 p xxxix

³ Thimm, Shakspeariana, p. 8 The most gigantic monument of individual enthusiasm for Shakspere belonging to the eighteenth century is Richard Warner's Glossary of his plays, compiled probably some time between 1750 and 1770, which, in seventy-one volumes in quarto and octavo, remainsstill in MS -in the British Museum Ib, p 6.

which immediately) had with renewed force contributed to

Early
traces of an
acquaintance with
Shakspere
in French
writers
(seventeenth
century)

the same result Yet it was only gradually that the English mind, in securing this noble portion of its inheritance, had freed itself from interference with its enjoyment of the treasure by tastes and tendencies of alien growth Addison1 was of service, though but very occasionally, to a closer study of Shakspere's characteristics as a dramatic poet, but it is wonderful that neither he nor any of his literary contemporaries should have given signs that they had freely opened their natures to his influence in its whole depth and breadth Consciously or unconsciously, the literary inclinations of Englishmen were still laigely swayed by French taste, with whose models it was difficult to reconcile the vivid and varied movement of the Elisabethan drama Its master-spirit Shakspere, cannot, however, have been wholly unknown in France, even before (in 1726-8) Voltaire visited England, and, much to the unsettlement of the balance of his own critical judgments on the subject of the diama, personally re-discovered Shakspere Whether or not an occasional resemblance to passages in Hamlet may be traceable in the Agrippina of Cyramo de Beigerac (1654)2, it is not easily conceivable that in the course of our Restoration age some knowledge of the Elisabethan drama, and of Shakspere's plays in particular, should have failed to find its way across the Narrow Seas St Evremond, whose works were published at Paris in 1699, had spent most of his life in England, and had there attained some knowledge of the productions of our stage, including to all appearance at least one play in the Shaksperean canon (Henry VIII), and Peter Anthony de Motteux, another refugee, who had become domiciled in the English world of letters, had interested himself in Rymer's attacks upon Shakspeie, and, sympathetically, in Dennis' projected defence of him (1692-3)3.

¹ See *The Spectator*, Nos 141, 419. Both passages refer to Shakspere's treatment of the supernatural

² See Miss Toulmin Smith's note to Centuris of Prayse, and edn, in New Shahspere Society's Publications, 1879, p 416, correcting a statement in the first edition of this book for which I must confess myself unable to furnish a watrant.

³ See 16., pp. 396 and 415. Cf an article on the appreciation of Shakspere

The impulse of Voltaire's wit and fire was however needed Voltaire to stil up the contioversial ferment which brought about and Shakspere the spread of a wider interest in Shakspere among Fiench readers, from which the true spirit of critical appreciation but only very gradually—disengaged itself Voltane's claims to the literary leadership of France were sustained by him through a period of unexampled length, and the fact of his predominant share in asserting her intellectual ascendency among civilised nations, and in extending its sphere, has been confirmed rather than weakened by the judgment of generations no longer subject to his dictatorship. But his temperament was not poetical, and of the true purposes of the drama a glimpse was only fitfully caught by his restless eve With the models of the classical diama his acquaintance seems to have been superficial, and the contempt with which he frequently refers to the ancients is by no means the offspring of familiarity 1. On the other hand, although the lightness with which his mind moved left it constantly open to the reception of new impressions, which his incomparably clear style never failed to communicate in an effective fashion to his public, they had no permanent abiding with himself, like the old literary habitudes to which the traditions of the great era of the Fiench theatre had inured him. In his censures of Shakspeie there is accordingly both inconsistency and a pertinacity which survives all changes of mood 2. The impression made upon him by the greatest representative of the Elisabethan drama first became manifest in his Brutus. of which the production was deferred to 1730 In the Discours sur la Tragédie addressed to Bolingbioke, prefixed to this play on publication, Voltaire poses as the champion of the methods, including the rimed verse, of French tragedy, but makes no secret of his perception of the force derived by the English tragic stage from the action

in England, France and Germany, by Dr. Riedel in Herrig's Archiv für das Studium der neueren Sprachen u Literaturen, vol xlvin p 25

¹ See, for illustrations of this, Hettner, Literaturgeschichte des 18 Jahrhunderts, vol 11 p 390

² For a full consecutive survey of these, see the essay Voltaire und Shakespeare, by W. König, jun, in vol x of the Jahrbuch, &c (1875).

which forms its most distinctive feature Incidental illustrations of Voltaire's insight into Shaksperean workmanship are noticeable in some of his plays belonging to the ensuing period of his dramatic authorship 1. One of his Lettres sur les Anglais (1734)—famous as the first of his productions condemned to the flames—dealt with Shaksperean tragedy. in which it acknowledged the presence of powerful genius. while regretting the absence of a spark of good taste and of the slightest knowledge of rules 2. His Mort de César, surreptitiously published in 1735, showed a direct influence -unprecedented with him-of what may, notwithstanding all differences of treatment and form, be in this instance fairly called his Shaksperean model No such influence was, however, perceptible in his ensuing dramatic works, until the revival of the ghost from Eryphile in Sémiramis (1748) suggested the criticism of Hamlet, and of the dramatic genius of its author, in the Dissertation sur la Tragédie prefixed by Voltaire to the later play It is in this essay that the French public was informed that 'the tragedy of Hamlet is a coarse and barbarous piece, which would not be tolerated by the lowest mob in France or Italy', and that 'seemingly Nature thought fit to unite in the head of Shakspeare the greatest strength and grandeur imaginable with the lowest and most detestable characteristics of coarseness unredeemed by wit.'

French versions of Shakspere (1746 et post). But, in point of fact, the French public was already being placed in a position to form for itself, however slowly, an opinion on the merits of Shakspere In 1746 had appeared the first volume of a series of versions (it is stated, ill and unfaithfully executed) of Shaksperean and other Elisabethan plays, under the title of *Le Théâtre Anglais*, to which

¹ See Éryphile (1732) and its ghost; Adélaide du Gueschn (1634), as illustrating the effect of the Histories; and above all Zaire (1732), one of the acknowledged dramatic masterpieces of its author, who never confessed the debt which in it he owed to Othello

^{*} This letter contained the counterpart of Hamlet's soliloguy as it ought to have been written:

Demeure, il faut choisir, et à passer à l'instant De la vie à la mort, et de l'être au neant,' &c.

Cf. Karl Elze, Hamlet in Frankreich, in Jahrbuch, &c., vol. 1. (1865).

its responsible editor, Laplace, had prefixed a general dissertation and a biography of Shakspere. It is true that after (in 1760) Voltaire had at last published his own translation of Julius Caesar, accompanying it by a commentary depreciatory of the author's taste and bieeding in comparison with those of Corneille¹, the Academy in thanking him regretted that it had been impossible to procure a copy of the original for purposes of comparison arrogance with which in his later years Voltaire continued arbitrarily to mingle praise and blame in his utterances on Shakspere², was, on the face of it, merely the assertion of a supremacy, of which the days were numbered in relation to many matters besides those specially affected by these utterances In 1769 I. Ducis brought out his version of Hamlet, in which, duly mindful of the example set by supreme authority, he undertook to disengage the northern light from its concomitant fogs This adaptation-which under different literary conditions might have been termed audacious 3-was followed by re-modellings of Romeo and

In the observations at the close occurs the assertion that Corneille's genius stands in the same relation to Shakspere's as that of a man of birth and breeding to that of a man of the people endowed by nature with the same intellectual power. The celebrated description of Shakspere as 'le Corneille de Londres, grand fou d'ailleurs et ressemblant plus a Gilles qu'a Corneille, mais il a des morreaux admirables' seems to belong to as early a date as 1735 ('Gille,' according to the Dictionnaire de l'Academie, is 'un personnage du spectacle de la foire'). Cf Hettner, us, vol. 11 p 232 Voltaire afterwards spoke derisively of 'Gilles Shakespeare,' and his henchman 'Gilles Letourneur' (König, us, pp 292 and 295)—His commentary on Corneille, it may be mentioned, was published in 1772

² In the pamphlet published in 1761 under the pseudonym of Jerôme Curré, and in critical observations published on various occasions in his own name or contained in his correspondence. See König, us, pp. 288-296. It is impossible to forget that this was the period of Voltaire's career rendered illustrious by his championship of the cause of Tolerance in connexion with the Calas case; and it is interesting to note that in the article Intolerance in the Dictionnaire Philosophique he finds a place for Shakspere among the intellectual elite anathematised, as a matter of course, by the objects of his scorn. This tribute, as is well observed by Grillparzer in his aphorisms on Shakspere (Werke, 2nd edn., 1874, vol. ix. p. 349), redeems many of Voltaire's aspersions of Shakspere.

³ The Ghost (notwithstanding the august Voltairean precedents) is not admitted on the stage. Ophelia intensifies the plot by becoming the daughter of Claudius. Hamlet survives the fifth act, ending his theatrical developement with the mot: 'I shall know how to live, which is more than

Juliet, King Lear, Macbeth and Othello The whole series—as at least it seems to me—is commendable in its way, though the way is much that of a modern opera-libretto

Encouraged by signs favourable to the widening of the literary horizon of his fellow-countrymen, Pierre Letourneur was able in 1776 to commence the publication of his French annotated Shakspere, which, with the co-operation of Counts de Catuelan and Fontaine-Malheibe, was brought to a completion in 17831. The commencement of this edition —one of those literary feats which vindicate the supreme utility of endowments-provoked Voltaire's Letters to the Academy (1776), which, while they exhibit their author as consistent in his inconsistency, also offer illustrations, as humorous as they are lamentable, of the recklessness of subjective criticism in extremis Shakspere is here saluted as a drunken savage, a clumsy tope-dancer, a mountebank in 1 ags—but this 'Thespis' could at times also be a Sophocles, and interpose among the filthy drunkards of his scene heroes in whose features majesty was to be traced 2 echoes of anathemas so strangely toned off would probably have died out before very long-more especially as the source of these judgments was no longer regarded as one of literary infallibility—had not the times soon become so prohibitive of an understanding, even in matters of literature, between the French and the English public As it was, these echoes were audible even in the spacious literary repetitorium presided over, with results so admirable on the

Voltaire's final utterances on Shakspere (1776)

to die '—This revised *Hamlet* had a literary success sufficient to cause it to be translated both into Italian and into Dutch —The *Othello*, on its production by Talma at the *Theâtre Français* in 1791, was held to have been 'composed by a Moor, not by a Frenchman.' (Cf Th. Muret, *L'Histoire par le Theâtre* (1789-1851), vol 1 p 65 See 1b, pp 212 seqq, for a very pleasing account of Ducis)

¹ It comprised the notes of Steevens and previous English editors, as well as the notes in the German translation by Eschenburg. This publication, which bore the characteristically apologetic motto 'Homo sum, human mhil' (as Elze says, not even Shakspere) 'a me alienum puto,' attracted the sympathetic praises of Diderot

Hettner, vol. n. p 232, cf König, p 301—It would be difficult to imagine a more contemptible spectacle than that of Voltaire the courtier laying at the feet of the Princesses of the Blood the stones which Voltaire the critic has been hurling against 'Gilles.'

whole, by the voluminous Laharpe (1799-1805), and in the criticisms of Voltaire's assailant, J L Geoffroy (from about 1776 onwards), who vainly sought in Shakspere for 'a trace of the ideas and manner of Sophocles 1.

At home in England, Voltaire's antithetical mixture of Replies to praise and blame to Shakspere's address had not failed Voltane either to command attention of to provoke comment Mrs Elisabeth Montagu's Essay on the Writings and Mrs Mon-Genrus of Shakspeare (1770), designed as an independent tagu criticism, and in point of fact so independent as to attract the dictatorial censures of Johnson, with whose literary principles it was largely in accord, ventured on some direct attacks both upon Voltaire, and, more especially, upon his model tragic poet Corneille. The general ments of Mrs Montagu's book cannot of course be rated so high now as they were in her own day, when it enjoyed high esteem. Easy in style, and adorned by grace and wit enough to show that our early blue-stockings were also women of the world, it is deficient in depth and originality, and is worthy of enduring remembrance chiefly because of the fearlessness of spirit which is too often the main desideratum in criticisms of very masculine pretensions. In 17774 Joseph Contem-Baietti, who during his long period of residence in London porary English had secured the esteem of Johnson, published in French criticisms of his Discours sur Shakespeare et sur M de Voltaire-an essay of noticeably unprejudiced spirit, at least as to the

Shakspere

¹ Cf Elze, u s, p 99

² See E Walder, Shaksperian Criticism, pp 17-18, 55 segg

⁸ Mrs Montagu's Essay received many memorable tributes of praise -among others the expression of what appear to have been Johnson's second-and probably juster-thoughts concerning it-how it was 'ad hommem, conclusive against Voltaire, &c ,-and, as late as 1788, enthusiastic praise from Cowper - See, for an amusing account of the original reception of the book, Dr Doran's A Lady of the Last Century (1873), pp 148-156 He relates (p. 207) that in 1776 Mrs Montagu was present in the Academy during the reading of a furious paper by Voltaire against Shakspere When the reading came to an end, Suard remarked to her. 'I think, Madam, you must be rather sorry at what you have just heard!' The English lady promptly replied. 'I, sir! Not at all I am not one of M de Voltaire's friends.'

^{*} This was the year after Baretti's final estrangement from Mis Thrale (afterwards Mrs Piozzi), and two years after their and Johnson's joint visit to Paris.

critical pretensions of the second-named literally magnate 1. Among English writers who in this period contributed to a larger, if not in all respects adequate, estimate of Shakspere's genius, William Richardson (1774–1797) should find remembrance—one of the Scottish professors of humanity who have vindicated to their chair its opulent title, for his many and various writings on Shakspere render due honour to the English poet as a classic for all time 2. He would seem to have been most successful in the branch of criticism essayed in his earliest production, A Philosophical Analysis of some of Shakespeare's Remarkable Characters (1774). A very noticeable effort in the same direction was the paradoxical, but singularly able, Essay on the Dramatic Character of Sir John Falstaff (1777) by Maurice Morgann, a dilettante of fine type 3.

Shakspere and the English stage in the latter half of the eighteenth century

Thus, then, both in England and, after the fitful fashion described, in France, the fame of Shakspere had in the course of the eighteenth century progressed towards its height in the world of letters. The final impulse towards a full literary recognition of the poet was to come from yet another quarter, but meanwhile his works had been enabled to make a more powerful appeal than at any previous time to direct popular sympathy in his own land I have no wish to touch in this place upon the general history of the English stage in the eighteenth century, but any sketch, however brief, of the growth of the knowledge and appreciation of Shakspere in his native land ought to include at least a reference to the artistic career of Garrick. In the person of this incomparable actor genius of a high order did true service to genius of the very highest.

David Garrick was born in 1716; but the birthday of

¹ Cf. König, u s., pp 303-4

² See Mr Thomas Bayne's notice of him in vol xlviii of the Dictionary of National Biography (1896), and of E. Walder, Shaksperian Criticism, pp 60-69, Richardson seems at the same time to have shown a singular appreciation of Shakspere's fidelity to nature, although (more philosophorum) he entertained doubts as to the sufficiency of such guidance.

³ Cf Walder, u s., p 18, and Mr Seccombe's notice in the same volume of *The Dictionary of National Biography*. Morgann's essay was republished in 1825, with a biref notice of the author, who was Under Secretary of State in Lord Landowne's first administration and died in 1802.

54I

his theatrical caieer was the 19th of October, 1741, when, Garrick in a small theatre near Goodman's Fields, he made his first (1741-1776) appearance in London (incognito, for he had adopted the profession of the stage against the wishes of his family), in the character of Richard III. 'That young man,' said Pope, who had been induced to come up from his retirement to witness this performance, 'never had his equal, and never will have a rival' So far as it is possible to judge in such a case, the history of the English stage seems to have justified Pope's confident prophecy From the very beginning of his career Garrick occupied an unapproached, though at first not uncontested, pre-eminence in his profession His unparalleled success seems to have been due, in very unequal proportion, to three causes birth, breeding, and natural gifts,—he had some French blood in his veins, he was gently born and gently nurtured, and nature had given him an eye, if not a stature, to command, and a mimic power of inexhaustible variety. Secondly, to his education,—both that which he had received at the hands of his teachers (Johnson was one of them), and that which to the last he continued to give to himself He loved literature, not merely because of its connexion with the profession which he had adopted, but because of an innate and carefully developed taste, he was himself not without literary endowment, and patient study made him a scholar among actors, until he could hold his own as an actor among scholars 1. Thudly, and above all, to his genius, which at many points placed him in immediate contact with the genius of Shakspere, and enabled him to perceive intuitively and to reproduce directly the very essence of those characters which the ordinary actor, like the ordinary reader, sees only dimly or in a more or less shadowy outline²

¹ It was with the view, never realised, of publishing an edition of Shakspere, that Garrick formed the collection of old plays now in the British Museum Charles Lamb used this collection for his *Specimens*, and afterwards published a special series of *Extracts* from it in Hone's *Table Book* (1827)

^{2 &#}x27;His' (Shakspere's) 'very spirit,' says Mrs. Montagu in the Introduction to her Essay, 'seems to come forth and animate his characters, as often as Mr. Garrick, who acts with the same inspiration with which he wrote,

His services to Shakspere

But I must here confine myself to Garrick's direct services to Shakspere It can hardly be doubted that the Richard III in which he first appeared was Colley Cibber's version, on the other hand, it is certain that King Lear and King John followed in the same year, and Macbeth not long afterwards, in the original text So unaccustomed had the public and the actors become to this original text. that Gairick's rival, Ouin, asked him where he had picked up all the strange words which he had introduced into the play In 1748, Romeo and Juliet, which had not been acted for more than eighty years, was again produced, and, to sum up, I find from the lists given by a recent biographer of Garrick 1, that he assumed himself seventeen different Shaksperean characters, while during his management of Drury Lane (which lasted from 1747 to 1776) he produced altogether not less than twenty-four of Shakspere's plays Thus he came very near to realising the plan conceived about this time by Frederick Prince of Wales (who delighted in playing the patron of literature), of producing successively on the stage every one of Shakspere's diamas.

It would at the same time be ill-judged to misstate the nature of the services rendered by this indefatigable interpreter to the poet with whose fame he thus identified his own. Garrick was of course moved to these exertions not solely by his admiration for Shakspere's genius. As an actor, and still more as a manager, he was obliged to consult the taste of his public; nor was his own taste—how could it have been?—on the highest level of pure sympathy with Shakspere's poetic genius. He therefore treated many of the Shaksperean plays which he produced with arbitrary self-will; he mutilated several of the comedies, and allowed himself alterations and interpolations even in some of the tragedies,—even, as has been already seen., in

assumes them on the stage' (So Klopstock wrote in Schröder's album 'Schröder plays no part well, for he is always the man himself.' F. L. Schmidt, Denkwärdigkeiten, &c, vol n. p. 135) It was therefore a well-mented tribute, and no commonplace compliment, when Churchill, in his Rosciad, made Shakspere himself assign the palm to Garrick.

P. Fitzgerald, Life of Garnek, 2 vols (1868)

^{*} Ants, p. 515 and note. The omission of the grave-diggers seems to have

Hamlet, hitherto untouched by English adapters the essence of the service which he rendered was not only that, surrounded as he was by a brilliant band of distinguished actors and actresses, he gave a new and unpiecedented impulse to the popular admiration of the genius of Shakspeie, but that he practically corrected the false view which had pervaded successive generations of literary criticism, and which Johnson's sedate insight would not have sufficed to correct, as to the intrinsic rudeness and imperfection of the gifted pre-Augustan poet showed, by the quickest and least disputable method of interpretation, that Shakspere's art is supremely adequate to its ends, and thus he vindicated for Shakspere's genius that which even enthusiastic critics and editors had hitherto been prone to deny to it Remembering this, we may omit any reference to the excesses and extravagances into which Garrick was hurried by a vanity anything but surprising, when not only the general nature but the special culcumstances of his career are taken into consideration. Thus, we may even pass by the pretentious farce of the Shakspere Jubilee at Stratford in 1769 (five years after the Bicentenary of the poet's birthday) which, by the way, is significant of the subsidiary fact that in helping to make Shakspere popular Garrick had also succeeded in making him fashionable Since Garrick, Shakspere has in good times Shakspere as in evil been held in supreme honour on the English stage, permanentit has been impossible either to deny his royalty or to leave lansed on him a ron fainéant, and to this day, though the number the English stage of his plays actually holding the boards still falls far short of the entire canon, and though 'all that glisters' in the method of their performance 'is not gold,'-yet the success which his works command on the stage is something altogether different from a mere 'success of esteem' or tribute of acknowledgment paid to his literary pre-eminence. In other

been due, not to critical prejudice, but to a desire to save the play from the buffooneries that had become traditional in the scene in question

¹ If my records serve me, eight of the thirty-seven plays have never been seen on any English stage since I first became a play goer, and one or two more have been only experimentally produced

words, Shakspere has never lost the popularity which it is the great actor's merit to have definitively and permanently established for his beloved master in their common sphere.

It was thus that the nation which had given birth to Shakspere possessed itself of the readiest key to a just appreciation of its greatest poet, and attained to a perception of the twin truths, that nature and art are not antithetical to one another, and that in Shakspere they are not indeed uniformly and perfectly, but in sum and substance, harmonised About the same time the same lesson was first impressed upon a kindred nation, with greater force and fulness of theory, though in no sense by the dissociation of theory from piactice. The writer who first placed the claims of Shakspere in a clear and indisputable light was the great German Lessing, one of the most original and most powerful critics of all times

Early
knowledge
of Shakspere in
Germany
'The
English Comedians'

Lessing was far from being the first to introduce the plays of Shakspere to the notice of his countrymen. a previous chapter brief reference has been made to the close connexion which prevailed, in the latter part of the sixteenth century, between the English stage and the theatres of Germany and its borderlands on the North and Baltic seas 1. A large number of the plays performed in these regions during the period in question consisted of reproductions of well-known English plays—the most popular pieces of Shakspere's predecessors and contemporaries, and not a few of Shakspere's own. Thus, within a few months of the year 1626 the English comedians at Dresden performed, in addition to plays by Kyd, Marlowe and Greene, a Romeo and Julietta, a Julius Caesar, a Hamlet Prince in Denmark, and a Lear King in England, all of which may fairly be presumed to have been the Shaksperean plays2. Direct

⁴ See the complete list in A Cohn, Shakespeare in Germany, pp. cxv-cxvi. Cf. ants, p. 473 note 3, as to the performance at Graz, in 1608, of a German version of The Merchant of Venue.

¹ See ante, pp 471-3.—As to the performances of the English comedians in the Netherlands (at Gröningen and Utrecht in 1597, at Leyden in 1604 and 1605, &c), and the literary relations of the seventeenth century to which they helped to give rise, see Lina Schneider, Shakespeare in den Nuederlanden, in Jahrbuch, &c., vol. xxvi. (1891).

influences of this description must unmistakeably have operated upon such German dramatists as Duke Henry Julius of Brunswick and Jacob Ayrer in the composition of their dramatic works, whatever may have been the actual relations between particular plays composed by them and their Shaksperean similars 1, and not many years after the deaths of these two dramatists the plays of the English comedians appeared in print, and were therefore readily accessible to German dramatists Andreas Gryphius (1616- Early 1664), who survived the Thirty Years' War, confessed to imitations having taken his Absurda Comica, oi Herr Peter Squenz, and adapfrom Daniel Schwenter (who died in 1636), but the Midsummer Night's Dream was undoubtedly its primary if not its immediate source 2 Christian Weise, whose Comedy of the angry Catherine was performed in 1705, must have been acquainted with Shakspere's Taming of the Shrew 3. These examples must suffice to prove the indisputable fact that in Germany some knowledge of Shakspere's plays had survived even the blight which had spread over the intellectual activity of the nation after its seemingly hopeless political collapse

But it was as plays of unknown origin, brought over by English actors, that Shaksperean plays had thus become and remained known in Germany, nor can the influence which they and then like exercised upon the literary developement of such a writer as Gryphius be regaided as having

VOL. I. Nn

¹ Jacob Ayrer's Comoedia von zweyen Bridern auss Syracus was probably imitated from an adaptation of the Menaechini of Plautus earlier than Shakspere's comedy, the relations between his Sidea and The Tempest form a question of more difficulty and importance, to which I shall return below Ayrer also wrote a Comedia vom Konig Edwarto, dem dritten diss Namens, &c. See the Introduction to the select plays by Ayrer, printed in Part ii of J Tittmann's Schauspiele aus dem 16 Jahrhundert (Leipzig, 1868) Of the plays of Duke Henry Julius, as a rule simpler in form, it would be difficult to single out one which shows the direct influence of Shakspere, though this has been thought demonstrable in the case of the Comoedia von Vincentio Ladislao Satrapo von Mantua See the Introduction to Tittmann's edition of select plays by the Duke (Leipzig, 1880)

² Cohn. p cxxx Cf as to Gryphius' acquaintance with Shakspere, Goedeke, Elf Bücher deutscher Dichtung, 1. 374

⁸ Cohn, u. s., seems convincing as against Genee, Gesch der Shakespeare'schen Dramen in Deutschland, p 52

exercised any important effect upon the progress of German literature at large. Later dramatists, such as Michael Kongehl (1646–1710), treated Shaksperean subjects without betraying the least direct acquaintance with the corresponding Shaksperean plays. German literature, following the classicising direction first given to it by Martin Opitz and, except in certain trivial growths of enduring tenacity, the German stage, which had surrendered itself to the alien rule of the opera, alike ceased to derive any of their inspirations from the English drama

First German mention of Shakspere personally (1682)

As, from this period onwards, German literature gradually fell into bondage to French taste, the beginnings of a knowledge of Shakspere were extinguished before they had attained to any considerable significance His name is first mentioned in a German work in 16822, but the author of this confesses himself wholly unacquainted with Shakspere's writings A second notice occurs in 1704, but only in a secondhand quotation from an English authority 8 A few other references follow in later years, but Shakspere's name is conspicuous by its absence from the second edition of the Kritische Dichtkunst, published in 1797, of Gottsched, the dictator of the German literary world in those days of bondage 4 It is even more curious that, in 1740 and 1741, Bodmer, who strongly approved of the influence exercised by English literature upon that of his native country, should, although twice adverting to Shakspere, under the disguises, to be sure, of 'Saspar' and 'Sasper,' betray no personal acquaintance with his writings In the second of these very years (1741) the first attempt at translating Shakspere into German was made by C W von

Bodmer's 'Sasper' (1740-1),

First German translation of a

² Genée, u. s , cf Cohn, p cxxxm

² In Morhoff's Unterricht von der deutschen Sprache und Poësie Cf Cohn, p. cxxxvi

^a Viz Sir William Temple, in Barthold Feind's Gedanker von der Opera Cf. ib. It has recently been discovered that one of the earliest occurrences of Shakspere's name in a French book is in a translation into that tongue of Temple's Miscellaneous Works (Utrecht, 1693)

4 Thimm, M. s , p. 51

* I confess, however, that I agree with Eize, Bodmer's Sasper in Jahrbuch, &c., vol i. (1865), in perceiving no proof of Bodmer's ignorance of Shakspere as a writer in the mere fact that he mis-spelt (or Germanised) the poet's name.

Borck, who published a version in Alexandrines of Fulius Shak-Caesar But although signs now appear of an awakening on spercan the part of literary critics, such as John Elias Schlegel and even Gottsched himself to the fact of Shakspeie's literary existence,—the one damns him with faint praise, the other still treats him with lofty contempt,—twenty years were still to pass before in 1762 Wieland began the translation of Wulend Shakspere which was first to open a knowledge of the author and Eschento the German literary public 1 This translation, of which burgs Wieland accomplished twenty-two plays, was completed by translation Eschenburg in 1775 It was entirely in piose, with the 1775) single exception of the Midsummer Night's Dream

Ďlαy(1741)

In Germany, however, the beginnings of criticism had Beginnings preceded the first sustained attempts at translation, and of German Shakspirebefore Wieland had put forth the first instalment of his criticism versions² and before the stage had begun effectively to second his endeavours, Lessing had entered the arena. The vindication of Shakspeie's diamatic piocesses was but incidental to the great critic's main purpose, yet his triumphant accomplishment of this vindication formed a conspicuous as well as an integral part of his victory over prepossession and prejudice Lessing's Literaturbriefe Lessing (1758), which boldly threw down a challenge to Gottsched as (1758 et the champion of French taste and of its predominance over German literature, asserted in round terms the superiority of Shakspere to Corneille, and denied the claims of the French drama to be regarded as truly modelled upon the example of the ancients, who were indeed more closely approached by it in the matter of mechanical arrangement, but to whom Shakspere came nearer in the essentials of his art 'The Englishman almost invariably attains to the end of tragedy, however peculiar and proper to himself the ways may be which he chooses, while the Frenchman

¹ Cf A Koberstein's summary of the origin and progress of the knowledge and love of Shakspere in Germany Shakespeare in Deutschland, in the same volume of the same Journal

² Wieland's own critical notes appended to his translation by their supposed coldness and captiousness excited the indignation of Goethe and other youthful adorers of Shakspere. See Wahrheit und Dichtung, Bk xv. Cf. Riedel in Herrig's Archiv, &c , u. s., vol. xivin p 25

hardly ever attains to it, although he treads the levelled paths of the ancients 1'

Lessing's developement as a critic of the di ama

After a few youthful imitations, Lessing had begun his own original career as a dramatist by a work 2 founded upon But these models themselves belonged to English models a hybrid school, resulting from the union contracted, under the influence of prose fiction, between domestic tragedy and sentimental comedy at a time of decadence in our dramatic literature Both as a diamatist and as a critic he was led to a close and careful study of the stage, and to an examination of the real merits and dements of those French plays which then held supreme sway over it-more especially the plays of Voltaire, whom he had had early occasion for observing with particular attention from a critical examination of the French school, Lessing naturally proceeded to a comparison of its products with those of the Elisabethan, and in particular (although not exclusively) of the Shaksperean drama, of which Wieland's translation furnished him with a text for public use. will not be overlooked that at the time when Lessing's writings on the subject of dramatic criticism reached their height in the Hamburger Dramaturgie (1767-9), the victories of Frederick the Great and their results had infused into many German minds the beginnings of a national conscious-About a decade after the rout of Rossbach (1757) had dispelled the illusion of the invincibility of the French arms, Lessing's own comedy, Minna von Barnhelm (1767). had testified to the reflexion of this tremendous political event in the national literature.

Lessing's Hamburger Drama turgue (1767–9) The Hamburger Dramaturgie, designed to promote the success of a theatrical enterprise of which the details cannot occupy us here, may be said to have first made clear to modern readers the true principles of dramatic criticism. The accident that the undertaking which Lessing's commentary was intended to aid came to a premature

¹ Briefe, die neueste Literatur betreffend, No xvii. This letter is a direct attack upon Gottsched and the French tragic poets, and contains a specimen of Lessing's uncompleted Dr Faust, by way of showing how large an English element is contained in some of the old German plays.

⁴ Miss Sara Sampson, 1755.

end, enlarged the scope of his arguments, while the jealousies Leading among the actors concerned rendered him unwilling further principles to concentrate his observations upon their performances dramatic Thus the level of his enquiries, although they were necessarily fragmentary in form, came to be raised to its ultimate height. 'Primus sapientiae gradus,' according to the maxim which he recalled, 'est falsa intelligere' The notion of Voltaire is false, that the object of the drama is to enforce a moral, he has misunderstood the ancients, and out of the flaming pyre of Shaksperean poetry he has but here and there possessed himself of a solitary faggot, of a kind that smokes and sputters rather than diffuses light and warmth. Again, Voltaire's conception is false, that the object of the diama is to teach historical truth, 'the tragic poet makes use of a story not because it has occurred, but because its occurrence took place after such a fashion, that he would find it difficult to invent a better for his actual puipose If in a real event he accidentally meets with what thus suits him, he bids that real event welcome; but burrowing to that end among history-books is not worth his while . On the stage it is our business to learn, not what any particular man actually did, but what any and every man of a particular character would have done under particular given circumstances The purpose of tragedy is far more philosophical than is the purpose of history, and the former is degraded from its true dignity when it is converted into a panegyric of famous men, or, which is worse, misused for the purpose of fostering national pride' Thirdly, the rules set up as the essential rules by Voltaire and the school to which he belongs, are not carried out by them except in mere externals; and in these often coarsely and clumsily Aristotle's definition of tragedy they have not even comprehended They have neither understood his meaning in speaking of tragic fear and pity as the motives of tragic effect, nor his proof that the purification of the passions by those emotions is the end of tragedy. It follows, that no true tragedy is to be found among the French and their imitators.

But, 'secundus sapientiae gradus est vera cognoscere'

criticism

To begin with, so-called perfect characters have no place in tragedy Secondly, what is evil may find admittance there. as the hideous may in ait, in so far as it is terrible Thirdly, dramatic characters must have an inner unity Characters are treated after a different fashion in tragedy and in comedy, because in the latter they constitute the main element, whereas the situations are but the means for furnishing them with expression, in tragedy the situations constitute the main element On this basis Lessing constructed his theory of the drama, and herein he reconciled Shakspere with the Greeks At the same time he distinctly pointed out that 'a perfect work of art has a claim to emancipate itself even from the rule which keeps asunder the ends of tragedy and comedy, and thus, where the same event in its progress assumes all the various shades of human interest, the one not merely following upon, but springing out of, the other,—where laughter is generated by tears, or sorrow derived from joy,—there criticism demands no separation of the one from the other in the work of ait in question, and art contrives to reap an advantage from the very impossibility of such a separation' This is the justification of the method of the romantic diama—the justification of Shakspeie 1

These fragmentary extracts are merely intended to indicate the general standpoint taken up by Lessing in the campaign of which the *Dramaturgie* forms the final enterprise, and which has a positive as well as a negative side both in its principles and in its results. As for the stage, the Hamburg boards themselves shortly afterwards (1771–1780) became the scene of endeavours which, although indeed successful in permanently establishing a national theatre, almost transformed the existing German stage—more especially by domesticating Shakspere upon it. These results are identified with the name of F U. L. Schroeder, the greatest German actor and theatrical manager of his century, who deserves to be remembered as having

Schroeder and the German stage (1771– 1780)

The above quotations are taken from the analysis of the *Diamaturgis* in Stahr's *Lessing* (edn 1862), vol. 1 pp 828-361 A useful modern edition of Lessing's work is that by F Schröter and R Thiele (Halle, 1877).

rendered services to Shakspere's fame comparable only to those which it owes to Garrick 1

A still more notable influence was exercised upon German literature by the change effected through Lessing's criticism in the national estimate of Shakspere, but on this I need not here insist at length Herder, to the width Herder and depth of whose powers of sympathetic insight and appreciation the new era of German literature owed an incalculable debt, passed even beyond Lessing in the liberality of the welcome which he offered to the genius of Shakspere 2 The young combatants of the Sturm The Sturm und Drang-an army in which everybody was a com- und Drang mander, but not everybody was born to lead-one and all troubled themselves uncommonly little about the problem of harmonising Shakspere with Aristotle, or with any known theory of his art. The successive volumes of Wieland and Eschenburg's translation fell upon all sorts of ground, and the seed they scattered sprang up in all kinds of fruit. Shakspere, it was universally agreed, was the type of a free and independent genius³ The worship of him implied emancipation from the dominion of the ancients and the pedants their followers, proclaimed the liberty of life, with the license which it claims as its privilege, and in contrast with the narrow discipline of school 4 Lenz 5, Klinger, Leisewitz, 'Maler' Muller and others outvied one another

¹ The performance of Hamlet at Hamburg on September 20, 1776, is held to have decided the future of Shakspere on the German stage The tragedy was performed in Hamburg thirteen times within three months, and was speedily produced on other German stages Schroeder within less than three years brought out seven other Shaksperean plays See Allgemeine Deutsche Biographie, vol xxxii (1891), p 510

² See particularly his essay in the Blätter für deutsche Art und Kunst (1773: (Cf Goethe, Wahrheit und Dichtung, Bk x1) Herder himself essayed the translation of Shakspere

³ The term 'Genie,' in its Sturm und Drang acceptation, would be inadequately translated by 'genius,' or even by 'original genius'

Koberstein, in the essay already cited, remarks on the influence exercised in Germany by Young's letter On Onginal Composition, published in 1750, and made known to German readers by two translations The original was addressed to Richardson (See Mitford's Life of Young, Aldine ed , p xlii)

⁵ Cf as to Lenz and his Anmerhungen übers Theater, to which was appended a translation of Love's Labour's Lost, the passage in Wahrheit und Dichtung cited in note 2, ante

in their attempts to follow in the footsteps of their chosen exemplai—with what success need not be here estimated 1. The vehemence of their idolatily found expression in every form of hyperbole, thus Lenz exults in the Elisabethan drama as having presented Nature to the public as she had come from the hands of God! The entire school of the Sturm und Drang had Shakspere—Shakspere as they saw him—on the brain 2

Goethe.

Of all the young German poets of this age none stood more directly under the influence of Shakspere than the one who was himself destined to achieve greatness In his Strassburg days Goethe harangued his friends on Shakspeie and Natuie with all the exuberant rhetoric of youth 3 And afterwards, in his Gotz von Berlichingen, and to some extent in Egmont. he 'liberated himself' after his well-known fashion from this phase of his literary growth 4, by allowing its impulses to find definitive concrete expression Many others of his works contain reminiscences of Shakspere. His Wilhelm Meister (1795-6) contains the famous criticism of Hamlet. with the whole spirit of which the first part of Goethe's romance is in much more than merely incidental contact Of whatever modifications this criticism itself may stand in need, it stands forth both as a labour of love and as a marvellous product of intellectual sympathy. But it is likewise notable as showing with perfect clearness that Goethe was not prevented by his profound admiration for the poetic genius of Shakspere from taking exceptions to what he regarded as arbitrary or redundant in Shakspere's dramatic form. To this

¹ Cf C C Hense, Deutsche Dichter in ihrem Verhaltniss zu Shakespeare, (Part 1), in Jahrbuch, &c., vol v (1870)

² Very refreshing in contrast with this extravagance is the rude but thoroughly sympathetic enthusiasm of the Swiss autodidact Ulrich Braker alias Näbis Uli, the author of the Lebensgeschichte des armen Mannes in Taggenburg, whose Shakspeare-Büchlen, composed in 1780, is reprinted by Dr. E. Götzinger in vol. xii. (1877) of the Jahrbuch, &c. He had learnt to admire and understand Shakspere from no critic and no teacher, the spirit of his commentary is that of his apostrophe to Hamlet. 'Had not a great artist made thee, thou wouldst not be what thou art—but indeed the doom thou hadst to bear was a heavy one!'

^{*} See Lewes' Life of Goethe. He read aloud the entire Hamlet in one evening to Friederske and her family at Sesenheim. (Wahrheit und Dichtung, Bk. xi.)

So he told Eckermann. Cf Hense, u.s., p 130

critical attitude he gave practical expression as director of the Weimai theatre In 1803 he had contented himself with a few simplifications in the scenic arrangement of Fulrus Caesar (together with a single slight addition to the text), but in 1812 he adapted Romeo and Juliet by a series of important changes, which practically amounted to an extrusion of the comic element. To the same period belongs his essay Shakespeare und kein Ende, in which he described Shakspere as an 'epitomiser' of nature, 'for whose genius, be it said to his honoui, the stage furnished no adequate space' In his later years, in an essay on Shakespeare als Theaterdichter (1826), he even ventured on the assertion that Shakspere was a dramatic poet of the highest order, but extremely untheatrical 1-1.e extremely difficult to put on the stage. It must, of course, be borne in mind that Goethe's own views as to what should be produced there, and as to how it should be produced, had been very deliberately formed, and were thenceforth very consistently maintained.

Schiller's version of Macbeth (1800) is less arbitrary than Schiller Goethe's of Romeo and Juliet, but dictated by the same principles The most important influence exercised by Shakspere upon Schiller's own diamatic productivity is not to be sought in certain 'strong' characters and situations of his early plays, for which the Sturm und Drang tendencies may no doubt in some measure be held accountable above all perceptible in the dramatic treatment of history which he pursued in his maturest works, and which, although directed and restricted by laws imposed upon himself by the poet after much thought and study, is animated by a formative power such as since Shakspere few, if any, other dramatists have displayed in the same field. Schiller's warm admiration of Shakspeie's Histories is illustrated by his design of arranging all the plays concerned with the Wars of the Roses as a series for representation on the stage, - a design not actually carried out by him, but realised

¹ As to Shakespeare und kein Ende and the Weimar version of Romeo and Juliet, see a very interesting account in J Wahle, Das Weimarer Hoftheater unter Goethe's Leitung (Schriften der Goethe-Gesellschaft, vol vill, 1892), pp. 243 segq Cf. K Heinemann, Goethe (Leipzig, 1895), vol 11. p 197.

long afterwards on the boards of the theatre with which he had been so intimately associated ¹

It would, however, carry me too far to say more as to the influence of Shakspeie upon the literature of the great nation kindred to his own, which had thus rapidly learnt to love and cherish him. No similar instance of the entry by a great writer of one nation into the very heart and mind of another is, I think, to be found in the history of the world, and the phenomenon is the more marvellous, masmuch as this particular writer was a genuinely national poet Yet this extraordinary result could only have been accomplished after an imperfect and, so to speak, ambiguous fashion, had it not been for the labours, unfortunately themselves not carried out to the complete extent of their scope, of a writer who merits, in a degree haidly approached even by any of his compatitots, the praise of having been 'a born artist in translation'-and who applied that art to poetic works of the very highest order 2 Shortly after Goethe had in his Wilhelm Meister rekindled the enthusiasm of the German literary public for Shakspere, without himself venturing upon more than a prose version of such fragments of Hamlet as were cited by him, August Wilhelm Schlegel published in Schiller's Horen (1796) the first specimens of a

Schlegel's translatron of Shakspere (1796– 1801),

¹ In Weimar, at the Tercentenary of Shakspere's birth —For an estimate of Shakspere's influence on Schiller, and certain of the chief Romantic poets as such, see Part 11 of Hense's essay already quoted, in Jahrbuch, &c, vol vi (1871) —No definitive judgment as to Schiller's power of dramatically treating historical themes should be formed without taking into account the evidence furnished on this head by his Dramatischer Nachlass, recently published with admirable care and completeness by G Kettner (a vols, Weimar, 1895) —While abstaining from pursuing the theme of Shakspere's influence upon the progress of German dramatic literature, I should like in this note to direct attention to the special instance of Grillparzer, a poet who narrowly missed (as it seems to me) classical rank in dramatic literature, and who was a specially close student of Shakspere Cf W Bolin, Grillparzer's Shakespeare-Studien, in Jahrbuch, &c, vol xvii (1883)

* See M Bernays, Der Schlegel-Tieck'sche Shakespeare, in jahrbuch, &c., vol 1. (1865); and of for what follows the same distinguished author's admirable monograph, Zur Entstehungsgeschichte des Schlegel'schen Shakespeares (Leipzig, 1872), which I regret not to have seen before the publication of the first edition of this book—Within narrower limits, Rückert may perhaps be entitled to a tribute comparable to that which I have cited in the text; but I have no right to criticise translators of Oriental verse or prose, whether German or English.

new translation of Shakspere (portions of Romeo and Juliet and The Tempest) In an essay contributed by him to the same Journal, he clearly stated the principles on which any translation of Shakspere should proceed which should answer to the demands to be legitimately placed on such a work 1 The first of these principles affirmed that a poetic translation which took care to obliterate no characteristic distinction of form, and to pieserve the beauties and even the unpleasing peculiarities of the original, might in a sense be more faithful to it than the most faithful prose veision Eschenburg's translation (completing Wieland's) had sufficed, beneath which, according to Goethe's satire, 'Hercules himself was no longer to be discerned 2' Schlegel had himself for some years worked at the translation of Shakspere, largely under the influence of Burger, of whose looser manner of versification the fragments of his early version of A Midsummer Night's Dream bear the traces, even in Romeo and Juliet, the first of the plays which he set himself steadily to complete, Alexandrines repeatedly occur³, but as he proceeded, the influence of Goethe and Schiller's perfect versification manifestly being upon him, his method became suier and surei, and his manner more and more concise, till in the end his verses correspond line by line to those of the original And while carrying out, by dint of unwearying labour, his design of following step by step 'the literal meaning' (den Buchstaben des Sinnes) of his original, he had, thanks to his own raie powers as well as to the excellence of his method, 'caught part of the innumerable, indescribable beauties that do not lie in the letter, but hover above it like an intellectual spirit' Thus he proved himself at once master of the language which his labours enriched, and intellectually akin to the author whom he reproduced 4

Between the years 1797 and 1801 seventeen of Shakspere's plays were produced by Schlegel, but it was only

¹ Etwas über William Shakespeure bei Gelegenheit Wilhelm Meisters

² Xennen, 499 See Erich Schmidt and B. Suphan's edition of the Xennen, published by the Goethe Gesel*schaft in 1893, p 185

³ There are hardly any in the original

These expressions are borrowed from Bernays

completed by Treck and others (1820– 1833) very gradually that the ments of his workmanship, of which self-restraint was not the least, came to be understood by a public to whom, with few exceptions, his original was a closed book In the end Schlegel's translation came to be justly accounted one of the glories of German literature. but before this he had been diverted from his task by other of his multiplicatous laterary interests, so that after an interval of fifteen years its completion was undertaken by Ludwig Tieck (1820), or rather, as it proved, under his supervision, by Count Wolf von Baudissin, and of 'another translator who desires to remain unnamed'-Tieck's daughter Dorothea. These devoted hands brought the work to a conclusion in 1833, but the translations for which Tieck was responsible, although meritorious, were not to be compared to Schlegel's labours, and unfortunately Tieck had seen fit to subject the latter to a revision of his own edition of 1867-1871, all questions of detail apart, testified to the enduring esteem in which the work has now for many generations been held as a national classic Yet it had by no means stood alone, translations by Voss and others preceded its tardy completion, and the extraordinary activity of German Shakspere-scholars has since that time seemed inclined to prefer this to almost any other way -and none deserves to be held more sure-of evincing an intimate understanding of their chosen author 1.

Schlegel, Treck, and the Romantic School as critics of Shakspere But Schlegel and Tieck were critics as well as translators of Shakspere I have already referred to one of the critical contributions concerning him from the hand of A W. Schlegel which had found a place in Schiller's Horen, but the two brothers Schlegel, as well as Tieck, Novalis, and other members of the Romantic School in their publications frequently discussed the art of Shakspere, and that of the Elisabethan drama generally Tieck's essay On Shakspere's Treatment of the Supernatural was composed as early as 1793; his Letters on Shakspeare appeared in 1800, and he returned to the familiar theme

¹ Cf. Jahrbuch, vol m (1868), p 403, where not less than three translations of Shakspere in course of publication are noticed in addition to the new edition of the Schlegel-Tieck translation superintended by Ulrici.

in a number of introductions and notes of greater or less value, though the comprehensive work on Shakspeie which he had so frequently promised somehow never saw the light On the other hand, A W von Schlegel, long after the early fermentations of that School had settled down into conscious and steady effort, while the greatest poets of the nation had become estranged from its tendencies, put forth as a mature fruit of his long sojourn on the heights of letters and learning, those Lectures on Dramatic Art and Literature (1817)1, which may be described as the first definite attempt at comprehensive aesthetical criticism of Shakspere Both critics, in their eagerness to combat the prejudices of the past, neglected the initial part of their task, the discrimination of their materials: Tieck's views in particular as to the 'doubtful' plays (for the most part not doubtful to him) frequently oblige us to hold our breath in respectful amazement, while Schlegel's inordinate self-esteem led him to place more reliance upon his own judgment than if he had been to Shakspere what Warburton persuaded Pope he was to Moreover, Schlegel, much as he affected the man of genius and the man of the world, was, if I may so say, heart and soul a professor Everything that he knew or thought he craved to put at once into the form of demonstration Thus, he shaded off the whole body of Shakspere's plays into more or less arbitrary groups, while justly ridiculing-as Polonius-like-the attempt to tabulate them in precise classes2, his characterisations of the several dramas are often provokingly concise, and his statement of the meaning of each play and character is at times perplexingly oracular The reputation of his merits as a Shaksperean critic, however, remains essentially unimpaired, even after so many of his successors have striven to surpass him in those efforts of definition on which critics great and small are at times too apt to pride themselves. He was endowed with a sure aesthetic tact, with a genuine power of psychological insight, with a warm receptivity for

¹ An English translation by John Black was published in 1818, and reprinted in 1840
² See Lectures, vol ii Part ii. pp 91 seqq (Original).

poetic beauty of the most various kinds,—he abændoned Shakspere in favour of Calderon,—and with a learning unpiecedented, if not unsurpassed, in its width and variety Tieck's ments as a critic lay within far narrower limits, but his sympathy was fed by a more active if not much stronger creative force of his own. He rendered, as it were incidentally, a special kind of service to Shakspere's fame, by bringing him home in his fulness to cultivated audiences with signal effect, for those who were admitted to his celebrated readings are unanimous in describing them as unique in their excellence.

Later German Shaksperecriticism

Germnus

Gervinus

Ulita.

No record can here be attempted of the endeavours of German Shakspere-criticism in more recent times from merely following in the footsteps of Schlegel, like Franz Horn (whom Heine felt suie of meeting in attendance upon his master below), they have pursued and are pursuing various paths and various methods That of Gervinus is well known to English students, whose debt to him perhaps exceeds that which they owe to any other German Shakspere-critic besides Schlegel² His criticism was, as might have been expected, essentially of the historical kind, and directs itself to the moral rather than the aesthetical aspects of his subject 8 His command of his materials enabled him to build up out of them a coherent whole and, lucidly presenting and combining the successive stages of Shakspere's literary progress, to construct what long remained the most complete and consistent history extant of the poet's genius In Uliici, now also gone to his rest, of whose long and unwearying labours on Shakspere and the Shaksperean drama a small part only-though that a very important one-is in the hands of English readers 4, the deductive method is more largely

Shakespeare's Dramatic Art and his relations to Calderon and Goethe

¹ Those of us who have heard Fanny Kemble 'read' Shakspere may, however, be permitted to doubt whether she can at any time have been surpassed in this collective way of assumption.

^{*} The first edition of his Shakespeare appeared at Leipzig in 1849-50. Miss Bunnett's English version was published in 1863, and republished in 1875

^{*} Cf. a few generous words recording the death of Gervinus by his most eminent fellow-labourer, Ulrici, in the Jahrbuth, vol vi (1871)

He was the real chief of interweven with the historical. a school of German Shakspere-critics which long held the ascendant, the keynote of whose system was an endeavour to evolve the achievements of literary genius out of its own processes, and, in reference to Shakspere in particular, to demonstrate the theory assigning a fundamental idea to each of his works, and grouping them together as a haimonious and self-complementary whole More congenial to English, Sumock and to later German, methods, were the labours of Simrock in illustration of the sources of Shakspere's plays, although he entered into his researches rather in the comprehensive (at times, all too comprehensive) spirit of a comparative mythologist than in that of a literary historian 1 another indefatigable worker in the field of comment recently, and research, whose edition long furnished a model of that German species of popular and scholarly edition of Shakspeie, with Shakspeie, buef but sufficient notes, for the production of which in this country publishers are running an interminable race 2,-Elze, whose Life of Shakspere 3 would alone entitle him to a high eminence among Shakspere scholars, and who had studied the Elisabethan theatre as well as its literatuie,—Alexandei Schmidt, whose monumental concoidance, or clavis, to Shakspere 4 was only the crown of his endeavours,-these and others, who like them have recently passed away, are to be numbered among the true augmenters of our intimacy with the great master's mind and works. The results of their labours—in germ or in completion—are to be found, together with the contributions of a younger generation, in the Shakespeare Fahrbuch 5, a treasure-house

(1846) —The Jahrbuch is full of this distinguished scholar's contributions See a brief obituary notice of him, tb, vol xix (1889), pp 319-20

² Shakspere's Werke Herausgegeben und erklart von Nicolaus Delius The third edition, now before me, is dated 1872.

* Shakespeare-Lexicon (2 vols., Berlin and London, 1874)

¹ Die Quellen des Shakspeare (2nd edn., Bonn, 1870) The first edition, which appeared nearly forty years previously, was translated into English. with additions by the late Mr Halliwell-Phillips, for the Old Shakespeare Society (Publications, 1850).

³ William Shakespeare (Halle, 1876) His Essays on Shakespeare were published, in an English translation, by Miss L D Schmitz, in 1874

The annual publication of this invaluable periodical began in the year of the Tercentenary of Shakspere's birth,

of learning and critical ability, and the fittest memorial which the piety of German students of Shakspere could have raised to the object of their devotion No Englishman is likely to dispute their right to take an honest pride in the spirit as well as in the products of their single-minded labours, or to deny them the gratification of calling Shakspere their own He cannot be denationalised by their love for him1, but by its fruits he will be made more and more what it was his destiny to become,—the poet above all others of our common Germanic race, and through that race of Western civilisation at large is no branch of the study of Shakspere in which the contributions of German learning and scholarship will not continue to be welcomed by ourselves,-whether in that of aesthetical criticism, in which they were formerly so pre-eminently active, or in those of literary and textual, in which the work of our own students and societies has more recently received such conspicuous assistance from their own A time may even come when a rivalry may exist between the two national stages—not only in the production of isolated Shaksperean plays in appropriate settings, and in the performance of particular Shaksperean characters by gifted actors, but also in a frequency of representation such as alone can familiarise popular audiences with the dramatic genius of their author as shown in the wondrous variety of his creations.

French criticisms and translations of Shakspere in the present century.

Before turning once more to Shakspere's native land, I may here recall the fact that it was largely due to the inducet influence of Schlegel that a truer and fuller appreciation of Shakspere began to form itself in France It is true that in Voltaire's later years literary opinion had begun to emancipate itself from the authority of his dictatorial utterances on this subject; Diderot, Bayle, and others had freely declared their unbounded admiration

¹ Not even, it may be asserted, with the aid of an attempt to prove Shakspere's intellectual nationality German and not English, from the measurements of his skull See Klein, vol iv. p 107, where, fairness obliges us to state, this theory is advanced on English authority, that of 'James' (query, John?) 'Cowles Prichard.'

for a writer whom they were no longer obliged to judge at secondhand But many years passed before signs of a closer acquaintance with the great English poet became observable in the Fiench world of letters. Charles Nodier's Pensées de Shakspeare (1801) was avowedly composed under the inspiration of German studies And in the very year in which the establishment of the Fiench Empire marked the height of the period of war (1804), Mme de Stael, a fearless votary of culture for its own sake, in her book De la Littérature, written under the manifest influence of Schlegel, brought before Fiench readers broader views of Shakspere's genius, which she further developed in her later work De l'Allemagne (1814) In 1821 Guizot, with the aid of Madame Guizot and others, issued a revision of Letourneur's translation, and other translations have since followedamong them one for which Guizot was at least in name responsible (1862) As critics of Shakspere, Guizot himself, whose essays appeared respectively in the earlier and in the later part of his long literary career, Villemain, Philarète Chasles, St Marc-Guardin and other French writers of the second and third quarters of the present century have earned for themselves the grateful regard of those who study the poet in his own country, nor am I aware that the complaint of one of them is well-founded, according to which French cuticism of Shakspere is slighted by his German critics as still a mere echo of Voltaire 1 There have indeed been occasional instances of reaction, to which it seems unnecessary to refer, and which may perhaps be held redeemed by the excess of enthusiasm in such a rhapsody as that by which in 1864 Victor Hugo inflated the success of his son's translation. The incomparable art of the French theatre may yet, in a less fitful way than has hitherto sufficed for the demands of its public, illustrate in its turn the greatest creations of the romantic drama²

¹ See the Preface to A. Mézieres' Shakspeare, ses œuvres, et ses critiques (1860)

² Alfred de Vigny's version of *Hamlet* was produced at the *Theâtre Français* about the year 1829 A *Hamlet* arranged by Alexandre Dumas and Paul Meurice was performed at the *Theâtre Historique* in 1847, and a *Macheth* revised by E Deschamps and brought out at the Odéon in 1848, had a run of 100 nights Of later productions of Shaksperean plays at Paris

The Shakspere hterature of other lands

No special references are possible in this place to the contributions of other nations towards the reproduction. illustration, or criticism of Shakspere His works have been translated (I dare say the list is not without lacunae), in whole or in part, into Dutch, Frisian, Flemish, Danish, Icelandic, Swedish, Welsh, Italian, Spanish, Portuguese, Wendic, Bohemian, Hungarian, Walachian, Polish, Russian, Finnish. Modein Greek, Bengalee, Chinese, and Japanese 1 a few of the literatures of these several tongues, the insight of critical writers, aided at times by their experience of the efforts of the theatre, has made valuable additions to the Shakspere libiary of the present age The most recent of these—at the moment when these lines are written—is the life of Shakspeie by the Danish critic Georg Brandes I much mistake if it will not assert its place in European literature as a book of enduring value—the first Shaksperean biography, so fai as I know, which, while resting on foundations of historic solidity, has in its superstructure allowed to the imagination the exercise of its legitimate functions².

Popular knowledge of Shak spere in England about the close of the eighteenth century

From what was said above, it resulted that the succession of English editions of Shakspere in the course of the eighteenth century had still left much to be done towards a final settlement of the text of his plays, a perfect appreciation of his characteristics as a dramatic poet, and an exhaustive illustration of the historical and literary conditions of his workmanship. It would be easy to mention the names of not a few writers of note who in one or the other of the latter two fields of comment, augmented the annexes already accumulating round Shakspere's special temple of fame. From such a catalogue should be omitted neither the

it need only be said here that they have been few and far between. Probably none have equalled in artistic significance the Shaksperean performances of the two great Italian actors, Rossi and Salvini, and the Lady Macbeth of Madame Ristori

¹ Cf Thimm's Shaksperiana, and later announcements and reviews in the Jahrbuch, from which I will not in this instance attempt to suggest any special selections.

William Shakespeare (3 vols., Copenhagem, 1895) A German translation was published in 1896, and a very satisfactory English one, by Mr. W. Archer, in the present year.

philosophically trained essayists of the type of Henry Mackenzie-ill-remembered as the 'Man of Feeling,'-who applied to the criticism of Shakspere aesthetic canons derived from their philosophical training—nor the historical students of our older literature, to whom Thomas Warton set an example, which ought to have been set by Gray, of a collective presentment of such researches in an enduring form. On the English stage, though no equal had occupied the chair left empty by Garrick, Shakspere's fame was upheld by a succession of distinguished actors different in many respects from their illustrious predecessor, but resembling him in their intentness upon the nobler aims of their art. and in their love for the greatest master of the modern drama. In the later years of the century John and Charles Kemble, and their great sister, Mrs Siddons, if trained in a style less flexible than Garrick's, and less able accordingly to give expression to the variety of Shakspere's genius, made manifest with a noble dignity 1 proper to themselves the grandeur of some of his mightiest creations. Yet at how low a point notwithstanding the efforts of both literature and stage, the public knowledge remained of what Shaksperc really was, became manifest at the close of the century through a most notorious episode in the history of literary impostures At the end of the year 1795 an 'unthinking and The Irelana impetuous boy' (to adopt his own subsequent apologetic forgeries (1795-6) description of himself) of the name of William Henry Ireland put forth a succession of legal instruments and miscellaneous papers which he ascribed to Shakspere, Queen Elisabeth, the Earl of Southampton, and others. They included a 'Confession of Faith' from the poet, a letter from him to Anne Hathaway (accompanied by a lock of her lover's hair), and—perhaps the most audacious invention of all a document showing that an Elisabethan W H. Ireland had saved the poet's life. To these were added a Kynge

1 It was perhaps in this very direction that Garrick's limits—for all genius has its limits-were to be found Mitford, in a note to the Correspondence of Gray and Mason (and edn., 1855, p 301), refers to a curious statement in Monboddo's Origin of Language, that Garrick was unable to pronounce the periods of Milton, and avoided acting in any play written in that learned and stately style.

Leare and a portion of Hamblette, both professing to be printed from a copy in the handwriting of the poet age was one of literary forgeries, and the example of his predecessors in this line of activity had not unnaturally fired the brain of the hopeful youth. In his favour there operated the fact that, as Malone observes in his Inquiry into the genuineness of these documents, of Shakspere's handwriting there were known not more than eleven letters of the ordinary alphabet, and three capital letters spelling of the papers should however have betrayed their authorship, for in chronological accuracy it was on a par with Chatterton's pseudo-archaisms Ireland however succeeded for a time, as most impostors succeed, by dint of sheer A large part at all events of the documents were effrontery previously to publication submitted to the inspection of the world of fashion and letters, and many persons testified to their conviction of their genuineness by subscribing a declaration to that effect Among these were not only Boswell, who fell on his knees in his devout enthusiasm, exclaiming that he 'now kissed the invaluable relics of our bard, and gave thanks to God that he had lived to see them 1,' but also so infallible a scholar as Dr Parr Porson, on the other hand, evaded the invitation, declaring that 'he detested subscriptions of all kinds, but more especially to articles of farth'

But the imposture in chief, which finally burst the bubble, was still to come. In 1796 the idea of writing a play 'took possession of' Ireland's mind, and after counting the number of lines in one of Shakspere's, he formed it 'on that standard' (which happened to be an unusually high one). When completed, it was accepted at Drury Lane, then under the management of Sheridan, from whose remark, that 'however high Shakspeare might stand in the estimation of the public in general, he did not for his part regard him as a poet in that exalted light, although he allowed the brilliancy of his ideas, and the penetration of his mind', the author of the newly-found Shaksperean tragedy may have derived con-

¹ The authority for this is Ireland himself, in his Confessions (and edition), p. 96.

siderable encouragement The production of Vortigern and Rowena settled the question of its character and of its author's-as to which the air was already full of doubts, for Malone's Inquiry had been announced With the judicious aid of Kemble, who with unmistakeable intention emphasised an unfortunate line-

'And when this solemn mockery is o'er'-

the play was hopelessly damned Malone hereupon published his famous Inquiry into the authenticity of the Ireland MSS, and so far as Shakspere was concerned, the matter was at an end Ireland, to vindicate his father from the suspicion of partnership in the forgery, published a pamphlet in which he avowed himself the fabricator, but not all the believers would consent to accept this declaration. and Chalmers, who had been a believer, indulged his spleen against Malone in a lengthy argument, to the effect that 'though the criminal might be guilty, yet the proofs brought by the prosecutor might be defective in their forms, and inconsecutive in their inferences 1' The full Confessions of Ireland, published with a preface of sublime self-consciousness, and dedicated to the Prince of Wales, ended this melancholy farce, which illustrates glaringly enough the measure of the popular insight into the distinctive qualities of Shakspere.

About the time when Schlegel was lecturing on Shakspere in Germany², Colendge, the most learned as he was the most imaginative of the new Romantic School of English Shaksperepoets, came forward in London as a lecturer on Shakspere and other poets (1810-11), and repeated or continued his lectures at Bristol a few years later (1813) There was so post) much in the spirit and manner of his disquisitions resembling those of his German contemporary, and moreover something

The new school of English criticism Coleridge (1811 et

Advertisement to Chalmers' Supplemental Apology for the Believers in the Shakspeare Papers (1799), p vii

² Coleridge's own sojouin in Germany belongs to an earlier date (1798-9). when he was chiefly occupied with philosophical and theological studies His 'translations' of The Piccolomini and The Death of Wallenstein appeared in 1800 In 1813 his Remorse was performed at Drury Lane, his Zapolya, founded on The Winter's Tale, was published in 1817

so entirely new to English ears in his whole system of criticism, that it is easy enough to explain how the charge of plagiarism should have come to be brought against him Coleridge spuined this charge with indignant emphasis1, and he must be believed on his word That the influence of the tendencies of the German Romantic School, to which Schlegel gave the first complete and systematic expression, was strong upon him at this period of his intellectual development, it would be at the same time idle to deny The appreciation of Shakspere and the diamatic art perceptible in both the English and the German writer was, as the phrase is, in the air,—in the air, 2 e, breathed by those who stood on the height of European culture Unfortunately, Coleridge's lectures on Shakspere, having never been regularly committed to writing, could never be printed in a form authenticated by his own approval, but enough remains, even in the late Mr Collier's publication of the transcripts of his own shorthand notes 2, to show that Coleiidge was the first among Englishmen who gave to the world an adequate estimate of Shakspere's genius, and who proved his form not less worthy of admiration than his matter, because the one is haimoniously adapted to the other. Herein lies the gist of Coleridge's Shakspere-criticism, which like Schlegel's is based upon the principles first proclaimed by Lessing Coleridge made it clear 8 'that the form of Shakspere's

¹ See Notes on Hamlet, p 205

² Seven Lectures on Shakespeare and Milton By the late S T. Coleridge With an Introductory Preface, &c, by J P Colher (1856) Coleridge's notes on Shakespere in his Literary Remains are scattered notes taken by himself or others from the lectures aforesaid His criticisms on the dramatists have been recently brought together by Mr T Ashe in Lectures and Notes on Shakespeare and other English Poets (1885), where Colher's as well as other contemporary reports are reprinted

³ See the late Principal Shairp's Essay on Coleridge, Studies in Poetry and Philosophy (1868), pp 201 seqq The last metaphor, in the passage cited, recalls a beautiful passage in the Winter's Tale, where Shakspere as it were supplies the champions of his genius with the one apology which its processes require.—

^{&#}x27;Perdita. Sir, the year growing ancient,
Not yet on summer's death, nor on the birth
Of trembling winter, the fairest flowers o' the season
Are our carnations, and streak'd fillyvors,
Which some call nature's bastards of that kind

diamas was suited to their substance, not less than the form of the Greek dramas had been to their themes He pointed out the contrast between mechanical form superinduced from without, and organic form growing from within, he showed that if Shakspere or any other modein were to hold by the Greek writers, he would be imposing on his creations a dead form copied from without, instead of letting them shape themselves from within, and clothe themselves with their own natural and living form, as the tree clothes itself with its bark Coleridge's observations on Shakspere and his fellow-diamatists, moreover, like everything that Coleridge wrote in his better days, abound in instances of his all but prophetic power of divining deeper meanings, and of his concomitant gift of revealing them in a form that seems the language proper to poetic inspiration.

The group of English writers, among whom Coleridge held so prominent, and might under other conditions have held a paramount, place, were at one with him in his love of None of them was so specially qualified for Charles Shakspere communicating this feeling to his readers as Charles Lamb, (1807 et irresistible as a humorist because he could convey unim- post paned the essence of every humorous or pathetic fancy by which he had been congenially attracted To the Tales

Our rustic garden's barren, and I care not To get slips of them Polixenes Wherefore, gentle maiden. Do you neglect them? For I have heard it said There is an art, which in their piedness shares With great creating nature Say there be. Yet nature is made better by no mean But nature makes that mean, so, over that art Which, you say, adds to nature, is an art That nature makes You see, sweet maid, we marry A gentler scion to the wildest stock, And make conceive a bark of baser kind By bud of nobler race this is an art Which doth mend nature, change it rather, but The art itself is nature Perdita So it is. Polizenes Then make your garden rich in gillyvors, And do not call them bastards' Act 1v, sc. 4.

from Shakespeare (1807), of which he wrote the tragedies and his sister Mary the comedies, many a child—when the literary and artistic tastes of children were still allowed to remain unspoilt—has owed its first guess at the greatness of the dramatist, in his inimitable reminiscences of old actors, and of their identification with Shaksperean characters, even those can take endless delight whose own stage enthusiasms were warmed themselves at much paler fires 1

Hazlıtt (1817 et post)

Hazlitt, although full of vehemences and paradoxes, in his critical work gave proof of a breadth and a candour alike uncommon in any age As a stage critic he was led to insist from time to time on the disadvantages which counterbalance the advantages of the study of Shakspere in the theatre, where deplorable conventionalities often obliterate the subtler charm of poetical beauties which they were intended to bring into relief In his Characters of Shakspeare's Plays (1817)—dedicated to Charles Lamb notwithstanding differences between him and the authorlegitimate opportunities are found for counteracting this perhaps inevitable diawback His Lectures on the Dramatic Literature of the Age of Elizabeth (1820) were probably put together in haste, but contain, like most of his writing, much healthy criticism together with a good deal of crude infallibility Hazlitt's-and perhaps even Lamb's-most enduring service to the criticism of Shakspere lies in the fact that they were the first to impress upon the English mind the fact that Shakspere did not stand alone, while he remained unequalled, as a representative of the greatest age of English dramatic poetry Other writers co-operated in keeping alive a wider interest in Shakspere in a period when the English stage still strove to remain in touch with literary criticism; one of these was the poet Campbell. whose moments of inspiration may have been rare, but whose hand was never infelicitous 2

Thomas Campbell (1833)

¹ The most remarkable evidence of Charles Lamb's power as a critic of dramatic poetry is perhaps to be found in the introductory observations accompanying his *Specimens of English Dramatic Poetry* (1808), and in the selection of those specimens themselves.

² Remarks on the Life and Writings of Shakspere, in his edition (1833).

569

Another lettered generation was however growing up in Later this country, which for the most part, in so far as it directed English its energies to the study and elucidation of the greatest of interior English writers, preferred to occupy itself primarily with the and illusmaterial part of his works Herein they not only followed Shakspere traditions handed down by such commentators as Steevens and Malone, and continued by Drake in his elaborate tomes 1. but showed themselves awake to the demands made upon students of Shakspere by the new era that had opened in the European world of letters for historical and philological criticism With certain exceptions therefore—among whom it seems but just to mention the late Mrs Jameson. a writer of raie artistic cultivation and refinement 2-English Shakspeie-study has during the greater part of the present century been chiefly concerned with the elucidation and restoration of his text, the explanation and illustration of his matter, and the history of all that entered into or surrounded his life and literary career I content myself with mentioning the names of J Payne Collier-himself the worst enemy of his own fair fame-I O Halliwell-Phillipps, Alexander Dyce, Joseph Hunter, C M Ingleby, and among writers of a popular type Charles Knight, as having by their labours ensured to their names an enduring association with Shakspere's own. Laige stores of illustrative material—documents of interest for the history of the times and of the stage in particular, plays and ballads connecting themselves in subject or otherwise with Shakspere's writings, and antiquities, and curiosities of all kinds from Elisabethan and from older English literature-were

trations of

Nothing remains of the edition of Shakspere which was to have been brought out by Sir Walter Scott, aided by Lockhart, three volumes completed by the latter, and printed, are said to have been sold for waste paper after the crash of 1826 See Andrew Lang, The Life and Letters of John Gibson Lockhari (1897), vol. 1 pp. 308, 396, but cf. vol 11 p 13 - See also 16, p. 167, a very fine tribute to the genius of Shakspere disinterred by Mr. Lang from an article by Lockhart in Blackwood's Magazine

1 Shakspeare and his Times (2 vols, 1817), Memorials of Shakspeare (1828).

² See in particular her Shakespeare's Female Characters (1834) The foremost English actress of our times, Miss Helen Faucit (Lady Martin), has recently in her retirement composed a work on the same subject (On some of Shakespeare's Female Characters, 1885).

accumulated by such societies as the Percy and the Camden, and above all by that which from its foundation in 1840 to its unhappy dissolution was designated by Shakspere's Lastly, the editions of Singer (1826), Charles own name Knight (1838 and 1865), Collier (1843-41), Halliwell-Phillips (the folio edition, begun in 1853 and completed in 1865), Dyce (1857 and 1866-7), Staunton (1858), and of Clark and Wright (the 'Cambridge' edition, 1863 and 1891-3), may be said in each case to possess distinctive merits of their own. In the last-named the results of a complete collation of the texts of previous editions was for the first time placed before the reader. Of editions still later in date nothing can here be said, although a word of acknowledgment may not be out of place in reference to the enterprise and judgment with which the Oxford University Press², followed at a later date by that of Cambridge, has issued a series of annotated editions of Shakspere's plays adequate to the general requirements of students likewise refrain from dwelling on the labours of living English Shakspere-scholars in the various fields of special research to which they have devoted so much ability and zeal, although of the debts which, in common with other students, I owe to them, I am very fully conscious The name of Dr F. J Furnivall may at the same time be mentioned without breach of rule, both because as originator and director of the New Shakspere Society, founded in 1874, he has sought to bring into one focus the rays of light which are being shed by the efforts of so many fellow-labourers upon the object of their common veneration, and also because his enthusiasm and his unwearying diligence alike typify the spirit of later Victorian Shakspere-study. The labours of this Society began at the right end, and have done much to settle enduringly the chronological order of his works—the true basis of any valid estimate of the process of his literary growth-largely by means of those tests

¹ It was the second edition of 1853 which contained the notorious emendations of the MS corrector

² Begun by both the editors of the Cambridge Shakespeare, the Clarendon Press Series has been carried out by Mr. W Aldis Wright with a learning, skill, and perseverance unsurpassed in the history of modern scholarship.

of versification which call for indicule only when they are treated as absolute

Meanwhile on the other side of the Atlantic both the American aesthetic and the philological study of Shakspere in par-labours in the same ticular, as well as the general criticism and illustration of field his writings, have been carried on with indefatigable devo-The editions of Hudson (1853-6 and 1881) and Giant White (1857-65), of the biographical introduction to which the same author's chaiming Life and Genius of Shakespeare (1865) is virtually a reprint and above all the incomparable New Variorum edition of Mr. Howard Furness (of which eleven volumes have been placed in our hands since its commencement in 1873), are enduring monuments of American scholarship and learning. Many lighter, and even incidental, contributions to the literature of Shakspere-criticism, from Washington Irving to Russell Lowell, might be cited to show how deep a root the love of Shakspere has struck in the minds and hearts of our kinsmen, and what choice fruit they have made it bear. In view of these golden gifts we may abstain from looking too closely at a very different sort of contributions to the list of books treating of Shakspere and his works, which is to be placed mainly, though not altogether, to the account of American writers. The honour of having first suggested the 'theory' The Bacor that Shakspere's plays were written by Bacon is usually Shakspere ascribed to a gifted lady whose voluminous discussion of her own conception ended in pure paradox; but it appears that in a shorter treatise published in 1857 an Englishman, Mr Henry Smith, had anticipated Miss Delia Bacon's discovery, of which English readers at all events remained unaware till six years after it had been made2. The notion, which, as has been already mentioned, was elaborated with

When attention was directed to it by the late Nathaniel Hawthorne in Our Old Home (1863). Grant White's Studies contain an article on 'the Bacon-Shakespeare craze' which I had not seen when I inserted that expression in my margin

¹ Hudson's book on Shakspere, his Life, Art and Characters (1872), founded, I believe, on an earlier work published in 1848, possesses an acknowledged value as a work of aesthetical criticism Grant White's delightful Studies in Shakespeare (1885) were being prepared for publication by him when seized by a long and fatal illness.

more speciousness than solidity of argument by Mrs Henry Pott in her commentary on a previously unpublished commonplace book of Bacon's, became in America the symbol of a rather numerous sect, and was complicated by a further article of belief, that the secret of his authorship was betrayed by Bacon to prominent members of this future sect by means of a 'cryptogram' which he bequeathed to their rare powers of seeing through a brick-wall Variations of the so-called 'Baconian' doctrine are to be found in the theories that Shakspere's plays were composed by a club of the chief men of genius of his age, and that they were written by the celebrated traveller Sir Anthony All these vagaries are at one in the assumption that Shakspere contributed to the plays known under his name nothing but that name itself and more or less of journeyman-workmanship His poetic individuality—of which some sort of conception is present to the mind of the very humblest among true students of his writingshas not so much as dawned in its merest outlines upon these devotees of idols, forged by their own or (more usually) by other ladies' or gentlemen's brains

Shakspere and the modern English stage

To disperse such nonsensical imaginings will be the least important effect of the continued study of Shakspere, who can never again be lost to England, to English-speaking communities, to the Germanic stock of nations, to the civilised world Literature and the stage, at home and abroad, are certain sooner or later to join hands, in an equal union for the due advancement of his fame seemed, indeed, for a time as if the traditions of the English theatre which had descended to a few honourable successors from the Kembles and from that strange and erratic genius the elder Kean, were in danger of dying out. that fear has passed, or is passing, away Our nation's love for Shakspere is destined to assert itself more and more abundantly, not only among professed scholars and devoted students of his writings, but in the very face of those dramatic creations themselves,—presented where alone he is known to have desired them to come before the public,on the stage.

APPENDIX

Page 35, note 3 (Tropes)

The liturgical significance of the term trope, viz the insertion of one or more verses of text before or after sung portions of the service, and its employment in England and France, is illustrated in The Winchester Tropes, from MSS of the Tenth and Eleventh Centuries, edited by W H Frere for the Henry Bradshaw Society, 1895

Page 52 (Localities of early dramatic performances in England)

Through the courteous mediation of Mr I. Gollancz, Canon Hingston-Randolph has kindly permitted me to state that the forthcoming second volume of his edition of Bishop Grandisson's Registers will contain a highly remarkable letter addressed, in 1352. by the Bishop to the Archdeacon of Exeter and his officials Its twofold purpose is to inhibit, as leading to divers evil consequences for both body and soul (riots being evidently indicated under the former head), a contemplated public Sunday performance in the theatre of the city of a certain play by handicraftsmen, 'sons of the city', and to urge upon its traders the duty of adhering to the prices for the sale of their wares fixed by royal statute Perhaps the most curious point in this episcopal mandate is the implied existence at Exeter, in the middle of the fourteenth century, of a public theatre, apparently under some kind of control or management by the trades and handicrafts of the city. The nature of the intended performance does not appear from the copy of the document kindly communicated to me

Page 131 (Date of Lyndsay's Satire of the Three Estates).

In the Introduction to his edition of the Poetical Works of Sir David Lyndsay, Edinburgh, 1871, p. xxxiii, Mr. D Laing maintains, on grounds which are not on the face of them convincing, that the date of the first, exhibition of Lyndsay's morality was not 1535, at Cuparfife; but January 6 (Epiphany), 1540, at Linhthgow.

Page 231 (Beginnings of Comedy in Spain)

Ticknor, vol 11 pp 256 seqq, when describing the entremeses, notes that single scenes of a farcical nature used as entremeses (apparently something in the way of the English drolls of the Commonwealth period) were called pasos or passages. He had previously (pp 48 and 53) given examples of such comic dialogues, called pasos, by Lope de Rueda, who flourished at Seville and elsewhere about the middle of the sixteenth century

The term pasos, of whose various significations it might be a matter of some difficulty to trace the complete history, is applied, as is well known, to the 'painted and graven images' (as they are called in the last edition of Ford's Handbook for Travellers in Spain) carried in solemn procession through the streets of Seville in Holy Week by the Confraternities who have long charged themselves with the pious task of preparing and carrying on these exhibitions friend Mr John Finlayson, of Manchester, who has furnished me with a very interesting account of the Pasos, as seen by him in 1897. informs me that it is customary for the several Confraternities on the mornings of the processions to issue manifestoes comprising retrospects of their past history Thus, the Confraternity of the Protection claimed to have already in the earliest years of its existence (about the beginning of the seventeenth century) carried through the streets of Seville the image of our Lord bearing His Cross, which is still preserved in their chapel

As to the processional element in the beginnings of the modern drama, see pp. 45 and 145.

Page 289 (Date of Lyly's Endimion)

In a letter to *The Athenaum*, February, 1894, Mr. J E Spingarn, of New York, cites three passages in the play which seem pointedly to allude to his having been waiting seven years for the Mastership of the Revels, to which Tylney had been appointed in 1579. This indication certainly tallies with the date of 1587 or 1588 as that of the first performance of the play, suggested by Mr Fleay (See p. 292, note 2)

Page 456 (The Plague in London).

By far the most complete record of the occurrences of Plague in London from the year 1543 to the year 1680, when it ceased to appear in this country, will be found in Appendix No. I of an

extremely valuable paper on The Recent Epidemics of Plague in Bombay, read by my distinguished friend Dr H M. Birdwood, CS.I, late Member of Council, Bombay, &c, &c, before the Manchester Geographical Society, on May 19 of the present year, and to be printed in the forthcoming volume of the annual Journal of the Society This Appendix consists of notes collected by Mr Baldwin Latham, MICE, from various sources, and mainly from the Annual Records of Weddings, Christenings and Burials, kept in pursuance of orders issued by Thomas Cromwell as Lord Privy Seal in September, 1538 The statistics of numbers of burials in London, and of the proportions of plague-burials included in these, are continuous from 1603 onwards. Appendix II to the same paper contains returns of the weekly mortality from all causes, and of the weekly Plague mortality, in London during the years 1592, 1603, 1605-6, 1606-7, 1624-5 and 1664-5 respectively, which include some of the worst Plague years. These have been collected by Mr Baldwin Latham from the Yearly Bills.

Page 458 (Site of the Newington Butts Theatre)

I have purposely abstained from entering into the history of the early London theatres, or into the question of their respective sites. But it may be worth while to mention that in the single instance where any doubts can be said to have existed as to the locality of a theatre associated with the glories of the Elisabethan drama, these doubts have been successfully removed. The Newington Butts theatre may now be said to have been ascertained to have stood in a position about a quarter of a mile due south from the Elephant and Castle public-house, between Clock (formerly Church) Passage, Newington Butts, Swan-Place (a suggestive name), and Hampton Street. See a very interesting article in The Daily News for April 9, 1898, kindly communicated to me by Professor John W. Hales, to whose generous aid this is but one among many debts incurred by me during the preparation of this edition.

Pages 533 segq. (Early references to Shakspere in French literature).

I regret that it should have been impossible for me to revise these pages with the aid of M. Jusserand's papers on Shakespeare en France sous l'Ancien' Régime (Cosmopolis, November, 1896, et post), to which I must content myself with referring the reader.

ERRATA

- p 35, note 1, line 3 from top for Mary Magdalene read Christ's Burial and Resurrection, printed with Mary Magdalene
- p 84, 1 18 from top for Coveninae read Coveninae
- p 207 for note 3 read 2 (both in text and note)
- p 215, note, 1 16 from bottom for Thompson read Thomson
- p 334, 1 14 from top for Hills read Hells
- p 358, note 2, 1 3 from bottom for cavaire read caviare
- p 437, l 11 from top for David read Daniel
- p 446, l 11 from top dele the words of a patronage
- p. 458, note 4, line 6 from bottom . for Guedertz read Gaedertz
- p 509, note 1, line 3 from bottom for Cutler read Cutter
- p. 534, l. 13 from bottom, for Cyramo read Cyrano
- p 567, l. 10 from top for bark read bark'