

ANALYSIS
OF THE FIVE DOUBTS OF THE CARDINALS ON THE NEW
THEOLOGY OF THE BISHOP OF ROME
AND
RESPONSE IN THE LIGHT OF THE SPIRIT OF A SON OF
THE LORD AND KING JESUS CHRIST



Christ Raul Of Yahweh-Sion

This is the Present Will of God:

“UNIFY ALL THE CHURCHES INTO ONE AND ONLY ONE”

In the name of Jesus Christ: PEACE AND HEALTH

INTRODUCTION

ETERNITY OF GOD'S SPIRIT

Can the Church condemn what her Lord blessed? And vice versa, can the Bride bless what her Bridegroom condemned?

In 2023 we have witnessed the beginning of an internal discussion based on the openness of the Bishop of Rome to the Re-Interpretation of the Bible because of the social progress of the centuries. This discussion, which is being silenced by putting on the table the terror of Pontifical Infallibility, Infallibility assigned by the Bishop of Rome to a College of bishops, lay men and women exercising a Private Secretariat on which, it seems, will depend from now on the Thought of Christ, somehow imposing on the Word of the Lord, who lives in his Bishops, that of his synodal colleagues.... The discussion that, touching the Faith of the children of God, and therefore of all the Christian people, awakens our thoughts in defense of the Doctrine of the Divinity, all the more urgent the need to rise the more were the catastrophes experienced by Christianity as a result of so many holy fathers ("do not call anyone father: your Father is God"; do not say of anyone is "holy", Holy is God alone, your Father who is in Heaven)... holy fathers who lived in the crime described: "For your sake the name of God is blasphemed". Accusation of any kind, judgment reserved to the Lord that with their acts they blasphemed and with their crimes they moved many men away from the Faith of their fathers. Offenses and crimes recorded by historians, and opened in channel for all who love the truth to read, and free themselves from the ignorance that represents putting the Obedience due to the Lord at the feet of his servants. For if the holy fathers had never committed acts proper to enemies of the Salvation of the nations, all criticism of their thoughts and words would be worthy of excommunication; but... the History of Christianity and of the Church is written for those who, loving Truth above all things, defend it, without truce or quarter, against those who, following the example of the demons, challenge God in the evil belief that they can get away with their crimes by driving a wedge between God the Father and God the Creator: as if in God there were two spirits! For no one should forget that Satan was a son of God before falling into the abyss of declaring himself an enemy to the death of the Son of God.

The History of Christianity is open to all, today more than ever, so that all may see in the light of Intelligence the true face of the Lord whom all priests serve. That Lord is "our Father who is in Heaven: God with us?", and because He is always "with us" and yet the bishops of Rome acted for many ages as if God had lied to us, or mocked us all by calling His Christ: "God with Us"... ; and in view of the nature of the crimes that during those times of "criminal saints and holy fathers" were thrown against the face of Christ, crimes so horrendous that the Vatican has not wasted any strength in erasing from the studies of the History of Christianity such atrocious conducts, going so far as to justify them in unjustifiable needs, the dissidence towards which was tied to the wall of

excommunication, reserved exclusively for those who despising the Divine Image of Christ cling to that of Satan, the darkness of whose soul lived in those of those bishops of Rome and their colleagues installed in the blasphemy of the name of God.... ; this weighed and after having lived the glorious Image of Peter in his fellow servant and successor John Paul II, and the Faith being the Divine Treasure of which every Christian is heir, by the Grace of which we establish our tents in eternal life, the Divine Truth places before our eyes the Duty to watch over our Inheritance, lest a new epoch of blasphemy of the Name of the Lord by His servants grow into Division among us who have precisely in the Lord of the Catholic Church our Father who is in Heaven.

Thus, because the Doubts that the moral doctrine of the new Roman bishop, spread all over the Earth by the wind of the Spirit, are already the cause of excommunication processes against those who believe that the Word of God is Eternal, and refuse to accept the possibility of a Re-Interpretation of the Biblical Word by a college of secretaries of the Pope, in whose synod the bishop of Rome delegates his Power, viz: to send to Hell the priests chosen by the Holy Spirit for the Adoration of God before the nations, and this Power sustained by a Pontifical Infallibility which places him even above his Lord: The only Judge on whose lips rests the Power to open or close the gates of paradise...; rebellion against the Universal Priestly Fraternity, natural to the Conciliar Spirit, consummated at the Council of Constance, from which proceeded, to the cause its effect, the immoral conduct of the popes of the fifteenth century, conduct for which they will have to answer before the Lord in whose name they blasphemed the Name of God, through which Satan slipped in to blaspheme the Glory of the Bride of the Lord, whom they claimed to serve with their crimes and orgies, a fire that caused Catholic Europe to burn in civil war for two long centuries...; this known and seen that the Second Vatican Council reinstated the Infallibility of the Bishop of Rome, opening again the door to a succession exposed to the immoral conduct of those servants of the church, exposing the Catholic Church to be again locked up in the dungeons of the Vatican, with the extra damage of falling a new flood of blood on the souls of the Christian peoples...; before these FACTS, a child of God cannot keep silent, silencing his Spirit: to place over the Fear due to the Lord "the Terror of a Servant of that Lord" is an act of Denial of God; an unpolluted Truth from which it follows that if in the child of the Lord, born to glorify his Father, the fire of the Zeal of his God is kindled, how much less will the Lord remain with folded arms when a part of his servants return to the ground of that darkness under whose obscurity the Evil Sower found his hour to carry out the Sowing of the Tares of Protestantism, from which the Enemy of the Lord trusted to obtain the Destruction of Our Holy Mother the Catholic Church!

That is to say, if the Lord were not God: I, nor anyone else, would be a child of God. But because the Lord is King, the lives of all His sons are in His hands to do His will according to His Eternal Spirit and never according to the circumstances of the centuries.

Having said this, it is necessary and good to begin at the beginning.

Could a Creature share eternal life with his Creator if the spirit of God wobbled at whim from YES to NO, and from NO to YES?

Can anyone be so miserably attached to the desire to live as to cling to existence in Hell mode?

Who are those who, affirming their desire for life, forget that the Spirit of God has been formed in Eternity?

Precisely because the Personality of our Creator is Perfect, Immaculate, Incorruptible, Exalted, the entire Creation, knowing that Eternity will not shake the foundations of our Desire for Eternal Life in the Image and Likeness of our King and Lord, has pitched its tents on the Rock of His Spirit.

Creation does not aspire to be “god”, but to participate in his Life, a hope all the happier when, as Father, he raises us in his arms, and surrounds us with the natural Love that sustains, nourishes and makes his children grow. This and no other is the Gospel of our Father, Jesus Christ, through whom we inherit the Power of being children of God.

This Gospel is Eternal, the Doctrine of the Kingdom of Heaven is eternal, it is not subject to the circumstances of the centuries, nor to their conditioning, neither for better nor for worse. God is Today, Tomorrow and Forever: “he who is”. And anyone who pretends to condition his Word to the intellectual transformations of the centuries, that one leads the Flock towards the precipice; direction that, being children of the Lord, and because we are children of God, we have the Responsibility and Duty to prevent that such a deviation brings the churches closer again to the field of the division of the churches and consequent civil war among the Christian nations.

The prince of darkness knows that his Final Hour is at hand, for the New Day is born, he knows that his time is running out and he desperately seeks to lead the nations into the abyss.

That said, the Truth follows: the Bishops are not begotten to fatten at the expense of the sheep, but to watch over the Flock, to keep them united as one, and to stand against the wolves. We have already seen the shepherds giving to the wolves the plumpest sheep in order to share table together in wars, orgies and wickedness, which if in the civil world is called corruption, in the religious world is called abomination, against which the Word of the Lord whom they serve is written to be feared:

“Depart from me, ye cursed of my Father, whom I never knew you.”

Translated into Christian: Whoever believes that because he is a servant he is at liberty to imitate the Devil and to despise in word, deed and omission his Lord: he should immediately be removed from his Office.

When we were children we were kept in ignorance... “for our good”. As adults we understand that to condemn our parents for what they considered good, is not to be just; and indeed the reading of the Acts of the Popes awakens a powerful fire in those whose spirits are not mature. In this field, scandal is the virtue of the ignorant. And yet there is no ignoramus more fatal to those around him than he who, knowing that the War between Christ and the Devil is still alive, plays the GOOD guy, dresses himself as a holy father and forgets that a war between sworn enemies focuses its batteries against the nucleus from which the best soldiers come.

The queen dead, the way against the king is open!

To forget this simple law, and to put on Peter's sandals to hand out blessings without looking at whom, in the belief of being more good than God Himself is, in itself and of itself, a call to all servants and children of the Lord, against whose Glory a new denial of Peter would be to fall ipso facto into Heresy.

The Word of the King and Lord Jesus Christ is firm:

“No priest of the condition of an Alexander VI shall again occupy the Succession of Peter.”

We have read, and learned, that the nature of the crimes of Peter's successors and their personal secretaries changed over the centuries. We have seen in books the Truth that Reality cannot deny: wonderful priests in their parishes and convents became murderers as soon as they put on the Ring of the Bride of Christ.

Not to remain forewarned against that disease of servants who imitated the pontifical successions of the Jewish temple, by which they killed and murdered their own brethren, and to look the other way for fear of the power of excommunication, is to bend the knees before a creature and turn our backs on the Creator. The Law says :

“The spirit of Yahweh is the spirit of wisdom and understanding, understanding and strength, counsel and FEAR OF GOD.”

The terror of excommunication of a Peter who gives for the image of God the vitiated nature of the world is, without Dubia, to throw oneself into the arms of the Evil One. I do not mean to say that Peter is in this line of fire in the service of the Devil. Yes, to warn all the children of God, that our Father is the King and Lord Jesus Christ, and any word, thought and deed that attempts against his Glory is a Crime against his Salvation, for which the authors will answer, regardless of who they are, and the position they occupy in his House.

If anyone believes that because he is a Servant he is free to bring the son of his Lord to his knees; neither can the son of the King bring his Mother to her knees before his spirit. Neither the one nor the other. Love is, without Dubia, to the same Divine Person: Spouse and Father, Lord and King, our Jesus Christ, through whom we know that God is Eternal, and his Word is God; ergo: his YES is the infinite throat of our Happiness, his NO the Horizon that makes of our Freedom its Paradise.

The five questions or Doubts that the Cardinals have addressed to Peter and have caused enough intellectual commotion to open the pandora's box of excommunication against the servants of the Lord who have preferred to remain in the Word rather than adapt to the Century, are the following:

DUBIA = DOUBTS

1

...about the affirmation that the Divine Revelation must be reinterpreted according to the cultural and anthropological changes in vogue.

2

...about the affirmation that the widespread practice of blessing same-sex unions is in accord with Revelation and the Magisterium.

3

...on the affirmation that synodality is a "constitutive dimension of the Church," so that the Church would be synodal by nature.

4

...on the support of pastors and theologians for the theory that "the theology of the Church has changed" and therefore priestly ordination can be conferred on women.

5

...on the statement "forgiveness is a human right" and the Holy Father's insistence on the duty to absolve everyone and always, so that repentance would not be a necessary condition for sacramental absolution.

PART ONE

RE-INTERPRETATION OF THE ETERNAL NATURE OF THE BIBLE

To these five Doubts of the Cardinals the successor of Peter has given an answer of his own, based on the intelligence of his “secretaries” to understand the Doctrine of the kingdom of heaven and its relation to the Century. The first Doubt calls us to raise our eyes when he says that:

“the Divine Revelation must be reinterpreted according to the cultural and anthropological changes in vogue”.

The Christian inversion that this human opinion dares to raise against Divine Wisdom is absolute. For God did not send us his Son to accommodate his Spirit to that of the centuries. We all know that the Only Begotten and Firstborn of God was sent to us to raise our gaze to his Being, and, already born, to participate in his Salvation with the fullness of the rights of a Citizen of his Kingdom, in order to subject all cultural and anthropological advances to his Spirit; so that it is not the Creator who must abandon “being who he is”, God, but his Creation who must raise itself to the height of his Spirit, for this is the Mystery of Faith: to give us the Power to be children of God.

The continuation of the Cardinals to this Doubt concludes with a resounding: “the Magisterium is not superior to the Word of God, but teaches only what has been transmitted”.

Almighty Truth, sealed in the Second Vatican Council, which says it all. Man did not invent the Gospel. The Word made Man is the Origin of the Magisterium of the Church. To invent arguments that relativize this Divine Reality is to separate oneself from the Lord and to open the window of the House for Death to enter.

The Church has one and only one Magisterium. We, the children of God, recognize only this One Magisterium of the Lord Jesus. We recognize the Magisterium of Peter because it is the Magisterium of his Lord. But if Peter places his “personal magisterium” above the nature of the “Magisterium of the Church”, we children of God will say Today, Tomorrow and for Eternity: that we do not recognize personal magisterium by which an individual abrogates that of the Lord and imposes his own on pain of excommunication. Fidelity to God is above all the powers of the world, even above the Power of Peter, as was seen in his dispute with Paul.

What is this Magisterium of the Church? We all know it, and God summed it up for us in an eternal phrase:

“I am who I am.”

There is no change of judgment in the Being of the Creator of the Universe according to the circumstances unfolded by the Science of good and evil. The God before the Fall is the same God after the Resurrection; He is forever and ever our Father, Lord and King. There is no room in His Being for the Yes of Today, and the NO of Tomorrow which was the YES of Yesterday. This Fidelity to His Being, forged in the fires of Eternity, is the Guarantee of our everlasting Happiness, by which we know that the Love of the Creator for His Creation is that of a most loving father for His children, a Father's love that is reciprocated by His children with the Happiness of those who feel loved without fissures or emptiness of heart.

GOD IS LOVE! and a Father's love. To know and understand what “HE THAT IS WHO HE IS” means is to understand why along with Wisdom, intelligence, understanding, fortitude and counsel, “AND FEAR OF YAHWEH” is added.

Whoever believes that:

“Divine Revelation is binding forever, immutable and therefore cannot be contradicted, according to the dictum of the Second Vatican Council, that to the God who reveals is due the obedience of faith; that what is revealed for the salvation of all must remain forever intact and alive, and be transmitted to all generations, and that the progress of understanding does not imply any change in the truth of things and words, because faith has been transmitted once for all ...”

... He who lives in this Declaration is the Mouth of God in the World, the lips through which the Lord expresses Himself before His entire Creation, Today and Forever

The Magisterium of the Lord is the Living Body of the Church, through whose Mouth His Glory and Salvation is declared to all, and His Bride has no other Word than that which she has inherited from her Lord, who did not come to abolish the Law of Moses but to bring it to its perfection through Love. The Love of losing the beloved is the Principle of the Fear of God. Therefore, if anyone believes that the Magisterium of the Lord, the Inheritance of His Bride, must be subordinated to the values of the Century, he is mistaken.

Peter, in order to justify the unjustifiable, now says about the Re-Interpretation of the Divine Revelation, exposing the Thought of Christ, his Lord, to the winds of the century:

“Dear Brethren,

While I do not always find it prudent to answer questions addressed directly to me, and it would be impossible to answer them all, in this case I thought it appropriate to do so because of the closeness of the Synod.

FIRST QUESTION

“Doubt about the affirmation that Divine Revelation should be reinterpreted according to the cultural and anthropological changes in vogue.”

PETER:

The answer depends on the meaning you give to the word “reinterpret”. If it is understood as “to interpret better” the expression is valid. In this sense the Second Vatican Council affirmed that it is necessary that with the work of the exegetes - I add of the theologians—“the judgment of the Church should mature”.

CRYS:

Two thousand years later, is it intended to re-interpret the Doctrine of the Almighty and Omniscient Son of God, the One who said “Let there be Light”, and “let there be stars that separate the Light from the darkness”?

We know that Jewish theologians and exegetes were interpreting the Old Testament according to their own intellectual engines and factories of family interests. We are not unaware of where that pride of not recognizing the impossibility of understanding the Testament of Abraham without being born of the Spirit of intelligence of its Author led them. Pride dragged them to the hell of the destruction of the Temple and the banishment from the homeland of their fathers. Do Catholic “theologians and exegetes” want to open that direct road to Hell?

Did the heir of the Testament of Abraham, the son of Man, expound the spirit of the Divine Author with long and refined theologies and philosophical discourses in the image and likeness of the Greek and Roman orators?

Interpret “better” than the Son of God in person the Word of his Father?

“ Father is in Me: I and the Father are one.”

Two Persons, one Spirit, who lives in the Father and in the Son. There is no more, there is no less: Whoever is not born again of the Spirit does not have the Thought of Christ.

The Catholic Priest, image and likeness of the Priesthood of Christ, born of HIS spirit, is the living expression of his Lord before the whole Creation, hence the Holy Spirit proclaimed his Inheritance, saying:

“To us belongs all things.”

This declaration makes of all peoples a field in which the Priest cultivates eternal life in all of us in the service of his Lord. And inasmuch as eternal it is subject to the Law of Him who is the Father of Life.

PETER:

Therefore, while it is true that divine Revelation is immutable and always binding, the Church must be humble and recognize that she never exhausts its unfathomable richness and needs to grow in her understanding.

CRYS:

Who says this, and what is he talking about? It is in the nature of the Catholic Priesthood, as we saw in the “church fathers”, to develop its Intelligence in order to, without adding or subtracting point or comma to Revelation, make it accessible to all... for the Salvation of all.

The Salvation of all is the Office of the Priesthood, in view of which the Disciple of Christ, image and likeness of his Lord, must follow the rhythm of growth of the intelligence of the Christian People with the Divine purpose of keeping our Growth Immutable and Binding to the Wisdom of God, source of our Thought and Motor of our Growth in the sciences of Creation. For Christ transmits his Invincibility to all his Priestly Body, so that with the confidence placed in his Glory, he binds our growth to the Immutability of the Doctrine of his Spiritual Head. It is with respect to this growth in the Language of Christian Natural Intelligence that “the Church must be humble and recognize that she never exhausts her unfathomable richness and needs to grow in her understanding”, without, it is understood, touching Divine Revelation: almighty manifestation of the Lord God YAHWEH, Father of the Lord and King JESUS CHRIST.

Christ is Theology Incarnate, Omniscient Discourse of the Creator, to whose Wisdom the Church must raise us all peoples, but in no case lower the Omniscience of Christ to the science of men.

PETER:

Therefore the Church matures also in the understanding of what she herself has affirmed in her Magisterium.

CRYS:

What has our Mother affirmed that does not come from our Father, her Spouse? Does she have a Doctrine different from that of her Lord? Has the Magisterium of the Saints become obsolete? Is the Son not God? Will our Mother have to re-interpret this

Eternal Reality so that the Door of eternal life may be opened even for the Devil? Where did St. Augustine, St. Gregory, St. Thomas... get it wrong? What part of the doctrine of the Church, which the Divine Builders raised on the Eternal Rock, do theologians and exegetes believe must be reinterpreted? Is the Son not God? Is the Word of God not God?

We will have to say to these magisterialists what God said to Job: “Who are these who want to amend God’s mind?”

The Humility of the Church is in being one with her Bridegroom.

He who wants to understand, let him understand: the spiritual Church cannot and must not, seeking the salvation of the world, become an animal church. The Language of Salvation is not that of theologians, authors of all heresies and civil wars among Christians. The Lord God YAVE recognizes no other Saving Language than that of his Son, written in his Gospel, who being Omniscient, spoke Words understandable to all, from children to the elderly. To reduce this universal extension to a college of theologians, who have in a dialectic fit only for their peers their glory, is to reject the Thought of Christ.

PETER:

Cultural changes and the new challenges of history do not modify Revelation, but they can stimulate us to make more explicit some aspects of its overflowing richness that always offers more.

CRYS:

Does our Mother have any other greater, Glorious and Divine stimulus than being the Bride of the Lord?

What more sublime wealth can there be than to offer eternal life in the name of her Lord to all who believe that her Bridegroom is the only begotten and firstborn Son of God?

What more profound discourse than 2,000 years of victories against the elements unleashed against their Walls by the World, the Devil and Death?

What higher Song of Praise can be raised to our Father than to have sustained with his Arm the House of his Bride?

What do theologians and exegetes intend: to receive rewards for spiritless prayers, in the manner of orators without conscience, but conscious of the nature of the rewards that Peter will cast upon his new slaves?

Who wants to make the Disciple greater than his Master?

Peter’s Magisterium has only one Eternal, Almighty and Omniscient Discourse:

“You are the Son of the living God”.

To add or subtract word or letter to this Wisdom of Eternity is to make Peter an idol.

PETER:

It is inevitable that this can lead to a better expression of some past statements of the Magisterium, and indeed it has happened this way throughout history.

CRYS:

Again, cultural changes and new challenges of History are realized in the Church within the Magisterium of her Lord. Within the Walls of His Eternal Truth the horizon of the growth of the sciences opens in branches, all emerging from the same Trunk : The Science of Creation.

No Magisterium can change this Fact.

Because the human sciences wanted to detach themselves from the Creative Trunk, the 20th century sank into the abysses of the World Wars: men, transformed into homicidal beasts whose hunger and thirst for power and wealth knew no limits, devoured each other like demons, sacrificing innocent victims by the millions to their filthy god: Satan. The constant prophetic denunciation against such replay is the true magisterial field of the Church facing this Century.

PETER:

On the other hand, it is true that the Magisterium is not superior to the Word of God, but it is also true that both the texts of Scripture and the testimonies of Tradition need an interpretation that allows us to distinguish their perennial substance from cultural conditioning.

CRYS:

“A truth that the Magisterium is not superior to the Word of God?” Is Peter drunk? Who are among his private secretaries those who discuss the possibility of alignment between the word of men and the Word of God?

Now then, is not the Magisterium of the Church the Magisterium of their Lord? Are those saying that one is the Magisterium of the Church and another is that of their Lord and Bridegroom?

How can Peter open his ears to such false servants and not be scandalized by the mere possibility of differentiating between the Word of the Church and the Word of his Lord! Has his Lord ceased to be True God of True God?

Traditions are a product of the nature of the ignorance of the centuries. They change their popular symbols according to the growth of the intelligence of the Christian People. But the Word of God is Eternal.

Those who interpret the Word of God according to the natural transformations of the centuries open the door of the Church to the Devil. However, all the children of God know, because our Mother the Church has taught us, that the Door is the Lord.

PETER:

It is important to emphasize that what cannot change is what has been revealed “for the salvation of all.” Therefore the Church must constantly discern between that which is essential for salvation and that which is secondary or less directly connected to this goal. In this regard I am interested in recalling what St. Thomas Aquinas affirmed: “the more one descends to the particular, the more indeterminacy increases.”

CRYS:

It could not be any clearer. The Magisterium of the Church is the Magisterium of the Holy Spirit, which has been unfolding its Doctrine through the centuries for the maturity of the Christian People. All Christians approach the Savior through his Priests, and many are separated from Salvation by the pride of some priests to whom the Doctrine of Salvation seems obsolete when placed next to the language of the Century.

God is one, in Three Persons; His Doctrine is one in the Three Persons.

There is no Division between Father, Son and Holy Spirit. In Christ live the Father and the Son, and God lives in Christ, whose Body is the Priest.

Was not this the Doctrine of the Apostles? Do theologians and exegetes want to descend into hell to bless the Enemy of God?

PETER:

Finally, a single formulation of a truth can never be understood in an adequate way if it is presented solitary, isolated from the rich and harmonious context of the whole of Revelation.

CRYS:

“Thou art the Son of the living God. Depart from me, my Lord and my God, for I am a sinner”

Let theologians and exegetes tell us what, or what we children of God do not understand. Shall the servants tell the son of their Lord what is the value of his Father's Truth? Is the Revelation not perfect? Or is it that man not being perfect must rise to perfection? Is it man who must learn the Science of God, or will it be God who must learn Science from men? Will the Creature despise his Creator for not speaking the language of the beasts?

What part of Peter's Declaration seems to his Successor not entirely understandable in his wonderful exposition?

PETER:

The "hierarchy of truths" also implies placing each of them in proper connection with the more central truths and with the totality of the Church's teaching.

CRYS:

"I am the Truth."

When has JESUS CHRIST ceased to be the Truth?

The Truth is not one, alone and unique? What hierarchy of truths exists in Him? What new type of apostolic language does this college of private secretaries of the Bishop of Rome intend to impose on the Bride of Christ? Will their Lord remain silent before this new theological pride which does not suffice the Almighty and Omniscient Humility of the Word written by God in His Gospel? Do they think they have sufficient Intellectual Power to amend the Creator of the Cosmos and Re-Write the Gospel of Salvation in order to Re-Open the gates of Paradise even to the Devil? Will they bless what God condemned?

PETER:

This may finally give rise to different ways of expounding the same doctrine, although "to those who dream of a monolithic doctrine defended by all without nuance, this may seem an imperfect dispersion. But the reality is that such variety helps the various aspects of the inexhaustible richness of the Gospel to be better manifested and developed."

CRYS:

Indeed, all heresies were the final effect of a different way of expounding the same doctrine; different ways all leading to the same field of extermination of the One True Doctrine of God : That if the Son is God, that if the Son is a Creature, that if the Son is of the same nature as the Father, that if the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father but not from the Son, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera. All heretics, all theologians, all exegetes, all fallen at the feet of the oldest temptation in the world: to be equal to the gods, "divine" beings. Fall that justifies their idol, saying:

PETER:

Every theological line has its risks but also its opportunities.

CRYS:

Thus, "the Doubt of the Servants of Christ about the convenience and necessity of reinterpreting the Word of God", the Doctrine of Salvation, according to the intelligence

of the centuries, goes from being a Doubt to placing us before an Error, the fruit of which leads to Heresy.

The Word of God has only one Eternal Interpreter, JESUS CHRIST. This Interpretation was His Inheritance to His Bride, the Catholic Church. An Inheritance that She has defended for Two millennia against the forces of a world ruled by Death. This is her Glory, the Glory of the Bride of Christ, which nothing and no one can take away from her; it is hers for Eternity.

The Greatness of her Doctrine is in its Divine Simplicity, which even children understand. For if God had wanted to overwhelm with His Omniscience the wise men of this world, He would not have chosen fishermen as His Disciples. The Victory of the Gospel does not reside in discourses according to the laws of the oratorical sciences; its Greatness is in its simplicity.

To be humble means not to dress the Doctrine with golden threads of philosophical oratory suitable only for geniuses. This is the Doctrine of the Gospel: The Son of God became Man to show us live the image and likeness to which God called men. All that is to take away from or add to this Humility comes from Error. The Apostles were not theologians; the Bishops, their successors, err when they want to place the science of men above the Wisdom of God.

When a theological line involves the risk of division its author signs against himself the Sentence:

“Woe to him by whom scandal comes”.

Let theologians and exegetes therefore apply to themselves the advice:

“It is better to pluck out one eye than the whole body be cast into hell”.

Conclusion: the Doctrine of the Gospel is not touched. Whoever reinterprets the Word of God rejects the Interpretation inherited by the Church from her Lord and Bridegroom.

PART TWO
THE LAW OF ETERNITY

SECOND QUESTION

“...on the claim that the widespread practice of blessing same-sex unions accords with Revelation and the Magisterium”

CRYS:

Can the Word of God be abolished by human traditions?

Can the moral changes of the times override the Truth of Christian Revelation?

Is the Word of God no longer God?

Why did the Fall come about?

For if there was Transgression it will be because the Word of God is Law.

God said: “As by one man’s Transgression came the Curse by another man’s Obedience came the Blessing”.

Then if there was transgression it will be because the Word of God is Law. But not exclusively for Life, because God said: “Let there be Light”, and there was Light; “Let there be Firmament” and there was Firmament; “Let there be Stars that separate Light from Darkness” and so it was done.

Conclusion: The Word of God is Law for Matter, Space and Time. The whole Cosmos has in the Word of its Creator its Law. When therefore God says: “By sin Death entered into the world,” and “the fruit of Sin is Death,” and because there was Sin, and by Sin Death entered into Man, Sin is Disobedience to His Word, both because it is Law and because its Truth is Invincible. And hence if by Obedience came Blessing, by Disobedience came the Curse. Whence it is understood that Sin is defined in the Divine Word, and Disobedience to its Truth implies opening the door of the world to Death.

When God says “Tou shalt not kill, thou shalt not bear false witness, thou shalt not commit adultery, thou shalt not commit impure acts by lying with man as with woman, and to woman: With woman as with man”, Obedience is to the Truth contained in the Word, so that those who give themselves up to Sin believe that by the blessing of a priest

they can escape the fruit of Sin, thus nullifying Divine Truth, “the fruit of sin is Death”, those, both the priest and the one who clings to his robe, become slaves of Death to spread its fruit over the whole Earth and lead the nations to their extermination.

Then, the Law of Spirit and the law of Liberty are governed by the Law of Divine Truth: “If you eat, you will die.” God does not tempt, nor does He invite to experience a Reality which He knows first hand. God saw infinite times this law dragging worlds without number to the graveyard of their self-destruction. Consequently the Creator, as a parent withholds the hand of a child who unknowingly plays with electricity, unveils to his Creation the Truth; the Creator unveils to us the dominant Law in Eternity, according to which Death enters the world through the Gate of Sin.

How then can a servant, denying his Lord, despise the Truth which God unveils to his creation for the sake of preventing him from crossing the Gate of his Destruction!

Did the Lord say to that woman besieged by “holy men” wielding stones in their hands: I do not curse you, I bless you? The Word of God was clear: “Go and sin no more”. Sin led her to death. Saved by Grace, returning to Sin would lead her back to the situation in which she had been discovered. From then on it was the woman’s responsibility to return to Sin and end up being condemned to death, or not to return to eat of that fruit, and live forever.

Thus, a priestly blessing that does not imply this Obedience to the Divine Word: “Go and sin no more” is not a blessing before the Lord, but adopted the blessing as a freedom to remain in Sin: “Thou shalt not commit impure acts,” the blessing becomes a curse before God, for by rejecting Divine Truth it keeps open the Door through which Death entered the world, and seeking to remain in man, in order to drag him into the dust, it puts on holiness by rejecting that the fruit of Sin is Death.

The blessing of the impure act, of Sin in general, by the Priest, thus becomes a condemning curse against the sinner’s head. God did not condemn the woman, but under no circumstances could he bless the cause that dragged her before his judges. To withdraw from Sin was the door that He opened for her, which the woman could or could not cross, at her will.

Then, the Catholic priesthood being begotten in the image of the Priesthood of Christ, to bless the Sinner without referring to the Death of his Faith of continuing in Disobedience to the Divine Word is, on the one hand, to despise the Lord whom he serves, and on the other hand, to condemn the Sinner to fall into the arms of Death.

The Error is so grave that by disobeying his Magisterium: “Son of man, if you say to the sinner, you are going to die, and the sinner continues in his sin, his soul will be on his head, but if you say to him, you are going to die and he stops dying, his soul will be your glory”, and because the priest turns his back on the prophetic spirit of his Lord: he condemns himself and condemns all those who follow him in his error. For the Word of the Wisdom of the Holy Spirit remains for Eternity: “The Fruit of Sin is Death”. Truth which, despised, caused the Fall of Mankind on the fields of War, six thousand years of World Fratricide!

Not to turn away the sinner from Sin is an act of omission; to justify this disregard of Apostolic duty by a blessing, is in the eyes of God a transgression of the Law of Eternity.

It is true, Christ abolished the Penalty corresponding to Sin in the bosom of the Law of Moses. Hence he did not condemn the woman to suffer the penalty corresponding to her crime because by birth she lived under the law of the Jews. Jesus abolished the Penalty, releasing us all from a Law given exclusively for the Jews, until the Redeemer should come; but the Truth at the Origin of the Law maintained its Divinity, hence he said to her: "Go and sin no more".

He who believes that he can abrogate Truth by a blessing, not only in the realm of the Sin of Impurity, but in relation to its whole, that man errs. The soul of all those whom, instead of drawing them to the Natural Purity due to the law of Creation, that priest blesses, is upon his head. Now, if anyone thinks he can deceive, challenge or condemn God as cruel, let him do it, let him not hide behind the robe of Peter.

The Cardinals ask:

"In the beginning" God created man in his image, male and female he created them and blessed them to be fruitful, so the apostle Paul teaches that denying sexual difference is a consequence of denying the Creator. The question arises: can the Church derogate from this "principle", considering it a mere ideal, and accepting as "possible good" objectively sinful situations, such as unions between persons of the same sex, without failing to comply with revealed doctrine?

CRYS:

The Vatican's answer is to beat around the bush, to stick to the definition of Procreation without getting into the nature of Sin which produces, inexorably, the Death of the Sinner.

PETER:

The Church has a very clear conception of marriage: an exclusive, stable and indissoluble union between a male and a female, naturally open to begetting children. Only that union is called marriage. Other forms of union realize it only "in a partial and analogous way," and therefore cannot strictly be called "marriage."

CRYS:

On which there is nothing to comment except to understand that civil marriage is not valid in the sight of God inasmuch as not having been Blessed by His Servant the civil union does not produce the fruits due to His Sacrament. For as Disobedience produces Death, Obedience produces Life, and Life abundant before men and God.

Indeed:

PETER:

It is not a mere question of names, but the reality we call marriage has a unique essential constitution that demands an exclusive name, not applicable to other realities. It is certainly much more than a mere “ideal”.

CRYS:

Words in which it is seen how the New Magisterium that is intended to impose itself on the Magisterium of the Truth of Christ sets aside the Nature of the Procreative Act, Act in which the Creature and his Creator are united in Creation, hence it is called PROCREATION: Act in which the Creator calls his Creature to participate in his Creation. The Creature unites with its Creator in the Creative Act by means of Procreation.

The Priest unites himself to God for the Salvation of all men; men unite themselves to their Creator to maintain Life in Creation. It is in Catholic Marriage that the Procreative Act produces fruits for Life. And outside of this Catholic Marriage the Procreative Act is reduced to an animal act. Surely it is much more, indeed, than a mere “ideal”!

PETER:

For this reason the Church avoids any kind of rite or sacramental that might contradict this conviction and give the impression that something is recognized as marriage that is not.

CRYS:

The Bishop of Rome continues to avoid the Magisterium of his Lord: “Go and sin no more”.

He dares the partridge by putting on the table argumentation without substance; he sets aside the Divine Nature of the Procreative Act, in which God delegates the raising of his human children in the hands of the Man and the Woman. It is not a matter of avoiding confusion between what is Catholic Marriage and what is not, the Priest's Duty is to define without confusion what the Christian Procreative Act is, its nature and its glory, and the essence of the fruit of the Church's Blessing upon the Man and the Woman who take the Responsibility delegated upon them by the Creator of both, upon whom His Blessing rains down so that the Marriage may bear fruit for eternal Life.

Avoid... what?

Where is the contradiction? Catholic Marriage is a Spiritual union, civil marriage is an animal union.

Do the servants of the Church lack courage for their Lord to speak through the mouths of their priests? Outside of Marriage between Christians the blessing for a sexual act of a sinful nature is an error, which, if it grows, leads to heresy!

PETER:

In dealing with people, however, we must not lose pastoral charity, which must permeate all our decisions and attitudes. The defense of objective truth is not the only expression of this charity, which is also made up of kindness, patience, understanding, tenderness and encouragement. Therefore, we cannot become judges who only deny, reject, exclude.

CRYS:

When did the Lord call his servants to be judges of the world? In the image and likeness of Christ, our Father came to save men, not to condemn them. And yet he did not bless Sin. To bless the Sin which the Truth of the Law maintains is to accuse Christ of being an Antichrist, that is, of being what he is not.

Christ was, is, and will be the Incarnation of Divine Truth; He is the Church; without HIM there is no Church. With Him and in Him the Church abolishes the penalty due to the Sinner without annulling the effect of Sin.

And once the Civil Penalty is separated from Sin, the Sinner is free to choose between Death and Life. Sin produces the death of the sinner's soul.

Without soul, exclusive of the Human Being, called to the Spirit, Man and Woman become animals, slaves of the law of Death.

The Spiritual Duty and Salvific Mission of the servants of Our Mother is to follow the Example of their Lord and Supreme Pontiff: "Go and sin no more". To suggest to the Sinner that he can sin again because he will again not be condemned for his sin is anti-Christian. A priest cannot bless what his Lord, in whom God lives, and because he is God, condemns with his Word: "Thou shalt NOT commit impure acts, thou shalt NOT lie with man as with woman..."

Prudence?...

PETER:

Therefore pastoral prudence must properly discern whether there are forms of blessing, requested by one or by several persons, that do not convey a wrong conception of marriage. Because when one asks for a blessing one is expressing a request for help from God, a plea to be able to live better, a trust in a Father who can help us to live better.

CRYS:

Really? Pastoral prudence is called the cowardice of one who is silent about the Truth?

But of whom are you speaking?

PETER:

On the other hand, while there are situations that from an objective point of view are not morally acceptable, pastoral charity itself demands that we do not simply treat as “sinners” other people whose guilt or responsibility may be attenuated by various factors that influence subjective imputability.

CRYS:

Are you justifying blessing priests who live in the impurity that the Law condemns?

Is the conduct of those who want to live in sin morally unacceptable? And no longer to live in sin, but who wants to make the Servant of God participate in his coexistence with sin?

... Morally unacceptable?

What is all this gibberish of subjective imputability, objective point of view? Is the servant going to judge his Lord? Is the Divine Word ambiguous, subject to objective and subjective points of view? When the priest sins... is it not sin? In the priest does not Sin produce Death?

There is NO other Objectivity in Heaven and Earth than that of Divine Truth. To bless Sin by abandoning the sinner to his sin is a most grievous error, and the fruit of Error is Heresy.

Blessing rains down from Heaven through the Mouth of the Church upon those who leave Sin and look to God for strength to keep them on the Path of eternal life. Who is this who affirms the contrary? The only Answer of the Church to the Sinner is that of her Lord: “I do NOT condemn you: go and sin no more”. To add any word to this Answer is to turn away from Divine Truth; to hide cowardice under a cloak of kindness towards the sinner...perhaps because of one who absolves his fallen ones from the sinful temptation in which the world lives?

Any decision about the sinner that does not have on its lips the Lord's Answer, is an error, and as sin produces Death in every man, Error produces in the Church Heresy. The History of the Church is Witness to this Truth.

PETER:

Decisions which, in certain circumstances, may be part of pastoral prudence, should not necessarily become a norm.

CRYS:

That is to say, what is YES today, may be subject to be NO tomorrow.

We all know the Divine Word in this regard: “Let your word be Yes, Yes; No, No; whatever exceeds this comes from Evil.”

I insist. The Catholic Priest being begotten in the Image and Likeness of the Priesthood of Christ, to say what this servant of the Church has written is to turn his back on His Spirit. The Church has only one word, and there is no legitimate pastoral prudence that can be recognized as Divine when it is a question of abolishing the Word of God in order to suit the circumstances of the centuries. God is eternal, His Truth is not subject to the times!

PETER:

That is to say, it is not convenient for a Diocese, an Episcopal Conference or any other ecclesial structure to constantly and officially enable procedures or rites for all kinds of matters, since everything “that which is part of a practical discernment before a particular situation cannot be elevated to the category of a norm”, because this “would give rise to an unbearable casuistry”.

CRYS:

More of the same. Say Yes today for today, and tomorrow we will see.

The Servant is old, lacks the Strength of Youth and yields to circumstances as one who, believing to have won eternal life, abandons men to their fate. Every man and woman should know that sin knocks at everyone's door, and it is up to each one to say what our Savior said: “Vade Retro, Satan”, or to kneel down in an act of worship of the Devil in exchange for Power and Riches.

The Duty of the Priest is to define what is Good and what is Evil, what is Holiness and what is Sin, that Truth may strengthen the strength of all, and every being repeat the Word of his Creator on Earth: “Vade Retro Satan”.

...But if it is the priest who has knelt down before Satan....

PETER:

Canon Law should not and cannot cover everything, and neither should the Episcopal Conferences pretend to do so with their various documents and protocols, because the life of the Church runs through many channels besides the normative ones.

CRYS:

And yet the Life of the Church can only run along one Way, that of her Lord.

PART THREE

THE DOCTRINE OF SALVATION AND THE DOCTRINE OF SIN

The sons of God know how to read, and because we know and can read, we understand what it means: “the letter kills, the Spirit is the giver of life”. We continue reading: “The Spirit of YAHWEH the spirit of wisdom and understanding, understanding and strength, counsel and FEAR OF GOD”.

The Book of God is to our spirit what to the river is the fountain that from the mountains flows down to the mainland and runs through the valleys, working its way through unknown lands on its way to the ocean. Unknown but always running with the wings of an invincible energy that will lead it triumphantly to the sea. Our freedom is all the more glorious because our source has its origin in the Mountain of God. It is God in Person who opens his Being to us to Eternal Life. And yet, being His children, and loving Him with all our heart and all our mind, in our spirit lives the FEAR OF YAHWEH GOD... because “HE is the One who HE is”. And precisely because "He is who He is" our Love for our Creator rises to the glory of the Freedom of those whose Father is God; and because He begets us in His Spirit we know that because “He is who He is” our Relationship with His Being is realized in the bosom of His Personality; a Personality forged in the fires of Eternity and Infinity. Hence we freely say: God and His Word are one Reality. "The Word is God": Truth and God are one Dimension. The Divinity of the Creator of the Cosmos lives in his Word. This Word, this Truth, became incarnate so that all Creation might see alive in his Son the Truth and the Word of his Father.

“God is Love”, but to believe that one can play with Divine Love to confront in God the Judge with the Father, a manipulation consummated in Eden, the origin of Satan’s Treachery, is absolute suicidal insanity. God is Father, but the Father is the Lord God YAHWEH, Creator of the New Heavens and the New Earth, Physical Cause of the New Cosmos, in whose Arm Infinity and Eternity have their Glory. The Personality of the Lord God YAHWEH was formed during the Ages of Uncreation. His concept of Justice, Law, Civilization, Society... have Immovable Foundations. Because a generation of God's children dared to confront in God : the Father with the Creator, the Eternal Banishment Condemnation of Creation was sealed.

Love of God, our Father, does not cancel the Fear of our Creator. On the contrary, through Love the Fear is fortified, because we know, through Wisdom, that there is no greater Fear than to lose the Love of the beloved Person. In this way Fear strengthens the Love of the children for their Divine Father, at the same time that Love fortifies the Fear of losing the Love of our Creator.

His Word is Perfect Law with Origin in Eternal Truth whose source is the Knowledge of Life and Death in the Cosmos, knowledge established on an infinite

Experience with Natural Law. The Divine Law does not arise from the whim of Him who is Almighty and Indestructible; it comes from Him who, loving Life, sought to separate it from Death in order to raise Life to Eternity, and along the way had to live the birth and death of worlds without number, a living experience from which His Intelligence extracted the Universal Truth which is manifested to us in His Book: "Sin is the door through which Death enters the World".

Truth that He makes known to us through the Mouth of His Servant, saying: "The fruit of sin is death".

Reading what we have read in the first two parts we understand that the Bishop of Rome has lost the Fear of the Lord God YAWHEH. In his Error he puts before the Law of Eternity the Love of God towards his Creation. Because Satan and his rebellious generation banished from their souls the FEAR OF YAHWEH they sank into the abyss of an Unforgivable Treason, the Sentence against whose Crime has been the Eternal Banishment of Creation. So here a child of God asks himself: Does the Bishop of Rome intend to banish from the Church the FEAR OF YAHWEH that lives in all her sons?

Love Love Love Love... without the Fear of YAHWEH GOD? Is not the Old Testament a Discourse of the Fear that is due to the Creator?

And, finally, the Case of Satan versus the Spirit of YAHWEH serves us all as a lesson for Eternity on the Necessity of looking at the Soul Nature of Creation. Sons of God, to the Infinite, but adopted sons, partakers of Divine Truthfulness by the Grace of the Love of God's Firstborn to his Father's Creation. Hence, without ever sinning of laziness, we repeat unceasingly the Apostolic Doctrine of our Mother the Church: our Power as children of God is born in the Unigeniture of the same One who declares Himself the Firstborn of God, in whose Divine Veracity we all receive ours: to be true children of God. His Birthright is filled with his Birthright to invest his whole House with the Power natural to his Being, Power of true God of True God. Head of the Body of Christ, the Church, his Bride, our Mother, from his Nature receives his whole Family his Being, being through Him by whom the whole Body moves, Body in which the Spirit of his Father lives, thus becoming the Church his Temple, founded by God Himself in his Son, and built by his children, the Apostles, Brothers of that Son of God, a Temple that has remained because it was built by Wisdom, and subjected to historical earthquakes, internal fratricidal attacks, external genocidal sieges, its Existence reveals to us the Divine Truthfulness of its Founder, who gave it life in the bosom of the Blessing of the Almighty Creator of the Universe: "The gates of hell shall not prevail against Her". She, the Catholic Church, our Mother, predestined from her Birth to give birth to a generation of children of her Lord, looking at which, and knowing the nature of the elements under the power of Death, God raised her Temple, protecting the House of the Bride of the Lord, from which would be born that Generation of children of the King whose Inheritance would be the spirit of YAHWEH, indestructible Walls. Walls against which, from within and without, crashed all the forces that Death unleashed against the one that would beget children for God. Perfect the Work, marvelous the Building built by the Apostles, the Victory of its Founder before the eyes of the nations, the question begs the horizon: Who are these who pretend to separate the Head from the Body, closing their ears to the Word of the Thought of God, and pretend to replace it with theirs?

Let us look at the question:

THIRD DOUBT

Dubium on the affirmation that synodality is a “constitutive dimension of the Church” (Const. Ap. Episcopalis Communio 6), so that the Church would be synodal by nature. Since the Synod of Bishops does not represent the Episcopal College, but is merely a consultative body of the Pope, since the bishops, as witnesses to the faith, cannot delegate their confession of the truth, the question arises as to whether synodality can be the supreme criterion regulating the permanent government of the Church without distorting its constitutive order, as intended by its Founder, according to whom the supreme and full authority of the Church is exercised both by the Pope in virtue of his office and by the college of bishops together with its head the Roman Pontiff (Lumen Gentium 22).

CRYS:

What has been said, Christ is the Incarnation before the Creation of the Spirit who lives in God, Father and Son. Spirit whose contemplation was denied to us by Sin. So where it was said Let us make man in our image and likeness, once blocked the vision of the True God men invented gods in the image and likeness of the models that in their sins conceived them. The Need to open our eyes and to discover the True Personality of the Creator of the Cosmos and all that it contains led this God to satisfy this Need by giving a Visible Body to his Being: CHRIST. JESUS his Head, the Church his Body, united in a Unique Being in the Seal of the Marriage of the spirit. By this Eternal Truth and from this Divine Work it is understood that the Movement of the Universal Ecclesial Body obeys its Head, this Head determines the Movement of its Body in History, and no one, neither institution created by men nor college founded by servants of the Church, can, without rising in Rebellion against this Head, rise up as the Organ that directs the Universal Doctrine of the Bride of the Lord. The founding document of Synodality states that “the Synod of Bishops must become more and more a privileged instrument for listening to the People of God”. An error of principle; a serious error. It is the people of God who must listen to their bishops. The people of God, speaking of Doctrine, have nothing to say about Christ. The error sinks by its weight when it gives ears to the People and withdraws the ears of the Bishops, to whom it is answered by suppressing their Voice, the Voice of the Holy Spirit, as is seen in this open Discussion in which the Bishop of Rome has created for himself a body of secretaries under whose legality to silence Christ. The Eternal Doctrine of YAHWEH GOD is perfect, unfading, pure, flawless, finished. That Doctrine is the Inheritance of the Church. To add to or subtract from His Word in order to make it more accessible to the ears of the centuries is to condemn the Divine Perfection of the Word of Christ. “He that was, is he that is.” The Lord has not changed His Spirit. What He said yesterday, He says today and will say forever: God became man to raise men to His Image; a Church that pretends to come back down to God refuses to raise men to the Nature to which Life on Earth was called. Once God came down to Earth and returned to Heaven in order to be followed by men. It is men who go up, and approach the Gate of Heaven, entering the Way of Eternal Life by the Power of the Church. Languages change, transform, grow, rise; yesterday we spoke Latin, today we

speak Spanish, French, English, Italian, etc., but the Doctrine of the Word of God remains unalterable in its Nature. To re-interpret it is to deny the Eternity of the Apostolic Doctrine. To create a human institution to silence the Voice of the Divine House is Error, and as Sin leads to Death Error leads to Heresy. If the Roman Bishopric has need of counsel: let the Lord, who lives in the Universal Council of his Bishops, be invoked, unless he denies, in order to affirm himself in Roman Error, that where the servants are the Lord is. Does the Roman Bishop resent the fraternal collegiality in which the Catholic Bishopric was engendered? Has the Roman Bishop created a human institution to fasten with chains and rings to the wall of his error the Holy Spirit? What sophistic dialectic is this?

PETER:

While you recognize that the supreme and full authority of the Church is exercised, either by the Pope because of his office, or by the college of bishops together with its head the Roman Pontiff, nevertheless with these *dubia* you yourselves manifest your need to participate, to give your opinion freely and to collaborate, and thus you are claiming some form of “synodality” in the exercise of my ministry.

CRYS:

The capacity to err and seek to remain in Error is manifest in this declaration of Church governance by “a head” who is not the Lord, “head” who “claims supreme and full authority over the Church”, thus rejecting the One who is the True Head of the Church, the Lord JESUS CHRIST, in whom resides the True Supreme Authority over all His servants. Indeed the Headship over his pastors was granted to Peter by the Lord God YAHWEH, but this Headship in no way denied that the Roman Bishopric forms a Body apart from the Body of Bishops, which is the True Body of Christ. The Headship of Peter refers to the universal leadership that in union with his fellow servants, the bishops, all together advance the flocks of their Lord. Can the shepherd in the bosom of the Universal Flock surround himself with a personal body of help to lead the flock that is his turn? How can it be denied! Undoubtedly this was the spirit from which the Petrine synodality was born. What is the intention now: to theocratize this body, of which Peter would become its Head, in order to silence all the shepherds chosen by the Lord and submit them all to the doctrine of a human body? And whoever does not bend his knees before the idol of this synodal body is executed for eternity by the Power of Excommunication? Was this, then, the meaning of the Power of binding and loosing which the Lord gave to his servant, none other than to condemn to banishment from the Body of Christ his fellow-servants the bishops, henceforth enslaved to the word of a human institution which brings Christ down to man and refuses that man should ascend to Christ?

Peter mocks the Apostles of the Lord when he says: “yet with these *dubia* you yourselves manifest your need to participate, to give your opinion freely and to collaborate, and so you are claiming some form of “synodality in the exercise of my ministry”.

What are you doing Peter, are you rebelling against the one who elected you to bring the Lord himself to his knees before your invention? Because it seems evident that to the one who did not reject Him after the Denials, God did not give Him Power over

His Chosen One, unless the Lord disobeying God did not accept His Election, with this vision provoking Peter in the Church the Unforgiven Schism that is consumed in Eden when Satan wanted to raise a division between the Father and the Son. What does the Roman Bishop intend? To create a division between the Universal Catholic Bishopric and the Roman Bishopric in order to bring the Church to her knees and make her a slave of the powers of this world? And will not the Lord of such a servant rise up to bring him to his knees and liquidate his invention? Does Peter really believe that he is beyond his Lord by reason of his Election by God?

Let us see:

PETER:

The Church is “mystery of missionary communion”, but this communion is not only affective or ethereal, but necessarily implies real participation: that not only the hierarchy but all the People of God in different ways and at different levels can make their voices heard and feel part of the Church’s journey. In this sense we can say that synodality, as a style and dynamism, is an essential dimension of the life of the Church. On this point St. John Paul II has said very beautiful things in *Novo Millennio Ineunte*.

CRYS:

We all know that the concept of synodality, as recognized in the first Vatican document invoking Pero, comes from the Byzantine Orthodox heresy effect of which was the Schism, the destruction of Byzantium, which moved to the Third Rome, itself destroyed, and condemned the nations that made that Heresy their own, namely, the Holy Spirit does not proceed from the Son: Greece and the Balkans, to be slaves of the enemies of Christ. The “mystery of missionary communion” is not to give voice to the People but to give Christ Voice in the People. It is not the People that saves the World, it is the World that is saved by the Voice of the Church. It is not the People that must speak in the Temple, it is the Voice of Christ, in his servants the Apostles, the Voice that the People must hear and subject their Way to that of God. Indeed, the People must be heard in the same way that in their hardships their needs were heard by the Disciples, and their cry heard by the Church to alleviate their hardships. But in the Sphere of the Doctrine of Eternity exclusively the Voice of Christ, in the Body of His Bishops, has the Power and Authority in the bosom of the Universal Council, a Divine Institution founded by the Lord, the source of the Life of the People. Trying to sneak this human institution through the back door, in his error Peter dares to say:

“It is quite another thing to sacralize or impose a certain synodal methodology that pleases one group, to make it the norm and obligatory channel for all, because this would only lead to *freezing* the synodal path by ignoring the diverse characteristics of the different particular Churches and the varied richness of the universal Church.” Which is precisely what this synod of 2023 is doing. With this synod Peter is sacralizing and imposing on Christ the Voice of the People, his own voice, despising the Divine Truth: “The fruit of Sin is Death”. Whence it is understood that a blessing against the nature of Divine Truth is an Error. A synod created to impose this Error by “the sacralization of the supreme authority” of a Servant of the Lord, which annuls the Word of his Lord on Sin, discovers in the light of intelligence the price of the Bishop of Rome to the “mystery

of missionary communion” by God begotten in the Body of Bishops. For the Preaching of the Divine Word belongs only to the Divine Body that God has given to Christ, his Servant. So that any banishment of the Bishops in things touching the Doctrine of Sin and Holiness is an Error, opening a way that must be closed for the sake of the Salvation of the People of God, who by imposing on them a doctrine of Sin and Salvation contrary to the Eternal Truth are led into the abyss of the Division of the Churches once the division among the Bishops is produced, which is the same as saying that a division is created in the Body of Christ, from which cannot come Blessing but Condemnation for that same people of God in the name of which the Bishopric of Rome is Theocratized. The Doctrine of Salvation is the Doctrine of Sin; to maintain the first and change the second, which is the horizon to which Peter directs his Flock, is an Error.

To sum up:

Has the Roman Bishop lost the Fear of God?

Conclusive:

Every bishop who banished from his Thought and Heart the Fear of YAHWEH GOD dragged his flocks into Heresy. That is to say, the Lord who sees the errors of those who are far away, how much more will He see those of His servants, who are in His House! Now he who does not fear the Lord because his Election came from God, and God is Greater than His Son ... the cry of Heresy will be heard. For the Servant is subject to Contract; it is in the Hand of his Lord to break him and cast him out of his House by giving his Hand to another who will serve him according to his Heart and Thought. The Synod being a human institution, which dragged the Church of the East into Heresy, to invest it with the supreme authority of Peter in order to silence the Voice of the True Church, the Living Voice of her Lord, which makes itself heard to the world in Universal Council, is to abolish the Divine Legitimacy of the Catholic Council and to deliver the Church to the powers of the World. The Lord, Supreme Visible Head of the Church, moves his Body according to the Wisdom of God; when a human institution pretends to direct that body according to the changes of the world, that institution perishes. It is NOT possible to serve two masters, either God or the world. This synod of 2023 has chosen to serve the World. The salvation of the world is the end and the beginning of the priestly missionary communion, but although in the world the Priest does not belong to the world; he who belongs to the world is servant of the world; he who belongs to God is Servant of the Lord; his relationship with the world conforms to the Doctrine of Salvation in the Wisdom of God on the Doctrine of Sin: The act of impurity condemned by the Creator cannot be blessed by the Love God to Man ... unless the one who blesses believes himself to be more good than God.

PART FOUR

THE NATURE OF THE CATHOLIC PRIESTHOOD IN THE IMAGE AND LIKENESS OF THE PRIESTHOOD OF CHRIST

The Devil knows that the son of the King and Lord Jesus Christ is on Earth, lives, and has moved his pawns on the board of his war against the Doctrine of Salvation and Sin, desperate to close the doors of the Universal Council of Worship of the Son of God. Let us see:

On many occasions and in different ways, by different means and employing different subjects, all saints, the Devil advanced his pawns to the top of the Hierarchy of the Church. The History of the abominable epochs of Vatican pornocracy is written. In the Denials of Peter the spirit of prophecy that lived in Jesus announced to us the fall of his servants the bishops in those times. Sealed still the Fullness of the Knowledge of the Lord God YAHWEH, Inheritance of the spirit of intelligence of the son of the King, the Night of the Bishops came upon the Christian Civilization; Dark Night, of Evil Sowing, the Dragon, King of Hell, released by Divine Decree, in the fire of his hatred to the King of Heaven conceived the Destruction of his Church, his Bride, throwing her against the Almighty Decree: "House in itself divided will be destroyed". Knowing that the Divine Marriage would give God a Generation of children heirs to the Glory of the Liberty of his Father, the King and Lord Jesus Christ, the Evil Sower set out to kill in the Bosom the Heir of Christ. The Schism of the Eastern Orthodox Synodality, the pattern in whose image and likeness the Devil now intends to close the Door of the Universal Council, in which the Spirit of his Lord lives, was the first move of the King of Hell. His pawn, Michael Cerularius, reached the line of the Catholic Queen, divided the Robe of Christ, and laid at the feet of the Emperor of Constantinople the Sacred Priestly Obedience Due of the Servant to the Lord. And let no one forget that the Church is the Temple, founded and built by the Holy Spirit made Man, for the Eternal Adoration of the Lord God YAHWEH, Father of the Lord King JESUS CHRIST, Sacred Body in which lives the Adoration of the Son by the Father, Body in which the Son becomes one with his Bride, his Universal High Priest, Unique Priest who approaches God and speaks with Him face to face, in this following the New Temple the Model by YAHWEH GOD shown to Moses.

The Word of God is firm : "He that wants to die, let him die", "he that hath understanding, let him understand". The Battle between Death and the Creator of the Cosmos goes back to the Uncreation. The cosmological Revolution of the Victory of the Creator of eternal life over Death opened in the History of Eternity a new chapter. No universe would be like the ones that were. None of the worlds with Origin in the Creator's Arm would know its own elephant's graveyard. War was served. Death found fertile soil in a generation of God's sons, soil in which he sowed the Tares of Envy. The Throne of the King of kings and Lord of lords was put by Death to the highest bidder. In spite of God having discovered to his children, before our Creation, the True Nature of his

Firstborn, being themselves witnesses of the Power of his Word, Power in which they saw the Only Begotten of the Lord God YAHWEH, that generation of rebels who dragged the Heavens into two universal wars, instead of kneeling down and with Obedience to the Divine Will: “Let us make man in our image and likeness”: son of God, that evil generation persevered in their Envy, conceiving to get what they could not through War by tempting the Only Begotten with the Fruit of the Science of good and evil. What happened next we all know. There was Fall and there was Redemption. In THE DIVINE HISTORY OF JESUS CHRIST is written all that concerns these Acts. In the last book of the Bible what concerns the Decree of liberation of the Devil in the Year 1000 of our Era.

As soon as he was released, in 1054, the first of the divisions from which the Devil hoped to obtain the Destruction of the Church by his Transgression of the Divine Word: “Every house divided in itself shall not stand, it shall be destroyed”, was made. A first step towards his goal, which Death served him on a platter. An appetizer, because the Disobedience to the Divine Decree against the Empire of Caesar had long since been despised by the Church of the Eastern Byzantine Synodality. God commanded all His servants to turn away from the Roman Empire, the Great Babylon, whose hands were full of the blood of His children. The Patriarch Constantinopolitan conceived the way to preserve the Empire, support of his throne, by transforming her into the Concubine of the Emperor of Byzantium. Abominable heresy that would lead the peoples of the Byzantine Empire and the Orthodox nations into slavery.

“The Word is God... the Word is God... the Word of God is God.”

Couldn't the Byzantines, Emperor and Patriarch, read? Of course they did, but they play the illiterate those who do not want to know what they are going to read. “God said, and so it was done.” Once again! “If your arm scandalizes you, cut it off, for it is better for you to enter the Kingdom of Heaven maimed than with your whole body to be cast into Hell.” In His Mercy, God has left her a remnant so that she may kneel down, recognize her Error and leave the territory of Heresy. But if the foolish virgin prefers to go and buy more oil from the Devil instead of following the Messenger that her Lord sends them to follow him and return to the City of God, to each one with his freedom, whoever wants to be thrown into Hell rather than live in the Paradise of Truth, Justice and Peace, that is up to him.

It would not take the Devil to move again pawn in the field of his War against the spirit of YAHWEH. He gave him a name, Henry IV, German, worthy predecessor of the race of Luther and Hitler. In that imperial servant Satan planted the idea of importing into his kingdom the orthodox patriarchal model. Caught in the darkness of the German “hidden god”, Henry IV, wanted to make of the Bride of the Lord and King JESUS CHRIST, his imperial concubine. God, who moves all events in anticipation of his Enemy, advanced his pawn, Gregory VII, always at the service of the King of Heaven, against whose Spirit the movement of the Devil crashed. To cause the Division in the heart of the Catholic Conciliar Church required an operation of breaking up the field, sowing and cultivating the Evil Tares. All this forgetting the Devil, because he who crawls in the dust ends up thinking like a beast, that the Divine Blessing on the Bride of His Son invested the Walls of His House with Indestructibility: “The Gates of Hell will not prevail against Her”.

With this Wedding Gift of God to the Bride of his Son, the hope of the Destruction of the Church, necessary to complete the Absolute Destruction of Life on Earth, became an Illusion without future; but the Devil, as the servant of Death, had to do what he had to do because he had chosen, in freedom and in the fullness of his physical and mental faculties, what he wanted to do. His Hatred of the Spirit of Yahweh was and is so much that having condemned himself to Banishment, to attempt the Destruction of our World was not an option, it was his destiny.

The breaking of the field, the sowing and cultivation, until the fruit of the evil Tares was ready to be consumed by a people from its beginning given to Evil, for whom Death was its mother, reached its genesis. The Protestant rebellion was a rejection against a generation of servants of the Holy Mother Conciliar Church. By the Council of Constance the Bride of Christ, future Mother of the King's son, locked in the cellars of the Vatican, under lock and key tied to the wall of the interests of a generation of abominable servants in whose name and for whose sake the Name of the Lord was blasphemed, the Immaculate Spouse of Holy Mother Church, in whose womb beat the son her Lord as Isaac did in those of Sarah the Barren; since that Council in which the Spirit of Christ was banished from the souls of the bishops, since then all free from the Service of the Lord and exclusively servants of the Pope, New Mediator between Christ and priests, as Christ is the Mediator between Fios and men; and effect of that Priestly Autocracy: Corruption was made. The Devil advanced to the Headship of the Bishops to his pawns, all unclean, all in orgy while the Evil Tares grew and became strong. In 1517 the Rebellion broke out. The Division of the churches was made, and with it the fruit of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, fratricidal war, let loose its deluge of blood and horror upon the peoples of Christian Europe.

It is from that same people, always at the service of Death, the field in which Satan sowed his Tares, and always fertile soil, that the cause that the new servant of the Lord in the Headship of the Bishops takes up and makes his own, comes to us today, knowing that once Sin is blessed, Death will not take long to open the door of the priesthood to the Law of Gender, an abominable vision in the eyes of my Father, the King, a possibility sought by the King of Hell that if the Bishop of Rome does not proceed to correct his Error, God will break his contract with his servant, giving the Glory of Peter to a worthy Servant of God.

This exposed, nothing taken out of the sleeve, but from the Events starred by God and men, the vision of the opening of the Priesthood to men who are not males, to women who are not females, a future that is hidden behind a Blessing of Mercy, a garment of light that the Devil always puts on, from the beginning, when he deceived the first king of Mesopotamia, the king of Eden, to move his pawns, against their will, for no one wants to serve the Devil if he knows who moves his thoughts. And even Luther had to convince himself that the Devil who visited him was not the Devil but an angel of God; or believe himself to be hopelessly mad. Against whose resistance the Devil fought until he defeated his forces.

Thus, and following the same line of thought of Christ, source and origin of the intelligence of his children, begotten in the spirit of Yahweh for the glory of his Father: the King, we return to the Doubts of the Cardinals, exposed to Excommunication if they

do not accept the Transformation of the Universal Conciliar Church into a Synodal Theocratic Church.

The Thought of the Cardinals, causing against the Bishops accusation of heresy for their Rejection of :

- 1) a Re-Interpretation of the Magisterium of JESUS CHRIST,
- 2) the Blessing of homosexual couples,
- 3) Synodality as an Annulment of the Universal Magisterium in Christ, closing the Door to the Council of the Holy Spirit;
- 4) Priestly ordination can be conferred to women

.... has in the fourth point its devil's swan song: The priestly ordination of women.

FOURTH DOUBT

It says, and it reads as it is written:

...on the support of pastors and theologians for the theory that “the theology of the Church has changed” and, therefore, priestly ordination can be conferred on women.

CRYS:

From where it is understood that the greatest enemy of the Thought of Christ were always theologians and pastors for whom the science of men is greater than the Science of God. Enemies of Christ from the beginnings of the Church, it was the upstart theologians and pastors who trampled on the Unity of the churches of the Apostles, their servants the Bishops, and led the Christian peoples into the abyss of religious wars. The names of those beasts who fed on the souls of the innocent are known to all, what are those of these new beasts who under the garments of light hide the name of the Devil in their breasts?

In whose name do these fools ask that the Immaculate Foundation of the Almighty God the Only Begotten Son, Temple raised by Him and His Brothers in Christ for the Adoration of God the Father, be placed in the hands of Homosexuals, Anti-conciliar Theologians and Women?

Has the Theology of the Church really changed? Has the Servant become the Lord? Is the Bishop of Rome going to achieve for Death what he could not achieve with the Devil, to seat his servant on the throne of the Lord? What madness is this? Is God no longer the God that Jesus Christ revealed to us? Is our Father who is in Heaven now in Hell by disposition of some theologians who from the Error want to drag our Holy Mother the Catholic Church into Heresy? What is Peter doing, who does he think he is dealing with, with genocidal dictators, with serial killers in front of whom to lower his

head instead of raising his prophetic voice? Is this the way to reach the glory of Peter, crawling through the extermination fields of the Christian people, with silence blessing crimes for the sake of one's own survival? Theology has changed? the Knowledge of God through his Son is no longer alive? the Spirit of Christ that lives in the Catholic priesthood is outdated? who are those who have taken Peter to their own territory and pretend to turn the Queen against her own King?

He cannot bless who is invoking the Lord's Curse on their heads. The Theology of Christ is the Theology of the Church, Eternal Magisterium, Unchangeable, Incorruptible Confession that does not present Confusion nor Doubt, Church Victor in epic Battles for the Glory of her God in Heaven and on Earth.

In what has the Theology of my Mother the Church changed, in the incapacity of her servants the theologians to see the Devil in the History of the divisions of the Churches, in the History of the nations?

Blind, they pretend to lead the Bishop of Rome to the enemy's camp, to make the Queen fall and open the doors of the enemy pawns against the King's House. What will the King of Heaven do with those pawns of Death? Is not the Lord God YAWEH his Strength?

What shall the King's son do, shall he argue with the servants of his Mother? For here is the Word of his Father: "As a dry branch that is cut from a tree and thrown into the fire, whoever remains in the Error will be cast out to be trampled by the beasts of the field".

The Catholic Priesthood has for its Eternal Model Christ Jesus, in whose Image and Likeness God begets his servants in man. Anything more is rebellion against His Wisdom.

PETER:

The common priesthood of the faithful and the ministerial priesthood differ essentially" It is not convenient to sustain a difference of degree that implies considering the common priesthood of the faithful as something of "second category" or of lesser value ("a lower degree"). Both forms of priesthood enlighten and sustain each other.

CRYS:

When did Peter learn the language of the Devil? Who taught him its rudiments? Was the theologian the wet nurse of the Bride of Christ? Was the Wisdom of God not enough to grow his Body? Is the sheep a shepherd? Is the son the Mother? What are these fools saying? That the citizen is King?

What is Peter doing: Blessing what the Universal Council condemned: that Lutheran-Calvinist heresy of being every Christian at once, sheep, shepherd, citizen and king? And why not also God? Then the Westminster Divines were true gods. The question is : In the Image of Jesus Christ or in the image of Satan? Is the Bishop of Rome blessing

the folly that his predecessors condemned? Does the Bishop of Rhyme want Ecumenical Unity over the blessing of the heresies that caused the division of the churches?

The Sheep is sheep, and the Shepherd is shepherd. The Christian is a Free Citizen of the Kingdom of the Son of God, the Priest is a Citizen Slave, Servant of the Lord. Any step forward or backward is to reject the King and God, his Father.

If that is the Doctrine of the New Theology, extracted from Protestantism, it is the doctrine of the Devil.

There is a Divine Universal Shepherd, JESUS CHRIST; a Chief Shepherd, the Bishop of Rome, and a Flock of flocks, the peoples of the Christian nations. Only a servant of Satan could seduce the people by making them believe that each sheep is its own shepherd, it is its own king, and has no other God than the Letter, which they will reinterpret, rewrite, making the Bible of God a Bible of men.

PETER:

When St. John Paul II taught that one must affirm "in a definitive way" the impossibility of conferring priestly ordination on women, he was in no way belittling women and giving supreme power to men. St. John Paul II also affirmed other things. For example, that when we speak of priestly power "we are in the realm of function, not dignity or holiness" (St. John Paul II, *Christifideles Laici*, 51). These are words that we have not sufficiently embraced. He also clearly maintained that while the priest alone presides at the Eucharist, the tasks "do not give rise to superiority of one over the other" (St. John Paul II, *Christifideles laici*, note 190; cf. *Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith, Declaration Inter Insigniores*, VI). He also affirmed that if the priestly function is "hierarchical", it should not be understood as a form of domination, but "is totally ordered to the holiness of the members of Christ" (St. John Paul II, *Mulieris dignitatem*, 27). If this is not understood and the practical consequences of these distinctions are not drawn, it will be difficult to accept that the priesthood is reserved only for men and we will not be able to recognize the rights of women or the need for them to participate, in various ways, in the leadership of the Church.

CRYS:

One observes in these lines the author's way of moving thought as if it were the body of a sophist serpent. Is the author aware that, deceived by this Woman: the New Theology, what he is doing is to lead the Bride of the King to Heresy?

"St. John Paul II said: In participating in the life and mission of the Church, a woman cannot receive the sacrament of Holy Orders; nor, therefore, can she perform the functions proper to the ministerial priesthood. This is a disposition that the Church has always verified in the precise will - totally free and sovereign - of Jesus Christ, who has called only men to be his apostles; a disposition that can be illuminated from the relationship between Christ the Bridegroom and the Church the Bride. We are in the realm of function, not of dignity or holiness."

The author of paragraph preceding this last one makes use of the saddest method existing in the field of thought: Extract from Text a concrete paragraph, isolate it from its context and give it a new meaning. It is a rather vulgar viral literary operation. The Word of the invoked Saint is final: “women cannot receive the sacrament of (priestly) Orders”.

Seeking to survive on his winding road the author causing cardinal doubts evades the weight of his Error, to please his Dear One, the New Theology, saying:

PETER:

On the other hand, to be rigorous, let us recognize that a clear and authoritative doctrine has not yet been exhaustively developed about the exact nature of a “definitive statement”. It is not a dogmatic definition, and yet it must be adhered to by all. No one can publicly contradict it, and yet it can be the subject of study, as is the case with the validity of ordinations in the Anglican Communion.

CRYS:

Where we see once again how, again, the author throws in axioms of heretics and anti-Catholic behavior, at the origin of the wars of religion, to impose upon and against his own Lord the whim and desire of his, it seems, mistress: The New Theology. So, affirming the Saint, he denies the Church to close the cause against the Ordination of women. Does he want to review the Nature of the Spirit that at Pentecost begot God a Living Temple, the Catholic Priesthood?

Perhaps when John Paul II wrote: “The Church is a differentiated body, in which each one has his function; the tasks are distinct and must not be confused. These do not give rise to the superiority of one over the other; they do not provide any pretext for envy. The only superior charism - which can and should be desired - is charity (cf. 1 Cor 12-13). The greatest in the kingdom of heaven are not the ministers, but the saints”; did he say something new in writing that the Church did not know?

(1 Cor 12-13). For as the body is one, and hath many members, and all the members of the body, being many, are one body: so also is Christ. For by one Spirit we were all baptized into one body, whether Jews or Greeks, whether bond or free; and we were all given to drink of one Spirit.

Is the theology of the Holy Spirit Old and that of the Heretics not Ever New

As for St. John Paul II, *Mulieris dignitatem*, 27, injecting a virus into the thought of the Holy Spirit in order to take to his terrain the future sought: “The Opening of the Altar to the Devil”, the manipulation is unworthy of a successor, all the more so since the NO and the Yes of God do not admit New Reinterpretations by New Theologies wanting to make the NO of Yesterday a Yes of Today and vice versa. The Son founded the Catholic Temple for the Adoration of the Father, and the Father for the Adoration of the Son. Father and Son, in the Unity of the Holy Spirit, are the Founder and the Builder of the Church whose Priesthood is understood in the verb: “In the Image and Likeness of Christ”, and “Wilt thou seek thy Husband, who shall rule over thee”, and “the two shall

be one flesh” for the Two shall be one flesh. So who are those who want to separate a Marriage by God Blessed, to abolish the Image and Likeness in Christ... to occupy the Bed of Christ and become one flesh with his Wife?

Whoever abandons an Error is loved, whoever remains in it and delves into its designs knowing that its fruit is evil, is rejected by the Lord, in whose hands is the Contract of the validity of his Ordination.

PART FIVE

DOCTRINE OF THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN ON PRIESTLY FORGIVENESS

That the Foundation of God on Earth, signed by His Son Jesus, sealed with the blood of the Holy Spirit in His Disciples, is imperfect and in need of Re-Foundation is the heresy in the Horizon that the New Theology pretends to impose to all the children of God. This Error comes from blind men who in their lack of wealth of knowledge have forgotten and deny the Word of the One whom they claim to serve with their high knowledge and their unparalleled intelligences. “Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven.” These apostles of the New Pro-Heretical Theology condemn the wisdom of Him who exposed His Foundation, finished in His Divine Being, to earthquakes, tidal waves, floods... attacks from without and from within, continually besieged by Sin, the Devil and Death. Like that poor wretch who invented a “hidden god” to hide the reality from himself, it was the Devil who had locked him in the cell of a convent and would not let go of his soul until he had brought it to its knees before his infernal glory, the authors of this New Theology accuse God of having built a “hidden god” to hide the reality from himself, accuse God of having built an imperfect House, not finished, in need of Re-Foundation, work of giants that by the excellence of their omniscient intelligence in the science of the knowledge of the Divinity, these worshipers, of the idol in which they want to turn the bishop of Rome, they will consummate. I insist: How can anyone dream of considering outdated the Wisdom of God in His Gospel, astonishment of wise men and geniuses, joy and health of children and old people? The very Idea of opening the Door of the Church to Sin on account of mercy with the Sinner is to run to the side of the sinner to whom God said: “I do not condemn you, go and sin no more”, to challenge the one who neither judged nor condemned, blessing the sin that dragged her to death. The Scene, directed and produced by God, speaks with the eloquence of one who seeks the Salvation of all, exposes with the flesh and blood of reality the Truth then preached by the Holy Spirit: “The fruit of Sin is Death”, and “by Sin Death entered the World”. The doctrine of the Devil we all know: “Sin, sin, sin, sin and sin, for the Blood of the Lamb of God cleanses your soul even if you have violated the Mother of Christ”. This is the Thought of the New Theology: Open the Door of the Church to Sin.

True, by the Almighty Wisdom of scientific Reason, having assumed Sin as part of the world, the Devil has introduced his pawns into international and national organizations to open the Door to Death. The destruction of all life on Earth is the Work of the son of Hell. But for this the Devil must introduce the Doctrine of the blessing of the Sinner from the Altar. Did God bless the Sinner? Not at all: “Go and sin no more”. The Blessing, as the New Theology signs it, would have meant abandoning the Sinner to her Sin of adultery, creating in her the conscience of not committing any criminal act

against the Divine Law. Divine Forgiveness implies the Consciousness of absolute abandonment of the Sin on the part of the Sinner.

We also know that due to the Ages of Medieval Kings and Emperors Divine Forgiveness was separated from the Law. Children of castles and temples, the priests abolished the Divine Law for the sake of blood, some, and terror, others. The constant and continuous absolution towards the crimes and horrors of those who, under the terror of their swords, demanded sacramental forgiveness, ended up making of the Spirit of Christ: "Go and sin no more", a trade of forgiveness for gold, cause, as we all read, of the Protestant Rebellion. The New Theology intends to rescue that Sacrament, empty of Content, and once and for all, rejecting the Word of God "Go and sin no more", to bless Sin and the Sinner.

Evidently to make Christ choke on a toad and swallow an elephant one must be infinitely rich in spirit, more omniscient in the arts of dialectics than God Himself. God engendered a Universal Gospel fit for the intelligence of all centuries, fit for children through the millennia. The New Theology, creator of the Discussion between the Cardinals and the Bishop of Rome, is going to invent a New Gospel, suitable for the homeless intelligence of the XXI Century, a work predestined for them by...

The Final War between Death and God, Creator of the Universe, has entered its last Battle. Since his Liberation the Devil has sought the victorious strategy that will allow him either to sit on the Throne of St. Peter or to destroy him. Destroy it, he has tried many times, in many ways; sit on it too. His Failure was written on the foreheads of his aborted fetuses. The House founded by Jesus Christ is Perfect. Lord and God his Strength and Wisdom has in his Father the glory of the Overcomer, who lives in his Priestly Body, as is well seen by the Events of the Universal History of Christianity. Speaking of which, it is more than curious that the New Theology is open to Sin and has closed itself to the Need to Reinstate Religion, in its Form of Universal History of Christianity, in the dimension of the formation of Man in the image and likeness of the children of God, since God said, "Let us make Man in our image and likeness"; from where, before this endorsement of God and the conduct of open arms to Sin, the question is legitimate: Is it intended to form Man in the image and likeness of the world born of Sin? Because the children of God know that the Word extended its Glory to all the families of the Human Genus, so that by dying the Head the whole Body of the World fell into Sin. The children of God know that the Salvation of the Human Race has been a long process of breaking through the fields of the nations, advancing always from the Center to embrace all the corners of the Earth. But the End in the Beginning remains alive. God has not abandoned the human race to its fate. It was necessary that Born Again of the Spirit of Faith, spread over all nations, embrace in its Freedom the End by the Principle sought: To make every man a subject of the Divine Rights of every child of God and a subject of the Duties of every Citizen of His Kingdom. Which brings us to the previous point.

That is to say, Sacramental Pardon must not annul the Power of Justice. This annulment was the one sought by that rebellious and wicked generation that rose up against Man. The cause of the Final War between God and Death became the request for the dissolution of the Citizenship Duties of all the children of God. Rights all, Duties none.

When Sin is absolved, freeing the Sinner from answering to Justice, the Sacrament becomes a weapon against the Law. There is NO Absolution before Fios without the Sinner answering for his Sin before the Law of his Kingdom. It is true, the bishops, cardinals and priests gave themselves to absolve the crimes of kings, emperors, the aristocracy in general, without counting that this absolution is not only null before the Law of the Divine Judge, but condemns the people to be the meat from which the Sinner feeds his Sin, and makes a mockery of the sacrament. The Sinner must answer before the Law for his Sin, and once the Law is in force if the Sinner seeks Divine Absolution, paid the Crime the Grace of God extends his arms over all the children who return to his Kingdom. Lesson that we men treasure in our being, and we draw wisdom, saying: There can be NO Rights without Duties, nor Duties without Rights. Now well formed modern man in the image and likeness of those who want all the rights and none of the duties, it is natural, that the world sinks continually in crisis and governments and organizations seek the worst of men instead of raising to Power those who have in Duty and Right the two arms of their conduct.

Thus, Justice is not foreign to the Sacrament.

As I have said, it is understood from the Universal History of Christianity, and it follows from the creative events of this New Theology, which seeks to Re-Found the House of God in order to adapt its Law to the World, this Movement of creation of a New House within the House of God, whose Victories give Glory to its Founder, JESUS CHRIST... this New Theology has its origin in the Devil. The Devil seeks to make of the World the Bride of Christ. Resta is the Word that my Father and King has put on my pike, words burned in the fire of his Zeal for the Salvation of the Human Race.

Final Battle, for after this one there will be no other, already lost beforehand by the Devil, the World and Death, who, seeking to prevent the Bride from giving birth to “this Generation of sons of God, heirs of the glory of the freedom of the Word of their Father, Lord, King and God”, meets with the Devil, the World and Death, who, seeking to prevent the Bride from giving birth to “this Generation of sons of God, heirs of the glory of the freedom of the Word of their Father, Lord, King and God”, meets the Firstborn, Sign for all of the Birth of a Generation of wise men, the light of whose limitless Intelligence, natural to him who has in his Father all Science, as his Father, has in His, of whom we are all sons, all Omniscience. Come then are the arguments of those who, giving birth to a New Theology, want to seat on the Throne of St. Peter a son of Death.

FIFTH QUESTION

Dubium on the statement “forgiveness is a human right” and the Holy Father’s insistence on the duty to absolve everyone and always, so that repentance would not be a necessary condition for sacramental absolution. The question arises whether the doctrine of the Council of Trent, according to which, for sacramental confession to be valid, the penitent’s contrition is necessary, which consists in detesting the sin committed with the intention of sinning no more (Session XIV, Chapter IV: DH 1676), so that the priest should postpone absolution when it is evident that this condition is not met, is still in force.

CRYS:

That said, the Holy Spirit at the Council of Trent corrected the fatal Error which the medieval churches assumed for their own, annulling the Power of the Divine Judge by means of the Aristocratic Absolution which not only does not ask the Sinner to sin no more, but absolved him from all his future crimes before men and God.

When God speaks, the whole world is silent; the Word of God is Eternal, he who pretends to consider it as Old and obsolete because of the century he lives in, errs, and opens the Door of the Church to the Devil, the sworn enemy of the Kingdom of the Son of God.

Let us see how the New Theology, which he who sits on the Throne of St. Peter seems to defend, defends using rhetoric of cheap sophists, always understanding that they have in their favor the Arm of him who, against his Spirit, can send to Hell those who dare to raise their voice to him.

PETER:

Repentance is necessary for the validity of sacramental absolution, and implies the purpose not to sin. But there is no mathematics here, and once again I must remind you that the confessional is not a customs house. We are not owners, but humble stewards of the Sacraments that nourish the faithful, because these gifts of the Lord, more than relics to be guarded, are aids of the Holy Spirit for people's lives.

CRYS:

False, the Holy Spirit at the Council of Trent made it clear: Without Justice there is no Pardon. At the end of the last century Peter again confirmed:

“Without Restitution there is no Justice, without Justice there is no Pardon”.

The Criminal must answer to the Justice of his Kingdom.

I have said and I insist that Satan and his brothers in the War of Eden, sought, as children of God, to be elevated above the Justice of the Kingdom, so that they would be shielded by a Divine Immunity on the responsibility of their acts. God's Answer was total:

“NEVER. All the sons of God are Citizens of his Kingdom; all the Citizens of the Kingdom of his Son are sons of God.”

Any Theology that attempts against this Eternal Truth opens the door through which Satan entered and walks towards the Hell to which they have been called to Banishment who were at first sons of God, a glory that seems little to them if they were not invested with the Power of the gods, at least of the gods as they conceived to be gods.

Certainly, the confessional is not a customs house, but it is what it was for centuries. The Confessional is not a den of criminals in which the lovers of Sin hide from God. Nor is it a spider's web in which confessors hunt their victims, devour them, and with their absolutions feed their sins in order to be well served with human flesh. Forgiveness is in the Word of God: “I do not condemn you”, and Absolution in his Word: “Go and sin no more”. The Replay to infinity of the Scene with which God speaks to the Confessor and the Sinner is an evil act, by which the Sinner is dragged back before his judges, and the Confessor becomes a party to the Crime. “Go and sin no more”: do not make Absolution again a travesty, a mockery. Repentance consists in Obedience to the Word of God: “Sin no more”. By Obedience comes the Grace of Blessing. Without Obedience there is neither Forgiveness, nor Grace. If the Sinner wants to die in his Sin, let him die. The Mission of Christ is not to convert the Devil, but to convert men to free them from the Devil.

As if the New Theology and its pontifical godfather did not want to hear anything, because they have the Power to excommunicate left and right, a Power against which God has no Power to amend their minds, we read:

PETER:

There are many ways of expressing repentance. Frequently, in people who have a very wounded self-esteem, pleading guilty is a cruel torture, but the very act of approaching confession is a symbolic expression of repentance and of seeking divine help.

CRYS:

Who denies that Sin, involuntarily committed against God and men, in those who love God and their fellow men, generates anxiety? The contrary would be an anomaly in those who have the duty to be saints, and because of the height of the goal of life they lose their footing. Must the son who is in the heart of the battle answer before the servants of his Mother for the accusation of Sin against the Law of “Thou shalt not kill”?

There is NO law of repentance other than not to sin again. There are NO subtle or gentle ways of absolution for a sinner who lives happily in his sin and pretends to be worthy of the glory of the one who has Life in Sin an abomination. “Let him who is clean from sin cast the first stone.” Begotten in a field of war, to be wounded and to cry out in

anger is proper to the Warrior of the King's armies. To make War an excuse to live in Sin is a Crime. As in War, the Sense of War is to put an end to it as soon as possible, the sense of Sacramental Absolution is to put an end to the Sinner's coexistence with his sin. Crocodile tears, none!

PETER:

I would also like to recall that “sometimes it is very difficult for us to make room in pastoral ministry for the unconditional love of God” (Amoris laetitia 311), but we must learn it. Following St. John Paul II, I maintain that we should not demand from the faithful too precise and sure resolutions of amendment, which in the end ends up being abstract or even egotistical, but that even the foreseeability of a new fall “does not prejudge the authenticity of the resolution” (St. John Paul II, Letter to Card. William W. Baum and participants in the annual course of the Apostolic Penitentiary, 22 March 1996, 5).

CRYS:

We are back to more of the same. The Godfather of the New Theology, accustomed to dialoguing with criminals in his native land, believes he can negotiate with his Divine Lord the coexistence between Christ and Sin. He invokes the Holy Spirit in John Paul II in the manner of one who calls to the witness stand a dead man. Is the Love of God unconditional with respect to the Sinner? "Go and sin no more" or is it real, solid, firm and clear conditional? We understand that as there is no worse blind than he who does not want to see, there is no worse illiterate than he who does not want to read.

PETER:

Finally, it should be clear that all the conditions that are usually placed on confession are generally not applicable when the person is in a situation of agony, or with very limited mental and psychic capacities.

CRYS:

A son of God cannot ignore that our Holy Mother Church lives in the spirit of her Spouse, who seeks the Salvation of all men. Nor ignore that the Truth which the Holy Spirit manifested as natural to the condition of men subject to the Science of good and evil, “I do not do the good I want, but the evil I do not seek”, is law for every being of flesh and blood, to whose Gender every servant of God belongs, whether bishop, deacon, or pope.

If the priest were holy by the cassock, the Law: “Be saint as I am saint”, would have no meaning. Holiness is a Way, a constant battle against the Devil, the World and Death. The servant of Christ cannot depart from the House of his Lord, built for his Bride and Mother of his children in God. The Law of his Lord is eternal; the Divine Theology, his Inheritance, was sealed in Universal Councils. A New Theology, such as this new internal current in the church WANTS TO IMPOSE, is to declare Christ the enemy of God. Peter's only Answer of Holiness can only be: VADE RETRO SATAN.

CONCLUSION

The Devil knows that this is his Final Battle. The Only Bastion against which his forces are crashing is the House of Christ. For many centuries he tried to sit on the Throne of St. Peter. His goal is the Destruction of all life on Earth, an impossible victory as long as the Lord and His Bride live in the Law of the One who has created for His Son a Kingdom in which all beings are Citizens, all subject to the Law of Truth, Justice and Peace, and no one, neither in Heaven nor on Earth, no one in Heaven is beyond the Law.

Sin is the door through which Death enters God's Creation. Door of which we, the children of God, will take possession, closing it so that no one will open it again. What Sin is is defined by Divine Law. Thou shalt not commit impure acts is not an advice, it is the Duty to turn away from Sin; disobedience to the Law, justifying Sin in the Sinner by reason of a mercy that is poured perfect in Obedience is to reject the Divine Judgment: Go and sin no more. As long as this Obedience is not produced there can be no Blessing. When the sinner wants to remain in sin under the armor of a sacramental Mercy that annuls the Word of the Lord, the one who blesses is as guilty in the eyes of the Divine Judge as the one who sins. For he who blesses, against God, exculpates the sinner from his future sins. The Absolution of the Crime against the Civil laws by the priest, in response to a repentance that frees him from the penalty due to his crime, makes the church a co-perpetrator of the Crime.

The Church, House founded by God, is the Body of Christ, and as such the priest is begotten in man in the image and likeness of his High Priest, Jesus.

The opening of women to the Priesthood, the very idea of discussion, is a challenge to God, Father of the Catholic Church. The Foundation of JESUS CHRIST is perfect, Invincibility demonstrated during the two thousand years of the Universal History of Christianity. It is not God who must modernize his Wisdom, which makes children fit to understand his Love; it is man who must reject all theology that makes his servants gods in the midst of the world.

Every servant is subject to Contract. It is NOT the Throne of St. Peter that is stained by his successors; the Throne of Peter is maintained by the Will of God; but the servant who breaks the Contract that obliges him to serve his Lord according to the Law of God, that servant breaks his Contract and must answer before God for his crimes, as will be seen at the Last Judgment when every man will be called to answer for his actions, words and thoughts before the Lord of Eternity.

He who believes that his Cassock shields him from his Lord has lost his mind. The Word of God is firm: "Depart from me, you cursed of my Father, I never knew you". Now, whoever wants to challenge God to condemn him against the Word of his Son: "He who believes in me is not judged", precisely because he uses this Blessing to curse with his

acts, in word and thought, the Name of God among those who need to know Salvation, let them do so.

It is also written: “Cursed is he who looks back”, speaking of those who, believing the Judgment against Satan and his servants to be too cruel, lower their heads lamenting the Judgment.

There is NO covenant between light and darkness, there is no alliance of any kind between the children of the King of Hell and the sons of the King of Heaven. The Church is Eternal from its Beginning. If the Sinner does not correct his conduct, his soul is on his head. If he corrects his conduct, the Lord and His Church have their arms open to Bless him and give him strength.

Woman does not and cannot belong to the Catholic Priestly Body.

This is the Doctrine of the Holy Spirit since the Birth of the Church.

